24

1 BEFORE THE STATE LAND USE COMMISSION 2 STATE OF HAWAII 3 In the Matter of the) Docket No. A10-786 Petition of 4 OLOWALU TOWN LLC and 5 OLOWALU EKOLU LLC 6 To Amend the Land Use District Boundary of 7 Certain Lands Situated at) Olowalu, Island of Maui, State of Hawaii, 8 Consisting of 9 Approximately 320 Acres From the Agricultural 10 District to the Rural and) Urban Districts, Portions) 11 Of Tax Map Key Nos. (2) 4-8-003:084, 098, 099, 100) 12 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 13 116, 117, 118 and 124. 14 15 ACTION HEARING 16 Was held on December 7, 2015, commencing at 9:30 a.m. 17 at the Maui Arts & Cultural Center, McCoy Studio 18 Theater, One Cameron Way, Kahului, Maui, Hawaii 19 96732. 20 21 22 23 24 25 Before: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

			4
1	INDEX		
2	PUBLIC WITNESSES:	PAGE	
3	Bob Hansen	15	
	Clint Hansen	17	
4	Hinano Kaleleiki	23	
	Katherine Kama'emae Smith	24	
5	Kaneloa Kamanu	27	
	Russel Kahookele	30	
6	Dick Mayer	33	
	Hans Michel	39	
7	Donna Sterling	42	
	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	44	
8	Patricia Hoskin	47	
_	Ananda Stone	51	
9	Laura Von Wagner	55	
4.0	Ray Von Wagner	57	
10	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	59	
1 1	Sarah McLane Bryan	60	
11	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	62	
1.0	Christine Lamb	64	
12	Hannah Bernard	67 71	
1 2	Richard Nelson	71 73	
13	Alana Kay	7	
14	Adeline Rodrigues	7 6 7 9	
14	Kawai Rodrigues Tiare Lawrence	83	
15	Alicia Kalepa	89	
13	Jay Kalepa	91	
16	Michaellyn Blando	94	
10	Glenn Kamata	97	
17	Brent Schlea	99	
1 /	Donna Reed	102	
18	Heather Riverstone	108	
	Mike Moran	111	
19	Gary Savage	113	
	Maripuananio Tahiti	115	
20	David Jenkins	118	
	Jennifer Karaca	121	
21	Peter deAquino	125	
	Mark Hyde	131	
22	Thomas Cook	134	
	Alex Dreher	137	
23	Kelle Pali-Crew	139	
	Amy Fonarow	143	
24	Cheryl King	146	
	Leonard Nakoa	149	
25	Jaclyn Mae Santos	152	
	I Tarranga Campidalli	1 5 5	

155

Lawrence Carnicelli

INDEX CONTINUED

2	PUBLIC WITNESSES:	PAGE
3	Summer Kupau-Odo Cross-Examination/Petitioner	159 163
4	Cross-Examination/OP	177
5	Austin Kalama Mike Foley	193 194
6	Joe Kent Frank Caprioni	198 201
	Oriana Kalama	208
7	John Fitzpatrick Keli'i Akina	211 214
8	Doris Lang	218
9	Gordon Firestein Peter Fallon	220 223
10	Gerald Durkan Kalei Kauhane	223 225
	Mahie Atay	227
11	Albert Perez Iris Riverstone	229 233
12	Jerry Riverstone Keamalu Akini	234 238
13	Lucienne de Naie	240
14	Robert Winter Zane Kekoa Schweitzer	2 4 4 2 4 7
1 -	Snake Ahitee	251
15	Joseph Borone Lauren Blickley	255 259
16	Ryan Aspili Linda Nahina Magalianes	262 266
17	Carol Lee Kamekona	269
18	Anu Yagi Jan Ferguson	272 274
1.0	Sara Tekula	276
19	Rikki Torres-Pestina Ed Kaahui	279 282
20	Myrna Ahitee Robin Neubold	284 287
21	Tegan Hammond	290
22	Connie Applegate Mark Deakos	292 293
23	Thelma Pooleka-Kuapuhi	297
24		

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The first order of
2	business is adoption of the November 4th, 2015
3	minutes. Any corrections or comments on them? If
4	not, is there a motion to adopt the minutes?
5	COMMISSIONER ESTES: So moved.
6	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Seconded.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The motion has been
8	made by Commissioner Estes and seconded by
9	Commissioner Mahi to adopt the minutes.
10	All if favor say "aye". Any opposed?
11	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Abstain.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The minutes are adopted
13	with one abstention.
14	The next agenda item is the tentative
15	meeting schedule. Mr. Orodenker.
16	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you.
17	Tomorrow we will be again here to take up
18	the Special Permit extension for the Lanai Sanitary
19	Landfill.
20	December 9 and 10, again on Maui DOT room
21	to discuss A94-706 Ka'onoulu Ranch and also to go
22	into Executive Session on status Report.
23	On December 10th site visit on Ma'alaea
24	application, departing the courthouse area in
25	Kahului.

On January 13th and 14 the time is reserved 1 2 for Kauai Solar Project Special Permit Application. 3 January 27th and 28 also reserved for Kauai Special Permit Application in that order. 4 February 12th and 13th is reserved for 5 6 Ma'alaea Plantation. February 24th and 25th, once 7 again, Ko Olina Motion for Reconsideration and update on Waimanalo Gulch. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. 10 Orodenker. 11 Commissioners, any questions about the 12 schedule? 13 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, Chair. 14 Is there any possibility regarding the site 15 visit Ma'alaea, I just note that we're going to be 16 going there during the morning when it's a very calm 17 area, but most people who know Maui know that that 18 becomes very windy in the evening and late afternoon, 19 to give the Commissioners a sort of fuller sense of 20 the site. 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We can talk about that. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner 23 Scheuer. 24 Action meeting on number A10-786 Olowalu

Town LLC, Olowalu Ekolu LLC to consider the

1	acceptance of the Final Environmental Impact
2	Statement.
3	Will the parties please identify themselves
4	for the record.
5	MS. LIM: Good morning, Chair and
6	Commissioners. This is Jennifer Lim representing the
7	Petitioner Olowalu Town LLC and Olowalu Ekolu LLC.
8	With me is my associate Onaona Thoene. And
9	also seated directly to my right is a representative
10	from the Petitioners, Bill Frampton, and directly to
11	his right is Dave Ward. He's also a representative
12	for the Petitioner.
13	And I'll just mention briefly in the
14	audience we have several of the experts who prepared
15	studies in the EIS and I'll introduce them later on.
16	MR. HOPPER: Michael Hopper, Deputy
17	Corporation Counsel, and County of Maui Planning
18	Director Wil Spence.
19	MR. YEE: Bryan Yee on behalf of Office of
20	Planning. With me Rodney Funakoshi, Office of
21	Planning.
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.
23	Let me update the written record on this
24	docket.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148———

On June 4, 2010, the Commission met and

determined the Land Use Commission should be the accepting authority pursuant to Chapter 343, HRS; for Petitioner's Environmental Impact Statement relating to the development and reclassification of approximately 320 acres from the Agricultural District to the Rural and Urban Districts, portions of Tax Map Key Nos. (2) 4-8-003:084, 089 through 118 and 124 and that the project may have a significant effect upon the environment to warrant the preparation of an EIS.

On that same day, the Commission received correspondence from County of Maui-Planning Department.

On June 21, 2010, the Commission received a supplemental affidavit of Service of Petition for District Boundary Amendment from Petitioner.

On June 22, 2010, the Commission mailed the order that the LUC would be the accepting authority and that the Project warranted an EIS to the Parties.

On June 23rd, 2010, the Commission mailed its determination letter to OEQC.

From July 2, 2010 to November 18, 2015, the Commission received various comment letters and correspondence on the draft EIS and the Petition.

The comment letters and correspondence are on file

1 | with the Commission.

On February 23, 2010, the LUC mailed a request for publication in the Environmental Notice to OEQC.

On February 28th, 2012, the LUC received a response letter from OEQC.

On April 12, 2012, the LUC mailed a comment letter regarding the DEIS to Petitioner and Petitioner's planner.

On October 26, 2015, the LUC received two copies of the Final EIS and an electronic file on CD from Petitioner.

On October 29, 2015, the LUC received a copy of correspondence to Petitioner from OEQC acknowledging receipt of the revised Distribution List for the Final EIS on October 28, 2015.

On November 5, 2015, the Commission received copies of Petitioner's response letters to commenters on the EIS.

On November 9, 2015, the Commission received Petitioner's Analysis of Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Olowalu Town Master Plan.

On November 10, 2105, the LUC mailed the November 18-19, 2015 agenda notice to the Parties,

Statewide and Maui County mailing lists. On the same day, the Commission received copies of letters transmitting the FEIS for Olowalu Town Master Plan to all Parties on the OEQC Approved Distribution list from Petitioner.

On November 17, 2015, the LUC received a letter from Petitioner regarding omissions in the filing of the FEIS dated October 26, 2015. On the same day, the Commission received OP's comment letter on the Draft FEIS.

Let me briefly describe our procedure for today on this docket.

- 1. First, I will give opportunity for the Petitioner to comment on the Commission's Policy governing reimbursement of hearing expenses.
- 2. I will ten call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony. The public testimony today should be limited to the Petitioner's Final EIS and should not go into the merits of the petition for district boundary amendment.

After completion of the public testimony portion of the proceedings, the Petitioner will make

1 its presentation.

- 4. After the completion of the Petitioner's presentation, we will receive any comments from the County of Maui Planning Department and the State Office of Planning on Petitioner's Final EIS.
- 5. The Commission will then conduct its deliberations.

Due to high numbers of testifiers, we are placing a three-minute limit to all testimony. At the completion of the public testimony of the proceeding, the Petitioner will make its presentation.

After the completion of the Petitioner's presentation, we will receive any comments from the County of Maui Planning Department and the State Office of Planning on Petitioner's Final EIS.

The Commission will then conduct its deliberations. The Chair would also note that the parties and public, from time to time, I will be calling for short breaks.

Are there any questions on our procedure for today?

MS. LIM: No questions from Petitioner, but Chair, if I may, I apologize, but Petitioner did file

something just very last minute this morning. 1 wanted to make a note of that for the record. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Noted. MS. LIM: Thank you, sir. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Hearing no questions, 6 the parties please identified themselves for the 7 record. Sorry. Ms. Lim, has our staff informed you 8 9 of the Commission's policy regarding the 10 reimbursement of hearing expenses? 11 MS. LIM: They have, Mr. Chair, and the Petitioner is aware and will abide with the 12 13 requirement. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Orodenker, did anyone sign up to testify? 15 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mr. Chair, we have a 17 large number of testifiers. I will be calling them

in order as they have signed up.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please excuse me if I pronounce your name incorrectly, the handwriting is hard to read. I will be calling the first testifier, and then the one who will be testifying, I ask the subsequent testifier to please come down and wait on this side at the Chair for their turn to have a rapid turn over. There's a lot of testifiers.

1	That being said first testifier signed up
2	today is Bob Hansen followed by Clint Hansen.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
4	Do you swear or affirm that your testimony
5	that you are about to give is truthful?
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you I'm Bob Hansen a
7	realtor here on Maui, been here 27 years.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: You need to respond to
9	my question.
10	Do you affirm that your testimony today
11	will be truthful?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	BOB HANSEN
14	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
15	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
18	state your name and address.
19	THE WITNESS: Bob Hansen, live at 90
20	Ho'okipa in Kihei.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
22	THE WITNESS: I'm a realtor here. Been
23	here 27 years. Very interested in providing housing
24	for people.
25	I would like the Commission to accept the

Environmental Impact Study. I've been a little
bit -- I haven't read the whole thing. It's quite
lengthy. But I know certain things about it.

I think it's important that, as they do, if this project is approved, it goes forward, that it's important that somebody observe so that there's sensitive Hawaiian sites in there, that that be taken care of. I know that will be done.

I think that this should also be approved because we are in dire need, as a lot of people know, of housing here on Maui. Its estimates are about 1000 new housing units a year are needed. I think we're a huge number behind. I believe the number I heard was 14,000, and we're really only approving about 300 a year. So something needs to be done.

I am absolutely for small town Olowalu. I like the concept of it. People don't have to drive too far.

They will be putting in retention basins, taking care of the sewage properly, so that it doesn't flow into the sea like it does now.

I think that our reefs and our environment will be protected more, and I think the developer is going to do a good job. In fact, Dave Ward and myself and some others started in Na Hale on Maui to

1	provide affordable housing in perpetuity, and I
2	appreciate them continuing to provide housing.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Before we go on, may I
5	ask you to turn off your phone or silence your phone.
6	Any questions for Mr. Hansen?
7	MS. LIM: No questions.
8	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
9	MR. YEE: No questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next
11	testifier.
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Clint Hansen followed
13	by Hinano Kaleleiki.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
15	(Noise from the audience.)
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please stop doing that.
17	You're interrupting the hearing. Thank you.
18	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
19	that you are about to give is the truth?
20	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
21	CLINT HANSEN
22	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
23	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please

state your name and address and proceed with your testimony.

THE WITNESS: My name is Clint Hansen. My address is 65 Hale Ali'i in Kihei.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to share my opinion today.

I am the owner of Maui Paintball which is located in Olowalu. I am also the broker in charge of the Hansen Ohana website is --

THE REPORTER: Slow down.

THE WITNESS: I don't have enough time.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: It has to be record,

13 sir.

THE WITNESS: Oh, because she's -- I got'cha.

I'm also the broker in charge of the Hansen
Ohana whose website Maui Real Estate dot net is now
the most popular real estate website.

While it is in my financial and personal interest to not have small town Olowalu approved, as I will likely mean Maui Paintball would be shutdown, I am of the firm opinion that it is the island's best interest to have the project approved.

As a realtor I deal with the desperate need for housing on island, especially on the west side.

Without additional projects, it's impossible to meet this demand. I like small town Olowalu because it prevents urban sprawl, and creates a concentric town with its own identity similar to Paia, Makawao and Pukalani.

I also like that it gives the workforce on the west side the ability to live closer to their work as opposed to having to drive from the west where housing is more readily available.

This will alleviate traffic congestion twofold: It will allow people to live and work in the same place, reducing commute times as well as significantly improving infrastructure.

The greatest concern for the area is the massive coral reef just off the proposed site. Co2 levels in our oceans have steadily been rising over the last several decades increasing the acidity of the water. This has contributed to large portions of the reef dying off.

The biggest contributing factor has been a result of global warming, causing temperatures to increase dramatically and thereby creating an environment that is inhospitable to the coral gardens.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

One of the final major contributing factors

to the coral die off in this area occurs when heavy rains cause large amounts of sediment to blanket and choke out the reef. The fallow lands surrounding Olowalu lack the necessary ground cover to secure the soil and prevent it from running into the ocean during these heavy storms.

I commend the developers' efforts to deal with this pervasive problem in the area. Their intricate drainage plan in addition to the use of strategically placed porous asphalt and lush greenways will dramatically reduce the amount of sediment that regularly smothers the local reef system.

We have to start thinking of Maui's future as doing the same old business as usual, urban sprawl will lead to the ruination of our communities unique identities.

We have to start building towns where we live and work in the same place so that we may stop relying on our carbon expensive commutes.]

While I will make my best efforts to relocate the paintball field in one of the many expansive open areas in small town Olowalu, I share my opinion to my own detriment. The paintball field is my baby and the people who frequent it are like my

family, but even if I knew an approval would close the field --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

Any questions for Mr. Hansen?

MS. LIM: No questions.

2.1

MR. HOPPER: No questions.

MR. YEE: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I just want to echo the Chair's introductory comments and this is for your benefit but also for everybody's.

We're not here today to discuss the merits of the redistricting. In other words, do we think this is a good project that should go forward or do we think that it's a bad project that should be stopped.

We have a very specific issue in front of us which is: Does the Final EIS, as submitted, meet the legal qualifications of that. We're the accepting authority. We have to make that determination.

Did you have any specific comments about that? I hope most of the testifiers can focus their testimony on not whether they're for or against the project, but on the specific issue that we have

before us.

THE WITNESS: For creating the paintball field out in Olowalu I had to do EIS for my Special Use Permit for the field, and there was an archaeological study that detailed and outlined the individual spots. And there's great care and diligence to create these studies.

And in reviewing the FEIS statement that they produced, which is quite lengthy, as far as I can tell every study was done appropriately from their flora and fauna counts to the impact statements.

But more importantly to me, what I focused on was the drainage portion of it. And I was very, very satisfied to the improvements to the area, as I mentioned, the current runoff is destroying the reef in the area. And I do like the plans that they have set forth.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, very much, Mr. Hansen.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next.

22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Hinano Kaleleiki

23 followed by Katherine Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony

that you're about to give is truthful?

THE WITNESS: It's truthful, yes.

HINANO KALELEIKI

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. State your name and address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Hinano Kaleleiki. Ukumehame, Apana 4.

I'm against the project. It doesn't meet the standards. They didn't check the ownership of the land. The land is not theirs. There is royal patents that stand right now. There's land issues, kuleana landowners that own those lands. It inures to the person it originated from. That is the law. It has not been taken out yet. It's not in the EIS. Nobody brought it to the front table.

So, no, their planning hasn't been done correctly. It wasn't right in the beginning, it ain't right in the middle and it ain't right in the end.

The constitution says if it's not constitutionally correct, it's not part of the whole, it's null and void. Thank you.

1 I'm sorry, go ahead. You had -- somebody 2 had a question. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Try wait. Any 4 questions? 5 MS. LIM: No questions. 6 MR. HOPPER: No questions. 7 MR. YEE: No questions. 8 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 9 you, very much. 10 THE WITNESS: Mahalo. 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Katherine K. Smith, 12 followed by Kaneloa Kamanu. 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 14 that the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 KATHERINE KAMA'EMA'E SMITH 17 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and 2.1 address. 22 THE WITNESS: Katherine Kama'ema'e Smith, 23 500 Kapalua Drive in Lahaina, West Maui. I am a 24 historian. I am a resident of West Maui for 25 26 years, and a volunteer for Olowalu Cultural

Reserve.

2.1

I understand that the Land Use Committee will determine if the EIS for Olowalu Town Plan meets the requirements of Hawaii statutes. Does it include all the things an EIS is required by law to address?

I volunteered a lot of my time to read all the Mahele 'Aina palapala of Olowalu ahupua'a and to tend the native plants in Olowalu Cultural Reserve.

I believe the CIA component not only meets the requirements to research and preserve but also the option to promote the Hawaiian cultural aspects of this land.

There is a lot of talk about the reef.

This EIS addresses that too. The latest study of the reef carried out by Steve Dollar, Ph.D., a marine biologist who has studied hundreds of reefs throughout the Pacific, uses state of the art methods. His study shows that this reef will be continued to be challenged by silt load is the land is left as is. Therefore, the EIS addresses runoff.

Dr. Dollar says the uniqueness of Olowalu reef is a shallow, hard bottom that's good for coral growth and that it is protected partially from both north and south swells. He finds no scientific data to support a so-called "kupuna" theory of reef

1 seeding. That's okay, because Hawai'i Statutes allow 2 for experts to disagree-even in an EIS. The point is 3 not to resolve all the science, but rather to ensure 4 that every environmental aspect has been researched 5 and that appropriate mitigation steps are addressed 6 by the plan and they are. 7 I have been testifying with the kupuna of Olowalu for six years. In support of their ideas to 8 9 create a sustainable small town with shops, 10 businesses, parks, open view lines and affordable 11 housing all within walking distance. I stand with 12 them today. Thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 14 Any questions for the witness? 15 MS. LIM: No questions. 16 MR. HOPPER: No questions. 17 MR. YEE: No questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 19 Next testifier. 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Kaneloa Kamanu followed 2.1 by Russell Kahookela. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in? 23 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony

THE WITNESS: Yes.

you are about to give is truthful?

24

1 KANELOA KAMANA

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record and proceed with your testimony.

THE WITNESS: My name is Kaneloa Kamanu. I come from the moku of Wailuku. My address is 222
Waihe'e Valley Road. And I come here as representative of Hui Aina and also as Kalamaku on Maui.

My testimony basically states about the rights of the natives. We know that the action, the Department of Interior is trying to implement an entity, government to government entity. And if we look at that, why is that?

Because the native people have rights.

Rights that are invested. United States is trying to figure out how they can capture those rights. What that means to me is they know we have rights. And this Commission continually dismisses those rights, continuously every time we come forward.

Every time we claim this. And this stuff is legislative. But yet our views are pushed to the

side. These meetings, these hearings only to satisfy what needs to be done in the context of your proceedings, which I think is wrong.

Because for one thing, we come here and we show you all this evidence. You guys have evidence from years already of my own testimony. Testimonies of my native rights as kuleana alone, are legislature, you guys recognize our law, 7-1, which is our 1859 law. HRS, 60 percent of the HRS that are instituted in the State of Hawaii are derived from kingdom laws. Look at the parenthesis. It tells you directly. But yet we are dismissed.

And we, according to -- you read our state laws, and how you deal with things, that's what you guys look at. But the thing is we legislated as our ahu moku, again, prove to fact, the legislature, 202, why? Customary and traditional practices to be protected by the people of that moku of the ahupua'a, to relearn the traditions that were given to us.

Why? Because in 1976 state constitution,
Article 12, Section 7 depicts what? That the state
will protect the customary traditional rights.

With the developments that come in, they take away those rights. But even I read the state laws that you have, do not define the kanaka of

1 passage. But you guys don't support that. You guys 2 lock us out with gates. You guys interfere with our customary practices when you know -- and if you don't 3 4 know, then your incompetent. Read the state laws. 5 They tell you we --6 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize your 7 testimony. THE WITNESS: My thing is, the rights of 8 9 the people, the people that live there are kuleana. 10 They have the paperwork. We get the documentation, 11 but yet this committee continues to adversely push us to the side. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 14 THE WITNESS: So the thing is --15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 16 Any questions? 17 MS. LIM: No questions. 18 MR. HOPPER: No. 19 MR. YEE: No. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 2.1 THE WITNESS: You guys know its true. 22 goes around comes around, brah. 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 24 that the testimony that you're about a give is the 25 truth?

1 THE WITNESS: I do.

RUSSELL KAHOOKELE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Representative
Russell Kahookele. I'm the District Representative
of Hana, House 1.

Mr. Chair, Commissioners, thank you. What we witnessing here today is government in action.

Unfortunately, it's de facto government, not the lawful government of Hawaii.

You guys are tasked with making sure whatever developments comes through makes everything pono. The EIS that we talking about here, if you just look at the conditions of our oceans, it's clear to anybody that it's grossly impacted.

We going to talk about the runoffs that comes down, but we ignore the chemicals on the golf courses; we ignore all the sewage that's being bumped out into the ocean; we ignore all of the stuff that is going on.

We want to protect Hawaiian things, but the

Hawaiians we don't care about. They're a trouble. They're a nuisance. Put them on the side. Even though the State of Hawaii apologized to us in 1993 for their illegal act. You guys going to come here and talk about law, legal legalities? You guys are committing fraud. But what choices do you have?

What choice do you have?

This is what happened. This is the 58 state but yet nobody can produce the treaty. My tutu said no. I didn't now -- I grew up pledging allegiance to the flag during the military to defend the constitution and bill of rights. And bill of rights recognizes everybody's rights and their nationality. Yet when we, the kanaka maoli people, seek to become Hawaii nationals, we get locked up.

When the first marines came on shore was to protect American interest and American lives, and none of them was in danger then, and none of them are in danger today. But since then until today, our way of life has been grossly impacted.

If we are fishermen, like my uncle Keahi family in Lahaina where they fish, and all those boats out there on the ocean anchors off, just dropping their waste right there, they cannot survive. No more fish. If we get the fish, the fish

is contaminated and we get sick.

2.1

We used to catch the turtle, one of our favorite fish. Somewhere along the line, somebody say you cannot eat, got to protect them.

Now, I don't want to eat it, but guess what? There is lots of food for the tiger sharks, and they coming to eat the food and it's mistaking the surfers for turtles.

so you guys want to make sure that the environment is protected. You guys talk about the runoffs going to come down and contaminate. It's already contaminated. There should be a halt on any further development unless it's going to be for the people who live here in Hawaii, the kanaka maoli people, not these ones. (Unintelligible) not the ones who want to go buy new house.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome. This is my last notice to you guys. Every action you take, you will be held accountable for by the lawful government of Hawaii.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

Any questions?

