1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	COMMISSIONER ACZON
4	COMMISSIONER AHAKUELO
5	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA
6	COMMISSIONER MAHI
7	CHAIRMAN McDONALD
8	COMMISSIONER SCHEUER
9	COMMISSIONER WONG
10	00
11	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: DAN ORODENKER
12	CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA
13	STAFF PLANNER: SCOTT DERRICKSON/BERT SARUWATARI
14	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ.
15	AUDIO TECHNICIAN: HOTAI ZERBA
16	<del></del>
17	For the Petitioner: STEVEN LIM, ESQ. ONAONA THONE, Associate
18	ANN BOUSLOG, Representative
19	For the County DPP: RICHARD LEWALLEN
20	Deputy Corporation Counsel MIKE WATKINS
21	
22	For the State: BRYAN YEE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General
23	RODNEÝ FUNAKOSÁI OFFICE of PLANNING
24	
25	

1	CHAIR McDONALD: On the December 10
2	meeting, do I have a motion to approve?
3	COMMISSIONER WONG: Moved.
4	COMMISSIONER ACZON: Second.
5	CHAIR McDONALD: Moved by Commissioner
6	Wong, seconded by Commissioner Aczon. All those in
7	favor say aye.
8	Commissioners: "Aye"
9	CHAIR McDONALD: Any opposed? The minutes
10	are adopted. Mr. Orodenker, would you please give the
11	Commission's tentative meeting schedule.
12	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
13	tentative meeting schedule is February 4th. We will
14	have a site visit, DR14-52, Petition for Declaratory
15	Order to Designate Important Agricultural Lands.
16	That's Kamehameha Schools Bishop Estate.
17	On February 18, we will hear the Petition
18	for Declaratory Order designated for agricultural
19	lands. Both of those are here on O'ahu. A site visit
20	is on out on the site on the North Shore.
21	February 18th is the Airport Conference
22	Room.
23	March 4th to 5th we have at the airport,
24	Kona Airport, McClean Honokohau Properties Motion to
25	Amend Condition 'til March 4th, Waiawa PD State's

Special Use Permit on March 5th. That's the airport here. March 25th tentatively we have a continuation of the Waiawa State Special Permit SUP. And from there the agenda is clear.

2.0

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Orodenker.
Okay. This is an action meeting on Docket
No. A92-683 Halekua Development Corporation, O'ahu to
consider Successor Petitioner to Parcel 53 Ho'ohana
Solar 1, LLC's Request to Withdraw Motion for Order
Bifurcating the Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions
of Law and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996;
and to Consider Adoption of Order Granting Successor
Petitioner to Parcel 52 Ho'ohana Solar 1, LLC's Motion
for Order Amending Amended Findings of Fact,
Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order dated
October 1st, 1996. Will the parties please identify
themselves for the record.

MR. LIM: Good morning, Mr. Chair, Members of the Commission. First for all, happy new year and good to see you all in good health hopefully. I'm here representing Ho'ohana Solar 1, LLC. Seated to my right is Project Manager Ann Bouslog and to her right my associate Onaona Thone.

CHAIR McDONALD: Good morning.

MR. LEWALLEN: Good morning, Chair,

1 Commission. Richard Lewallen on behalf of the Department of Planning and Permitting. To my right is 3 Mike Watkins of the Department. Thank you. 4 CHAIR McDONALD: Good morning. 5 MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney 6 General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning. 7 With me is Rodney Funakoshi from the Office of 8 Planning. 9 CHAIR McDONALD: Morning. Let me update 10 the record. On November 21st, 2014 the Commission met 11 in Honolulu, Hawai'i and voted to grant Petitioner's 12 Motion to Amend Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions 13 of Law and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996. 14 Also on that date the Commission received 15 OP's Exhibit 14-Stipulation to Proposed Conditions of 16 Approval for Ho'ohana Solar 1, LLC's Motion for Order 17 Amending the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 18 Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996 and HRT, 19 Ltd. 300 Corporation, and Honolulu Limited's-2.0 Stipulation Exhibits A to D, Exhibit 10, and a copy of 2.1 the Order Granting the Office of Planning's Amended Motion to Exempt HRT, Ltd's Property from the Order to 22 23 Show Cause Granted on February 26, 2004, pursuant to

On November 24, 2014 the Commission

the Stipulation filed on December 30, 2003.

