1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I
3	
4	ACTION )
5	A15-798 WAIKAPU PROPERTIES, LLC, et al. (Maui))
6	)
7	
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	The above entitled matter came on for an action
13	hearing at Maui Arts & Cultural Center, Haynes Meeting
14	Room, One Cameron Way, Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i
15	commencing at 9:30 a.m. April 29, 2015 pursuant to
16	Notice.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	
24	
25	

## 1 APPEARANCES 2 Commissioners: 3 Edmund Aczon Kent Hiranaga 4 Aaron Mahi Chad McDonald (Chair) Jonathan Scheuer Arnold Wong 6 7 Executive Officer: Dan Orodenker Chief Clerk: Riley Hakoda 8 Staff Planner: Scott Derrickson DEPUTY ATTY. General: Diane Erickson 9 10 Docket No. A15-798 Waikapu Properties, LLC, et al. 11 For the Petitioner: James Geiger, Esq. 12 For the State: Bryan Yee, Esq. DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 Rodney Funakoshi, Drtr. Office of Planning 14 15 For the County: Michael Hopper, Esq. Deputy Corp. Counsel 16 For the County Dept. of Planning: Kurt Wallenhoff, 17 Planner 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

_		
		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	PUBLIC TESTIMONY PAGE	
4	Lucienné DeNai 109	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIR McDONALD: (gavel) 'Morning. I'd like to call the state of Hawai'i Land Use Commission meeting to order. First order of business is the adoption of our March 25th, 2015 meeting minutes. Commissioners, any revisions? Hearing none, do I have a motion to approve? Moved by Commissioner Aczon, second by Commissioner Mahi. All those in favor say aye. "Aye" Any opposed? Minutes are adopted.

2.1

Mr. Orodenker, would you please review with the Commission the tentative meeting schedule.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The tentative meeting schedule is May 6th is our next scheduled meeting but that agenda is to be determined. May 29 we have tentatively McClean Honokohau Petition, Motion to Amend actually in Kona.

On June 9 we have, once again tentatively, but we have tentatively scheduled the Kalaeloa Solar Project at the Honolulu Airport Conference Room. Wednesday June 10th Island Schools on Kaua'i. That leads us up to the end of the potential agenda at this point in time.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Orodenker. At this time I'm going to take the agenda items out of order for the purpose of, actually for the sake of our State Office of Planning. I'm now going to entertain

a motion to enter into executive session agenda item No. 6.

2.0

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER WONG: So moved.

COMMISSIONER ACZON: Second.

CHAIR McDONALD: All in favor of executive session say aye.

COMMISSIONERS: "Aye."

CHAIR McDONALD: Any opposed? The Commission will now go into executive session.

Mr. Hakoda will come get you folks once our executive session is over.

(Executive Session)

CHAIR McDONALD: We're back on the record. At this time we're going to take up agenda item IV A15-798 Waikapu Properties, LLC. This is an action meeting on Docket No. A15-798 to consider Petitioner's motion to designate the Land Use Commission as approving agency for an Environmental Statement under HRS Chapter 343 and for authority to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

On April 16, 2015 the Commission received a petition for land use district boundary amendment to Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into the Rural Land Use District for certain lands situated at Waikapu, District of Wailuku, Island and

County of Maui, State of Hawai'i, consisting of 92.394 acres and 57.454 acres, bearing Tax Map Key Nos. (2) 3-6-004:003 portion of parcel 3 and to amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into the Urban Land Use District for certain lands situated at Waikapu, District of Wailuku, Island and County of Maui, State of Hawai'i, consisting of 236.326 acres, and 53.775 acres, and 45.054 acres bearing Tax Map Key Nos. (2) 3-6-002 portion of parcel 3; 3-6-004 parcel 6 and 3-6-5 portion of parcel 7 together with Exhibits 1 through 18 from the Petitioner Waikapu Properties, LLC, et al, and Petitioner's Motion to Designate the Land Use Commission as approving agency for the Environmental Statement under HRS Chapter 343 and for authority to prepare Environment Impact Statement.

2.1

On April 21st, 2015 the Commission mailed the April 29th meeting notice of agenda to the parties and the statewide and Maui mailing lists.

On April 23rd, 2015 the Commission received Petitioner's Affidavit of Notice of Filing Petition and Exhibits 1 through 3.