THE WITNESS: I would also like to state that I'm here on behalf of konohiki, who is the

1	lawful owner of this land.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Ms. Lim?
3	MS. LIM: No questions.
4	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
5	MR. YEE: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next.
7	THE WITNESS: I would like to ask that you
8	take out the green sheet that you just received.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let me swear you in
10	first. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
11	are about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	RICHARD MAYER
14	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
15	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and
18	address and proceed.
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Richard Mayer.
20	Address is Kula,
21	I'm going to go strictly to the document
22	itself. As background I want to say that I'm a
23	former faculty member, reviewed hundreds of EIS
24	documents for the environment. I was a former member
25	of Planning Commission here on Maui, et cetera.

I believe the Olowalu Final EIS is defective.

Number one, what they did not consider and which governs everything is the idea that this is an isolated community, everything stems from that, and what they're trying to do is to cover over that isolation.

Number two. Accessory dwelling, state do not give the proper attention to the accessory dwelling. They mention that many of these units, up to 920 can have accessory dwelling, we call them ohana, but they never ever discuss the impact of those 920 units on infrastructure, on roads, on schools, et cetera, et cetera, yet that could all be built in addition to the 1500 they're asking for.

Number three. With regard to affordable housing and workforce housing, they have done a bait and switch on us. I was a member of the General Planning Advisory Committee here on Maui that approved the Urban Growth Boundaries.

They came to us and said there will be 500 affordable, 500 submarket and only 500 market unit houses. They then went ahead in the Draft EIS and said we are now increasing the market units from 500 to 750. And now, in the Final EIS they're saying

there will be 1,125 market units, because the county changed an ordinance. By doing this, they were able to get the Urban Growth Boundary, the GPAK and Planning Commission and others gave them that Urban Growth Boundary based on the fact that there's going to be lots of affordable housing. But they're only going to have 375 affordable workforce housing units, not the original 1000 submarket priced houses.

They've also used the expression "seniors" and "workforce housing" and combined the two. In fact, in the statement they make it very clear that the seniors are a part of the workforce. It's not like there's additional housing for the seniors.

Jumping now to number six on the second page, they have not produced a TIAR that the public can review. I think your Office of Planning and your staff pointed that out. That certainly doesn't include in the Draft even the ohana units.

The existing Honoapilani Highway, they're going to cut it in two places, so that the general public that now uses that highway will have reduced access to the sea, much like Wailea where the public find the beaches down below are part of the hotel property rather than the publics.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize your

1 testimony.

THE WITNESS: You can see -- submitting

this written testimony as part of my testimony you

will see they have not covered a very important

alternative and that is agricultural uses. They have

also mislead the Commission I believe with their use

of the financing for this thing from the county and

state.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We have your testimony in front of us.

THE WITNESS: I would be glad to take questions on that.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?

MS. LIM: No questions.

MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No.

17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I have a couple of questions for you.

I've done my best to review the voluminous statement. One of the statements that you make early in your testimony that is regarding ohana units is that the Final EIS does not actually take into account the additional impacts related to those

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

units.

2.1

I noted in one area regarding water that the Final EIS actually does address, saying that the Maui County water development standards include -- are based on the data from existing areas. And so that they are saying that's their way of accounting for the fact that their water demands are calculated accurately.

I wasn't aware of any other places where they track back to the relationship of ohana units.

Did you have a specific example for traffic or other things where there is that relationship?

THE WITNESS: I wrote that comment down on the Draft EIS, and when they gave their response to my comments there, they sort of said, well, we count guide how many people will actually build ohana units, and so dismissed my statement.

I think that was a defective response to my pointing out large number of ohana units. With regard to the question itself, I don't believe they accounted for the traffic, certainly not school enrollments.

The schools in Lahaina are already over capacity, as I point out, and they have said there was 462 students coming out of these schools, and

they twisted the numbers from the 1500 units, by 1 2 making 900 of those multi-family units which the DOE 3 has a reduced number. If they had included the ohana units, the enrollments from those up to 900 units 4 5 would have been significantly higher and they would 6 have been a real problem getting the monies needed. 7 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you. I note you addressed school impacts. Just 8 9 a final question. 10 One of the standards we have to review is 11 whether the responses to comments on the EIS were 12 satisfactory, actually, to us, so what I'm hearing in 13 your .11 in your written testimony under beach access 14 you say: My comments were ignored on beach access. 15 Do you have a specific contention about the 16 satisfactoriness of the Final EIS's responses to 17 those comments? 18 THE WITNESS: With regard to just beach 19 access? 20 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Beach access, yes. 21 THE WITNESS: They said we would put up 22 signs telling people what to do and giving handouts, 23 in a very vague sense.

I'm saying the road itself that goes along the ocean now allows the public to get easy access to

24

1	the beach will be cut in two different places at each
2	end of their project, which means many tourist won't
3	know there are beaches there, and many others who now
4	snorkel and wish to utilize that area would have to
5	accept they said they would put up signs.
6	Where would they put up signs? Down at the
7	beach or up on the highway telling people there's a
8	beach down there, or that coral reefs that they can
9	snorkel at.
10	In other words, it's very vague and clear.
11	That's one of many, many areas where they did not
12	respond.
13	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Mayer.
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Hans Michel, Donna
15	Sterling.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
17	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
18	that you are about to give is truthful?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	HANS MICHEL
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
25	state your name and address for the record.

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: My name Hans Michel, 1404

Olona Place, Lahaina. I'm a citizen of the United

States. I married a Hawaiian and we get along fine.

We don't argue about what's up and down. Whatever

needs to be done. But West Maui needs housing. And

if we don't build Olowalu, we ain't going to get

nothing because we keep on talking for so many years.

The last housing project was Ali'i, we had 3,000 people applied for housing at that time. I still have the paperwork at home. The part was this, only 400 were qualified to get a loan from the bank.

Well, I hope they have chance to safe some money meanwhile, because if Olowalu gets built and supposed to build affordable housing, and 50 percent -- I don't know -- I cannot say what and how they going to do it, but it's your commissioners to look to it for they will do what they said in the -- what you call it -- in the book, the one with have about thousand pages what needs to be done and not done.

So I'm not grumbling, but I believe we have to look for our kids, grandchildren that they have houses the next 20 years. The way this country going, nothing going up, everything goes downhill.

So why worry about the ocean, because you can have a big wave tomorrow and sweep you away, half of Maui is gone.

We can thank God we didn't have no problem

with the hurricane in August. Four time hurricane attack. Everybody still got roof on it. Thank God for that. Supposed to be thankful rather than all this grumbling and bitching.

Anyway, I hope and have my blessings you going to build Olowalu. Me and my wife are for it.

Like I say, my wife is Hawaiian. I got no problem whatsoever.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the testifier?

MS. LIM: No.

MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No.

18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank

19 you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

25

20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Donna Sterling,

21 followed by Patricia Hoskin.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: After you testify, I'm going to call for short break.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: One of the reasons we take breaks, we have a court reporter. Everything is

recorded verbatim and her hands need to be rested, 1 2 especially with how many people are here, so thank 3 you for your patience. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 4 5 testimony you are about to give is the truth? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 DONNA STERLING Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 8 9 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record. 12 13 THE WITNESS: My name is Donna Sterling. I 14 live in Kahikinui, moku of Kahikinui and the ahupua'a representative for Kahikinui. I have family that 15 16 comes from Ukumehame, Hinanakalehiki (phonetic). 17 Regarding this testimony today, Chair and Commission, I would like to address that this is 18 19 coming from a person that was not able to make this 20 testimony, and I would like to submit for 2.1 recordation. Is that allowed? 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead. 23 THE WITNESS: So Dear Land Use 24 Commissioners, please do not except the Final EIS for

25

Olowalu Town.

Hawaii state law, HAR 11-200, specifies that an EIS shall fully declare the environmental implications of the proposed action and shall discuss all relevant and feasible consequences of the action. Given the errors and omission of important details in this Final EIS, it's not possible to address all environmental and cultural implications and consequences of the building the proposed Olowalu Town. Therefore, this Final EIS should not be accepted as a Final EIS.

In reading the FEIS I found many areas that were vague and didn't address the issues. The following areas are some of the areas not adequately addressed.

Number one, damage to marine resources, specifically the coral reef fronting the proposed area. I have been a University of Hawaii research diver for 24 years and have done extensive research on the reef at Olowalu. I find the information in Appendix E to be incomplete and misleading. The methods used are not scientifically rigorous and it us obvious that a thorough study was not done.

Number two. Water usage. It is not shown correctly how the aquifer and streamflow in Olowalu can support a development of this size. In addition

to homes and businesses, there are agricultural lots 1 2 which will require more water to grow crops. 3 Three. Sewage treatment. Maui has a problem with eutrophication of nearshore waters in 4 5 all areas with sewage treatment plants. 6 Four. Runoff from construction and 7 addition of impervious land. There are claims of 8 using best management practices to avoid runoff, but 9 details are lacking. Best management practices are 10 still in development and have not been perfected. 11 Many developments on Maui have used "best management practices" but still had severe impacts to nearshore 12 coral reefs. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. THE WITNESS: So five is: Traffic into 15 16 Lahaina is practically at a standstill almost every 17 afternoon. 18 Six. Loss of vital agricultural lands. A Priority of Maui County is to preserve prime 19 20 agricultural lands. The EIS doesn't address how this 2.1 can be mitigated. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.4 BY MS. LIM:

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

One question. From whom were you giving

25

Q

this testimony? 1 2 THE WITNESS: This is Donna Brown and I 3 will give this to you. 4 MS. LIM: Thank you, very much. I have no 5 further questions, Commissioner. 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Patricia Hoskin. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The Chair calls for a 8 five-minute break. 9 (Recess taken.) 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Back on the record. 11 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair, I just 12 wanted to disclose that I did serve on the Maui 13 Planning Commission during the period of time that 14 the Maui Island Plan was reviewed, so I did participate in the review of this proposed Olowalu 15 16 proposal. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Commissioner Scheuer. 18 19 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. First of all I 20 notice many of you who are standing may be waiting to 21 testify. There are open seats, so wave your hand if 22 there is a seat next to you. Some people need to be 23 comfortable.

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

there's very strong emotions in the room from all

I also like to note I fully appreciate

24

sides, from people who feel like, wow, this is my 1 2 chance to actually have a home that I can afford, or 3 people who feel like this is going to harm this 4 precious place. And so I definitely understand the 5 urge for applause. I want to let you know, one of 6 the things it does is our court reporter can't 7 actually capture of the some of the statements that are placed on the record, and we rely on that record 8 when we make our decisions and further actions come 9 10 on this. 11 So to the degree that we can try and show 12 13

respect for making sure everybody's voices are heard, so to the degree that you feel it's possible to avoid applause after speakers, no matter how you feel about them, that would be of service to the discussion that we're having today. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer. Mr. Orodenker.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Patricia Hoskin followed by Ananda Stone.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let me swear you in.

Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 -000-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 PATRICIA HOSKIN

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Patricia Hoskin 2495 S. Kihei Road, No. 163, Kihei, Hawaii.

Aloha, members of the Land Use Commission.

My name is Patricia Hoskin. I live in Kihei. I am a retired high school biology and chemistry teacher. I volunteer for five organizations on this island including the Natural Area Reserve of Ahihi Kinau.

I try to snorkel one day a week. It's very obvious that the corals are being stressed. In the Maui News dated 11-17-15, an article titled "State to come up with management plan for coral reefs" on page A3. The

This plan is long overdue. At the end of the article the writer says that scientists estimate that 30% of the world's population of coral has perished as a result of the above average water temperature. This is the background for the development at Olowalu.

I am concerned with all the effects of the

development, but especially on the ocean. The developers hired Marine Research Consultants who concluded that their development will benefit the corals. It is good to prevent runoff. However, it would be best if the development would benefit all people on Maui. In this area the corals are the oldest on the island, to my understanding. Once these are dying, if they come back, they will not be the same.

There also rare species of coral which are being proposed to the endangered species list. In addition to the coral, this area has been known as an area to see rays, monk seals and turtles. Two of these are endangered species. They will now be sharing this area with over 3,000 new people.

Since the original meetings, the developers have added 300,000 square feet of commercial space and a 60-room hotel, to my understanding. I'm not an export their actual proposal.

The people staying in a hotel have little concern about their lasting effects on the environment. They're here to have a good time. And it seems to me that to be adding a town, they should be having a correct proportion of affordable housing, which is desperately needed.

I would also like to know if the developers 1 2 are paying for a school or several schools and where 3 they are getting the teachers, since at this time the 4 high schools do not have enough substitutes to cover the classes. I know, as an I substitute and I can 5 6 tell you sitting right here that there is at least 7 one class at Baldwin High School that still does not 8 have a permanent teacher for this year, and the 9 students are being taught with substitutes and have 10 been since the third week of this semester. 11 So I am asking you to reject the Olowalu 12 Town Development and the Final EIS for the main reason of protecting the environment. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for the testifier? 15 16 MS. LIM: No. 17 MR. HOPPER: No. 18 MR. YEE: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes. 20 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I've heard about the 2.1 overcrowding of schools on more than one occasion. 22 Is the logical conclusion to that that we shouldn't 23 let anybody move in? 24 THE WITNESS: No. My suggestion is --

where I came from in California, the city that I had

a contract with, demanded, in fact, I think that the developers have to pay for the schools that the new housing would serve.

I happen to teach for many years until I retired in Fontana, California. That was an active development, and it was not fought at all. People wanted the houses. But for every certain amount of homes, they had to provide so many elementary schools, so many junior highs or whatever to feed into the high schools.

It was a large district. We had five high schools there, and my school had 4,000 students, one of five high schools. So I'm just saying developers, if they're going to be putting in a large amount of homes, should be forced to provide the amount of schools necessary to be built. And then the problem is, getting the Department of Education to recruit teachers. I mean that's a problem that is the state's problem in this case.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank you. Next.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Ananda Stone followed by Kalani Ross.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the

1 truth? 2 THE WITNESS: I do 3 ANANDA STONE 4 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 5 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address and please proceed with your testimony. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Ananda Stone, 1595 Kuuipo 10 Street, Lahaina. 11 Aloha Kakou, my name is Ananda Stone, and 12 I'm from Lahaina. Thank you members of the Land Use 13 Commission for hearing us today. 14 I would strongly like to urge you to reject 15 the Final EIS of Olowalu Town Village. In Appendix C, regarding the Marine 16 17 Resources comments, the EIS states that the: Olowalu marine resource consultant's 18 19 professional opinion is that "as long as the BMPs are utilized and retention basins maximize sediment 20 2.1 trapping, there will be no rationale to indicate 22 potential changes that could be considered negative impacts to the marine environment." 23

I don't see how the Final EIS responded adequately in addressing the concerns that there is

24

no plan showing where the proposed retention basins will be located.

The Final EIS should clearly detail how it is going to mitigate runoff. We still don't see where the retention basins are planned, how many, what size will be planned, or how they will be executed, so how can anyone determine if they would be adequate enough in addressing the runoff of a 400-acre graded area?

The grading period of a development is when you see the majority of runoff happening, at least in West Maui. How many years of loose exposed soil are we talking about? Eight to 10 years.

I've personally witnessed the harmful effects of development at Honolua Ridge and Mahana Ridge that have had on the ocean environment, and I was there when Maui Land & Pine gave us their pitch that they were going to do their due diligence and ensure that natural resources would not be adversely effected. But still the runoff from the development above Honolua contributed to the coral decline in Honolua Bay that went from a 42 percent coral cover to ten percent coral cover in 2010.

This Final EIS delineates nothing more than the plans that those two travesties did at Honolua

```
Ridge and Mahana Ridge. Making promises, having best
1
2
      intentions, and following the BMPs in West Maui has
 3
     not been good enough, as current history has shown
 4
     us.
5
                And I would like to just give you an
 6
     example of what runoff looks like into Honolua Bay.
7
      (Indicating.)
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
8
9
                THE WITNESS: Olowalu reef is far too
10
     valuable to our culture and economy to let this
11
     happen. Once a reef is gone, we cannot bring it
12
     back.
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
13
14
               MS. LIM: No questions.
15
               MR. HOPPER:
                             No.
16
               MR. YEE: No.
17
               CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
18
     you.
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: Mahalo so much for that
19
20
      information. I think it's the most substantive
21
     information I have received yet thus far relative to
22
     what we're considering today, mahalo. State your
23
     name again?
24
                THE WITNESS: Ananda Stone.
25
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: I thank you for
```

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1	rendering that testimony, those are pictures based
2	near Olowalu?
3	THE WITNESS: These are not based near
4	Olowalu. This is West Maui, Honolua and DT Flemming
5	Beaches.
6	COMMISSIONER MAHI: I would like to turn my
7	questioning now to the developers.
8	Hearing this substantive information, I
9	would like to know if I would like to hear from
10	the Petitioner if you have a
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Mahi, you
12	can question the Petitioner later. We're hearing
13	public testimony now.
14	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Oh, okay. No, no, we
15	will have our time. Thank you for that. I won't
16	forget.
17	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Kalani Ross, Laura Van
18	Wagner, followed by Ray Van Wagner, followed by Sarah
19	Bryan. Please step on the side so we can speed up
20	the process.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
22	Do you swear that your testimony you are
23	about to give is truthful?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	-000-

1 LAURA VAN WAGNER

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record, and please proceed with your testimony.

THE WITNESS: My name is Laura Van Wagner, 2560 Hunakai Street in Kihei.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: Aloha members of the Land Use Commission. Putting a mile and a half road is not going to be sustained, the amount of traffic that will be created by a major development.

The EIS did not explain why its Traffic

Impact Assessment Report has not been accepted by the

State Department of Transportation, and merely refers

being in consultation with the agency.

The SDOT called Olowalu's Draft EIS 2011 an unacceptable plan. Olowalu Town is trying to get permission to build a project before there is a realistic traffic system to serve it.

The Olowalu Draft EIS tried to claim that 75 percent of the new residents would only drive in the development area since everything would be there,

in concluding that there would be no traffic impact from the population shift from 80 to 4,000 in that area.

This thinking is obviously flawed as there are many things that people that would want to leave the community for, such as going to the airport, hospital, senior citizen center, Maui film festival, 4th of July parade, Costco or any of the big box stores. Every kind of church or temple, preschool, grade school, high school, college. Every kind of restaurant bar and grill, health food store, and the list goes on.

I know they have appropriated some land for the school, but we are supposed to pay for that school, and we know from experience how long it's been taking to get the money for the school, the high school in Kihei.

The SDOT rejected the 75 percent figure as having no factual basis and it was changed in the EIS. Lots of the conclusions in the EIS have no factual basis and the document should not be accepted.

I would therefore like to ask the Commission to deny the Final EIS.

Thank you for listening.

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the
2	testifier?
3	MS. LIM: No questions.
4	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
5	MR. YEE: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
7	you.
8	Ray Van Wagner followed by Sarah McLane
9	Bryan, followed by Christine Lamb.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: As he calls your name,
11	please step to this side to speed up the process.
12	Can I swear you in?
13	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
14	that you are about to give is truth?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16	RAY VAN WAGNER
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
21	and address.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Ray Van Wagner,
23	2560 Hunakai Street in Kihei.
24	In 1979 and 1980 I lived in west side. I
25	traveled to Wailuku or Kihei daily. It was important

to pick the right time to drive to avoid being in bumper to bumper traffic. So nothing has gotten any better.

Sometimes the bumper to bumper traffic started in Olowalu. I wondered what it would look like if there was ever an emergency. I did see emergency vehicles go around by cane road at times, but what if there was a major event? The other way out of west Maui was past Napili, an old narrow road down the side of a cliff with one car stopping while another one went around. There was a steep cliff and no guardrails. It was spooky, and I'll never forget it.

Now there are plans to turn Olowalu into a big town with thousands of people living and working there and a hotel. This is asking for trouble.

Overloading an already busy road in a mile and a half of freeway is not enough to fix it.

I would expect that a complete new road from the harbor to Lahaina be built before a venture of this size would be allowed. This could put west side residents and visitors in a very tight spot if there was a need to get out of Lahaina in case of an emergency. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?g

CROSS-EXAMINATION

1	
2	BY MS. LIM:
3	Q One
4	Are
5	decision the C
6	to authorize t
7	the project?
8	A I wa
9	review your pe
10	not going to c

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q One quick question.

Are you under the impression that the decision the Commission is making right now is going to authorize the Petitioner to go forward and build the project?

A I was. I thought we were here for to review your petition to the project. Maybe you're not going to do the project.

Q We are here today just to review the Environmental Impact Statement. In fact, if the Commission does decide to accept it, it in no way authorizes this Petitioner to move forward and actually build this project.

From the content of your testimony, it sounds as if you perhaps were here on that.

So thank you for responding.

A Thank you for correcting me.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: County?

MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No.

23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next

24 testifier.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Sarah McLane Bryan

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

followed by Christine Lamb, followed by Hannah Bernard.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?

Do you swear that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

SARAH MCLANE BRYAN

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Sarah McLane Bryan, 535 Kahua Place in Paia.

First all I just really wanted to say is thank you for everyone for coming. No matter what side you're on, I think this is really important to be here and to talk about this as a community and to get all of our opinions in front of you guys. Thank you for coming to Maui. This means a lot.

My stance is that I would ask that you not approve the Final EIS for many reasons, and actually I wrote a whole thing about it, and as I started listening to other testimony, I just wanted to point out a couple of things.

The first is that an EIS in general is sort of flawed. The way it's supposed to happen is that the developer is supposed to create these large reports and studies, and inevitably, if though do find some sort of impact, they have to bring it to you and then explain how they're going to try to mitigate that.

But it's crazy to think that there won't be any impacts in building a neighborhood or a school or whatever it's going to be. And so it just seems like it's always sort of this, and for lack of a better word, like it doesn't seem fair to either side, actually. That like we're supposed to just, you know, say, okay.

Well, in this document it says there's going to be no impact to our reef, for example. But it would be pretty amazing if that were true. And we actually have other developments that have tried to do the same things, tried to put in BMPs. They plan to put in retention ponds, and it hasn't worked.

So we're getting the same answers over and over again. As Ananda was saying earlier, Mahana Ridge, 25 instances of the brown water days that were reported last year, and it took the state and the county more than a year to do something about it.

And so I think a lot of us in this room are 1 2 not really willing to take that chance with Olowalu. 3 It's too precious, to special, and too many reasons 4 not to do it. 5 So I know we need to stick to things in the 6 EIS, but I wanted to point out a second about 7 endangered corals. They said they could not 8 determine which ones are endangered corals. I work 9 with many people at University of Hawaii, and they 10 have all said, well, I can identify those corals. So 11 that was plainly untrue. 12 Then also I wanted to point out that --13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 14 THE WITNESS: -- it's meant to show there 15 is a need for this project. Now, I need affordable 16 housing just like the rest of us. I live here, 17 struggling to live here for a long time, but the

bottom line is our Maui Island Plan shows plenty of housing going to be proposed for West Maui.

> CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. LIM:

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Just one question. 0

You mentioned the Maui Island Plan. you for your testimony.

1 Are you aware that the Maui Island Plan 2 designation for much of the Olowalu Master Plan area? 3 Yes. I believe they did actually include some rural and urban areas in the master plan, yes. 4 5 Thank you. I just wanted that 6 clarification. 7 I just wanted say that I think that, yes, 8 those areas were designated in the Maui Island Plan, 9 but so were several other developments in West Maui, 10 and actually when you calculate the numbers of 11 housing developments that are needed to cover the 12 projected population numbers for West Maui, it's over 13 100 percent that are going to be covered by the other 14 developments that are already coming through the 15 pipeline, and that we're covering the bases already. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: County. 17 MR. HOPPER: No. 18 MR. YEE: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 20 you. 2.1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Christine Lamb, Hannah 22 Bernard, followed by Richard Nelson. 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 24 testimony that you are about to give is the truth? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1 CHRISTINE LAMB

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Christine Lamb. I live on Halama Street in Kihei, Hawaii, but I am speaking on behalf of Francois Seneca who could not be here. He has a Ph.D. in moleculare biology, so I'll read.