24

25

received Successor Petitioner's request to withdraw
Ho'ohana's Motion for Order Bifurcating the Amended
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and
Order dated October 1st, 1996.

2.0

2.1

2.4

2.5

- On December 9th, 2014, the Commission received Successor Petitioner's Proposed Order granting Successor Petitioner's Motion for Order Amending the Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision dated October 1st, 1996.
  - On December 19, 2014 the Commission received Successor Petitioner's Notice of Compliance with Condition of Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996.
  - On December 23rd, 2014 the Commission received Successor Petitioner's Stipulation of Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order, Exhibits A through B. This is January now.
- On January 15, 2015 the Commission mailed the agenda for the January 23rd, 2015 meeting to the parties and the statewide and O'ahu mailing list?

  Also on this date the Commission received Successor Petitioner's Letter of Explanation of Parties and Landowners' positions.

On January 22nd, 2015 the Commission received correspondence from Robinson Kunia Land, LLC's representative regarding its position on the two matters on the January 23rd, 2015 agenda. Okay.

2.0

2.1

Let me briefly describe the procedures for today on this docket. First, I'll call those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to the witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

After public testimony the Commission will take up Successor Petitioner's request to withdraw Ho'ohana's Motion for Order Bifurcating the Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996.

After the request to withdraw the Motion to Bifurcate has been disposed of, the Commission will consider the adoption of Order Granting Successor Petitioners to parcel 52, Ho'ohana Solar 1, LLC's Motion for Order Amending the Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996.

Is there anyone in the audience wishing to provide public testimony this morning? Seeing none, for the record, regarding the first agenda item the

- Chair recognizes that the Petitioner informed the Commission of Successor Petitioner's intent to withdraw its Motion Bifurcating at the November 21st,
- 4 2014 meeting.

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- 5 And then subsequently, on November 24, 6 2014 filed a written request to withdraw the motion. 7 Since the request to withdraw was not accompanied by a 8 request for hearing, no opposition has been filed by 9 any other party, and the request to withdraw the 10 motion does not finally dispose of the proceeding, the 11 Chair will treat this request to withdraw the Motion 12 to Bifurcate as a motion under the Commission's rule 13 section 15-15-70 Hawaii Administrative Rules. And as 14 authorized by that rule the Chair grants the request 15 to withdraw the Motion to Bifurcate.
  - The next item of business is the adoption of Order to Amend the Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order dated October 1st, 1996. Commissioners, before you is a Form of the Order granting the Petition on this Docket No. A92-683.
- Ho'ohana's Proposed Order dated
  December 23rd, 2014 as stipulated by Ho'ohana and OP
  was used as a starting point for the Decision and
  Order. The major differences between the Proposed

Order stipulated between Ho'ohana and OP and submitted to the Commission and the document you have before you is as follows: I'm just going to go through the changes which was previously stipulated between OP and the Petitioner for your information.

12.

2.0

2.1

Stipulated Findings of Fact No. 6 was amended to clarify language referencing a previous Motion to Amend. Stipulated Findings of Fact 68 was amended to recognize Ho'ohana Solar 1, LLC as having a property interest and providing an explanation of what entities make up Ho'ohana: Forest City and Hanwha Solar.

Stipulated Finding of Fact No. 146 was amended to delete the reference to a contractual obligation between Ho'ohana and its landlord RKL regarding decommissioning.

Stipulated Finding of Fact No. 166 was amended to accurately reflect the language cited from the LUC's 1996 Order in this Docket. Stipulated Finding of Fact No. 216 was amended to delete language regarding the automatic shutdown measures to be installed, as there was no reference to this in either of the references citing Petitioner's Exhibit 34B or hearing transcript.