On April 27, 2015 the Commission received County of Maui Department of Planning Statement of No Opposition to the Petitioner's Motion. Also on this date the State Office of Planning advised the

Commission that it would provide any comments it had at the meeting today. Also like to make note on April 28 there was a duplicate filing from the County of Maui which was received from the corporation counsel.

2.1

Let me briefly describe our procedures for today on this docket. First, we'll have the parties identify themselves for the record. I will then give opportunity for the Petitioner to comment on the Commission's policy governing reimbursement of hearing expenses. I will then call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn or to be sworn in prior to their testimony.

After completion of the public testimony the proceeding — other proceedings, the Petitioner will make its presentation. After completion of the Petitioner's presentation we will receive any comments from the County of Maui Planning Department as well as the State Office of Planning.

The Commission will then conduct its deliberations. The Chair will also note from time to time we will call for short breaks. Are there any questions for our procedures today?

MR. GEIGER: This is James Geiger on

behalf of the Petitioners. With me is Michael
Atherton who is the representative of the Petitioners.
And we have no comments on your outline.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you.

2.1

MR. HOPPER: Michael Hopper, Deputy
Corporation Counsel with the Maui County Corporation
Counsel's office. With me is Kurt Wallenhoff, staff
planner. We represent the County of Maui Department
of Planning. We have no questions at this time.

MR. YEE: If we're doing introductions,

Deputy Attorney General Bryan Yee on behalf of the

Office of Planning. With me is Rodney Funakoshi from
the Office of Planning. We have no comments.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you. Seeing that we've moved on to introductions, Mr. Geiger, has our staff informed you of the Commission's policy regarding reimbursement of hearing expenses?

MR. GEIGER: It has.

CHAIR McDONALD: Could you please state your client's position with respect to this policy.

MR. GEIGER: We understand that we will have to pay the expenses.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Geiger.

Mr. Orodenker, anybody signed up for public testimony?

MR. ORODENKER: No, Mr. Chair. We have no

one signed up.

12.

2.0

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Is there anybody in the audience wishing to provide public testimony at this time? Please come forward. Before we proceed with public testimony I'd like to ask any of the Commissioners for any disclosure at this time. Commissioner Scheuer?

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, just in the interest of being extremely cautious on disclosures, from 2004 to 2010 I worked for the Office of Hawaiian Affairs as a policy analyst. And I was very involved in water-related issues in this area including matters that the Petition might have.

I have no financial interest or any interest in any those now resolved matters. I do not believe that I will have any problem making a fair and impartial decision on these matters.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer. Petitioner, any objection to Commissioner Scheuer's participation in this hearing?

MR. GEIGER: No objection.

CHAIR McDONALD: County?

MR. HOPPER: No objection.

CHAIR McDONALD: State?

MR. YEE: No objection.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer. Public testimony at this time. Let me quickly swear you in.

## LUCIENNE DENAI

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

My name is Lucienne Denai. I live at 320 Door of Faith Road in Haiku, Maui, Hawai'i.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you. Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: I'm here because, like a number of other folks in the community, the developers have reached out to present their Project. I first heard about this Project when I served on the General Plan Advisory Committee for Maui County update of the General Plan in 2007 to 2008. And I was impressed at that time. This was the only Project where it was commented on at one stage of the general plan review.

And by the time we took our final votes on the final map the Applicant had come back and actually addressed many of the comments that had been made by the G-Pack members and had changed their plan. I think this was about the only plan we saw where that happened. This led me to meet over the subsequent years to hear the progress of the Project. And, of course, it's a formality kind of thing you're doing today, just accepting the Authority to review the EIS.

But I wanted it to go on the record that this is a Project that has tried to reach out to the various parts of the community and hear what they have to say. It does have, you know, impacts. But I think a good faith effort is being made to work with the community members on those impacts. Just wanted to give that for the record. Thank you.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you.

Commissioners, any questions?

2.1

THE WITNESS: I'll sign up over there.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Ma'am. Thank you for your participation. Anybody else in the audience wishing to provide public testimony at this time? Hearing none, Mr. Geiger, would you please start with your presentation.

MR. GEIGER: Certainly. I hope you can hear me. And if you can't I'll just talk louder.

Good morning, Chair, Commissioners. Thank you very much for this opportunity. This will be the first of

several appearances before you on this Project. What we're seeking today is to bypass a step in the process. The Legislature in 2012 recognized that certain projects were going to require an Environmental Impact Statement because of their significance criteria would be arisen as a result of the Project.