I highly recommend the Commission to deny the Final EIS for the Olowalu Town Development.

I am a researcher for University of Hawaii working on the effects of land-based pollutions including sediments on the health of coral reefs.

The Olowalu reef is one of the sites I currently study along the coast of West Maui. I've experienced firsthand the recent loss of live coral reefs in the shallows at Olowalu. That decline preceded the damage done during the bleaching events of the 2014 and 2015 summers.

Based on my personal investigation of the site, corals living at depth less than three meters are affected by an excessive input of terrestrial

sediments in the system.

I can affirm that this issue has taken place within the last ten years. In fact, an examination of past Google earth images strongly suggests that recent clearing of the vegetation along the coastline and on land adjacent to the beach has most likely contributed to the problem.

I have read the current EIS for Olowalu

Town project and nothing is mentioned about best

management practices to prevent further

sediment-driven damage to the reef during

constructions. My opinion, based on my understanding

of the Olowalu coastal system and 15 years of

research on the stress response of corals is that if

the Olowalu Town project goes ahead following the

current EIS, people of Maui will lose one of their

natural treasures.

The key question is: Will the West Maui community benefit more from the Olowalu reef being alive or benefit more having Olowalu Town being built. Thank you.

MS. LIM: No questions.

MR. HOPPER: Just with regard, to clarify, is that a copy of the written testimony, or do we have a copy of that testimony?

1 THE WITNESS: No, you don't have a copy. 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: OP? 3 MR. YEE: No question. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 4 5 you. 6 THE WITNESS: While I'm here, can I speak 7 on behalf of myself as a resident of Kihei, four 8 years of educational teaching, I now substitute at 9 Baldwin High School. I just would like to share a personal note. 10 11 For my students, they spend a lot of time 12 with their families using the beach at Olowalu. 13 time we take more of the public beach from the 14 public, we are really hurting the students here in 15 Maui. 16 The other thing is most teachers in Maui 17 admit they will never own a home. We have a teacher 18 shortage because we're losing our good teachers who 19 go to the mainland where they can afford to buy a 20 home to raise their family. 2.1 I'm so concerned that this project is 22 decreasing the number of homes that are affordable. 23 Thank you very much. 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Next 25 witness.

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Hannah Bernard, 2 followed by Richard Nelson, followed by Alana Kay. 3 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I very much appreciate it when folks try to keep applause down. Again, part 4 5 of making sure a few things, one, is that the court 6 reporter gets everything on the record, and also that 7 I really want to hear from everybody here and it does take some time during the process. So just 8 9 respectfully, thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in? 11 Do you affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 HANNAH BERNARD 15 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and 19 address for the record. 20 THE WITNESS: Hannah Bernard, 25 Laupapa 2.1 Place Haiku. 22 First of all I want to thank you 23 Commissioners for being here today. It is an honor 24 to have you on Maui. I appreciate this opportunity

to talk about this very important situation. And I'm

asking that you please reject the FEIS for Olowalu

Town. It is inadequate. It does not fully declare
the environmental implications of the proposed

project nor discuss the feasible consequences
adequately.

Instead, it relies on assumptions that nothing the project does will cause any harm, and the apparent suggestion that BMPs, for example, water management, will be followed rather than required.

And as previous testimony has concurred, simply asking developers or homeowners to use BMPs isn't good enough.

Some of the aspects I would like to focus on the marine resource aspects. Hawaii wildlife Fund is a Hawaii based marine conservation organization.

It appears the beach/ocean access will be restricted due to parking limitations, or inadequate parking, particularly at the surf break. This cannot be considered an insignificant impact. Nor can the addition of 2,000 to 4,000 beach/ocean users living in close proximity to the reef be considered insignificant.

The marine surveys are inadequate, four surveys, and they are not baseline. Other organizations have been doing work out there

including ours. In 2003 we prepared a report, the importance of the back reef and deeper reef area both geologically and biologically are not addressed.

These zones are characterized by rare coral species - this is common knowledge - juvenile fish, green sea turtles and endangered Hawaiian monk seals.

The aggregate coral zone is rare Hawaii in that it consists of micro atolls and stony corals that are built upon approximately 20 meters of coral structure, unlike much of Hawaii's reefs that grow upon lava substrate. The age and depth of these coral structures can provide information about past sea level changes and coral reef resilience to hurricanes, among other things. These corals survived Iniki.

This area's vulnerability to nutrient input and sedimentation were noted but introducing a new vector for such stressors such as sediment basins, was highlighted as a solution instead of a potential threat. Who's responsibility will it be to maintain and dredge these sediment basins? Will they concentrate and then leach nutrients? This is unclear.

The wastewater treatment plant and constructed wetland may leach nutrients into the

groundwater or outright spills due to equipment 1 2 failure and/or flooding, thereby jeopardizing the 3 nearshore waters and coral reef. 4 Climate change and sea level rise, two very 5 real threats to both shoreline development and marine ecosystems are not addressed. Hawaii is experiencing 6 7 a significant El Nino and massive coral bleaching episode, the third global event ever recorded. 500 8 9 year-old corals at Olowalu are bleaching, and there 10 may be permanent impacts. Considering that 16 percent of the world's coral reefs were lost during 11 12 the last global bleaching episode. 13 So to consider this EIS adequate is 14 completely unacceptable. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 16 MS. LIM: No questions. 17 MR. HOPPER: No questions. 18 MR. YEE: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 20 you. Next testifier. 2.1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Richard Nelson 22 followed by Alana Kay, followed by Adeline Rodrigues. 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the

testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do affirm.

24

1 RICHARD NELSON

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Richard Nelson. I live at 115 Maninu Circle in Kihei.

I am here primarily to go on record and to be stand in solidarity with this community who is rejecting the Final EIS for the Olowalu Town Development.

Looking at the EIS, and my background I spent 25 years in marine science working for the University of California. I've had an opportunity to take a look at a few EISs in my time, and this particular document, as weighty as it is, seems to be filled with pukas.

One of my concerns is really there's been very little discussion on post development monitoring. When you have four to 5,000 people coming into a small area that's adjacent to one of the really most beautiful and important reefs on the leeward side of Maui, and possibly in the state, when you have that amount of people coming into that area

and doing all the things that people do, it's going to have an affect. And the lack of monitoring, continued monitoring with things changing so quickly, could be disastrous.

There's been no discussion, that I could find, of the 750,000 gallons per day of water coming into this area. There's a recent paper by Kyle Van Houton who discusses the impact of agricultural runoff. And all though there is little discussion about the agricultural element of this.

Remember, when we have 5,000 people, they're all going to have gardens and beautiful lawns and a lot of chemicals involved coming into that area. And those chemicals will find their way into the reef.

Dr. Kyle Van Houton suggests in his paper that the tumors that we see on our honu are caused by this kind of runoff. We have got to protect this area.

You know, culturally, Olowalu was a place of refuge. It was a place where someone could go to find sanctuary. And this place has protected many thousands of people.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: It's now our time to protect

1	this refuge. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
3	MS. LIM: No.
4	MR. HOPPER: No.
5	MR. YEE: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next
7	testifier, please.
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Alana Kay followed by
9	Adeline K. Rodrigues followed by Kawai Rodrigues.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
11	Do you swear that the testimony you are
12	about to give is the truth?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	ALANA KAY
15	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
16	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16 17	truth, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
17 18 19	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.
17 18 19 20	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Alana Kay, 851
17 18 19 20 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Alana Kay, 851 South Kihei Road, Kihei.
17 18 19 20 21 22	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Alana Kay, 851 South Kihei Road, Kihei. I'm here today because I believe that the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Alana Kay, 851 South Kihei Road, Kihei. I'm here today because I believe that the Final EIS for the Olowalu Town Master Plan

insufficiently addressed are orographic rainfall and LEED building standard's smart locations requirements.

The U.S. Green Building Council established LEED certification to slow the negative impacts of urban sprawl on our ecosystems and undeveloped green space. The Maui County Planning Department provided a list of concerns to the developers, including one item that addresses smart locations as described by LEED certification factors. LEED certification requires that developments be adjacent to existing urban areas or be infill.

The third and weaker option states that a development may be alternatively placed on a transit corridor that provides public transportation at least 60 times per day. The Maui Bus makes around trip past the proposed location once an hour, which amounts to 14 times per day. This is nowhere close to the 60 times a day that is required for the location to be LEED certified.

Once again, the motivation of the US Green Building council is to prevent damage to ecosystems, waterways and undeveloped green space. Furthermore, the planning department questioned the viability of the existing transportation corridor for this project

because the Honoapillani Highway is slated for major repair work as the shoreline is encroaching.

Considering all of the aforementioned factors, LEED certification for this project would be of a low rating or not at all.

of utmost concern is the fact that Hawaii is the most isolated landmass on the planet and we are surrounded by salt water. We rely in large part on orographic rainfall to replenish our freshwater streams and aquifers. This rainfall is produced via the hydrological cycle where moist air masses from the land and ocean are elevated up the side of the mountain by ocean winds, whereupon orographic rainfall occurs when moisture rainfall significantly feeds the four Wailuku streams and the Iao aquifer, which is already a special management area.

While the developer has stated that they will be planting a large volume of trees, they do not demonstrate the extent to which the planting of trees would mitigate the solar radiation absorption and subsequent radiant heat that will result from a development of this size. Even small temperature variances have a negative impact on the amount of precipitation provided by orographic rain. This will have a significant impact on the sustainable yield of

1 watershed. 2 One more thing. The Maui Island Plan that 3 was addressed, the Maui Island Plan is merely a 4 guideline plan enforcement document, and it's not a good excuse to put a development in this area. Thank 5 6 you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? MS. LIM: No. 8 9 MR. HOPPER: No. 10 MR. YEE: No. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 11 12 Next testifier. 13 Do you swear that the testimony that you 14 are about to give is the truth? 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 ADELINE KAMAILE-OLIHAU KA'AHUI RODRIGUES Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 17 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 2.1 and address for the record. 22 THE WITNESS: Good morning, State Land Use 23 Commission.

and address for the record.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

24

THE WITNESS: For the record, I am Adeline Kamaile-Olihau Ka'ahui Rodrigues, 4132 Honoapiilani Highway, Olowalu, and I am here testifying on my own behalf.

I humbly ask all of you to accept the Olowalu Town LLC Final EIS submission.

I was born in the ahupua'a of Olowalu in 1929, some 86 years ago. My mom and dad were born in the ahupua'a of Ukumehame. I can trace my descendants in Ukumehame and Olowalu as far back to the 1600s. In 1966 my husband and I built our home in Olowalu, next door to my mother. Olowalu has always been a very special place to me and my family.

One of the earliest supporters of this project, the late Tillie Nahooikaika of Olowalu testified on its behalf. She and I both agreed that our grandchildren and the following generations will have a great future as a result of this proposed town.

I have reviewed the Olowalu Town EIS reports. From what I see, the developers have addressed all concerns. I don't expect there will be total agreement on this subject. Opposition to this development has been the vocal, and I do believe that everyone has a right to voice their opinions. I ask

that you give special consideration to those who live in Olowalu.

There are many opponents to the development of Olowalu Town due to a belief that it may possibly damage our reefs. Please understand that we who live in Olowalu know how important it is to protect our reefs. Overfishing and recreational boat tours are additional causes of reef damage. Almost every day boat loads of visitors anchor off Olowalu. I have even seen visitors standing on the reef.

Also, what effect does all their suntan lotion have on the reefs? What about the drastic effects of climate change? The developers have studied the reefs and have included steps to protect them.

I know the State Land Use Commissioners make tough and smart decisions. At my age of 86, it is unlikely that I will live to see this town built, no matter what you decide. But my vision is, if the EIS is accepted, it will be the most beautiful place to live on Maui. I will be looking down from above at a town like no other in all of Hawaii.

Again, I humbly ask all of you to accept the Olowalu Town LLC Final EIS submission.

Thank you.

2.1

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
2	MS. LIM: No.
3	MR. HOPPER: No.
4	MR. YEE: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
6	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Thank you for
7	commenting on the merits of the proposed Final EIS.
8	I just wanted to thank you for making the trip here
9	to provide your testimony. I know you were involved
10	in the Maui Planning process also, so I wanted to
11	thank you for appearing today.
12	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Kawai Rodrigues,
14	followed by Tiare Lawrence, followed by Alicia
15	Kalepa.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
17	Do you affirm that the testimony that
18	you're about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: I do.
20	KAWAI RODRIGUES
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	Kawai Rodrigues, 557 Akolea Street, Keanae
25	subdivision.

Aloha, good morning, Commissioners.

The ahupua'a of Olowalu is where I spent the majority of my childhood and early adult years. My mom was born in Ukumehame valley but has spent most of her life in our family home in Olowalu. I also have relatives that reside there. I respectfully ask that the State LUC accept and approve the Final EIS statement for the Olowalu Town Center and Olowalu Ekolu LLC.

article in the Star Advertiser called: What does it mean to live the Hawaii Dream anymore? When kamaaina developer A & B developed the flatlands on Maui in the 1960's, the little houses were called Dream City because that's where sugar workers could finally move out of the plantation houses into homes of their own. The dream was clearly defined, tangible and widely held. Living the Hawaii dream used to mean homes surrounded by a simple town center easily within walking distance.

I recently went to the island of Kauai to attend the funeral of my father-in-law in a small town on the western end called Waimea Town. The hotel we stayed in was surrounded by homes within walking distance of the town center. If you wanted

to eat breakfast, just walk to Yumi's, or if you wanted some groceries, just walk next door to Big Market.

I remember growing up in Olowalu riding my bicycle to the Fiji Store. I also remember parking in line at their gas station during the Arab oil embargo in 1974. I also remember hiking the valleys of Olowalu and Ukumehame, fishing, diving, surfing the entire coastline.

Olowalu Town Master Plan community would be a town not only for the former residents of Olowalu who yearn to return some day with our families, but also a town for all Maui families through community based planning to develop a community where Maui residents can afford to live and raise families. A community where schools, stores, community centers, parks, ball fields, beaches and shoreline, and other civic resources will be within walking distance of residents homes. A place designed to offer residents an opportunity to live and work in the same community. The same Hawaii Dream that those plantation families envisioned 55 years ago.

In early 2000, 1350 residents, including myself, participated in a community based workshop to design a Small Town in Olowalu. Participants and

town planners exchanged valuable knowledge and 1 2 experiences. Olowalu Town Center was designed with 3 insight from the people of Maui. I have confidence 4 that the Final EIS draft statement will provide 5 solutions in regards to the development's impact on 6 the environment. 7 We have before you a rare opportunity for the people of Maui. A community conceived from the 8 9 ground up by the people of Maui for the people of 10 Maui. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON; any questions for the 13 testifier? 14 MS. LIM: No 15 MR. HOPPER: No. 16 MR. YEE: No. 17 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Again, not to 18 comment on the merits of the proposed Final EIS, but 19 I just wanted to thank Kawai for appearing today. 20 It's good to see you again, and see you in the water. 21 (Audience noise.) 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: I'm going to clear the 23 room if this thing doesn't stop. Can I swear you? 24

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 2 testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. TIARE LAWRENCE 4 5 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 6 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please 8 9 state your name and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Tiare Lawrence, 10 from Lahaina, 28 Lino Place in Pukalani. 11 12 Before I get started, I just want to 13 clarify something to Adeline Rodrigues' statement 14 earlier. She did mention Auntie Nahooikaika. She 15 passed away five years ago. She is not here to 16 defend herself, and the plan that she was told was 17 completely different than what is happening. So 18 let's just be clear, no name dropping. That is my auntie, and she's not here to 19 20 defend herself. And I know a lot of her ohana is in 21 opposition. I'll get started with my testimony. 22 Aloha, LUC. My ohana is from Olowalu and 23 are frequent surfers and gatherers at Olowalu. 24 As stated in the cultural assessment, in

ancient Hawaii Olowalu was a place of refuge. Today

many of us still consider Olowalu as our place of refuge. It is where we can go to get away from town and enjoy the serenity Olowalu has to offer.

Olowalu and Ukumehame is the only places left on the west side without urbanization. Our state laws specify that the content of an EIS needs to be. The law is clear. It says an EIS shall fully declare the environmental implications of the proposed action and shall discuss all relevant and feasible consequences of the action.

The Olowalu Town Final EIS does not fully declare the environmental implications of the proposed project or discuss the feasible consequences. Instead it relies on assumptions that nothing the project does will cause any harm. I am concerned that the FEIS has assumptions about the project's cultural and environmental impact.

They are not meaningfully discussed in the document, or in some cases, not even mentioned.

As a kanaka maoli and cultural practitioner, I do not agree with their use of ahupua'a as a development model to urbanize mauka to makai as stated in the EIS.

The use of ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, completely false, completely

opposite. An ahupua'a was a traditional term in ancient Hawaii. Hawaiians never urbanized prime agricultural lands. Ahupua'a was developed for the social, ecological well-being of a suburban system.

Ahupua'a was created to service kanaka primarily our water source, sufficient enough to support the community. Lack of restoration and revitalization does not allow restoration, and by no means can use the term ahupua'a. Using this term for a development model is obscure, inappropriate, and has no bearing as far as a traditional ahupua'a system.

An ahupua'a system takes into account the land division and also the adjacent er shoreline and surrounding reef system. The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources. If this community is based on the premise, impact of shoreline and the reefs of the Launiupoko and Mahana Ridge Development should be a telling sign of things to come.

Therefore, the use of ahupua'a as a development model is inaccurate.

Olowalu is also home to a thriving population of nene habitat and nesting areas.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm going to finish.

DLNR Forestry and Wildlife Division have been monitoring them for over six-year now and have also documented nesting sites. I was surprised to find that in the EIS there was no habitat conservation plan. The proposed wastewater wetlands will be in the current nesting area, and the proposed highway is adjacent to that area as well.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: -- many are attracted to wetlands and reservoirs. The fact that the highway will be close to it, that destination will be a major safety concern for the endangered nene population of Olowalu.

Pursuant to State of Hawaii endangered species law codified Hawaii --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: It is unlawful for any person to take an endangered or threatened species of aquatic life, wild life, or land plants.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Your time is up.

THE WITNESS: Nowhere in the EIS does it mention a habitat conservation plan for the nene goose or an incidental take license.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the

1 testifier?

2.1

MS. LIM: No questions.

3 MR. HOPPER: No.

4 MR. YEE: No.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: You mentioned nene goose presence. Can you be maybe a little more specific regarding the location so that might assist the Applicant?

THE WITNESS: They're primarily around the reservoir up mauka near Pu'u Kealia (phonetic), and they also habitat right behind my auntie's house right by the Olowalu General Store there's a thriving large population.

And if you contact the State Forestry and Wildlife Division they will say that they have been documenting these nesting sites for years. And I can also provide you videos and pictures over the past six years that my ohana have collected.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Thank you. I wanted to thank you for that testimony. I realize that what was presented to the Maui Planning Commission when I was on that Commission may not be exactly what is being presented now.

THE WITNESS: It is not.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Not commenting on

1	the merits, later during this process the Commission
2	will have an opportunity to question the Applicant.
3	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Can I get a copy of
4	your testimony?
5	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. I spent the past
6	two weeks reading the 3,000 pages. My eyes are sore.
7	But I collected a lot of points that I would like to
8	share, and I hope you will take the time to go over
9	them.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Scheuer.
11	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Because you mentioned
12	that your ohana is from this area, are you familiar
13	with a place called Kalua Kanaka (phonetic)?
14	THE WITNESS: I'm not specifically familiar
15	to that area.
16	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'll be asking
17	questions about its location and protection or
18	non-protection. Mahalo.
19	THE WITNESS: If you use the modern name
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next testifier.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
22	testimony that you are about to give is the truth?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	
25	-000-

1 ALICIA KALEPA

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Aloha. My name is Alicia Kalepa. And I 1690 Wahinahe (phonetic), Lahaina, Hawaiian Homes.

First of all I want to thank you for coming here. I appreciate you coming here listening to our testimony. I appreciate you being here also.

I felt that I would come here to defend my children's interest, my husband's interest and mine. Unfortunately, my husband is not here because he is actually doing the same thing that I'm doing right here. He is in South Africa on Hokulea, and he's doing the same thing to bring awareness to the world in regards to our water and natural resources.

I myself and my children oppose this development. We don't want it. And the reason why is I was just at Olowalu this weekend. A very comfortable safe place for my children. And a safe place for west side family, Lahaina family, Kihei, Up Country, all over. We know this place. I think

1 unfortunately you don't.

So it questions me if you would understand where I'm coming from. Would you understand where I'm coming from? No, I don't think so.

So in my busy work life during the week, this is my refuge. This is where I come. I detox in the ocean. I rejuvenate my soul. I rejuvenate my spirit. And like many of us, I know when we do that -- I have friends that I don't see for a month, I go to Olowalu, I run into them. We rejuvenate. It's a place for our families to reconnect and rejuvenate our spirits.

I think what I want to leave here in saying is please, please, if you could all please look at me. If you could please all look at me. Please make a conscious decision. The right decision. We all know what the right decision is. But everybody is coming here to like prove what the right decision is, and we already know that. Right? We already know.

We our conscious and a conscious decision is to not do it. That's the bottom line for all of us. For all of you.

And my other question is: Have you ever taken a boat ride along that coastline? Have you ever taken a boat ride along that coastline,

1	snorkeled, swim in the water? It's a really
2	beautiful place. I believe the development of this
3	humongous, ginormous development will ruin it for my
4	children and my children's children.
5	So, again, I request that you not go
6	through with this. Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
8	MS. LIM: No.
9	MR. HOPPER: No.
10	MR. YEE: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
12	I just want to remind everyone that every
13	time you interrupt you're taking away time from the
14	testifier.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next testifier, please.
17	Do you affirm that the testimony that you
18	are about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: Aye.
20	JAY KALEPA
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your NAME
25	and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Aloha, Jay Kalepa, I'm from the Kingdom of Hawaii.

Good morning, everybody. So much support for Olowalu. It's fantastic. I just got to know Olowalu like maybe three years ago, I went for my cousins retirement party. Fabulous place. I loved it.

This past couple weekends been going with Alicia. We went to Olowalu, hang out and stuff. You know, I don't mind development, I mean develop the heck out of it, but show me where you guys got the clear land titles.

From Olowalu we got Kanehea (phonetic),
Lunalilo. We got the original documentation. So
show us how you got clear title and build the heck
out of it, put whatever you guys want to put, but
show me clear title to the land.

I get kupunas up in there. We have iwi up in there. Lots of good stuff, spiritual. You guys going to develop something, show he proper protocol. Show me the paperwork. You know, show me the kupunas who actually live there who can testify, native testimony, foreign testimonies. All the good stuff.

Come from the foundation. Kingdom of Hawaii, that's the foundation; State of Hawaii,

federal, you guys all floating in the air, like bad spirits. Connect yourself to the aina. That's your foundation. And from there you can take forward.

Other than that, please don't be building on somebody else's property. Right?

That's you guys houses, or whatever, that's cool. But you guys build them on the wrong property. Olowalu's got the whole kingdom. That's why things not working, tell you the truth, not you guys' house. This is not your guys house. That's why things not working out.

All the pollution, all the whatever. I was a land surveyor for a couple years, I know this kind of stuff. That's why I quit land surveying, not pono, doesn't feel correct. And we're all people here. Everybody's a person here. We're not a corporation. We're not trying to make money for the other guys. We're here as people as individuals.

Everybody got a heart. Listen to your heart; listen to your soul. Like Alicia was saying, listen inside. Not trying to make money for shareholders, got more important things. Look, look, this is life. Everybody breathing over there, everybody blood is flowing.

And the corporation, there's nothing. I

1	don't see any blood flowing through them. I don't
2	see anything. We all we got hearts. We all get
3	souls. We all beat as one, believe it or not, but
4	it's a polarity thing, you know?
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
6	THE WITNESS: Got your mom, get your dad,
7	so love your mom and dad. Everybody have a terrific
8	day.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
10	MS. LIM: No.
11	MR. HOPPER: No.
12	MR. YEE: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier
15	Michaellyn Blando, followed by Glenn Kamaka followed
16	by Brent Schlea.
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
18	testimony that you are about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	MICHAELLYN BLANDO
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
25	state your name and address.