Stipulated Finding of Fact No. 227 was

amended to delete confusing and/or inaccurate language regarding conformance with the State Plan.

12.

2.0

2.1

2.4

Stipulated Finding of Fact No. 252 to stipulated Finding of Fact 256, and stipulated Finding of Fact 259 were deleted as unnecessary and/or redundant.

Condition B7 was amended to include language to now read as follows: "Decommissioning of the Solar Farm. The solar farm shall be decommissioned following its operational timeframe. The decommission activities shall include but not be limited to the removal of foundational piers as is commercially feasible, and the complete removal of all modules and associated components.

All metal components shall be recycled to the extent commercially feasible, and Ho'ohana shall minimize disposal of any solar farm components in any landfill in the State of Hawai'i.

And any future use of Parcel 52 following the decommissioning of the solar farm shall be subject to the environmental review process promulgated under HRS Chapter 343 as applicable, and shall require the filing of a Motion to Amend the Decision and Order with the Commission.

Such Motion to Amend shall include a

revised master development plan for Royal Kunia Phase II, Increment 3 and shall further include, but not be limited to a revised Traffic Impact Analysis Report, Socio-Economic Analysis Report, Engineering Report, Environmental Report, and Archaelogical Inventory Survey Report.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Language was included to reaffirm that the conditions imposed under all the previous Halekua Orders shall continue in full force and effect as they pertain to the Petition Area and Parcel 52. And, that the language regarding the stipulated agreement between the Office of Planning and the HRT Entities from 2003 and the Commission's February 23, 2004 Order was retained as a footnote within the Order.

In addition non-substantive changes were for style, clarity and to correct typos and update the record. Commission, any questions on the Order and the changes that I have just gone over? Seeing none what's your pleasure on this matter?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, I move to adopt the Form of the Order and grant Ho'ohana's Motion to Authorize the Interim use of parcel 52 as a solar farm including all related utility and other infrastructure for an operational period excluding decommissioning, not to exceed 35 years from the date of this Findings

1	
1	of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order and
2	subject to the conditions imposed herein.
3	CHAIR McDONALD: We have a motion by
4	Commissioner Wong. Do we have a second?
5	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Second.
6	CHAIR McDONALD: Second by Commissioner
7	Mahi. Mr. Orodenker, will you poll the Commission.
8	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
9	motion is to adopt the Form of the Order subject to
10	conditions. Commissioner Wong?
11	COMMISSIONER WONG: Aye.
12	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Mahi?
13	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Aye.
14	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Scheuer?
15	COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Aye.
16	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Aczon?
17	COMMISSIONER ACZON: Aye.
18	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Hiranaga?
19	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Aye.
20	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Ahakuelo?
21	COMMISSIONER AHAKUELO: Aye.
22	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Song and
23	Commissioner Clendeninn are absent. Chair McDonald?
24	CHAIR McDONALD: Aye.
25	MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, the motion

passes unanimously.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Orodenker. Thank you to the parties for your participation and patience and the collaboration and getting this docket through. Anyway, thank you and enjoy your new year.

6 Thank you. (9:01).

Before we move to the next agenda item I failed to acknowledge LUC staff and their hard work with assisting the Commission: Scott, Riley on the docket.

Anyway, our next agenda item, the appointment of a legislative subcommittee. As you are all aware of there have been some statements made as of recent with regards to the Land Use Commission in its entirety.

So I think it makes sense for us to appoint a legislative subcommittee not only to address what has transpired in the last couple of days, but also to kind of track and update the entire Commission as to any type of legislative matters coming up this session. So with that I guess I'll ask for any nominations.

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Chair, could you explain a little bit of the relationship of the subcommittee to the overall body, and perhaps the

specific tasks that you might envision the subcommittee taking on.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Sure, sure, Commissioner. For me I'll have Dan speak later on the matter. What I was looking at was the subcommittee to track and essentially update the Commission in general. I guess to a certain point lobby on behalf of the Land Use Commission.