2.1

So they said instead of going through the step of having an Environmental Assessment first and then an EIS, we'll just go directly to the EIS process. But to do so the Commission needs to make certain findings. It needs to, based upon their experience, based upon their knowledge of other projects that were before them, say, "Yes, Petitioner, you're correct. This Project is gonna need an EIS so we'll just go to the EIS process. And we will save some time, save some money, especially for the Commission not have to review something twice."

So what do we need? Why are we here?
Well, as you know there are triggering events for
EA's, or Environmental Assessments, EIS's. We have
two triggering events with this Project. One, it will
involve the use of state or county lands. We have a
highway that runs right through the middle of the
project and connects it to the highway. It will

involve the use of state or county lands.

2.1

In addition, the second triggering event is that there will be an amendment of the Community Plan for the particular area. We will need to go in and change a designation from Agriculture to a different land use designation of the Community Plan, change what the Community Plan has in it. So these are the two triggering events that will require an EA/EIS.

Now, the significant criteria that you need to review in determining whether or not this thing should go directly to an EIS is found in HAR 11-200-12. And there are a list of 13 different criteria that show that there's going to be a significant impact. We've highlighted in our motion a number of those that will have a significant impact. And significant criteria are involved.

The first significant criteria is the loss of agricultural land. The Project will move approximately 480+ acres from Agriculture to Urban and Rural. So that is a significant factor that needs to be considered.

The second is economic and social welfare will be impacted. There's going to be over 1400 residential units that will be involved in this

Project. There's going to be roughly 150,000+ commercial square footage added. This will be a significant impact on the rural area.

2.1

Third criteria that we believe has been impacted is the population changes or public facilities will be affected. It is expected that approximately 8,000 people will be moving into the Petition Area because of the residences that will be there. This is a significant impact that needs to be addressed. And everyone sitting around this table should recognize that.

The fourth criteria are scenic vistas and viewplanes that will be impacted. And in this particular case and the Petition Area right now has wonderful views of the West Maui Mountains, wonderful views of Haleakala. Those are going to be impacted by the development. So we need to address that and how we're going to mitigate those impacts.

The fifth criteria is the energy consumption will be increased again because we have an excess of 8,000 residents in a residential area.

There's going to be energy consumption that's gonna

change. So these things need to be addressed.

So we believe that the Commission, based upon all the other matters that come before it, can

recognize that this particular Project, given its size, given the number of acres involved, given the significance criteria that are clearly involved, should go directly to the EIS process.

2.0

2.1

And with those opening comments, if I could I'd like to have Michael Atherton give just a short presentation so the Commission can understand a little bit more about the Project. If that is okay with the Commission.

CHAIR McDONALD: We would appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. GEIGER: While I'm doing that I should have introduced, I apologize at the beginning. The planner on this Project, Mike Summers is present also. I should have done that. I apologize.

CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Atherton, may I swear you in?

## MICHAEL ATHERTON

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIR McDONALD: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Chair and Commissioners, my name is Michael Atherton. I live at 1670

Honoapi'ilani Highway in Waikapu. It's called the Maui Tropical Plantation. I've been the owner there for about 12 years. Back quite a few years ago my good friend Chris Hart said to me that Maui is a island of small country towns. I had an opportunity of a life time to rebuild a small country town which was formerly a sugarcane plantation town.

2.1

We have come up with a Project that's before you today. And we have worked with the Waikapu Community Association for the last 10 years. It has 1433 residential units, 900 plus single family homes and 400 plus multi-family units.

It's about 190,000 square feet of commercial retail place that we will build in the heart of the plantation as the idea to create a new center of Waikapu Town. We have a school. We also have an 800-acre agricultural component as part of the Project. We currently have 2 farmers: Maupaia and Kumu Farms. They're on Molokai, have been farming with me now about 5 years, a very successful farming operation.

We continue to promote agricultural park type of an environment where small farmers to have an opportunity here on Maui to grow and get involved in the agricultural community.

We have 6 miles of bike trials. Bike trails are proven to be very successful in the history of the projects that I've done. I've been in the real estate business for 45 years. I'm primarily a single-family affordable housing contractor. I feel very lucky to have this opportunity to address you today. I humbly ask you allow me to go forward to the EIS. If you have any questions I'll be glad to answer 'em.

CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioners, any questions for the witness at this point in time for Mr. Atherton? Hearing none, thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Here's the map of the Project. Sorry I didn't see it. (laughter) This is the Tropical Plantation. This is the core of the commercial area. We have Sugar Lane that comes down. So we have some live/work/loft types of proposals where we would have commercial on the first floor and condominiums on the second floor.

This is school, 28-acre park. Here's the residential component. This is primarily affordable housing. This is Honoapi'ilani Highway, Tropical Plantations, residential, rural, rural and rural. Here's the agricultural components on both sides of the highway. Currently we have a fruit stand pavilion

on the corner. And we also have one in the Maui Tropical Planation.

2.1

This was the future county regional park which will also have the county baseyard, future police, fire-type of facilities which will create employment for the Waikapu Town residents so there'd be able to walk and hike in the community.

CHAIR McDONALD: Can you roughly identify the Petition Area? Because you had mentioned 800 acres on an agricultural component.

agricultural component here. This is the Project that we're talking about urbanizing. This is the rural component. These lands will be dedicated to agriculture and open space in perpetuity. This is all you'll ever see in this part of Maui. That was an agreement I made with the community and with the county to promote agriculture and to prevent urban sprawl.

MR. GEIGER: Chair, and Commissioners, just for clarification. The EIS will involve both the Petition Area and also the 800 acres that the remainder actually is around a thousand acres of the remainder of the property. But our Petition only involves the 488 acres that were identified for the

1 residential, the Maui Tropical Plantation and then the 2 rural lots which are in purple. 3 CHAIR McDONALD: So that 800 acres is 4 being considered for actual IAL designation? 5 MR. GEIGER: Conservation. It's going to 6 be under a conservation trust. 7 CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you. County, any 8 questions? 9 MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair. 10 CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Yee? 11 MR. YEE: No questions. 12 CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Wong? 13 I just have a COMMISSIONER WONG: 14 question, sir. On the map itself, on the right side 15 what is that? 16 THE WITNESS: This is the current Waikapu 17 community. 18 COMMISSIONER WONG: Okay. And it goes all 19 the way down. 2.0 THE WITNESS: It's here, here and here. This is currently now developed into Waikapu Gardens. 2.1 22 This was under construction. Now it's an affordable 23 housing project that was done about, oh, seven or 24 eight years ago. It is currently Waikapu here and 25 here. So this is the existing neighborhood, about a

1 thousand homes in Waikapu. This is the county. 2 MR. GEIGER: If you could, perhaps tell 3 the Commissioners how does this Project relate in size 4 to other projects in Central Maui? 5 THE WITNESS: It's the second largest 6 project in Central Maui. There's only 3 projects in 7 the Maui Island Plan: Wai'ale, Waikapu and Puamana. 8 MR. GEIGER: Thank you. 9 CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Scheuer. 10 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: What is the blue dot on the lower right hand are of the Petition Area? 11 12 THE WITNESS: This is the 12-acre 13 elementary school site, Department of Education. 14 working with them on putting together possibly a 15 Hawaiian emersion component in the school. This is 16 the park, 28 acres of open space right in the middle 17 of the community. 18 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Thank you. 19 CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Geiger, anything 20 else? 2.1 MR. GEIGER: We don't have anything 22 further. Again, if the Commissioners have any 23 questions or Chair we'd be happy to answer them. 24 think that we've shown that this Project meets the

EIS. It's a large project. There's going to be

25

significant impacts. They need to be assessed.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Mahi.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: Just a clarification on the Ag land area. What kind of agriculture do you have planned for seed?

sugarcane. We have about a hundred acres that the local Hawaiians farm: Taro and bananas and quite a few other diversified crops. Then we have another 100 acres at Kumu Farms I met in Molokai. Those organic just about all those crops. Kumu has a vegetable — we call it pavilion or fruit stand here right in the Maui Tropical Plantation. It's become quite popular in the community because it's all 100 percent organic. Also we have another one down on the corner that has coconuts and crops that we put over the Project.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: 800 acres. Olive green.

THE WITNESS: We propose to dedicate through conservation easement open space and for agricultural promotion. We were able to purchase groundwater rights from the seller 12 years ago. I drilled 5 wells and 2 large agricultural wells that will help supplement the agricultural requirement in the Project. That way we can provide inexpensive

land, inexpensive water to help promote agriculture. In the future I see more farmers like Kumu and Bobby. When the county builds their park that will cut the sugarcane fields off from the mill. Eventually this will become all different types of diversified agriculture. That's our goal.