My name is Michaellyn Blando. 4955 Hanawai Street in Napili, but I lived at 832 Olowalu Village.

Most of my concerns have been addressed by everybody you've already heard and said, so I would like to start by saying that I respect the landowner as a businessman and as local employer whose employees are treated well.

I respect that he has worked with the community in the past on ideas like West Maui Walking Trail from Ukumehame to tree to Kapalua granting use of easement on his land, no questions asked. But I cannot in good conscious support the proposed Olowalu town given the EIS provided to me.

I am asking you to deny the Olowalu EIS because it is flawed at best. Its inaccuracies and inadequacies does not address the greater concerns of the community.

It fails to adequately address the preservation of cultural lands from mauka to makai. The traffic issues on the only highway in and out of the west side; the cost, social, economic, cultural costs of developing prime agricultural land, and the lack of affordable housing for our local community.

But most importantly, the degradation or desecration of vital land and marine habitat. I am

not convinced that the runoff abatement is going to 1 2 work as claimed in the EIS. Development is not the 3 only solution, agriculture is too. If you would like to see the reality of 4 5 what happens when you accept a flawed EIS, just take 6 a drive up north to Flemming and see how the 7 development of Mahana Estates is working out for the beach and reef environment. 8 9 If you are lucky, there won't be any brown 10 water and you can put on your snorkel and see the 11 reef. They claimed to have adequate runoff 12 infrastructure, and we all know that it was wrong. 13 It is quite easy and quick for a developer to build homes. It is almost impossible to bring the 14 15 reef back once they have killed it. 16 I strongly urge you to deny this proposed 17 EIS. 18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 19 MS. LIM: No. 20 MR. HOPPER: No. 2.1 MR. YEE: No. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 23

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Glenn Kamaka, followed by Brent Schlea, followed by Laura Hagan.

24

25

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: How many people

1 testified? What is the full list, like how many on
2 the full list?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mr. VICE CHAIR, we have 84 people signed up to testify out of 126.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: In the name of Jesus Christ,

8 yes, I do.

GLENN KAMAKA

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha, Glenn Kamaka, 1225 South Lahale, Lahaina.

Aloha, good morning, Mr. Chair, Board members. I thank you for being here to listen to all our man'o. There is a lot of mana'o being shared. I come from my dad and my mom, James and Irene Kailui Kamaka from Honokahua.

This area here, we fished from Honokahua down to Ukumehame. We know what that life is like. I know what that life was like once upon a time.

With this development I encourage you to please deny this EIS. It will hurt the ocean. Like my dad always said, what you develop mauka will

Thank

1 affect makai. I have seen that throughout the west 2 side. All the development that's come about on the 3 mauka side has affected makai. 4 Tsunami. It's going to come. When? Wе 5 know it's going to come. We've had a lot of brushes 6 with hurricane. It's going to come. This 7 development is just going to be right smack in it. 8 Who's going to be responsible if that hits? 9 All those people, those homes, who's going 10 to be responsible? 11 If we the people were able to prevent the 12 sale of Honolua to Honokohau, then we the people can 13 prevent the sale of Olowalu. 14 Enough is enough. Olowalu does not need to 15 be saved. It's we the people that need to be saved 16 from selling out what our kupunas have taught us 17 always to remember. 18 Do is what is right. If you listen not 19 just with your ears but with your heart, you can hear 20 your kupuna say malama aina malama o ke kai. Mahalo. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 22 MS. LIM: No. 23 MR. HOPPER: No. 24 MR. YEE: No.

-McManus Court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

1 you. Next testifier.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Brent Schlea, followed by Laura Hagan, followed by Dawn Hudson.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

BRENT SCHLEA

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Brent Schlea, 190 Hui F Road, Napili.

Olowalu reef is a natural gem, and is in need of protection. Those on this deciding

Commission have heard time, I'm sure, that in the early 2000's Dr. Eric Brown conducted a baseline study on marine life in the area adjacent to the proposed development.

Dr. Brown characterized the Olowalu reef as the best leeward reef in Maui and probably the whole state. Numerous marine biologists and researchers agreed with Dr. Brown and submitted testimony in 2008

and 2009 that any development would put reefs and water quality at risk in this area.

Further research by Mike Field and the USGS show that Olowalu reef is a mother reef. It's spawning coral polyps have been shown to travel off the coast and seed the reefs of West Maui and even crossing the channels to seed Lanai and Molokai reefs. We cannot disregard these studies, and this area needs to be left protected at all cost.

Have they honestly thought about what would happen if their proposed sewage plant would be overwhelmed by mother nature's forces? Because I have a strong feeling it's only a matter of time for that to occur.

Are you, as a committee, prepared to hold their feet to the fire if and when this were to happen? Just looking at the proposed plans that plant seems awfully close to the existing shoreline.

Zones reflect minimum safe evacuation distances which put the sewage plant not only across the street from a popular surfing, diving and fishing spot, but just over the county tsunami boundary, not taking into consideration worse case scenarios which are bound to happen.

Concerning the new highway, it is also 1 2 unclear what the fate of several ancient burial sites 3 in the area of the proposed upper road will be. Site 4 4821 burial scatter. Site 4699 burial cave. Site 5 4701 and the site 4700 complex are all in the 6 vicinity of the proposed road. Who knows what 7 unknown iwi are veer buried out there waiting to be 8 dug up by the developers machinery. Does that sound 9 pono to you? 10 Open space needs to be preserved, and the 11 future development needs to be well thought out. lure of Maui is its rural nature. It needs to be 12 13 preserved for our children, grandchildren --14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 15 THE WITNESS: -- and future generations to 16 come. Olowalu is not an experiment to see if these 17 later development plans will work or not. 18 I sincerely ask this committee to consider all the ramifications of this project before making 19 20 their final decisions on this EIS. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 22 MS. LIM: No. 23 MR. HOPPER: No. 24 MR. YEE: No. 25 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

1	Next. Thank you.
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Laura Hagan, Dawn
3	Hudson, followed by Dana Reed. Laura Hagan?
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next one.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Donna Hudson, followed
6	by Dana Reed, followed by Heather Riverstone.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
8	testimony that you are about to give is the truth?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
10	DANA REED
11	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
12	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and
15	address for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: My name is Dana Reed, 100
17	Ridge Road, Apartment 1221 in Lahaina.
18	My name is Dana Reed and I am engineer that
19	has spent the last couple of years documenting,
20	photographically and with water quality samples, the
21	disaster that has happened at Honokahua Bay, up at DT
22	Flemming Beach Park due to Mahana Ridge Estates
23	development.
24	I do have a picture I want to show you real
25	quick. This is a brown water event that occurred

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

October 17th, 2015 of this year. There have been numerous, numerous events, close to 60 to 70 brown water events at that area in that bay in the last two years.

The developer who's working on that particular development has -- their EIS statement had talked about best management practices. They have actually -- we've worked with them -- I work with the Maui Marine Resource Council, and the council has talked with the developer about this particular project, the unfortunate thing was we didn't really capture the problems with that project until it became a real problem for the bay and the reef below the project.

They went beyond what Maui County requires for BMPs when they were working on this particular project, but the fact of the matter is, some of these developments -- it's very hard to predict how things are going to go, and the best management practices, even though they used the ones prescribed by law and went beyond, that did not adequately address the nature of the development.

That is my concern with this Final EIS. I do not see anything in here that gives me any sort of warm fuzzy that this same problem is not going to

occur with this particular development Olowalu. 1 2 the reef at Olowalu is considerably more important really from a biological sense then some of the ones 3 4 that we're destroying already in West Maui. 5 We've destroyed so much of the reef in 6 areas up in West Maui that I hate to see anything 7 else happen, and I'm concerned about Olowalu. 8 am here to ask you to deny this EIS. 9 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 10 questions? 11 MS. LIM: No. 12 MR. HOPPER: No. 13 MR. YEE: No. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 15 COMMISSIONER ESTES: You said you didn't 16 see anything in here that would give you a warm fuzzy 17 feeling. THE WITNESS: Yes, I see verbiage --18 COMMISSIONER ESTES: What would give you a 19 20 warm fuzzy feeling? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Honestly, I'm not sure, 22 because that is really the concern. I think that we 23 don't understand particularly how to develop in some 24 of these areas. 25 COMMISSIONER ESTES: That's fine.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha, Ms. Reed. Just to clarify, because a number of people have testified about Flemming Beach and other area impacts. You're referring to impacts from the construction phase of the development mauka?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the Mahana Ridge

Estates, they're in the -- well, they're not building houses yet, just putting in infrastructure, and putting in infrastructure, the roads.

What they did is they put a road up and there was a gulch up there that they cleared, cut down all the trees, and the lack of vegetation allowed storm water to take huge amounts of sediment down and pour them directly into the ocean.

So there's other areas. There's lots of erosion up there. Like I said, they have gone over and over trying to use best management practices, silk fences out the wazoo, swales, all sorts of things, but they have not proven to be adequate.

They're under Notice of Violation order with the State Department of Health right now for the violation of there MPDES permit and they were issued a stop work order in January of this year that did not get lifted until July of this year.

While they were working to go back to the drawing board and try to figure out how to keep these disasters happening. Clearly with this being October 17th that hasn't completely been figured out yet.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Unfortunately, I'm not familiar with the Mahana Ridge project. Let me ask a question.

Do you know approximately how many acres this project is? Is it single-family resident, if so, do you have the size approximately? Is it located below the lower highway? Can you kind of briefly describe the project?

part of a larger planned development called Kapalua Mauka. This is the first phase. It's approximately 125 acres on the very northern part of that Kapalua Mauka development. I think it's slated for 50 something homes, single-family homes, and they're on larger lots.

It's on the top of the ridge, and that ridge is not very wide. So that explains some of why there's 125 acres, but only 51 lots.

1	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Is it agricultural
2	subdivision, created a lot minimum?
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know that it's an
4	agricultural subdivision.
5	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Well, if it's part
6	of Kapalua Mauka, I believe it is, but I could be
7	wrong. So it's under construction?
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Working on the
9	infrastructure. They have been given the go-ahead to
LO	do two homes, to develop two lots.
11	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So it's located
12	mauka of the new Honoapiilani.
13	THE WITNESS: Mauka of the highway just
14	above Flemming Beach Park.
15	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Above the bay
16	course.
17	THE WITNESS: Above the bay course next to
18	the plantation course.
19	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anything else? Thank
21	you.
22	Just to remind everyone, we're going to
23	take two more testifiers, then we're going to take a
24	break.
25	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Heather Riverstone

1 | followed by Mike Moran

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 3 testimony you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

HEATHER RIVERSTONE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Heather Riverstone and I live at 2692 Akalani loop in Pukalani.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: Thank you everyone on the Commission, everyone seated here now. I know this is a really long day. A lot of people who want to have something to say about this. I appreciate you listening. I also appreciate the people who are providing a space for us to listen to each other.

Also I want to thank everyone here today who's coming to speak. We're all here because we care. We care about Maui. We care about our communities. We care about each other. We care about our future. And we also care about our natural resources and the reef.

The question at hand is, does this Final EIS adequately address the adverse effects to our natural environment, to the reef, to the natural resources, to the cultural resources? And I believe it does not.

And so I request that you vote against it, and at the very least, if you are not prepared to vote against it, please give people more time to review it.

I believe this was submitted in 2012,

3 years to do the final, and it was submitted

November 1st, and we've only had 18 days to read a

very large document and review it, and 3,000 pages, I

hear. And this is such an important decision,

especially for our reef, and such a little bit of

time, and just to show you how important it is, so

many people came out on such short notice.

But this decision you're making today, it might be easy to just say it's like just the next step, we're only doing the EIS. One step at a time. But if you approve this project, it's a decision you can never take back, because once that reef is gone, it's gone forever.

We don't know, as a people, how to repair it. And it might be easy to just think you know

we're only here for the FEIS, but that is an 1 2 illusion. The real question is: Are we going to 3 protect the reef or not? When you're making your decision whether or 4 5 not to approve this FEIS, please think carefully, not 6 just with your intellect, with your minds, but listen 7 to the testimony of the many people today. Listen with your heart and your spirit for the well-being of 8 9 a living reef and what will be the best future for 10 your children and for their children. Listen 11 especially carefully to our Hawaiian brothers and sisters who know more about this area than any EIS 12 13 ever could report. 14 Thank you for your time and information. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 16 questions? 17 MS. LIM: No. 18 MR. HOPPER: Nope. 19 MR. YEE: No. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 21 Next testifier. 22 Do you affirm that the testimony that you 23 are about to give is the truth? 24 THE WITNESS: I do. 25 -000-

1	MIKE MORAN
2	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
3	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
6	and address.
7	THE WITNESS: My name is Mike Moran. I'm a
8	concerned resident and frequent ocean recreationist
9	in opposition to Olowalu Town project.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your
11	address.
12	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I live at 167
13	Aha Aina Way in Kihei.
14	Aloha, welcome back to Maui to all of you
15	and to my friend Riley.
16	Please do not approve the FEIS for the
17	proposed Olowalu Town.
18	This EIS is incomplete at best, and
19	attempting to push it forward is disingenuous. State
20	law is clear, stating an EIS shall fully declare the
21	environmental implications of the proposed action and
22	shall discuss all relevant and feasible consequences
23	for this action. This has not been done.

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

If we can just use assumptions saying

nothing the project does will cause any harm, then we

24

can state an assumption is this will wreck the last well developed coral reef off Maui with coral colonies dated by centuries. It needs to be preserved, not only for its own existence, but to sustain the entire reef system through seeding of adjacent reefs.

This EIS is not up to required legal standards, and thus, cannot be accepted.

While I am far from an expert nor marine biologist, but then it does not necessarily require science nor expertise just exposure and experience to learn how large mauka developments that follow the county's required best management practices in development have desecrated our shrinking nearshore coral reef system and all that encompasses.

Many others have and well address the other reasons to say not a project of this magnitude in this location, including motor vehicle traffic, rising sea levels, tsunami zone, wildfire threats, and fostering wealthy immigration, while residents cannot afford homes.

Please reject this Final EIS to change land from ag to rural and urban today for all who live here now and future generations who will ask, what were they thinking, if this huge project advances

1	with this invalid EIS.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
3	MS. LIM: No.
4	MR. HOPPER: No.
5	MR. YEE: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We will take a break
7	and reconvene at 1:00 o'clock.
8	(Noon recess was taken.)
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're back on the
10	record.
11	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Gary Savage.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
13	that the testimony that you're about to give is the
14	truth?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16	GARY SAVAGE
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
21	and address.
22	THE WITNESS: Gary Savich, 1010 Front
23	Street, Lahaina, Maui.
24	I've spent most of my life on Maui. I
25	remember when we used to dive the reefs, and they

were living and there were plenty of fish everywhere, and that's in Lahaina. And currently those reefs are nearly dead.

Every development on the west side has had an environmental impact study, and most of these studies are very similar in nature. They address all the issues as this one does, but the one issue that this one does not address is how they're going to restore the reef once it's dead.

Because that will surely happen as it's happened with every other development on the west side. You go to the north end of the island, you have Honolua Bay and then development all the way through Lahaina. You come to Olowalu and there's one gap, we have a living reef there.

You go on down past Ukumehame, you come to Ma'alaea, dead reefs. So we have dead reefs on the whole west side, one living reef on Olowalu. The reason it's still alive is because there's no development there.

Do the EIS study, look at it carefully. You'll see there is no plan for restoring the reef once it's gone.

I urge you to reject this. I have about two minutes left of my time. I want everybody to

1	clap.
2	Thank you for volunteering your services.
3	I appreciate it.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the
5	testifier?
6	MS. LIM: No.
7	MR. HOPPER: No.
8	MR. YEE: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next testifier.
10	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Maripuananio Tahiti,
11	followed by David Jenkins, followed by Jennifer
12	Karaca.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
14	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
15	THE WITNESS: It is.
16	MARIPUANANIO TAHITI
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
21	state your name and address for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: My given name is Maripuananio
23	Tahiti. My address is 4453 Upper Aki Street in
24	Lahaina.
25	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

THE WITNESS: I am here to speak in behalf of my kupunas past and also present and those to come in the future. I'm also here to speak in behalf of the independent sovereign Hawaiian kingdom state and my great grandmother, who I am speaking in behalf of, they are resurrected and I am here to say their peace.

They lived in Olowalu. They were once -I'm sorry, I'm nervous -- Ke'opuiki. He was the
chief who died by gun powder. He married Princess
Kalola Honokowai Lani and also Princess Kinanaloi O
Kelani Ikeoli (phonetic). These two were wise.

Olowalu must always remain pono, but we have too many reports of hewa, hewa. The land is pono. It must return to being pono.

And I have seen over the years it doesn't do a darn good to speak, because the developers continue to do what they want to do. This is the reason why I'm here to address the matter.

The reefs -- we used to go to the beach; we used to picnic there. That was our haven. Puuhonua was given so that anyone to who did wrongdoing was able to go ahead and flee there to get safety. Today this also used as a haven for the kanaka maole. We go there to enjoy ourselves, take time to rest our

1 minds. We go there to fish. We go there because it 2 is where we can relax and speak to our kupuna. 3 are iwi's there in Olowalu. Through my Grandfather Kamehameha there is 4 5 a plaque there right by the coastline in memory to 6 Kamehameha III, and he is my great grandfather. 7 So I am here to say once again, if this is 8 ignored -- and you have listened to my cousins -- if 9 this is ignored, I will not ask or address you. I am 10 owner of Maui, my fifth great grandfather Keamoku (unintelligible) Governor of Maui. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: In summary, understand, 13 I am doing you a favor by standing here and speaking 14 in behalf of my brother and sisters who have come and 15 meet with all of you, pleaded with all of you not to 16 do anything that is not pono to Olowalu. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for testifier? 18 19 MS. LIM: No. 20 MR. HOPPER: No. 21 MR. YEE: No. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 23 you.

Do you affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

24

1 THE WITNESS: I do.

DAVID JENKINS

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is David Jenkins. I live at 74 South Lauhoe Place, Lahaina. And I would just like to assure everybody that despite speaking English, I am in fact a real American, not one of those pesky incomers, and I live full-time on the island.

Prior to retiring, I did have a 30-year career in the marketing industry. And if there is one thing I can recognize is a piece of slanted advocacy underpinned with huge reams of data to make it look credible. I did a huge amount that have myself.

Quite frankly, this document that we're looking at today, is not an EIS. It says there is no impact. What it really is, is 2000 pages of advocacy for the next stage of the process.

Now, that's bound to sound rather rude to the developer and the professional skills and

integrity of the best consultants that money can buy,
but maybe with only three minutes I just want to
suggest a way of making sure that common sense gets
equally weighed to this incredible amount of denter
that no testifier can counter argue in detail.

Let's remember, this is a proposal to drop
two pyears (phonetic). The population we're talking
about is almost two pyears. We're talking about

two pyears (phonetic). The population we're talking about is almost two pyears. We're talking about dropping that into existing good farmland. So maybe if we think about it that way, it's really difficult to credit the document in totality as valued.

So let me paraphrase those 2,000 pages.

Water, no problem, loads of it. More than we need.

That's what that section said.

Pristine reef. We found the one expert in the world that, unlike everybody else, says no problem.

Fire, police, won't take them long to get there from the other side of the civic center.

School kids, only 4 or 500, bus them. If you want to build a school later, that too is fine.

Traffic, no problem. Journey time may vary by about five seconds. Beware experts and spurious accuracy.

Sewage, only nine tons a week of wet sludge

to be trucked out from the location. That's actually what they say. So no problem.

And we're assured with a really lengthy chemistry lesson to do with microbes and gas and all of that, that the state of the art sewage farm is not going to release any noxious odors.

So they're asking us to believe that the environmental footprint of 5,000 people is going to be so light that even the poop won't smell.

It seems to me that this developer, around about the year 2005, took a decision that selling off their Pioneer Mill lands as agricultural lots, which they had begun to do in Launiupoko and Olowalu was not going to maximize return on investment.

So it looks to me like the reality that underpins this entire EIS process is that it is really, to be brutal, window dressing for the next stage of development which is the reason in the application.

So I feel that a decision on plunging a major new you town on Maui should be driven by social consensus, not by the financial needs of a developer. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the testifier?

1	MS. LIM: No questions.
2	MR. HOPPER: No.
3	MR. YEE: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
5	Thank you.
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Jennifer Karaca, Peter
7	deAquino, followed by John Astilla.
8	The reason I'm calling two or three people,
9	is so that you can come down so there is no delay.
10	So could you please come down and sit in the Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
12	testimony you are going to give is the truth?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	JENNIFER KARACA
15	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
16	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
19	and address.
20	THE WITNESS: Jennifer Karaca, 11 Henna
21	Road, Ulupalakua.
22	I'm here to testify that I feel this EIS is
23	defective, inaccurate, untruthful and should be
24	rejected.
25	I feel that if this not rejected, it will
17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please stat

result in further lawsuits for the County of Maui and the state, which we already have enough of due to not looking into planning correctly, and all the repercussions that come along with development.

This plan bodes 1500 residential homes and approximately over 900 ohanas, and says that it will provide sustainability and walkability by providing 1000 long-term jobs. That's not going to be near enough jobs to provide a walkability for all of the residents that this development is stating.

The infrastructure and road planning is awful. Not only will it cause more traffic accidents and problems for the county, it will also, in times of need for evacuation, could cost lives. It's very irresponsible planning to let this go through.

The wastewater treatment plant bodes that they will be treating wastewater to R1 standards. R1 standards, according to the 2015 Pacific Water

Conservation Council cause algal bloom. They are exactly what you do not want to release into the ocean. It will destroy the reefs. That's exactly the type of wastewater you do not want to put into the ocean. And they are stating that this is what they're going to do.

On top of that, they also state on page

107-108 that no threatened or endangered plant species or cannabus species were found during this survey. In addition their critical habitat (unintelligible) occurs on or adjacent to the protected site.

2.1

This is a blatant lie. It is completely inaccurate. Any common knowledge that this is a lie. This is a blatant cover up in an EIS. This alone with the knowledge that you've been provided here of the endangered species can cost the county so many lawsuits. So many lawsuits.

On top of that there are more coral being added to the endangered species list as we speak. This with climate change and infrastructure that they're planning, where all this development is is tsunami high risk zone. It's in a flood zone. So even with all of the state of the art promoting sustainability -- I'm a sustainable science major at the college, it's great, but the location of the site will not allow it to be sustainable.

With climate change and sea level rise all this underground infrastructure and technology, it will be null and void. You cannot deter wastewater, sewage and storm water runoff when you're already at sea level. It's not logical.

1	They can say what they want to say, but
2	using your brain and looking at Kihei and other
3	areas, it's not possible.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
5	THE WITNESS: On top of this, page 20
6	states they propose to capture 100 percent of post
7	development and predevelopment storm water. That's
8	also impossible. It's a blatant lie. It's
9	impossible.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
11	THE WITNESS: That's summarized. All of
12	this that they're stating in here is inaccurate,
13	false and almost blatantly on purpose to avoid the
14	truth.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
16	questions?
17	MS. LIM: No questions.
18	MR. HOPPER: No.
19	MR. YEE: No.
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
21	you.
22	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Peter deAquino.
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
24	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
25	THE WITNESS: The whole truth and nothing

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

1 but the truth.

2.1

PETER deAQUINO

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Peter deAquino from the Island of Maui, moku of Na Wai Eha, I recently reside in Lahaina.

Like I said I represent myself, my ohana which is my family, my ancestors and up to at least seven-generation to come before me and after me.

This is a Hawaiian way of thinking. We always think about the future at least seven generations. I was born and raised on the Island of Maui.

I feel that this Commission should deny this EIS. I didn't want to call it Final EIS, this Environmental Impact Statement very unclear about its impact on me as a cultural practitioner.

My grandmother was born in Olowalu. My family, we fish and dive there for generations. With this development I will be denied access to the coast, all of the coast, not just beach access that is set up, so I have to walk to get to the access.

The designated cultural reserve that are in place are not happening for me. I'm a fisherman.