So as far as the lobbying efforts at this point I'm not up to speed as to what legislative matters are coming up this session. It's probably something that Dan could speak more towards.

It's more generally, you know, I'm not expecting the entire Commission to — it takes a lot of time to track and follow legislative matters. What I'm asking this subcommittee to do is to be more engaged in the process and to report back to the Commissioners. Dan, do you want to add anything else?

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The genesis of this, we usually have not in the past had a

genesis of this, we usually have not in the past had a legislative committee, at least not since I've been here. We got a recommendation from the Office of Information Practices that this would be a good method to follow. The difficulty that we have during the legislative session is very often things change

rapidly. And there are decisions that need to be made with regard to how to handle things that don't — and we don't have the time to post a hearing notice and have the Commission as a whole meet. Very often we have to turn things around in a couple days.

2.0

2.1

So one of the functions of this committee would be to serve as policy advisor to staff, to myself and how to handle a lot of these things. It would allow for the committee to speak with each other as long as they weren't deliberating towards a decision, without having to file a Notice of Hearing and do the whole Sunshine Law thing.

We do have a pressing issue as we're all aware of that will need to be handled. You know, discussions we have to be had with the legislators. We also foresee a number of other minor things coming up. We have some things with regard to how to it's general to boards and commissions that would impact on how this Commission operates which we would need Commissioners' input on as well.

So that would basically be the functions of the committee as we see right now. We also are anticipating some other things to happen as the bill filings come along.

CHAIR McDONALD: Good question,

1 Mr. Scheuer.

2 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Sorry. I'm not

3 trying to slow the process down.

4 CHAIR McDONALD: No, no, no. We want a

5 | fast meeting. Commissioner Aczon.

6 COMMISSIONER ACZON: What are the

7 restrictions of this committee? You mentioned about

8 | Sunshine Law. What do we have to watch out?

9 CHAIR McDONALD: Well, obviously the

10 | Sunshine Law. I think the subcommittee is not going

11 to do any type of deliberations. Diane, you want to

12 speak?

MS. ERICKSON: I'm assuming this is an

14 | investigative committee as recommended by OIP.

15 MR. ORODENKER: Yes.

16 MS. ERICKSON: The investigative committee

17 can meet without posting a notice with Sunshine Law.

18 But then if they have -- and they report back to the

19 Commission with their recommendations at one meeting

20 and then at the following meeting if they're

21 recommending that the Commission take action, then

22 | that's when it would happen. So there would have to

23 be two meetings. Make one, a recommendation at the

24 | first meeting and the Commission could act on the

25 second meeting.

1	COMMISSIONER ACZON: So within the
2	committee we can do deliberations to come with a
3	recommendation?
4	MS. ERICKSON: Yes. But it's not it
5	would not be deliberating as making the Commission's
6	decision. It's just your recommendation.
7	CHAIR McDONALD: Any other questions from
8	the Commissioners?
9	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Didn't we have
10	something like that at the Burial Council? We had an
11	ad hoc committee that would just report to us keeping
12	track of certain bills that were relative to the
13	actions and operations of Historic Preservation.
14	So it was pretty much just information
15	gathering, right? I think it's kinda simple. It
16	would be one to what you call lobby. I don't know
17	about that. Just to follow bills. And our particular
18	functions.
19	CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Mahi, good
20	point. Maybe not necessarily "lobby" is the wrong
21	term. It's more providing testimony.
22	COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: If I may.
23	CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Scheuer.
24	COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: If I may. Having
25	served at the same time as Mr. Mahi on the Burial