2.1

COMMISSIONER MAHI: So farmers, what do they do? Do they plan for leases?

apply to us for a lease. We will have a park. And it would be, it would have rules and regulations. We would manage it. We either do it in conjunction with the county or do it privately. There's language in our Maui Island Plan that promotes that.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: Mahalo. The area above that, that's outside?

THE WITNESS: Here?

COMMISSIONER MAHI: Yes.

THE WITNESS: This is the old Waikapu
Country Club, the Kamehameha Clubhouse. They call it
the Marilyn Monroe house, right here. Currently it's
in great shape. About 12 years ago brought it back.
They're nice neighbors.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: The area was a club house, whatever.

THE WITNESS: Here's the club house. This was several years old. This was when the golf course was under construction. It was built. Then they lost it, then they brought it back. It currently now is all under green. This is the watershed. We have 2 zip lines on the Project. We have a small one --

12.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Atherton, can you speak into the microphone, please.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. We currently do a lot of agritourism on the Tropical Plantation. Over the last 10 years we kinda brought it back. Do a lot of fund raisers.

CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Scheuer?

Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Mahi, do you have any more questions?

COMMISSIONER MAHI: No. Thank you. Mahalo.

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Could you briefly describe how you're going to approach some of that cultural impact and archaeological assessment work in the course of this EIS if you proceed? Given what I'm interested in, just to say a little more, this having been historically a very heavily settled area the potential for burials is high. And the layout is heavily close to Waikapu Stream where it would be the

heaviest. I'm just curious how you're approaching that in this process, the EIS process.

2.1

you.

MR. GEIGER: Perhaps, if I may. That, again, will be done through the EIS process. There will be a cultural study. There will be archaeological studies. And it's a little early to tell exactly what we're going to find out there. We expect there will be something out there. We also will be providing a history of Waikapu to provide some information there. So we will be addressing all those things, and whatever impacts we will meet.

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Just a comment. Is there a conceptual flexibility at this point to say if you discover a heavy concentration of burials or other significant cultural resources belonging to the north side of the property, the right side of the property on this map that you'd be able shift things around if necessary?

MR. GEIGER: I don't think we've made any determination one way or another because we just don't have the information yet. So that will be something that will be driven by the reports, consultants' reports.

COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Excellent. Thank

THE WITNESS: To add to that, we're very conscious about the history of the area. And we kind of resurrected the Wailuku Sugar Mill on the plantation site there. If you've been out there in the last couple years you'll see it. We display the old first railroad steam engine that came to Maui and the old Clause Spreckels. We've been pretty history conscious recreating the beginning of downtown Waikapu.

I'm in the coffee business. I have
Coffees of Hawai'i on Molokai. So we have coffee
shops and a coffee roastery. We do our very best to
carry on the history and tell the story.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Atherton.

I'm sure if this Project does moves forward we'll

definitely get into the details. Thank you for your
testimony.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. GEIGER: We have nothing further unless the Commissioners or the Chair has a question.

CHAIR McDONALD: Seeing none, thank you,

22 Mr. Geiger.

MR. GEIGER: Thank you.

CHAIR McDONALD: Mr. Hopper.

MR. HOPPER: Thank you, Mr. Chair and

Members of the Commission. Seeing as the request at this point in the motion is to have the Land Use Commission be the accepting agency for the environmental document and to proceed directly to the EIS, the County has no objections to that motion.

The County's filed a statement of No Opposition yesterday. And I don't believe the Commissioners have this. But the Department of Planning also filed some supplementary comments to also clarify its reasons for no opposition. The Department's available for questioning.

Kurt Wallenhoff is here, staff planner, if you have any questions on the county's positions. Basically we are in agreement that there may be environmental impacts such that preparation of an EIS is warranted at this time. Thank you.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Hopper.

Commissioners, any questions for the County of Maui?

Seeing none, Mr. Yee, good morning. Any comments?

MR. YEE: Good morning. First of all, thank you for moving this to the end of the agenda. I apologize for being late. I think Mr. Geiger gave a very good explanation of all of the facts in this case and why the motion should be granted. I just wanted to comment just briefly. This is typically — these

motions are typically the first time a landowner will appear in front of you. It's the beginning of the process from your perspective and, frankly, beginning of a relatively long — of a relatively long process.