And in Article 12, Section 7, Hawaii's constitution,

it ensures that I get to practice my culture the way

5 I need to. And this EIS will not let me do that.

The EIS states that the development will help marine coastal ecosystems, where, in fact, I don't know of any coastal development that helps the marine ecosystem. In fact, since the clearing of coastal trees, I've noticed a huge decline in bait fish and other marine species such as lua, aholehole, weke, awama, just to name a few, octopus, even the black tip sharks that are known to breed out there. That's just one shark.

In late 2011 some trees were removed. Me and my cousin Alton Santos, who lives in Olowalu today, we went out there and we noticed an immediate impact, just a few trees.

This is when I noticed it. And since then more trees are gone and more impact and damage has been done. The trees blocked the dust. And lot of people talk about Olowalu is very windy, constant dust is being blown onto the reef.

And I walk that reef with my throw net, and I notice the silt on the bottom. The reef is dying.

That's a matter of fact. And to say that this 1 2 development, what is stated in the EIS, is going to 3 help that, I can't see it as a professional scientist there. We are scientists. As a fisherman, I have to 4 5 know the tides, the type of fish and the impact that 6 I have. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. THE WITNESS: So there is a lot of 8 9 statements being made today about the impact on the 10 reef. And as a fisherman, I see these impacts 11 greatly. And it would be absurd to allow 12 construction. We all know what happens with 13 construction. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. THE WITNESS: It's made -- Maui 15 16 construction is made to get the job done fast, 17 efficient and with the least amount of cost. But it 18 doesn't -- the cost is more than what you can see in 19 numbers. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: And in fines. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 23 questions? THE WITNESS: People are going to get 24

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

fined. They going to pay the fine like nothing

1 because they already have the money.

And all of this stuff needs to be addressed in this EIS, all this stuff that I been mention. So this --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Recess --

THE WITNESS: Does that mean my testimony is thrown out? Is there any questions? Mahalo nui.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're back on the record. Next testifier, please.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Chair, sorry, we need to offer the parties a chance to ask questions of the last testifier.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Were you or any other fishermen that you know of consulted during the EIS process about the scope of your traditional and customary practices in the area?

THE WITNESS: I was actually not asked any questions. And my cousin who lives there was not asked any of these questions.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Are you aware of anywhere in the EIS where it discusses the scope of traditional fishing practices that might be affected by the petition area or by the proposed project?

THE WITNESS: Not specifically which fishing practices, but it's so broad that I doubt

1 that they -- they've never asked us how we fish, and

I personally have never been asked how I fish.

the water, it might change that.

There's multiple ways that I fish, and I a lot of the coastal fishing is done. I also free dive and spear fish, and I noticed that there's underwater springs that also help the coral. And with pumping out of

So it's the whole thing will affect ocean, is what I'm trying to say.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So I understand, just to be like super clear for our record, you use this area in a traditional and customary fashion in terms of you fish?

THE WITNESS: Yes, at least once a week.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Do you believe, other than the ways that you mentioned, one of the concerns brought up is the increased amount of recreational use that can be anticipated from this project. Do you believe the EIS has addressed any potential mitigation for the impact of traditional and customary practices?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't feel that they are specific enough about the impacts. And I understand there's going to be more people there, and there might be less access for me to get there. And

these people, I mean, I see it all oh the time

wherever I fish on the west side, people that live at

these places, they have first access to it, where I,

prior to them being there, I had first access to

them.

So it's automatically impacting me and my family and my sustainability of life. So I don't get first crack at them, and this is a lot of people you guys are talking about coming in out of Hawaii.

Let's be honest. Like there is a lot of low income houses that were brought up, and what is low income? \$500,000? \$300,000?

It's not logical to me, and I know it will definitely impact the fish and the reef and my sustainable lifestyle of living.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you so much for your questions.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank you. Next testifier.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: John Astilla, followed by Mark Hyde, followed by Thomas Cook. John Astilla?

Mark Hyde. After Mark Hyde, Thomas Cook.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that your testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

MARK HYDE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Hyde, and I reside at 4320 East Waiholo Loop in Kihei.

The discussion in the FEIS regarding the impact on additional traffic from this project will have on access to medically necessary emergency health care for the people of West Maui is nonexistent.

In 2007 I served on a state empanelled health care task force that was charged with identifying and ranking in priority Maui County's health care needs, and I chaired its acute care subcommittee.

After months of investigation and testimony, including that of health care professionals and experts, we ranked need for acute care service in West Maui has the top county priority because there are none in the area.

Partially as a result of that report, a

-McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

certificate of need was given by the state for construction of a West Maui hospital that's referenced in passing on page 212 in the FEIS as a solution to all the community's future health care needs. It absolutely will not be that.

While a small acute care hospital constructed in the area will benefit community, residents and visitors needing, time sensitive access to specialty stroke and heart care, among other things, will still need to travel to Maui Memorial for treatment because stroke and health, heart care is both highly specialized and expensive, requiring 24 by seven staffing by qualified and trained doctors and nurses and needing unique complex and expensive medical equipment.

EMT's who testified before the task force indicated that the best way to transport stroke and heart attack patients from West Maui to Maui Memorial is by ground transportation via Honoapiilani Highway, a roadway that is almost problematic and clogged with traffic.

Air transport was discussed and rejected as an efficient means of transport from West Maui to Central Maui. Those who are suffering stroke or heart attack must seek treatment immediately. It's

couched in terms of the golden hour. Further 1 2 burdening the highway beyond its current obvious 3 incapacity would result in traffic jams and 4 occasional accidents resulting in closure of the 5 highway is nothing short of playing Russian roulette 6 with the lives of the people of West Maui, and 7 visitors who need immediate access to the county's only tertiary care hospital. 8 9 Unless or until the capacity of this whole 10 arterial is expanded, a developing Olowalu Town in 11 the middle of the key access route of West to Central 12 is akin to the kind of event of blockage that is the very heart of heart attacks and strokes. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 15 MS. LIM: No. 16 MR. HOPPER: No. 17 MR. YEE: No. 18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 19 you. Next testifier. 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thomas Cook, followed by Alex Dreher, followed by -- I'm sorry, having 2.1 22 trouble with handwriting -- Kelhi Pali. 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in? 24 Do you affirm that your testimony that

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

you're about to give is the truth?

1 THE WITNESS: I do.

2.1

THOMAS COOK

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Thomas Cook. My current address is 18 Poholani Place in Kihei.

I've lived there for the last 10 years.

Previous 32 years 690 Awala Road.

I'm not a scientist or an authority. I'd like to basically just give you a little bit about my background which hopefully enable you people to have a feeling of where I'm coming from.

I'm a general contractor, but I homesteaded in Kapakalua. Planted trees 40 years ago. Became very self-sufficient with rain catchment, wind generators, raising our own food. It became very apparent to me when my children became middle age that I felt like I was on a life raft and tied to the Titanic. And that the concept, my philosophy of being self-sufficient -- I was fortunate that I had manifested that. It wasn't enough.

So I started to become very involved in the

community. And subsequently worked with Maui Nui, affordable task force, GPAK with a number of other people here.

What I feel in the room today and what I sense, and it's been ongoing for years on this our island home, fear and anger. And not feeling heard. A sense of being basically victimized by the powers that be.

And I share in those feelings and I'm not just talking off. I'm supporting this project because I know the people who are doing it. And all projects are not developed equal. Wailea Point pisses me off -- excuse me -- when I walk that path and I see the sign that says like you know they're doing us one big favor. I want to tear them on the ground. People not fishing. People not having access. This is not going to be Wailea Point.

These brothers got heart. It's not just talk. They're young. It's a community-based plan.

No plan is perfect. No plan is everything's going to be good.

I sincerely in my heart believe, and that's why I'm supportive when we're concerned about things we will have people to talk to. They will listen.

They will respond. We will be able to work together.

136 1 900 kids are going to graduate from public 2 school this year, working on the mentoring program. 3 This address the EIS, students, not so much the schools for the kids, but how about the kids that are 4 5 graduating from schools. I'm not just talking about 6 homes, I'm talking about young adults coming into the 7 community that we work together and act like a community instead of like, you know, tribes at war. 8 9 I have nothing but good feelings for 10 everybody who disagrees with me, and I hope that I 11 deserve the aloha and respect not to get angry at me 12 because I disagree with you. Really I'm just --13 where I'm coming from, I'm saying like I've seen a 14 lot of projects when I was in GPAK, not all projects 15 are equal. I'm not supportive of a lot of stuff. 16 I think we have an opportunity for this community-based plan. These guys have been in it for 17

the long haul, have gone through --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: They have gone through all of the things. This is a part, a key part. have done everything, and it isn't over. This is something that's going to evolve and take time. Let's work together, people. Aloha.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?

No questions. MS. LIM:

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
2	MR. YEE: No questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
4	Just remember, if you want to say
5	something, there is a sign-in sheet over here and you
6	guys can testify. Next please.
7	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Alex Dreher, followed
8	by Kelhe Pali, followed by Amy Fonarow.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
10	that the testimony that you're about to give is the
11	truth?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	ALEX DREHER
14	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
15	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
18	and address for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Alex Dreher, 818
20	Olowalu Village Road. I'm a resident and homeowner.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
22	THE WITNESS: For me Olowalu is a very
23	special place. Again, a place of refuge that not
24	only myself as resident, but many people that live on
25	the island go to relax and rejuvenate. Most of the

1 island coastal areas are already very developed.

2 Kapalua, all the way to Launiupoko. For a lot of us,

3 and the kamaaina love to go to Olowalu to enjoy that

4 and renew. There's really not a lot left of beaches

5 that are not impacted by developments.

I know there are needs for housing, though
I feel that the EIS and the development proposed may
not be the development that Olowalu needs.

Affordable housing is extremely limited.

Turning agricultural land into hotel zoning doesn't really sit right with me, especially for Olowalu. We have plenty of hotels. We have extreme amounts of tourism which is great and it's fine, because we need that balance to support families and residents that live here.

Although there needs to be a limit to that.

And for everybody that lives here, if we keep choking up our roadways and our resources, we're going to become somewhat like Oahu, and I prefer not to live somewhere like Oahu.

So I just wanted to state that in the EIS statement that there will be zero impact to our special reef of Olowalu, which is one of the largest in the state, is inaccurate.

Having a sewage treatment plant across the

1	street from the surfing break does not sit well with
2	me, and many surfers and many people that want to
3	enjoy the ocean in Olowalu, and I say no. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
5	questions for the testifier?
6	MS. LIM: No.
7	MR. HOPPER: No.
8	MR. YEE: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
10	you. Next testifier.
11	Do you affirm that the testimony that
12	you're about to give is the truth?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, to the best of my
14	knowledge.
15	KELLE PALI-CREW
16	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and
20	address for the record.
21	THE WITNESS: Kelle Pali-Crew and I'm a
22	Maui resident.
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Your address.
24	THE WITNESS: I prefer not to give my
25	address. Born and raised in Napili Hau and now

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

1 reside in Kihei.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: So I am Hawaii and Chinese, born and raised in Napili Hau. I did see my neighborhood that I grew up in develop quickly. I used to be able to cross the street and had stables over there and you could go play. You go there and now lots of development.

But as a mature 40-year old woman now and a mother of four, a two-year old, a 12-year old, a 16-year old and a 22-year old who will be married in March, I understand the bigger picture. So I'm here to share with you.

First of all, I'm so encouraged by all of the testimonies, no matter what side you're on, I'm just so encouraged that you have the passion and heart and the desire to make our home a better place.

I know that we are all opposed and what that looks like, but there is not enough of us. You guys are just a tiny representation of what really is here on Maui.

Unfortunately, the rest of the families are working three, four jobs and trying to pay mortgages and rent and can't be here like we can. So for that

I'm just really encouraged by everyone here.

I come into the position where I can see both sides and I can appreciate the love for Olowalu. I too, from my own personal experiences, love Olowalu and many memories from there.

But from what I learn, love can be diminished when we have disrespect and hate added to the mix and, unfortunately, blinds us sometimes for what's better for everybody.

We need to protect our reefs, no doubt about that. We do need to address the safety issues and that's certain, but we also need affordable homes.

I've been volunteering in the public school system the last several years in Kihei and have seen firsthand the kids that go to school and their parents make too much to afford free lunch, and not enough to afford lunch. I have personally funded lunch funds so these kids be can eat.

They need a home. We need affordable housing. If you look at all of the new Maui planned spaces for proposed affordable houses, what will that take? Ten-years? 20 years? More than ten years to try to get this off the ground.

I support the current report. I know them.

1	I've learned to know them. They're one of us, and I
2	know they're doing the right thing. I leave my
3	testimony with this. Integrity is what we need to
4	talk about today, Frampton and Ward are one of us.
5	And they have moved forward in the last ten years in
6	the right way. I'm a shamed to say past developers
7	may not have fulfilled this, and I'm certain that
8	they will.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
10	questions?
11	MS. LIM: No.
12	MR. HOPPER: No.
13	MR. YEE: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
15	you. Next testifier.
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Amy Fonarow, followed
17	by Cheryl King.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
19	testimony you're about to give is the truth?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	AMY FONAROW
22	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
23	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

1 and address.

THE WITNESS: Amy Fonarow and I live at 120
Hui Road in Lahaina.

Today I'll be speaking on behalf of Meghan Daigler marine researcher at UH Manoa.

Actually one FYI, I wanted to let you guys know -- by the way, thank you for being here and everybody.

The group that submitted the Final EIS, marine research consultant, they're the same group that did the Final Impact Statement for Mahana Ridge, claiming no impacts to the marine environment. And that was the one where Dana Reed showed the photograph of the sedimentation.

Please consider the rejection of the Final EIS for proposed development of Olowalu Town on the island of Maui.

Benthic composition is under represented.

The area of Olowalu reef that the FEIS attempts to address is approximately 454 acres, or 1.8 million square meters. The area that the FEIS actually covered is five, 500 meter long transects, assuming they are one meter wide is roughly 2500 square meters, or 0.62 acres. Clearly, the area covered is not sufficient and there is a need for more transects

to accurately represent the current status of Olowalu's reef. Furthermore, it is absolutely not possible for the FEIS to serve as a baseline for Olowalu's reef community due to the complete lack of benthic composition data.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Also marine water chemistry is under represented. The number of marine water samples collected for water chemistry analyses is not sufficient enough to represent accurate values. Marine water chemistry can be highly variable, and it is a scientific standard for the average of three samples per location to be presented with the associated variance. The FEIS only has one sample per location, and only 60 samples altogether to address the entire 454 acres of Olowalu reef. is equivalent to 1 sample per 7.5 acres. addition, Transect 1 has extremely high nitrogen levels at the nearshore sites, the reasoning for which was not addressed by the FEIS. In this same area, the Olowalu landfill was closed in the early 1990's the leachate from this closed landfill might be affecting the nearshore environment, none of which was ever mentioned in the FEIS.

In terms of sedimentation and nutrients on multiple occasions, the FEIS concludes that

development of Olowalu Town will decrease 1 sedimentation rates for the retention basins. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summaries. THE WITNESS: Well, once they do 4 construction, there will be more sedimentation 5 6 because the rain will move the dirt into the reef. 7 Also once Olowalu Town is built there will be sustained increased levels of nutrients in the 8 9 adjacent nearshore coastal water through five major 10 sources. 11 Wastewater, fertilizer, leakage at the 12 wastewater treatment plant, 4.5 acres R1 reused for 13 irrigation. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 15 THE WITNESS: We are saying that the 16 nutrient responsive algae that has bloomed throughout 17 Maui, include Kihei, north-west Maui, Maalaea and 18 from Kahului to Hookipa. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We have this testimony. 20 THE WITNESS: In consideration of the 2.1 aforementioned issues, I respectfully request that it 22 is rejected by your authority. Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Ouestions? 24 MS. LIM: No. 25 MR. HOPPER: No.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1	MR. YEE: No.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
3	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
4	that you're about to give IS the truth?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	CHERYL KING
7	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
8	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
11	and address.
12	THE WITNESS: Cheryl King, 24 Laumakane
13	Loop, Kihei.
14	Thank you all for being here. I know you
15	all have difficult jobs, and it's a very complicated
16	issue. Mahalo for listening to everybody's
17	testimony. I'm truly inspired by such a wonderful
18	community turnout. This is really great.
19	So I'm here basically to state, like many
20	of my community members, that you do not accept this
21	proposal of Olowalu Town EIS as final.
22	All the impacts are have been adequately
23	addressed, which is very concerning.
24	I'm a 16-year Maui resident and marine
25	biologist who continues to watch in horror as our

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

mauka and makai lands are developed at an alarming rate. There seems to be no stopping this process despite the many issues surrounding the short and long-term impacts. We're all feeling this pressure, or we wouldn't be here today. It's simply tragic that Maui is losing her natural charm.

Olowalu provides extremely important habitats for sharks, manta rays, monk seals, and sea turtles just to name some of them.

Everyone who experiences this area reflects on its expansive coral reef characteristics and natural beauty. This complex reef system needs to be protected and actively managed appropriately with no nearby development.

The EIS mentioned that this development will improve the quality of the reef by its mitigation efforts. I don't see how that's possible. These drainage-related best management practices should be incorporated. And there is a lot of good ideas in this plan, but will they be incorporated? And will they work?

It seems like we all have major doubts concerning those ideas.

The proposed development threatens the very natural qualities of the Olowalu area that we

appreciate and that all animals need to survive. 1 2 Development simply cannot be done in a sustainable 3 way so close to the ocean. The impending doom of 4 sedimentation and chemical runoff kills coral reefs 5 and this is happened too many times where development 6 was allowed to occur. Hawaii's reefs are in serious 7 decline, especially with this year's major coral 8 bleaching event. They simply cannot handle any more 9 stressors. 10 This is a chance for Maui to truly make a 11 positive, not negative, impact on the future of 12 hundreds of species that are struggling to survive 13 statewide changes in land and seascapes, plus the 14 communities that rely on this area. 15 I truly appreciate your time and expertise 16 on this important matter. It's up to you, but please 17 don't let our beautiful island turn into another 18 Oahu. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Questions? 20 MS. LIM: No. 2.1 MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

Thank you.

THE WITNESS: The next two people that are

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

1	listed cannot stay, but they submitted written
2	testimony.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next.
4	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Tiffany Thomas, Leonard
5	Nakoa, III, Jaclyn Mae Santos and Lawrence
6	Carnicelli.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
8	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	LEONARD NAKOA
11	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
12	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
15	and address.
16	THE WITNESS: Leonard Nakoa, III, also
17	known as Junior, 5155 E Hanaway Street, Napili.
18	About everybody said over here you guys
19	wrong already. This is Hawaiian lands. I going to
20	stop there because I going to talk about EIS stuff.
21	That's the stuff you guys need to hear.
22	The EIS, you guys all environmental guys?
23	I hope so, because the best environmental guys
24	Hawaiians back in the day, live off the island, do

the right way from mauka to makai, the ahupua'a over

here. If these guys talking about ahupua'a, open up the waters, open up the waters and the rivers so the kine can go ahead and replenish all the water down to the ocean, feed the reefs and all that kine stuff.

No more Hawaiian, okay? So open up the water.

Getting back to the sewage plant, you guys got to make one over there, right? You guys got to make a sewage plant over there. Okay. Maui County is getting sued because of the sewage plant that they cannot manage, so correct that problem first before you guys go create another one. Fix that one first.

whatever, make sure you have guys -- prove to us can be done, can be managed. Then we can maybe support, but I cannot support this, because the beaches, they telling us, all from Kalu Beach all the way down to the end -- what they call that -- the embassy, the big building. They tell us cannot fish because overfish enough, that's because of the runoff from all these hotels being built. The dodo water coming from the sewage.

That's not fishermen's fault, not the guys that dive there. These guys protect their own icebox. They're not going to overfish.

It's the development that's screwing up.

Fix that kind stuff first before you guys come up with another project like this. Because for the locals -- this is all us locals -- we don't want a beach in Lahaina, we got to sleep overnight sometimes two nights for cleaning up our beach for baby luau down at the beach.

Kalu Beach, Waiakuli and E.T. Flemming, other than that, are all hotels, Sheraton, like that stuff, all the way -- luau, baby -- stage luau -- they all down there, they going to entertain. But that's the same thing with this shoreline.

These guys going to push us off. Only got beach access. And then like when we go, have something at Kalu Beach. We just get Hyatt calling us up. Let us be us. Let us be us. But you guys taking that way from us.

One more thing, housing. I know it's a big thing, the housing. Maui County, Maui say due diligence, and go back, go get the guys who owe us our houses. Get the hotels that owe us. That's the environmental impact. Collect the houses that owed to us. Then go ahead and support these kind projects. Go get the houses that is already owed to us.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any of questions?

1	MS. LIM: No.
2	MR. HOPPER: No.
3	MR. YEE: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
5	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Jaclyn Mae Santos,
6	followed by Lawrence Carnicelli, and followed by
7	Summer Kupau.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
9	that the testimony that you are about to give is the
10	truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	JACLYN MAE SANTOS
13	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
14	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
17	and address for the record.
18	THE WITNESS: My name is Jaclyn Mae Santos
19	and I'm speaking on behalf of the Santos family of
20	Olowalu. Our address is 804 Olowalu.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
22	THE WITNESS: I stand before you today to
23	humbly request that the Land Use Commission deny the
24	Olowalu Town EIS.
25	Now, I'm not a marine biologist I'm not a

realtor, I'm not kanaka maoli, I'm not an activist, not a person with a lot of money, and I'm not here today to repeat a bunch of facts that have already been presented to you.

I'm just a girl from Olowalu. A girl who was born and raised in this special place. A girl whose father was raised in Olowalu, a fifth generation Santos on Maui. A girl who wants to call Olowalu home forever. A girl who wants to raise her children in Olowalu and allow them to call it home.

I want them to have better than I have.

Isn't that the point, to provide better than you had?

I'm a girl who studies hula, a student of the

culture. A girl who honors what has come before and

allows it to guide me into the future.

Having had the privilege through my education and support of my parents, I've traveled the world and I've experienced the beauty and nature of all people and treasure every moment of my experience.

But nothing compares to what we hold dearest to our heart and soul, and for me that is Olowalu. It is my greatest teacher and my closest relative. Aside from all of the other's points mentioned today, like the failure to embrace Native

Hawaiian culture and practices mauka-to-makai, the inevitable loss of reef, lack of affordable housing for local families, and the shameful misuse of the term ahupua'a.

Including also the lack of infrastructure and place for our traffic to and from the west side.

And this idea of building a hotel.

In my mind it's a simple matter. You build homes, a hotel, and the land will suffer. It will result in imminent death to the ecosystem, and the land and ocean will pay the ultimate price.

I'm asking you all to ask yourself what is important to you? What is Olowalu worth to you? Can it be priced? Is this system in itself a flawed system? Are you able to connect with the being in yourself and realize what is right and wrong? Where does your moral compass point you?

Can you feel in your heart whispering what is right and wrong? I truly think that you do.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I'm asking you to go with what your heart is telling you. To do the right thing for all time for Olowalu, for the sacredness of its being for me and for my children, and personally asking you to allow me to offer my children the very

1	best, better than I had, in Olowalu that is thriving
2	because of the preservation of the sanctity of the
3	land.
4	Furthermore, I want to say that you should
5	all take a serious look at page ten and 11 of the
6	Executive Summary on unresolved issues because it's
7	clearly written that
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
9	questions?
10	MS. LIM: No.
11	MR. HOPPER: No.
12	MR. YEE: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
14	you.
15	Do you affirm that the testimony that
16	you're about to give is the truth?
17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	LAWRENCE CARNICELLI
19	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
20	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. State your
23	name and address.
24	THE WITNESS: Lawrence Carnicelli, 2771
25	Ulani Circle, Pukalani.

I am the Chairman of the Government Affairs
Committee for the Hawaii Association of Realtors.

I'm also on the Realtors Association of Maui

Government Affairs committee, but I'm not here testifying for either one of those entities, testifying on my own behalf. I just wanted you to know I'm involved in the process.

I appreciate all of you Commissioners and everything that you do, and the volunteer hours that do.

I have two passions that are up for me.