18

- 1 | Council, the subcommittee provided information.
- 2 | Excuse me. (off mic) The Commission would track the
- 3 | Burial Council's subcommittee tracking legislation
- 4 | would -- I can't remember if it was directly or
- 5 through the staff, inform people as bills and hearings
- 6 | would come up before the rest of the Members of the
- 7 | Commission that at least as individual Members of the
- 8 | Land Use Commission they could avail themselves of
- 9 providing testimony which would be their own testimony
- 10 as a member of the Commission rather than a formal
- 11 | statement of all, at that point, of all members of the
- 12 Burial Council.
- So I'm envisioning that this group, while
- 14 | we might make recommendations for action for the whole
- 15 | board to take action on given the speed of the
- 16 Legislature, that one of its primary functions might
- 17 be to say: Hearing is coming up on this bill. This
- 18 | bill appears to do X. Commissioners, please be aware
- 19 that a hearing is coming up and you're able to make,
- 20 provide testimony on that matter.
- I think the other thing in this case would
- 22 be having some discussions about understanding the
- 23 implications of bills with the Commission staff. Is
- 24 that a fair characterization?
- 25 CHAIR McDONALD: I believe so,

Commissioner Scheuer. Thank you.

12.

2.0

2.1

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: If I may say one other thing and stop me if it's beyond the scope of this agenda item. But as to the proposal which has been indirectly referred to from the Senate President and with our new Governor indicating he was open to.

I think that it's not, you don't even have to deliberate as a Commission whether or not we would, oppose such a measure. My simple understanding of our rules is in some ways we function as co-trustees and public trust resources in Hawai'i.

First duty of a trustee is loyalty to the Trust. So if there was any suggestion that individual Commissioners or the Commission body as a whole might somehow agree with the abolishment of the Commission, I would view that as a violation of our fiduciary duties.

So I think would be no problem for the Chairperson, for instance, to just speak clearly in his own testimony, if he chose to, to say that out of necessity in law we would be opposed to any such.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer. Any other comments, questions?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair? Because some of the Commissioners say the speed of the Legislature

1 is it possible that the executive director can also provide testimony on behalf of the Commission to 3 inform them of what may occur if certain bills may do this or that? 4 5 CHAIR McDONALD: I believe it's within his 6 duties. 7 COMMISSIONER WONG: Okay. Just wanted to 8 check on that just to ensure the executive director 9 could stand in place of the ad hoc committee. 10 CHAIR McDONALD: But he would be speaking 11 on behalf of the Commission. 12. COMMISSIONER WONG: Yes. 13 CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Yee, anything to add? I'm sorry. My understanding is 14 MR. YEE: 15 generally without authority the executive director 16 doesn't necessarily speak on behalf of the Commission. 17 The executive director will speak on his own behalf as 18 the executive director of the Land Use Commission, 19 "I'm testifying that..." 2.0 The practical problem obviously is the 2.1 ability of a board or commission to meet is always 22 hindered by the Sunshine Law. And the speed of the 23 Legislature session it's impractical to have Sunshine 24 meetings to decide issues that have to be decided in 2.5 24 hours. So the idea of an investigative committee

is you can you do away with this. You can do a couple of things. One is you can get some guidances Dan was saying to him so he has a better understanding.

2.0

2.1

The second is you have certain issues that people know that they're being asked to focus on so to list sort of the capabilities of individual Commissioners who are sort of more familiar with the process and understand what's going on and can help add their insights into this process.

The part of that, I don't know if lobbying is a correct word, but when you have people who understand the process, understand the people involved, their involvement sort of adds to the resources of the executive director himself.

So you can have, you know, not just the testimony of the individuals to say. "I'm the Chairman. I'm not here to speak on behalf of the Commission. We haven't voted on this, but I've served for so many years and here's my opinion what this bill would do."

So obviously it doesn't prevent individual Commissioners from simply coming forward saying: I think our archaelogical resources are so important that doing this bill might have a negative impact on that.