2.1

In almost all cases some environmental report is going to be required because in almost all cases it will trigger the requirements under Chapter 343. So you'll typically find developers coming to you with these kinds of requests.

And the Land Use Commission is often the first approving agency. So you're often the one who's tasked with reviewing and approving or denying the Environmental Report. The one notable exception might be in the state or county projects where the state or county agency might have to get approval from the Mayor or Governor of their EIS before they get to you.

But in almost all cases you will see landowners come to you with this request to be the approving agency 'cause you'll be the first discretionary approval. It'll occur before zoning, occur before subdivision.

So you are typically the first one that gives its discretionary approval and the ones that it falls to review the Environmental Report.

In also a clear majority of cases

landowners will realize that there's a significant impact to their project. It's just in the nature of District Boundary Amendment cases. They're relatively large. They almost all have significant impacts. So realizing that most landowners will understand. It makes no sense to prepare an assessment to determine if there's an impact.

2.1

It may as well go straight to the Environmental Impact Statement and look and assess, evaluate those impacts and determine what the mitigations are.

So you will often find these motions come before you as the beginning of the process, and are typically approved at least historically. I will make one other comment. That is that although a Petition has been filed in this case, it is also possible and not uncommon for Petitioners to amend their Petition. So although I can't comment in any particular case as to whether flexibility exists, the process allows for a landowner to have a Petition before you, submit a prepared Environmental Impact Statement.

They might discover something in that Environmental Impact Statement which causes them to amend or change their plans. And they can do that before they get to you on the substance of the case.

So while certainly it's very interesting to hear what their plans are, they do have the possibility of amending those plans. Whether that means they will use part of the Ag and put — for residential — and they put some of the existing residential as part of an archaeological historical complex. I mean these are the things that will be decided as part of this Environmental Impact Statement, analysis at the developer's discretion, obviously.

So the plans that they have today are certainly very informative. They're indicative of what's going to happen before you, but it's not set in stone. The process allows the developer to change their minds about what they want to present in its final form.

So I just wanted to note that for your information. With that the Office of Planning supports the granting of these motions. That's it. Thank you.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Yee.

Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Yee? Seeing none, Commissioners what's your pleasure on this matter?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, first want to see as the Petitioner stated there are triggers. So I

figure because there are triggers in both County and OP and Petitioner stated that there are triggers involved that they should go to it, straight into the EIS instead of going to an EA process.

2.1

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner Wong. Commissioner Hiranaga.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Sorry,

Mr. Chair. Are you — is the floor open for a motion?

CHAIR McDONALD: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So I'll make a motion. You can correct me. I believe there's two proposed actions. One is to identify the Land Use Commission as the accepting Authority for the proposed actions by the Applicant. So make a motion to that effect.

Also the motion to waive the -- or to grant the Applicant the -- is it called the right to proceed directly to an Environmental Impact Statement? And I guess if the Attorney General's office wishes to correct my language they're welcome to do so.

CHAIR McDONALD: I guess for clarification, Commissioner Hiranaga, it's basically your motion, you have 2 motions on the table.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Yes.

CHAIR McDONALD: First is to approve the

1 motion for the Land Use Commission as approving agency 2 for the Environmental Statement under Chapter 343 HRS. 3 First motion. 4 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Is it approving 5 agency or accepting Authority? 6 CHAIR McDONALD: Excuse me. It's 7 accepting Authority. 8 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Yes, that's 9 correct. 10 CHAIR McDONALD: And the second motion on 11 the table is the Motion to Approve Petitioner to 12 Authorize and Prepare an Environmental Impact 13 Statement and do an Environmental Assessment. 14 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Correct. 15 CHAIR McDONALD: Does that sound about 16 right? 17 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I second the motion. 18 CHAIR McDONALD: Okay. We have a motion 19 by Commissioner Hiranaga, second by Commissioner 2.0 Aczon. Any discussion? 2.1 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Chair? 22 CHAIR McDONALD: Commissioner Scheuer. 23 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: I just want to make 24 sure, at least I go on the record, the Commission in 25 the context of voting for this. Then we're very

specific about the findings that we're making that we believe under what's been presented on the Project and our understanding of the Administrative Rules, that there's going to be a significant impact on the environment involving irrevocable commitment of loss of destruction of natural resources. Substantially it's going to substantially impact the economic or social welfare in the community or the state.