One is affordable housing; the other one is the environment. I see Mr. Ward applying for the job to take over -- the job that Mr. Anderson is leaving, it's an affordable housing company. I'm also aware of Ke Kalehakula (phonetic). That's also about building affordable housing.

All the testimony about affordable housing resonates with me. However, we need to build it right. We need to build -- I hate using Hawaiian words because I'm a haole guy -- it needs to be pono. As I look at this EIS, it's not pono.

I'm going to start with this letter that was sent to Mr. Orodenker by the State of Hawaii Planning. They rejected it, right? So just as you

guys, Commissioners, I'm sure you've probably already seen this. They rejected this. The Petitioner basically doesn't take care of the historic, and the traffic study is wrong.

So that's one, just to go to factual stuff.

The other thing is the Petitioner actually submitted an addendum this morning. She said -- counsel said it's like, oh, I have an amendment that we just filed last mean minute. That in and of itself is enough to cancel this EIS.

We haven't had a chance to review it. It's a 3900 page tome. At 100 pages a day, it would take you over a month to read. I'm a slow reader. I couldn't even do 100 pages a day. I scanned it.

The other thing I would go to is Appendix

L. It says it's a draft. How can you have a Final

EIS that still has a draft? It doesn't make any

sense. So I go to that.

The other thing, since I still have some time, I have a letter here from the Department of Planning dated February 25th, 2010, coming from Jeffery Mun to Olowalu LLC. I get it's not the Petitioner, but it's the same group, and this was ten years after they got their SMA. Ten years after they got their SMA.

Τ	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
2	THE WITNESS: Ten years. There were nine
3	conditions of the 33 that still were not fulfilled.
4	Same thing. It's all full of stuff that's public
5	health and safety, it's historic, it's traffic, it's
6	the same stuff. And I'll on go ahead and leave this.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
8	questions?
9	MS. LIM: No questions, but I would like to
10	make a clarification. Thanks for your testimony.
11	You misstated what I filed. What we
12	filed this morning was merely a response to what the
13	Office of Planning filed late yesterday. There is
14	been no amendment to the EIS.
15	THE WITNESS: You called it an amendment on
16	the record.
17	MS. LIM: There was no amendment filed to
18	the FEIS.
19	THE WITNESS: We should have a chance to
20	review that.
21	MS. LIM: In the same way that we have a
22	chance to review public testimony that was submitted
23	today. That's all.
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.
25	Commissioners?

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

1 Do you affirm that the testimony that 2 you're about to give is the truth? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. SUMMER KUPAU-ODO 4 5 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 6 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 9 and address. 10 THE WITNESS: Aloha kakou, Summer 11 Kupau-Odo, attorney with Earthjustice. Address is 850 Richard Street, Suite 400, Honolulu 96813. 12 13 I'm submitting this testimony. We have 14 also submitted written testimony on behalf of our 15 client Maui Tomorrow Foundation, and we respectfully 16 request that the Commission reject the Olowalu Town 17 EIS. 18 First of all, we echo many of the concerns 19 raised by so many people from this Maui community. 20 There concerns about the content inadequacies of this 21 FEIS, including the insufficient discussion of 22 environmental impacts on the precious Olowalu coral reef, which is a mother reef that seeds other reefs 23 24 in Maui Nui.

Insufficient discussion on impacts on

traditional and customary Native Hawaiian practices, which was testified to so eloquently by some from this place.

Also insufficient discussion on the impacts to endangered and threatened species, including the nene and the Hawaiian Monk seal.

And also insufficient discussion about impacts to the historic and cultural sites of this very special place.

But my testimony today is really to raise the Commission's attention and to discuss an additional procedural violation of the Hawaii Environmental Policy Act, and that is the absence of federal agency cooperation in the preparation of the EIS.

Now, pursuant to Hawaii Administrative Rule 11-200-23, subsection (b)(1), before this Commission can except the FEIS, it must find that the procedures for preparing the statement, as testified in Title 11, Chapter 200 of Administrative Rules have all been completed satisfactorily.

When an action, such as this one, is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act or NEPA, in addition to HEPA.

Hawaii administrative Rule 11-200-25

requires cooperation with federal agencies, and to
the fullest extent possible, including preparing
joint environmental impact statements with concurrent
public review and processing at both levels of
government.

The Olowalu Town Master Plan is dependent on two federal actions that triggers environmental review under NEPA.

First of all, it requires the Section 404
Clean Water Act Dredge And Fill permit from the Army
Corps of Engineers. And second, the project depends
on the realignment of the Honoapiilani Highway, which
is a federal aid highway project for which, as I
think this Commission is aware, another joint federal
or a joint EIS is being prepared between the State
Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway
Administration.

These are federal triggers that require environmental review at the national level, and our administrative rules 11-200-25 requires that the Olowalu Town EIS be prepared in cooperation with these federal agencies.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. We have your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Because the FEIS before you

is not prepared in compliance with Hawaii 1 2 Administrative Rules, the Commission cannot officially accept it. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 5 questions? 6 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, has the 7 Petitioner seen the written testimony from Earthjustice? 8 9 MS. LIM: Yes, we did. 10 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So we're about at a 11 time for a break. Are you prepared to ask questions of the witness right now? 12 13 MS. LIM: If we could do so after the 14 break. We were somewhat --15 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm raising this --16 obviously the witness has brought some serious 17 allegations about whether or not this would 18 invalidate the FEIS. Just for procedurally we want 19 to make sure you have some time to review the written 20 testimony, so when you ask your questions, you will 2.1 have some ability for doing that. 22 I certainly will have questions of the 23 Earthjustice witness as well. 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 25 Let's take a five-minute break.

1 (Recess was taken.)

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Ms. Lim, do you have 3 questions?

MS. LIM: I'll try to get our head around it. Clearly, even in the preparation of the draft and the final EIS there was not a lack of awareness -- (unintelligible).

Even with this time that we were just given to review, we're still trying to wrap our head around your letter a little bit.

Some of my questions will seem elementary and asking you to repeat things that you clearly wrote, but it can only help.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. LIM:

Q I want to, if I could, start with the statement that we do need a permit. And I want to ask you to explain to me where in the Final EIS you noted that that -- or you saw something that indicated to you that we needed a permit --

A Well, upon review of the draft, and specifically looking at what the EIS provided with the Hobby report with the Aquatic Resource Survey, doing the best I could to compare the maps and his analyses in that report with the maps, specifically

Figure 4 of the Final EIS on determining -- first, of all, Hobby determined that those are jurisdictional waters that are covered. That require a permit.

Q Even with that statement that Hobby made that determination that the starting point does Hobby have the wherewithal to make a determination, first of all, whether or not the waters --

A I'm going by what you, the Applicant, submitted and put in your Final EIS. And accepting the analyses that he understood took while using the Army Corps of Engineers approved jurisdictional determination form, it appears that all six of those aquatic features that fall within the project area are jurisdictional waters that require the Dredge and Fill permit if you're going to undertake construction on them.

Again, going back to the map. It looks like all of these waters will be affected. And if that's not the case, then that's something that the Applicant would have to correct.

Again, this goes to other people's comments about how there just wasn't enough time to review.

If such substantive changes are going to be made, then you would need to undertake the review process again. I'm just echoing what was stated in the

- Office of Planning's letter. The Office of Planning
 also --
 - Q But we're still just talking about Hobby's report. Just to wrap that up, because you clearly you spent some time --
 - A I did the best I could in looking at documents that you provided in the Final EIS.
 - Q You acknowledge it wouldn't be Bob Hobby who would have the authority to determine whether or not --
 - A No the Corp.

- Q Are you aware of the Army Corps of Engineers having made the jurisdictional determination? Are you aware of the Olowalu Master Plan area?
- A No. And actually I point to, in my written submittal, HAR -- I believe it's 11-200-25(1) which puts the responsibility on you the Applicant to notify the Army Corps when you believe that NEPA is applicable.

And I think you have known this, because in the list of permitting, that's required, page 401 of your Final EIS for the entire list of permits that you would need, you do put down there that it's possible that the EA permit, or what we refer to as

Section 404 Clean Water Act Permit, would be required.

Q You are correct, it is noted as a possibility.

When you looked through the EIS, did you notice that there has been correspondence with the U.S. Corps of Engineers as well as federal agencies?

A Yes, and --

Q But not a jurisdictional determination?

A Yeah. If I could address what the Army Corps advised the Applicant. This I believe was submitted at the early stage at the preparation notice comments, and the corps did advise the Applicant to submit that form.

So the question is: Why hasn't that form been submitted, because NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act is triggered. If that applies to your project, then you must reach out to them. You must notify, not just them, but Office of Environmental Quality Control and other interested agencies.

These are the requirements under Hawaii Administrative Rules.

Q That would be a requirement if A, there were waters of the United States located anywhere;

and B, we were going to be doing something within the
waters of the United States, that would be some how
be exempt under the Clean Water Act.

Jumping to the second part. What action do you see described in the EIS that indicates that there are any proposed activities within what you're alleging are waters of the United States?

I know this is a burden. Point me to it in the EIS?

A So if you look at my written testimony, the biggest one that sticks out has to do with the highway, which I can also address, but the 160-foot wide bridge that you plan to construct over the Olowalu Stream, that would, to me, require 404 permit and it would trigger environmental review under the national act. This is on page 234 of the Final EIS.

Q If in fact there was a dredge and fill versus --

A Well --

Q -- construction that didn't involve actually putting --

A Well, if --

Q -- anything into the water to --

MS. ERICKSON: Would you please not talk over each other? She needs to get each of your

testimony.

THE WITNESS: But I also site to federal regulation 33 C.F.R. which defines discharge of fill material to generally include placement of fill that is necessary for the construction of any structure or infrastructure in the water of the United States, the building of any structure, infrastructure requiring rock, sand, dirt or other material for its construction.

And, again, this is my best interpretation of what the Applicant has put into its Final EIS.

But if you're going to construct 160-foot wide bridge over the Olowalu Stream at a different mauka location so that you can fulfill the realignment part of your project of your town plan, then, yes, according to this regulation, you need to get a 404 permit.

Q (By Ms. Lim): Just to clarify, are you under the impression that the bridge itself will be 160 feet wide?

A It's going to go over -- this might be a question for clarification.

I'm just looking at what was stated.

That's my understanding is that it would have to -well, the right-of-way is going to be 160 feet,
right?

Q So, again, just to wrap it up, I think we acknowledge -- I'm supposed to be asking you questions.

Do you acknowledge that at this point there is no -- there is been no jurisdictional determination indicating that there are waters of the United States?

- A By the Army Corps.
- Q Correct.

- A Yes. That is not in the FEIS, and it should be, as the Army Corps directed that the Applicant do.
- Q Do you acknowledge that the Army Corps of Engineers has been communicated with throughout the EIS process?
- A Actually I don't have knowledge about throughout. I just know of that initial letter, and of course, if Applicant has had communications, then that wasn't reflected in the Final report, and it should be, right? That's another requirement of the HR.
- Q I think that's something that we can definitely -- the consultant when we're putting on our actual case, will address.

But to go back to our Q and A.

So there has been correspondence with the
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. There hasn't been any
determination that there is waters of the United

States.

My third question is: At this point, when the Applicant and the EIS is describing a highway project that in no way states that it's going to be using waters of the United States, that it will be dredging and filling, and I note that even though the list of entitlements say this is a possibility, in the same way that I mean if all of a sudden, you know, several endangered species shows up on the property, obviously, that would change the scenario as well. Anything can happen.

But there is no indication in the text of the EIS that building the bridge would involve actually doing dredge and fill within the waters of the United States?

A The dredge -- well, those terms were not used. But the way the project is described, and, again, looking at Olowalu Stream and not just the stream, but comparing the maps that Hobby prepared for your EIS, and also Figure 4 itself, which is very vague about what parts of the highway will be -- or the remnant highway after you do the mauka

realignment, what parts will be extracted, that would also trigger the need for dredge and fill because road extraction looks like it will be occurring above the Kapa'iki Fishpond pipe, which oddly again, using the Army Corps' form says is jurisdictional water of the United States.

So, yeah, my reading is that if all of these activities are going to take place, and they're components of your Master Plan, yes, you do need to apply for 404 permit. And at the very least contact Army Corps, submit the jurisdictional determination because the National Environmental Policy Act must be implemented now at the early time.

These are key policies of both federal law and Hawaii environmental laws which this Commission has a duty to uphold.

Q I couldn't agree with you more. And when the EIS process in 2010 clearly there has been no shortage of efforts on the part of the Applicant to make contact with the various agencies.

And for that reason, at this stage, anticipating the development as described in the EIS, the Applicant has no reason to believe that 404 permit would be required.

I'm going to move to the second topic,

because I know we're taking up a lot of time and I think also these people may have several questions for you.

That was the highway. Something else that you brought up.

And I'm going to ask you if you would, please, clarify for me what you're saying is that the highway right now runs along the coast. We're going to be realigning that, and the EIS is ripe with explanations about how we're going to be moving and creating a new highway alignment further mauka and leaving this area down here.

So do you suggest in your letter and in your testimony that that relocation that's going to somehow require NEPA compliance?

A Yes. Statements in the Final EIS that say that the project or the master plan is dependent on having realignment. I believe the term that was used -- I can look for it -- but that the highway realignment for the Olowalu Master Plan would be in conjunction with the DOT and Federal Highway Administration, Maalaea to Launiupoko widening realignment project.

So if it's in conjunction with, then it's a necessary element of the plan and that's critical,

because right now as we speak there is an EIS process underway for that Ma'alaea to Launiupoko project.

3 And it's a joint effort between both another state

4 agency DOT, as well as the Federal Highway

5 Administration and Federal Highway Administration

6 issue is key, because if there is federal assistance

7 involved, even federal funding, this is a federal

8 highway, that's a necessary component of the your

9 plan, then that triggers environmental review.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you look at the January 26th letter this year from the DOT, they advised the Applicant to incorporate documents from their EIS into this EIS.

Even -- I mean, this is critical information that is being compiled by joint efforts with the federal agencies with all the federal resources, expertise about impacts, and they list, including endangered species, including cultural rights and cultural important sites.

I mean they have this -- it's stated in their letter. And I also point out that they have told the Applicant in a more recent letter attached I believe to your memorandum, counsel, dated

November 9, 2015, that they wanted portions or references in the final statement that reference or state that the DOT requires or approved various

actions of the Olowalu Town. They're saying that should be deleted from the text.

So that suggests to me that they're not behind this project. And there are multiple signs saying wait, we have information, please incorporate the information that we have.

Q I think that we're maybe going a little farther afield and doing some interpretation of the DOT letter. And the interpretation of DOT November 6th letter, it's irrelevant to the issue of whether or not there is any federal funding that's planned for the portion of the relocated highway that's within the Olowalu Town Master Plan area.

Now, are you aware of any federal funds that have been appropriated or that are even in active current budget for the highway relocation within the Olowalu Town Master Plan area?

A No. But I'm aware it is designated a federal aid highway, and that the Federal Highway Administration is a part of that joint environmental review.

Q Are you aware that that environmental review, the one you mentioned earlier, the status of that environmental review?

A Am I aware of the status? I just spoke

with the environmental engineer at the Federal
Highway Administration, and she told me that it is
still being compiled, and that the State DOT is still
reviewing it. But it's an on-going process. They've
been working on it. I think the federal notice went
out back in 2012.

Q The Applicant is speaking in my ear but he's actually on the task force that was looking at doing that highway EIS, and in fact, it's -- just confirming that in 2008 was the last time that there was a meeting. So that EIS has, for all effective purposes, terminated, and there aren't any funds right now.

Now, that's not to say there aren't any funds anywhere along the great length of highway that's proposed for relocation, but we are only talking about -- the only thing we care about is what is happening within the Olowalu Master Plan area. There are no federal funds set aside, and no federally sponsored or federally coordinated EIS document or NEPA document at this point in time. That's why when we looked through the EIS -- let me again go back to the question mode.

You perhaps noticed that the budget or the infrastructure, those costs that are stated in the

EIS include funds for building the relocated highway. 1 2 You took note of that? I'm sorry, the funds --3 The projected cost for the highway 4 5 relocation. And did you take note of whether or not the Applicant indicated that those funds would come 6 7 from any federal source or from any state source? No. I pointed out in my written submittal 8 9 that is a fact that is not discussed and that it 10 should be, because if the Applicant is down the road 11 going to rely on federal funds like federal 12 assistance, then it should be stated now, so that an 13 environmental review could happen. 14 But I do want to correct one thing. 15 EIS, again, looking at January 26, 2015 letter from 16 the State Department of Transportation, that in paragraph eight references an EIS for the Ma'alaea to 17 18 Launiupoko realignment. 19 Is it your contention this is a 20 misstatement on the part of DOT? That the agencies 2.1 are not --22 I do need another minute to respond to you. 23 I don't know to what extent we are going to 24 go back and forth.

THE WITNESS: I wanted to correct what I

1	believe was a misstatement. Again, I'm going based
2	off a letter from Department of Transportation.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Additional questions?
4	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair, is it
5	appropriate to perhaps have the Office of Planning
6	have there questions and provide the Applicant time
7	to
8	MS. LIM: We'll stop. Thank you for the
9	opportunity for the back and forth. We're finished.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Hopper?
11	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Yee?
13	MR. YEE: Just a few questions.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. YEE:
16	Q Thank you for submitting your testimony.
17	Bryan Yee, Deputy Attorney General for the
18	Office of Planning.
19	I just wanted to better understand the
20	letter which I got today. I tried to read it as best
21	I could.
22	For my questions I would like you to assume
23	for the moment that NEPA is going to be triggered by
24	something.
25	So as I read this letter, if NEPA is

triggered, then a notification to the relevant federal agency is required under state rules, correct?

A Yes.

Q And then it says that the state agency should cooperated or must cooperate with relevant federal agency; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, here's the part that I'm trying to get a better hold of.

Are you saying that in this situation there must be a joint NEPA EIS prepared?

A There must be joint environmental review at this stage. It's very likely because -- I mean, given all of the time and effort put into the EIS, the fact that it is considered a significant impact on the environment, basically because of the state side an EIS is required, there is a great likelihood that one may be required on the federal side.

But the rules say joint cooperation. So environmental review. The bottom line is if NEPA applies, then they need to coordinate with that responsible federal agency and that responsible federal agency, in this case Army Corps and Federal Highway Administration would then determine whether

there may be a federal action that significantly affects the environment.

 ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt What\ I\ want\ to\ get\ a\ better\ understanding}$ then is the cooperation, is the term I think used in the rule.

Does that cooperation mean that the state EIS must be held up for federal action for the federal environmental relief?

A I don't want to say that it should be held up, but its a process that should have started long ago when the state process began. And really the key is the rule that says that when a Commission or when an agency is determining whether to accept an EIS, that all procedures must have been satisfactorily complied with. And in this case it wasn't, because of 11-200-25, which, again, when NEPA requires joint environmental review.

Q And I guess it's the review part versus the word "cooperation" that I'm trying to get a hold of.

So if the federal government is not currently doing environmental review, for the moment Corps of Engineers is not, so if the federal government is not currently doing an environmental review, but the state agency is before us as we have in this case a prepared proposed Final EIS.

I understand the need to cooperate, but why does term "cooperate" mean joint environmental review?

A Because that rule, again, Section 25 that talks about cooperation to the fullest extent possible, including the preparation of joint EIS's and concurrent review periods.

That modifies the meaning of what cooperation, it helps you to define what cooperation means.

So in this case, given, again, the controversy over the impact; the fact that an EIS is required state-side, likely going to be required federal-side, and that's what needs to take place.

Now, you asked earlier about the holding up the state side. I think it can just be viewed as, yes, you cannot accept this EIS at this stage because it's not in compliance with the rule that requires joint cooperation with the federal agencies.

It's not a matter of holding up anything, just you have to comply with the rules and you cannot accept this EIS because it didn't follow procedural rules under Section 25 of Title 11.

Q Does the facts of case, are they impacted at all by federal government Act 4, in other words,

federal government asks you to work with them, does that result in one -- does that one result and the federal government says -- I ask you to conduct it with them, does that result in a different -- does that have a different result?

A No. I want to be clear too. On the federal side, their regulation actually mirror our regulations state side, because NEPA requires that the federal agencies cooperate jointly with state agencies and applicants in the preparation or environmental review.

So one federal agency couldn't just say, no, we're not going to coordinate review with you, but two, if they did, it still doesn't make the process correct. It still doesn't cure the illegality of this non-joint cooperation in the preparation of a document.

Q So if the federal agency says, I'm not ready to go yet, but if you guys want to go forward with your state side, do whatever you like, does that mean that the federal agency is no longer cooperating and, therefore, some violation if the state process moves forward?

A If the federal agencies had said that they would not cooperate, then there would be a violation

of federal law, which is why I'm saying that should not occur now. Is a federal agency going to say that? I don't know. One could. Federal agencies make mistakes all the time. But to answer that question, yes, they should not. They would have to cooperate. Q From what I'm hearing you say then, the term "cooperation" is going to be synonymous with concurrent environmental review.

In other words, you're reaching this conclusion because a concurrent environmental review and cooperation are the same thing in this?

A Reading the entire rule, right, requirement.

Q Okay. Thank you for the explanation.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any
questions? Commissioner Wong.

VICE CHAIR WONG: I have a question.

Reading the draft EIS, you stated there was no communication between Army Corps of Engineers and the Applicant? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: There was no cooperation. So this is getting to Mr. Yee's question.

Cooperation is the legal term that's used in the rule. There definitely was communication.

1 There was consultation. The Applicant did reach out 2 to numerous agencies when it was preparing or issuing 3 the preparation notice for the draft EIS. And at 4 that time the Army Corps did issue a letter again advising them to submit jurisdictional determination 5 6 form, to also contact their office to determine 7 whether dredge and fill type of work would be taking place. But that's not cooperation under the rule 8 11-200-25. 9 10 VICE CHAIR WONG: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Scheuer. VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Aloha. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Trying to wrap my head around this significant issue and want to not take up 15 16 time that you're you could be questioned more by the 17 petitioner. 18 THE WITNESS: Right, and you and I know 19 there is a lot of people waiting. 20 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mahalo, I guess the 21 question I have is fairly simple. 22 You spent a lot of time reviewing the EIS, 23 correct? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, and the FEIS. 25 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: And you weren't able

to determine from the information that was in the FEIS whether or not definitively that there were federal triggers, but it appeared to you that there might be. Is that a correct summary?

THE WITNESS: Wait. Now, are we talking about draft?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: The Final. I can restate it if you would like me to.

What I heard in your oral summary of written testimony is that you did your best by reviewing the FEIS to figure out whether or not federal -- I'm not going to get the legal terms right -- cooperation or were involved but it appeared that way from the information in the FEIS and that's the basis of your testimony is that 404 permit is going to be required?

THE WITNESS: Yes, 404. Based on my review of the FEIS 404 is required. It could have been more clearly stated in the FEIS. That's another inadequacy that diverges from what --

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So my concern is that if we argued for a moment that Ms. Lim's questioning was sort of sufficient and no it doesn't say definitively in the EIS, FEIS that there is going to be a federal permit, but we have two sort of

brilliant minds sort of arguing over whether or not a federal permit is required.

Would you believe that that then sort of would trigger the other part of our ability to render a decision that this is acceptable because there is a lack of clarity?

THE WITNESS: Yes, most definitely, because you as the Commission have to find that the procedures have been met to your satisfaction. And again, if there's a disagreement between not a party, but, you know, as an attorney and two legal interpretations, and if you can't come to a determination, then, yes, I don't know how you could be satisfied that the procedures have been met.

And at least you could require the Applicant to clarify whether it would need a permit and whether they have the obligation to then notify the Army Corps. And the Army Corps again is just one, and I'm not saying that I don't believe they need one. I believe they need one based on the information in the EIS.

But, again, knowing the other agencies that should be cooperated with in the preparation of the EIS, the Federal Highway Administration. This is a part of their plan. Highway realignment is a federal

1 project.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you for your response. That's it for me.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Wong.

VICE CHAIR WONG: I just wanted to ask the Executive Officer, you know, it's getting late in the day. We have all these people. How much mow witnesses?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We're at number 50 and just received another 15, so close to 100 people.