1 But having an investigate committee allows 2 those members who are interested and have those 3 talents to sort of be brought to bear on this in a 4 practical way. So I think that's the idea behind 5 these investigative committees. They can't go and 6 testify, "I Commissioner So and So on behalf of Land 7 Use Commission." You just haven't held a meeting on 8 Nevertheless, that testimony still has a weight 9 to the Legislators who listen to the testimony. 10 MS. ERICKSON: I also think that one of 11 the questions was: Could the executive officer be 12. directed to testify on behalf of the Commission? And 13 I think the answer is "yes". 14 MR. YEE: Yes. It's just a practical 15 issue of having the time to meet and the executive 16 director to do that is somewhat problematic. 17 CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Yee. 18 COMMISSIONER AHAKUELO: Is the Chair still 19 accepting nominations? 2.0 CHAIR McDONALD: Yes, I am. 2.1 COMMISSIONER AHAKUELO: I'd like to 22 nominate Chair McDonald and Arnold Wong. 23 CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner Ahakuelo. (laughter). Well, I do appreciate the 24 25 nomination. I have been serving the longest on the

existing body. I'm not fully in-tuned with the process. I think my participation in this subcommittee and Commissioner Wong's support, because my understanding Commissioner Wong has quite a bit of experience in that realm. So with that I'll accept the nomination.

COMMISSIONER WONG: I guess I will too since Chair is looking at me. (Laughter).

CHAIR McDONALD: Scott.

12.

2.0

2.1

MR. DERRICKSON: Let me just hopefully allay anybody who might want to or thinking about being on the subcommittee concerns. I think we're not asking you to do all of the heavy lifting. The staff is going to help by providing updates and information about the bills that we've identified and tagged as having some potential impact to the Commission or its operations.

We'll give you a synopsis. We'll kind of keep you up-to-date on when hearings might be held, that kind of thing; any potential draft testimony that we might be putting together so you can review it, give us feedback on it.

So I guess we're not asking you to go in blind and do a lot of work. More of it is gonna just be reacting to stuff that we're going to put before

1 you. You're free to do as much as you want to, but we're going to help take some of that burden of time 3 and effort off of your shoulders. 4 CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Scott. 5 MR. ORODENKER: Scott is actually our 6 official legislative coordinator with DBEDT. So he's 7 the one, if anybody has any questions on about a 8 measure he's the first go to person. 9 CHAIR McDONALD: Any other discussion, Commissioners? 10 11 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: 2? Is a committee 12 okay? Or do you even -- (off mic) Sorry. 13 Informationally was that envisioned a committee was 2 14 people? 15 MR. ORODENKER: As many as --16 MS. ERICKSON: It's a quorum. 17 CHAIR McDONALD: I'd be fine with 1. 18 (Commissioners laughter) 19 COMMISSIONER WONG: The Chair's 20 volunteering. 2.1 CHAIR McDONALD: If anybody is interested, 22 you know, want to step up and assist myself and 23 Arnold, we're all in support of that. (Pause). So is 24 that an actual motion by Commissioner Ahakuelo? 25 COMMISSIONER AHAKUELO: Yes. My motion is

to nominate Chairman Chad McDonald and Vice-Chair
Arnold Wong to serve on the Legislative Subcommittee.
CHAIR McDONALD: I'll just go through the
vote. We have a first by Commissioner Ahakuelo,
second by Commissioner Aczon. I guess we'll do this
by voice vote. All those in favor say aye. "Aye".
Any opposed? (no response)
I guess the last item on the agenda.
Commissioners, I appreciate your time. Staff, I
appreciate your time and support and OP and Mr. Yee,
thank you for your comments. Okay. With that we're
adjourned. (gavel)
(The proceedings were adjourned at 9:21 a.m.)
000000

## 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State 4 5 of Hawai'i, do hereby certify; That I was acting as court reporter in the 6 7 foregoing LUC matters on the 23rd day of January 2015; That the proceedings were taken down in 8 computerized machine shorthand by me and were 9 10 thereafter reduced to print by me; 11 That the foregoing represents, to the best 12. of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the 13 proceedings had in the foregoing matters. 14 15 This\_\_\_\_\_ day of\_\_\_\_\_\_2015 DATED: 16 17 18 19 20 HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR #5910 Certified Shorthand Reporter 2.1 22 23 24 25