2.1

It involves substantial secondary impacts. It will substantially effect scenic vistas and viewplanes and will require substantial energy consumption. For those reasons I'm going to vote in favor of the motions.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Commissioner
Scheuer. I'd also like to recognize the fact that the
Petitioner has recognized the fact that there is
potential for environmental impacts for this Project.
And you folks are also acknowledging the fact that you
guys are entering into the preparation of an
Environmental Assessment based on the potential
identifying those impacts and forms of mitigation.

MR. GEIGER: Correct. We are seeking an Environmental Impact Statement because of potential impacts.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you. Any further

1 discussion, Commissioners? Hearing none, Commissioner 2 Orodenker (sic) please poll the Commission. 3 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: (Laughter) 4 CHAIR McDONALD: Excuse me. "Executive 5 Officer Orodenker". 6 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Actually a 7 demotion. (General laughter) 8 Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. ORODENKER: The 9 motion is to approve the request to, under Chapter 343 that the LUC be the accepting Authority of the 10 11 Environmental Impact Statement and a request to go 12. directly to an Environmental Impact Statement in lieu 13 of an EA. Commissioner Hiranaga? 14 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Aye. 15 MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Aczon? 16 COMMISSIONER ACZON: Aye. MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Scheuer? 17 18 COMMISSIONER SCHEUER: Aye. 19 MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Wong? 2.0 COMMISSIONER WONG: Aye. 2.1 MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Mahi? 22 COMMISSIONER MAHI: Aye. 23 MR. ORODENKER: Commissioners Song, Estes 2.4 and Ahakuelo are absent. Chair McDonald? 25 CHAIR McDONALD: Aye.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The motion passes with 6 aye votes.

MR. GEIGER: Okay. We want to thank you very much. I'm sure we'll see you again on the process. Thank you.

CHAIR McDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Geiger.

Thank you, County as well as State Office of Planning.

\_\_\_

Next item on the agenda is any discussion regarding the Legislative Action Reports at this time.

Mr. Orodenker.

2.1

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There has been no action with regard to any of the legislative ventures that we have been tracking. There was a legislative matter that did come into play where we joined with the other boards and commissions testifying on.

There was a last minute amendment to SP-475 which concerned public meetings which would have required that a board packet be mailed out to everybody on the Commission's mailing list if one was provided to the Commission's Board Hearings. That is in conference committee. We have communicated with the senate conference Chairs and the House conference Chairs that that would be us mailing out 300 packets

before every meeting.

2.0

2.1

Other boards and commissions have the same concern. I believe that the conference committees are aware of that and will make appropriate amendments.

CHAIR McDONALD: Any questions from the Commissioners? Thank you, Mr. Orodenker. Okay. The final item on the agenda is actually Election of Officers. I'm gonna defer this matter. I think it's a little premature. We have a couple months left, but just to give some insight from my perspective on the election of officers.

We do recognize that essentially we have a relatively new Commission, new Commissioners. Some of you have been with us, with the body for maybe a year or so, some of the others less. Also want to congratulate Commissioner Scheuer, Commissioner Wong and Commissioner Hiranaga for getting through the confirmation process which I actually sat through and sat in and witnessed. But congratulations to you folks.

But as far as election of officers I agree with the Commission. This is my fourth year. How I see things with regards to election of officers, it's essentially based on seniority as well as those Commissioners who are willing to take on the

responsibility. So there's no action at this time but I just wanted to give you my perspective on the officers that we are soon to select in the next couple of months. Give it some thought. If you folks have any questions or concerns regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me any time.

MR. ORODENKER: Diane, could you just repeat to the Commissioners what the Sunshine Law says with regard to communications on this.

MS. ERICKSON: Give me two seconds. I should be able to find it. The Sunshine Law does allow if you're less informed to discuss matters relating to election of officers. I think that's it in a nutshell.

CHAIR McDONALD: So I guess I'm going to defer any acton of election of officers 'til another date. I'll coordinate this with Mr. Orodenker.

MS. ERICKSON: I should correct my statement. You probably shouldn't make commitments as to who you're voting for or anything like that but can discuss. Sorry.

CHAIR McDONALD: With that, any questions Commissioners? Thank you. We're adjourned.

(The proceedings were adjourned at 10:45 a.m.)

2.1

## CERTIFICATE

I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;

That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matter on the 29th day of April 2015;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me;

That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matters.

DATED: This 4th day of May 2015

20 HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR #5910 21 Certified Shorthand Reporter