VICE CHAIR WONG: You know, this issue, all these testifiers on this list, do we have enough time to make a very good decision? Or what will happen if we postpone it? What is the ramifications?

MS. ERICKSON: Under the Hawaii

Administrative -- under HAR 11-223(d) the Commission
has 30 days in which to make a determination, and if
the Commission does not act to accept or reject it,
it is deemed automatically accepted after 30 days.

MS. LIM: What Ms. Erickson said is perfectly 100 percent correct, but I do want to add -- and this is also written in the letter that we submitted to the Commission this morning -- that the 11-200-23(d) does require or does obligate the agency to make a decision within 30-days. However, there is

an ability, if the Applicant -- at the Applicant's request -- and that was the purpose of our letter -- if the Applicant requests an extension, the agency can choose to extend that decision-making deadline by up to 15 days.

MS. ERICKSON: The rule says that the extension shall not be allowed merely for convenience of the accepting authority. So it has to be to allow you to be able to do something.

MS. LIM: I agree with Deputy AG entirely on that.

VICE CHAIR WONG: So I have a question, because of this issue that came up with the federal, do you need more time to research it?

MS. LIM: May I answer that question by answering a few questions that she didn't ask, which is the heart of it, is we would like more time and that was the purpose of amending the letter that we submitted to the Commission this morning.

We would like more time for the reasons expressed in that letter which includes the fact that the Office of State Planning filed something very late yesterday, and one of the concerns was that the Office of State Planning expressed was that the public hadn't had sufficient time to review the

1 | traffic report, so these 15 days would give --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We got a letter this morning. Are you saying that? Are you asking for the 15-day extension?

MS. LIM: We are asking for the 15-day extension. However, we are not asking for the 15-day extension solely because -- we were asking for that extension before discussion about the federal trigger because, frankly, we don't believe that there are any federal triggers.

If we start getting into the realm of speculation, at some point five, ten, 15, 20 years from now there may be a federal trigger, then we are never, ever going to get to decision-making.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Is that the only reason?

MS. LIM: The reason we would like the extension are so that we can have Mr. Steve Dollar, who is a marine water quality expert, come and respond to questions that the Commission may have about his study. And he's actually out of the country right now doing some research work.

Also so that the reasons expressed in our letter, I won't bore you by repeating all that, but we did make that request prior to this discussion

with Maui Tomorrow about possible federal triggers, 1 2 and we would like the Commission to do that. 3 It is not solely for the Commission's 4 convenience so that there can be more information provided to the Commission, more opportunity for the 5 public to review the material posted on the 6 7 Commission's website October 27th. So it is for the Applicant's convenience, 8 9 also for the public's convenience and to allow more 10 free flow of information and questioning from the Commission. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The Chair would, like 13 to take a short break. 14 (Recess was taken.) 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Back on the record. 16 Is there any more questions for the 17 testifier? Commissioner Estes. 18 COMMISSIONER ESTES: My question is for Ms. 19 Lim. Is she coming back? 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else for the 21 testifier? Can you wait for her presentation for 22 your question? 23 COMMISSIONER ESTES: If you want to hear 24 somebody else now, fine. 25 This has to do with the request for

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

extension of time. And I'm going to refer to your 1 2 letter this morning where you talk about the response 3 of OP's last minute letter. And you say, nevertheless, OP's last minute letter. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes, can 6 we cover that later? 7 COMMISSIONER ESTES: No, I truly want to ask this question now. 8 9 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just in deference to 10 the witness, do you have any more questions for the witness? 11 12 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I'm sorry. 13 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: You're done, thank 14 you. COMMISSIONER ESTES: Anyway, you talk about 15 16 the considerable amount of written testimony 17 submitted to the Commission regarding the FEIS leads 18 us to anticipate that the Commission may desire more 19 time to review. 20 Now, I thought you were asking for more 21 time, but now you're saying it's the Commission. 22 MS. LIM: We are asking for more time. 23 There is no equivocation on that. 24 One of the reasons why we anticipated, when

we wrote this letter early this morning, that more

25

time would assist us in providing this Commission with ample opportunity to hear more information, hear the public testimony, hear from our consultant, so the Commission can have more time to hear more about the Final EIS.

It's our request, but in part it is to facilitate a decision making that we would obviously like you to reach.

COMMISSIONER ESTES: My other question is you said your water expert that you wanted us to hear from is in Samoa. Did you anticipate all along that you were going to ask for extension?

MS. LIM: No, and that's a fair question. We only just found that out -- I only just got back to the country myself.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes, please bear with me. We're going to take those issues, but can we just move this? I'll give sometime tomorrow to do that.

I just want to kind of make a statement in light of really the we really do want to move through the testimony, because we don't want to -- because of press of time, like our legal counsel saying, if we cannot make decision, it's automatic approval. We don't want that to happen, so we really need your

help to really get this thing going. Lot of public testimony today, and then we come back tomorrow. We going to take the motion of the extension and we can deliberate those motions.

We want to get the public testimony going. The one on the list. So I really need think your cooperation. We want to make this hearing very efficient, so please help us out, because like I said, we don't want automatic approval. We want to deliberate and look at all the facts of evidence.

If not, we don't make that deadline, it's automatic approval. Okay. I really would appreciate when you guys come to the witness box, if the testimony or representative of somebody else make those testimony already, please, you can waive your testimony. And if you want to go to the witness box, you can just say in support or opposition and we will recognize that on the record.

Thank you. Commissioners, anything else to add? Thank you. Mr. Orodenker.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: In an effort to move this along, I'll name the next four witnesses.

Austin Kalama, Mike Foley, Joe Kent, Frank Caprioni.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the

1 truth?

2.1

THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 AUSTIN KALAMA

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Austin Kalama from 808 Kula.

My name is Austin Kalama. I'm 17 years old. I was born and raised on Maui. I am a Hawaiian descendant. I am kanaka maoli. I'm here to ask you, please, revise the current EIS for Olowalu development.

I've been surfing here at Olowalu as long as I can remember. I love the ocean and everything Olowalu is my most favorite place on Maui, an absolutely beautiful part of the island and if it gets developed, it will be lost there for people. The reef well be destroyed.

I surf Olowalu, look at the mountains, see
the beauty of this island. I don't want to see
houses and houses covering once beautiful mountains.
I want my kids and their kids to surf the waves and
see how beautiful Olowalu is. I want to swim and see

1	amazing reefs, clear water and see fish, not dead
2	coral and trash on the dead reef.
3	That's all I have to say.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
5	MS. LIM: No.
6	MR. HOPPER: No.
7	MR. YEE: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
9	you. Please call on the next testifier. Mike Foley
10	followed by Joe Kent followed by Frank Caprioni,
11	followed by Susan Bradford.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that your
13	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	MIKE FOLEY
16	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
20	and address.
21	THE WITNESS: Mike Foley, 3625 Piakea, in
22	Makawao.
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
24	THE WITNESS: I live Up Country now, but I
25	lived in West Maui for more than ten years and drove

———McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

through Olowalu almost daily. I am Vice President of Maui Tomorrow, and our attorney has already spoken to you Summer Kupau-Odo today. However, I am speaking as an individual, and Summer is speaking for Maui Tomorrow.

12 years ago I was the Country Planning
Director. I have appeared before this body on
numerous occasions as an expert witness, and I have
advised these developers for 12 years not to proceed
with this project.

The Final Environmental Impact report or statement does not comply with Hawaii Administrative Rules Title 11, Chapter 200. And I agree with the State Office of Planning that the EIS should not be accepted. That's an easy way for you to conclude the this hearing tomorrow, reject this final Environmental Impact Statement.

I submitted comments in 2012. The responses to my comments on the Final EIS were inadequate. They were ambiguous. They were vague. Now, we're reviewing an EIS that is still incomplete and should not be accepted by this Commission.

I submitted a letter to you several days ago with examples of the inadequacy of this Final EIS, but I'll mention just a few of them. Traffic,

for example, we have approximately 900 ohana units in addition to the 1500 houses that are discussed in the report. So it's not 1500, it's 2400, and that makes a huge difference, not only in traffic, but also in schools and water and a lot of other issues.

The State Department of Transportation says there are many unresolved issues. Their letter raises issues about the alignment, about land acquisition, about highway funding, and concludes that they are not satisfied that these issues have been resolved.

Also the cumulative impacts have not been adequately analyzed, especially with respect to the many projects approved in West Maui. There are 12,000 units already approved in Maui. There are many, many projects already approved that can provide housing. And affordable housing will not be provided by this project because of the incredible infrastructure cost and HOA maintenance fees that will be necessary for this project.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: The schools in West Maui are already overcrowd. The fire station is far too far away. The mitigation is incomplete in the Final EIS because they have not completely addressed all the

1 mitigation necessary.

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any

3 questions for the testifier.

MS. LIM: No.

MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Very briefly.

Mr. Foley, can you just expand, because I believe your earlier letter to us talked specifically about not normal fire situation but wildfires.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Anybody who has driven through West Maui on numerous occasions like I have has been stopped by not only traffic accidents but also wildfires. There have been a number of wildfires between Launiupoko and Ma'alaea that have stopped the traffic in both directions for hours and hours.

There is no fire station obviously in Olowalu, it's miles away in Lahaina. Even if these guys provide land for a fire station, it would cost millions to build a fire station and to provide the employees. I see no commitment to solve the fire station problem or the school problem.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any other 2 Commissioners? Next testifier, please. 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Joe Kent followed by Frank Caprioni followed by Susan Bradford followed by 4 5 Akiana Kulama. 6 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 7 that your testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 JOE KENT 11 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 15 and address, and please proceed with your testimony. 16 THE WITNESS: My name Joe Kent and I live 17 in Honolulu. I come you to you today as an individual, as a former Lahaina school teacher. 18 19 have taught at King Kamehameha III in Lahaina, and I 20 neither support nor oppose the EIS, I just would like 21 to speak to the process. 22 Many people are here saying we don't have 23 enough teachers to fulfill the demand. I was a 24 public school teacher in Lahaina, and during that 25 time I saw my rent going up by hundreds of dollars in the past few years. I saw my friends becoming homeless, and eventually I was forced to leave Maui and move away because of the high cost of living and the lack of housing and lack of opportunity.

Just before I left, I made a documentary exploring this issue, but also exploring the environmental impact of the Olowalu Town project. Me and some friends got a shoe-string budget together explored the project.

And I wanted to raise some questions that we considered. First, who will save Olowalu? Nobody denies that Olowalu is in great danger even before development. In talking to the environmental experts for the video, which can be found, by the way, on Maui Now dot com, I think.

In talking to environmentalist, they found that the reef is in danger right now today because of the storm water runoff problems created by poor government planning in this area.

The Olowalu project EIS demonstrates a plan that may save Olowalu. It may not save Olowalu, but it may save Olowalu from the problems we see today.

Second, who is better at caring for the land? Often we find that lands that are owned and spoken for by everybody, are often taken care of by

1 nobody. Often we see in public parks and public 2 spaces, which are poorly taken care of and we have 3 all seen this. In Olowalu I do see the interest to take 4 care of the land here, and fix the problems of the 5 6 past. 7 Third, again, the choice is between creating more housing or saving the environment. 8 9 What if the answer is to do both? What if there is a 10 middle ground approach that may accomplish goals of both groups? 11 12 I'm not convinced that denying the project 13 by the way. Will save the reef either. The whole 14 reason that they want to move the road in the first 15 place is to deal with environmental problems because 16 the road is too close to the ocean right now. So I 17 would just like to --18 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 19 THE WITNESS: -- keep this process open. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the 22 testifier? 23 MS. LIM: No questions.

MR. YEE: No.

MR. HOPPER: No.

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
2	Thank you. Next.
3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Frank Caprioni
4	followed by Susan Bradford.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
6	testimony that you are about to give is the truth?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
8	FRANK CAPRIONI
9	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
10	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. State your
13	name AND address for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: Frank Caprioni, 801 Olowalu.
15	I'm here representing my wife and two children who
16	couldn't make it today. Thank you for your time
17	today.
18	I am here today to please ask the
19	Commission to not accept this Final EIS. The law
20	clearly states that the EIS shall fully declare the
21	environmental implications of the proposed action and
22	shall discuss all relevant and feasible consequences.
23	Instead the EIS relies on assumptions that
24	nothing the project does will cause any harm. And we
25	know that not to be true, especially in the face of

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

natural disasters we have seen in other land developments where accident happens like in upper Mahana

There are many about Olowalu's fragile ecosystem that the EIS leaves out. One, they failed to acknowledge the federally protected nene live, nest and feed in Olowalu. DLNR has been keeping accounts of this since 2012 of the nene nesting in Olowalu, and some locals have been keeping track even longer.

The EIS fails to knowledge the endangered monk seal that I have personally seen frequent Olowalu, especially landing where there is a proposed hotel.

The EIS also fails to acknowledge the endangered mother reef system that a lot of people would say is what makes Olowalu so special. And the reef has already been under a lot of stress and there are very obvious signs of that.

The EIS even states the developer will benefit the reef which is ludicrous, especially the way that West Maui Land has managed the land so far, next to Peter Martin's house which is 150 feet from the shoreline. We have pictures of it, an empty and we had been told it had been like that for a couple

months. And I can show you that picture if you like.

And they have previously been cited before for not doing proper dust protection at the house next to them.

And coincidentally the reef out in front of the house has been observed from scientists and local fishermen to have to have heavy sediment on the reef, and is completely choking it out. And there's only two houses built there.

The reef system is the last of its kind in Hawaii and should be one of our major goals to preserve it and the ecosystem that it encompasses, and not take any shortcuts in doing so.

I have personally tried to work with West
Maui Land to address these issues, especially when
there has been a lot of research and education into
the reef and how to protect them. And when I have
tried to contact West Maui Land and manager, I would
either get ignored, called a complainer and even
threatened by their field manager.

As a neighbor, it's hard for me to believe that they would truly do the right thing when they can't even property manage the land now.

Olowalu is also prime ag land. I know this because I farm there. I have never once seen West

Maui Land attempt to grow anything in Olowalu, but they say it's not feasible. How do you know if you do not try? Olowalu could be amazing place for local people, they could grow their own food and provide for the great of the community. Especially when we import 85 to 90 percent of our food, and we talk about creating sustainable jobs. Funny.

We need as many farmers and farmland as possible to create sustainable jobs to provide healthy food.

Olowalu could truly be an amazing example of example of what an ahupua'a could look like in this modern day. But feel the development plans are the furthest thing from that, 300 to 350,000 square feet of commercial space, basically the size of three Maui malls in Olowalu.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Basically my summary is that this EIS is completely flawed. They don't talk about neighbors that I live next to. Never once has anyone come and talked to me. So I'm completely against this.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions, Ms. Lim?

MS. LIM: No.

MR. HOPPER: No.

MR. YEE: No Commissioners. 1 2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Susan Bradford. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the 4 5 truth? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 SUSAN BRADFORD Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 8 9 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record and 12 13 proceed. 14 THE WITNESS: My name is Susan Bradford. I'm at 588 Kaleo Street in Kihei. I want to thank 15 16 you for being here today. This has been a long day 17 for all of us. 18 I am here to ask you to deny this EIS. And 19 I'm here because for several years I was part of the 20 Pali to Puamana task force. We created this document 21 that looked at the area from Pali to Puamana about 22 what the future might look like especially with the 23 land use and especially with the roads. 24 The vision was to create an eight-mile

corridor of bike and hiking trails, camping along the

25

coastline. Moving the road to mauka. And really creating a long space that would be open to the public.

This development is anything but that. One of the task force was to create a proposed realignment of the highway to increase road capacity and to increase open space preserve, as I've said.

The magnitude of this project and its potential impacts with the development slated for the area, it's important that a new road be designated first, and agreed on first before any development is approved.

The Pali to Puamana plan is only partially described in this FEIS, and important parts are left out, which is why I'm asking you to please deny this request.

The EIS does not mention that the Pali to Puamana task force came up with -- we came up with three potential roadways. We chose the one in between. They chose a different road. And it was different from the one we discussed.

EIS's are supposed to divulge the steps and explain why an alternative route was chosen. The preferred route was further makai and included beach parks on the north end of Olowalu, where the 16 acres

are for sale, and the south end makai of the existing road.

The EIS does not mention that the

Launiupoko to Ma'alaea relocation of Honoapiilani

Highway is a state and federal project, and Olowalu

will need to accommodate federal standards for the

road. The whole topic is avoided.

State Department of Transportation comment letter asked that the FEIS discussion include both, but they are missing.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

asked for open space makai of the road. This is not indicated in any significant way on the map. We ask for a public -- the Pali to Puamana public access for people all along the coast. This is not addressed in the EIS. And in general, it does not really discuss how Olowalu Town follows the recommendation of the Pali to Puamana plan.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for the testifiers? Commissioners? Thank you.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

2.1

1 ORIANA KALAMA

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Oriana Kalama, 1633 West Kuiaha.

I'm here to speak on behalf of my organization and our 547,000 supporters. These are worldwide supporters. We are an ocean defender foundation. I would like to share one of the mottos, because it's very relevant to this issue. One of our mottos is the fight to save the ocean begins on land. This is very pertinent at the moment.

So I'm here to ask you to please, please reject the Environmental Impact Study performed by this project. I was really confused and shocked to read the sentence in the EIS performed by Marine Research Consultants. It stated that there is no rationale to indicate the potential for negative impacts to the marine environment. And I believe this is a very incorrect statement.

Now, have you heard of the accidents of the prior developments, and they are not examples of

something positive.

I would like to invite you to please help
me find a project of this magnitude anywhere on earth
that has not caused harm or detriment to marine life
ecosystems. I insist that you look because such
projects don't really exist. Do you have any
examples of your prior work that has no impact to the
reefs or has a benefit to the reefs because if you
have that, I would like to share that with the world
because we need that kind of projects, but I believe
your project does not cover that.

So, yeah, have you heard of accidents too, because those happen too when you plan the best, those things happen.

So here are some of the facts regarding the effects of this type of project on our reefs.

Coastal construction can cause chronic sedimentation, industrial discharge and changes in water flow and runoff which can adversely affect coral growth rates and metabolic activities as well as directly kill our corals.

Pollutants from such projects can also lead to increase in coral disease and mortality. It can cause changes in coral community structure and in impede coral growth reproduction and larval

settlement.

The degradation of coral reefs can result in the loss of tourism revenue, which is our number one source of income on the island. The degradation of our reefs will also cause loss of fish.

We are now currently witnessing the third global bleaching event ever on record and our reefs at Olowalu are being affected by this bleaching as well.

This project could potentially destroy this fragile reef ecosystem. It is very important that we do not add any more stress to this reef. We firmly believe that this project poses a big threat to the health of the reefs at Olowalu.

We do not need to look far to see the destruction of our reefs caused by coastal constructions. I gave you five examples, two from your own company. So one of the examples I want to share is Ma'alaea, because the Maui Center they did how many years ago?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: So summarizing, I suggest that the developers consider honoring the proper use and meaning of the word ahupua'a. It's a beautiful process that needs to be brought back for the benefit

1 of the people of Hawaii. It's not a term to be 2 abused, so please reject the EIS. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions of the testifier? Commissioners? Thank you. 4 5 THE WITNESS: May I ask on behalf of 6 myself? I spoke on behalf of our organization. 7 Take me one second. I want to share an 8 anecdote it. Before I was elected, I was a private 9 chef. So I actually cater for the people who own 10 this property. I baked at peoples' home and fed them 11 dinners. It's an amazing property. 12 I don't know this for a fact, but I have a 13 feeling that these people have enough money to 14 provide food for not only their children but their children's children, grandchildren, perhaps 7 15 16 generations down. 17 Please consider the livelihood of the 18 people of Hawaii before you destroy the reefs. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 20 testimony you're about to give is the truth? 2.1 THE WITNESS: I do. 22 JOHN FITZPATRICK 23 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 24 truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

25

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name an address.

THE WITNESS: John Fitzpatrick, address 715
S. Kihei Road, Apartment 230.

I have a BS in marine biology and Master's degree in zoology where I studied the ecology of coral reef organisms. I am opposed to approving the Olowalu Town development EIS because it's inadequate and fails to address the communities concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fire, tsunami, and degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

I also, starting last week, I asked people to sign a petition to help reject this plan. So as of this morning, we had somewhere around 1600 people sign, and right now almost 2000 people. So I would like to share that as well. All around the world. 700 people from Lahaina, because they know how bad the traffic will be during the development period.

I do back a lot of it. I can back a lot of what people said, but I'll only choose a little bit.

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the FEIS, Volume I, part 2, page 22, section B, the proposed EIS says: There

are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the master plan for alternatives one and two.

This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway.

And in addition, they choose to exclude the endangered Hawaiian monk seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area.

What happens to these resting areas when 4,000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems. They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20 plus turtles.

And lastly they don't include the how the development has on rare species of coral that are being proposed to be placed on endangered species list as we speak.

In addition to endangered coral species, this developed coral ecosystem on the island. The last well-developed coral reef ecosystem on the island. You 700year old kupuna coral -- and there is scientific evidence to that -- that do seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants, Inc., who claim the retention

1	basin, 1700 homes up to 3000 cars, wastewater sewage
2	treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional
3	fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the
4	largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef
5	ecosystem.
6	Coral reef experts from across the globe
7	have told us that this development will exacerbate
8	the affects of global climate change and ocean
9	acidification. They say that this development will
10	drive the priceless coral reef down the slippery
11	slope of slime.
12	Thank you very much, and I really urge you
13	to reject this Environmental Impact Statement.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next
15	testifier.
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Lani Eckart-Dodd, Dr.
17	Keli'i Akina.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that you
19	will tell the truth?
20	THE WITNESS: I affirm that everything I
21	say is truthful.
22	KELI'I AKINA
23	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the

DIRECT EXAMINATION

truth, was examined and testified as follows:

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Dr. Keli'i Akina, 335
Merchant Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96802.

Aloha mae kakou, mae ke'aina, my governors, my friends, my kupuna, our elders, president of Grass Roots of Hawaii, also professor at Hawaii Pacific University where I teach the course in Hawaiian Pacific philosophy.

I'm here today to give comments only on the Hawaiian cultural aspects of the EIS. I am a Native Hawaiian kumu, I am kanaka maoli, and today I hope to speak for the aina, for the ahupua'a, but the recognized expert is Dr. George Kanahela who has written of the Hawaiian sense of the placing in his great work ku kanaka.

Accordingly, an ahupua'a is the most comprehensive venue for the Hawaiian sense of place. Far from an untouched nature, a healthy ahupua'a needs intensive human engagement. It needs work. It needs play. It needs residents, and that's what makes it sustainable and makes it productive. But currently the Olowalu ahupua'a is deprived of the intense human activity that it requires in order to be safe and productive.

1 For example, Mr. Foley testified that the 2 vacant land makes it susceptible to brush fires, and 3 many of the problems cited today exist because the land is vacant and not developed. What we need to do is understand that currently there are no parties there to malama the aina. The coral reef itself is 7 endangered because no party is responsible for proper care of the shore and land.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Furthermore, there is no flourishing population there as there were thousands in ancient times.

As you evaluate the Olowalu EIS, I would like you to consider three questions. Number one, kuleana or care taking. Will the Olowalu Town increase the level of care taking of that land, the level of human activity? The level of engagement?

Number two, lokahi or harmony between nature and man. Will the proposed town which will have more than 80 percent open space and greenery and less than 20 percent development, will it fulfill the harmony of man and nature?

And third, ini'ola, will it provide a better life, housing, work and play for a population?

As I look at this, as a kumu of Hawaiian philosophy, from the impact on the culture, I

conclude that the Olowalu Town EIS indeed addresses 1 2 these Hawaiian cultural issues. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 4 THE WITNESS: Mahalo. Do you have any of 5 questions? 6 MS. LIM: No questions, thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 8 you. 9 THE WITNESS: Mahalo. 10 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Dr. Akina, you said 11 that there was -- I think I wrote down your quote 12 correctly -- that there's no parties in Olowalu to 13 malama the land today? 14 THE WITNESS: There are individuals, but I'm talking about the entire ahupua'a, mountain to 15 16 ocean, no particular body or individual that is held fully responsible. The development itself presents 17 18 you with a party that would be held responsible. 19 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I asked because we 20 have heard from many individuals who say they take on 21 that role. And I wanted to make sure that point was 22 clear from the voluminous testimony we have had 23 today. 24 THE WITNESS: We are not talking about just

individuals responsible, but if we were to say who's

1	responsible from the mountain to the ocean to see
2	that the entire region is well-developed, protected
3	and provided for, currently we don't any party
4	responsible.
5	That's part of the reason the runoff damage
6	to coral reef and so forth. So that's a question
7	you'll consider as you look at the EIS: Will this
8	give us a party to hold responsible?
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any other question for
10	Dr. Akina? Thank you, Dr. Akina.
11	THE WITNESS: Mahalo.
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Doris
13	Lang, followed by Gordon Firestein, followed by Peter
14	Fallon.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
16	that the testimony that you are about to give is the
17	truth?
18	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
19	DORIS LANG
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
24	state your name and address for the record.
25	THE WITNESS: My name is Doris Lang, and I

live at 186 Paia Pohaku in Lahaina.

My husband Gordon and I are farmers up in Launiupoko, and we're active members of the Hawaii Farmers Union United, and we are -- or I am against this EIS for the reason that we need more prime agricultural land.

And that part of the EIS statement I see is one of the things -- and maybe it's not supposed to be included -- but the area where they're talking about is an area that is extremely windy. And I know that from living in Launiupoko the wind -- and we need more trees and more things to keep the land that from washing down onto the reef.

We need to keep all of that in, and we need to have plants growing in this area so that we can have sustainable food, and that we can continue to have ag in this region.

I also want to mention that the nene were brought up, and we in Launiupoko. The flight path from the nene go over our house and our property daily. And we have seen an increase of these endangered species because of the nesting grounds that are in Olowalu. And I'm one of the people that signed the petition of the 2000.

And in closing, I just want to say that I

Τ	want to thank you very much for fistening to me. And
2	I really do believe that in your hearts, that if you
3	do follow your heart, you'll know the right thing,
4	the right decision for you, on either side, but
5	follow the heart.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
7	questions?
8	THE WITNESS: And I reject the project.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the
10	testifier? Commissioners? Thank you very much.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Gordon Firestein,
12	followed by Peter Fallon, followed by Gerald Durkan,
13	M.D.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
15	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	GORDON FIRESTEIN
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
22	and address for the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Gordon Firestein, 186 Paia
24	Pohaku Place in Lahaina.
25	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

I am here to oppose the proposed Olowalu

Town development because the Environmental Impact

Statement is inadequate. I'm going to talk today

just to the issue of traffic. The EIS does not

adequately address the impact of putting hundreds and hundreds of additional cars onto a highway that is already overloaded.

There are many pages in the EIS that deal with traffic flow within the project boundaries so-called O-turns, highway realignment and the like.

But if you zoom out far enough beyond the project boundaries, what you see is something akin to a snake that has swallowed an elephant.

Our thin ribbon of highway is constrained more or less permanently by the tunnel in the pali -- suffers frequently from slowdowns simply from the current traffic load and the signal at Launiupoko.

Of course, when there are accidents, which are all too common, and fires as mentioned, the traffic grinds to a halt, sometimes for hours.

No matter how well-designed the traffic flow may be within a master project proposed for Olowalu, the additional traffic it will unload onto the highway cannot in any way make matters better.

1 In fact, the developers cannot possibly 2 address this problem adequately, because there is no 3 practical way to improve the flow along the entire 4 length of highway from the West Side to the rest of 5 the island. 6 We live at the end of what is essentially a 7 very long cul-de-sac, despite the road through 8 Kahakuloa to the north. For all practical purposes, 9 there is one way in and one way out. This project 10 proposes to dump an elephant-sized load of traffic 11 right in the middle of this. 12 There are many reasons the EIS is 13 inadequate, as we have heard today. Failure to 14 address the project impact on traffic on the highway 15 is just one of them. 16 I urge you to reject the EIS and stop this 17 project before it does irreparable harm to the West Side of Maui. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 20 you very much. Next. 2.1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Peter Fallon. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the 23 testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

-000-

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

24

1	PETER FALLON
2	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
3	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
6	state your name and address.
7	THE WITNESS: Peter Fallon, 462 Laulea
8	Place, Paia, Hawaii.
9	I'm here to reject the project and ask you
10	to do the same in your consideration. I will spare
11	you the time, as anything I would have said has been
12	said already, but I do appreciate your time and do
13	you reject this project. Mahalo.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions,
15	Commissioners? Thanks for that short testimony.
16	Next testifier.
17	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
18	about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is
20	GERALD DURKAN
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
25	and address.

THE WITNESS: Gerald Durkan, 1280 Kauhika Road, Haiku.

I am thrilled to be here. I would ask you all to reject this proposal. I have raised eight children here. Two of them have been naturalist with the Pacific Whale Foundation. They spend their whole time educating people on these boats.

I do not think any of the people who will be living there, staying in the hotels and generally passing through will be educated to the extent that they have had even by minimal knowledge.

I can only see pure destruction of this reef. It will be gone. It would be a total shame. Please understand, I would invite all of members -- I didn't realize you're from Oahu until I was just informed. I would ask that you visit this place, spend ten minutes in the water, enjoy it as we do, and understand that it will be gone depending our actions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions, Commissioners? Thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Is Darryl Amarac here?
Kalei Kauhane.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

1 THE WITNESS: Honest is the truth. 2 KALEI KAUHANE 3 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 4 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 7 and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Kalei Kauhane kupuna of Maui. 8 9 Kupuna on Maui Kingdom of Hawaii. 10 E'o. Thank you for staying up with us. 11 Mahalo for your guys eyeballs right now watching you 12 guys all night. We can hold accountable by why 13 Olowalu is the way it is, America and the 14 plantations. They did it do us. They desecrated our 15 lands over through our kingdom, illegally, let's get 16 that right. I pretty sure you guys pretty smart. 17 You guys know our history here, what happened here. 18 I don't have to go one frigging history 19 class up here. We all know what happened. Maui Land 20 frigging stole that land from our people. And when 21 they went out of business, who they sold them to? 22 Peter Martin, right. 23

That is why Olowalu is dry the way it is.

That is why our family is not there any more, not to mention 1789 Metcalf, but killed 199 kahuna. Their

24

descendents supposed to be here today to talk, not just us. Right?

122 years of desecration to this aina and we have one meeting like this. This is bullshit.

You guys should know already, this is hewa. What you guys doing is hewa. Genocide.

All America today did and the plantations did over here was rape our land, kill our people, genocide, our culture, and lie to the world, that's it.

You guys have no right to hold this meeting. Decision should be made by Hawaiians.

Anything that happen here should be made by us, not by you guys. What you guys freaking state, Land Use Commission. What positive you guys did? Nothing.

And you guys like build one freaking town outside there. Do something pono. Go help the families that belong there. Help them get back home so they're not homeless, living in the bushes. Those families belong there by Olowalu.

Still the descendants out there that belong in Olowalu. And you like do something pono, put them home, not in townhouses, not one freaking whatever kind freaking affordable house. Bullshit you guys talking about.

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
2	THE WITNESS: The Kingdom of Hawaii still
3	exists. To all the protectors of Olowalu.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
5	Commissioners? Next testifier, please.
6	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
7	you're about to give is the truth?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MAHIE ATAY
10	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
11	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
14	and address.
15	THE WITNESS: Aloha, I'm Mahie Atay. I
16	currently reside at 2172 Mahina Kea Street where I
17	rent from my cousin just so I can farm.
18	I moved to Mahina a year-and-a-half ago. I
19	quit my job working and catering for the tourist for
20	ten years until I finally have woke up. Akua brought
21	me back home, home where I belong. And I stand here
22	a mahi ai, a new mahi ai.
23	Fortunately my grandparents all were
24	farmers. I was also fortunate enough to be the first
25	cohort of the UHMC farming apprentice mentorship in

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

which we graduated this past Sunday.

We spent seven months learning how to craft natural farming with volunteer mentors. One of my mentors was my uncle, Alika Atay, and James luciano, who both farm in Lahaina, Malakahala Wai.

I lived in Wailuku but I travel to

Launiupoko to farm. I also farm at West Kuiaha in

Haiku as well as at home in Wailuku, and soon to be

Waikapu.

After our fellow cohorts up there, we had the honor to continue in our education. If you folks are really sincere consider that you folks look at this EIS and reject it. You guys choose of all places to develop on prime agricultural lands.

You folks talk about our future. I am the future. We are the future. I played soccer. I grew up with Peter Martin's daughter. It's a shame to come home and find out what has been going on here. I'm saddened and sorry that you have to go through all this.

But we have been taking all this. I'm sorry, kupuna, that you folks have been brainwashed as well, because I have been there. I used to sit on the backside. Why are we doing this? It's no purpose. We keep arguing and fighting and nothing

1 comes across.

2.1

But I sit no longer on the backside, and I stand and sit here in front of everybody. I have to think about a lot, and you know, if we don't, if I don't show the children what our past used to be, we will not -- Hawaii will not be as it is. We will soon be like what Oahu is getting hit with turning into a metropolitan city, I believe.

There's so many already developed land.

And you guys talk about affordable housing. So many empty buildings out here. Why can't we just fix those buildings and turn them into affordable housing?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Summarization is you folks think you folks are helping. Look with your hearts. Put yourself in our position. Our pocket may not be deep but my heart is deeper.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Questions?

Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALBERT PEREZ

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Albert Perez with Maui
Tomorrow Foundation, address 55 North Church Street
in Wailuku.

Thank you for coming. Thank you for serving on the Commission. We do appreciate it.

I represent the Maui Tomorrow Foundation.

We have followed this proposed Olowalu town project

for many years. We submitted comments in 2012 on the

draft EIS, and we recently received some responses.

While we are glad our comments and those of state and county agencies have resulted in some information being added to the Final EIS, we are disappointed that the Final EIS still denies impacts, or omits any discussion of impacts in a number of major areas. And we, therefore ask the LUC not to accept the FEIS until this information is provided as required by HAR 11-200.

We are particularly concerned that many of our comments about the project's potential impacts on public trust practice water resources have been ignored or dismissed. Without accurate and prudent evaluation of impacts to the stream, the aquifer, and

ocean waters of Olowalu, the true impacts of this project on cultural practices, stream health and the nearshore fisheries cannot truly be known. What follows in our comments is an overview of project impacts mentioned in our comments, that are omitted or not dealt with in the FEIS responses.

If you refer to my written testimony, I'm just going to talk about comment number 9, and you have our other comments that have been sent to you.

Maui Tomorrow pointed out many expensive and non-traditional design options are being proposed to prove that there will be no impacts to natural and cultural resources. We pointed out that failure to implement these design options would almost guarantee that serious impacts would occur. These potential impacts were not analyzed. Since the FEIS becomes the defining authority on any impacts, and it says there are none, future review will not be likely to scrutinize the outcomes of what we're talking about.

Olowalu Town response to our comment states that detailed, systematic review at the state and county levels will ensure that impacts to natural and cultural resources are mitigated. This is echoed throughout the FEIS. Unfortunately, the state and county have a serious enforcement problem. Two

examples are right here in West Maui, talked about by earlier testifiers.

2.1

And in our written comments that I submitted to is a picture of a failed silt dust curtain on the shoreline. So this is not stopping anything. I'm sure the audience can't see that.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: We showed also the other one, the Mahana Ridge. Clearly state and county review processes cannot be relied upon to prevent impacts, and the Final EIS should discuss the impacts on that natural and cultural resources if the state and county review and enforcement are ineffective, as they currently are.

Thank you. Please reject the current EIS in its current form.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?

Commissioners? Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Do you believe a FEIS would actually -- sorry, let me step back.

Do you think it's theoretically possible for a project such as the one proposed to have the FEIS that would meet the legal standards of being accepted?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. I think they

need to disclose potential impacts so that
decision-making such as this body have full
information with which to make a decision.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Michele
Lincoln. Iris Riverstone, followed by Jerry
Riverstone, followed by Keahalu Akini, followed by
Eugene de Naie.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

IRIS RIVERSTONE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Iris Riverstone. I live at 2692 Akalani Loop in Pukalani. And I am here to -- I'm here as a citizen, as a kid. I'm not -- I may not have a fancy degree or university education, but I've been to Olowalu, and I've seen how beautiful it is now, and I want to keep it that way. And I don't want a development that will be there with thousands more people than already there and for all the impacts that has cultural, environmental, it's an

amazing reef. I've snorkeled there. It's truly a 1 2 wonderful place to be, and I want it to stay that 3 way. And for those reasons I'm urging you to reject the current EIS. 4 5 And at the very least, demand a more 6 suitable EIS is produced that will more accurately 7 address the issues that we're facing as far as the environmental preservation of this amazing area. 8 9 And I want to see that continue for the 10 rest of my life, and if I have children and their 11 children, I want it to -- I want there to be that 12 beautiful place for them to go and see how it used to 13 be. And I want it to continue to be that way. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you. 15 16 Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 JERRY RIVERSTONE 20 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 21 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

THE WITNESS: Jerry Riverstone. I also

24

25

address for the record.

1 live at 2692 Akalani Loop in Pukalani.

Speaking here as a citizen. Wow, chocked up after that last one. I want to be able to snorkel with Iris and her children as well in that spot.

And I'm here today to urge you to request the FEIS. On a light note, sum it up by saying I want Olowalu to look more like the tablecloth here, than the curtain behind you. I think these tablecloths were modeled after Olowalu.

If you just stand on the beach and look out, look like any old beach, but what we have there and have a responsibility for is something as special as the old growth redwoods in California, the Grand Canyon, anything of that statute.

You go out there -- might be easy to take for granite, but that's something as special as we have the responsibility for.

I do appreciate the sentiment for affordable housing versus sustainable community. I respect all the work that's gone into this proposal. The problem is the location. It's the wrong spot for something like this.

There are 100 spots on Maui that could use something like this, but not something as special as the reef in Olowalu, that's not one of them.

In terms of the study, I think the study is flawed in that it really looks at that one spot as an island as something in isolation. As we heard from the biologist, that's kind of a nursery or seed bed for the other reefs on Maui as far as away as Lanai and I don't think the EIS adequately takes that into account.

Another shortcoming is that the best management practices. I'm very sceptical that they would do job the in the best of times, but Maui has been spared a serious hurricane or tsunami, and I don't think the EIS adequately looks at what could happen in the event of serious storms.

All sediment ends of up in the sediment basin. What is going to happen when flood water comes? We have never really had a storm surge, I don't believe, in historical memory.

All that sediment in one instant would be out on the reef. So best management practices maybe.

But what we're doing when we invite in 2400 new housing units, each one of those can be seen as a micro toxic waste ways study. Everyone's got their paint, depending on who lives there, people have their Round Up. You put all that together and bring in a lot of toxins loaded onto the reef.

representing Hawaiian sovereignty, they clearly to me have the moral and legal high ground. Until their rights are adequately recognized though there are other things like the UN declaration on rights of indigenous people finally endorsed by United States in 2010.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I'll just say I don't think adequate consultation has been performed as we saw today of people who have cultural land use there. So please do the right thing for the keiki for the future.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for the testifier?

COMMISSIONER ESTES: You pointed out this is the wrong spot, and you said there were lots of other spots that would be better, lots of other locations. Can you name some for me?

THE WITNESS: You know as much as I hate to see development go, there are a lot of places. An awful lot of cane fields in Central Maui where sustainable communities could be built. Basically almost anywhere you see a cane field would be better than this spot. So that's a pretty wide swath of

1 land between Wailuku, Pukalani, next to Paia. 2 could develop some of the areas we already have are a 3 lot better rather than do it from scratch. But I would say someplace that's already heavily impacted 4 5 rather than the most sensitive spot left on Maui. 6 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any of other questions? 7 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 8 9 that the testimony that your about to give is the 10 truth. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. KEAMALU AKINI 12 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 13 14 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 17 Please state your name and address. 18 THE WITNESS: Keamalu Akini, 459 Lahua 19 Place, Wailuku. I've only lived here for a brief 20 time, only a year. I'm a native of Big Island, but 21 I'm not new to the matter that Olowalu brings to me 22 and its visitors, and especially natives of this 23 land. 24 Further research into this EIS has shown me

that it isn't complete. And, therefore, shouldn't be

brought to this meeting, to this hearing based on that alone. It being incomplete, it only haves vague, very vague information and evidence in it.

And the fact that only part of the project is in this survey, should completely be abolished from the hearing.

Like I said, I haven't been able to research it that much, have such short of time knowing about it, but like I said, Olowalu has its magic and we need to preserve it as people, as citizens in the land that we're taking advantage of.

I mean, there is no problem taking advantage of anything, but take it for granted is something completely different. Disrespected is something completely different. There are bones there, sacred bones. Already bones that have been covered up across the street, and it's overlooked.

We shouldn't keep repeating this history at all. We all know what's pono. When we have that voice in our head, that consciousness. From akua, from God, to do what is right and to do what is wrong. You look into your pocket or look into your heart like everybody been saying. See what's in your heart or look for financial gain. On the terms of balance, which is more important.

Τ	That's all I have to say.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for
3	testifier? Commissioners? Thank you.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Lucienne de Naie,
5	followed by Robert Winter, followed by Zane Kekoa
6	Schweitzer.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please don't feel that
8	you have to take the three minutes.
9	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
10	that you're about to give is the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: I do.
12	LUCIENNE de NAIE
13	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
14	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
17	and address for the record.
18	THE WITNESS: Lucienne de Naie, P.O.
19	Box 610, Haiku, commonly known as Eugene.
20	I'm here representing the Sierra Club Maui.
21	Welcome to Maui. We are all happy that you're here.
22	We've been tracking this project since
23	1999. And the basis of the Final Environmental
24	Impact Statement is kind of this whole project will
25	be done perfectly and therefore there will be no

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

significant impacts.

I've read virtually the entire statement that's kind of the overall conclusion, but the reality is this kind of really a very sensitive place and lots of things could go wrong. And, therefore, this document really needs to be realistic and talk about some of these things.

I'm here today to talk about water. We do not feel that the areas in discussing water resources are adequate in the Final EIS. It never really describes why nearly a million gallons a day of Olowalu stream water is currently being diverted from state land with no current diversion license, and this water is being used to supply a private irrigation company with less than 30 paying customers. So you do the math, that's 900,000 gallons a day around 30 customers. Where is that water going?

And the FEIS does refer to a current ag usage on the land of 50,000 gallons a day. That's a big difference. So what's happening with the water there? It's a public resource.

The FEIS also sidesteps any description of how the leaking Olowalu Ditch and Reservoir systems is impacting the viability of the streams that have

mauka-makai flows, and in effect wasting water which is protected under the Water Code.

It gives lip service to the idea of stream restoration, which is a priority issue from the people consulted in the Cultural Impact Assessment, but it doesn't describe what level the flows will be restored to, only that things will be improved by repairing sections of the ditch. This ditch is now leaking, but most of the water goes back into the stream.

Some folks think that's one of the reason why the stream has some flow past that point because of the big puka in the ditch.

The FEIS assures us that when Olowalu is developed, things will improve because only about 3.9 million gallons of stream water will be needed, and the other irrigation needs will be supplied by the R1 water from the sewage treatment plant.

Unfortunately, it really does not completely discuss that there is going to be larger rural lots, 150 to 200 something of them. I don't think that they'll be hooked up to the sewer system and they're going to need water. And they may be developed first. So we are going to probably need more stream water sooner than less stream water,

1 because we won't have this plant operating at the 2 beginning. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. THE WITNESS: There is no mention of what 4 5 our kuleana users need. It's just an absent topic. 6 They have first priority under our Water Code. 7 Really this thing isn't ready for prime time and it should be rejected. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 10 Commissioners? Commissioner Scheuer. 11 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Ms. de Naie, you mentioned the idea that there is currently water 12 13 coming into the ditch system in Olowalu from state 14 lands and without license. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. I got this information. There's a letter from the State Lands Division in the 16 17 comment section of the Final EIS. 18 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Do you recall if 19 that's disclosed of one of the potentially needed 20 permits? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Not without my computer. Ιt 22 might be. 23 May I say one more thing? 24 This was asked: Who would be responsible

for this land? The current landowners are

Τ	responsible for this land. They have an SMA Permit
2	that says they're supposed to deal with erosion and
3	dust and so forth, and no one is enforcing it.
4	So ultimately the county and the state
5	should be enforcing the current landowners to malama
6	this land.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Duly noted.
8	We have at least two more pages, but we
9	have to take a break for our court reporter, and
10	we'll come back. Short break, please.
11	(Recess taken.)
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're back on the
13	record.
14	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Robert Winter.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
16	that the testimony that you're about to give is the
17	truth?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
19	ROBERT WINTER
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Please state
24	name and address.
25	THE WITNESS: My name is Robert Winter. I

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

live moku of Lahaina.

I would like to urge you to reject the EIS because it is defective and woefully. It leaves out one important fact and it is this: If it fails to assess Maui reef systems in a big picture. Every single reef on Maui with development above it is in decline.

And I want to highlight a couple of extreme examples. Starting on the West Side we have Honolua Bay. Now, Honolua Bay, when David Kohl was president of Maui Land and Pine, he was also president of the Nature Conversancy, so he had some credibility. And he said here's the EIS, and there won't be any problems.

Honolua bay was a jewel in the crown of Maui and has lost 80 to 90 percent of its coral in a very few years, dead.

If we go on to Central Maui, Ma'alaea Bay, also a treasure trough of habitat and coral reef wildlife and when Maui Ocean Center opened up, they went through all the processes and swore up and down, here's our EIS, nothing can go wrong. Ma'alaea Bay was 95 percent dead in a very few years.

Samples on the south end Maui at Maluaka, where developer Everett Dowling swore up and down

that he would not only not harm the reefs, but the reefs would be better when he was done.

And the only reason that Everett Dowling's project did not harm the reefs is that he went out of business. Before he went out of business, he sank dynamite blasting caps that were nowhere mentioned in his EIS, to make room for underground parking.

Fortunately he's out of business.

I own Snorkel Bob's across Hawaii. Because of that I get invited all the time to be on radio shows. I usually have no problems. They want to talk about reefs. I'm very active in marine conservation. My friend, Donald Couch, invited me on his show. This was years ago, his first national play. And I was going go to talk about reefs on the show called Small Town Maui, along with Bill Frampton and Dave Ward, two developers who seemed like good guys. And we heard testimony to that effect today.

And I was taken aback because they kept talking about growth, everything needs growth, every child needs growth, every living being needs growth.

I put two and two together and realized that I had been bushwhacked to be on a show to promote development at Olowalu. And I had to remind these very good guys that sometimes we have growth in the

wrong place. It's called tumor.

I wasn't invited back to the show and also wasn't contacted on this EIS statement, and it is incomplete. We have people coming to Maui because it's different from other places. Maui is a reef community. Olowalu is the most important reef on Maui.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Ua Mau Ke Ea O Ka Aina Ka

Pono, the life of the land is perpetuated in

righteousness. But I have to wonder why the State of

Hawaii messes around with that, when you have a

flawed system. I appreciate your efforts here, but

all you're here to do is to is justify these guys,

the sell outs at Olowalu is about \$750 million and

that's conservative, that's light.

Everybody here opposed to this has no money in mind, it's all from the heart. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Aloha. Questions? Commissioners?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

ZANE KEKOA SCHWEITZER

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the

truth, was examined and testified as follows: 1 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address. 4 5 THE WITNESS: My name is Zane Kekoa 6 Schweitzer from Launiupoko, 657 Ka Hele Ku Street, 7 Lahaina. So aloha, my name is Zane Kekoa Schweitzer. 8 9 I'm a world champion standup paddler, an ocean 10 enthusiast in every way. I'm from Kahala, but I've 11 been living Launiupoko for over 15 years with my 12 family. I'm here representing the keiki of Hawaii, 13 14 the future of Hawaii, because I'm still a kid and I 15 know what is important to a kid, family, friends, 16 family and cultural practices and fun. 17 I'm also here representing, of course, my 18 ohana, Hui Nalu O, our community, and, of course, 19 everybody here that wants to reject the EIS. 20 I travel seven months a year competing and 21 teaching non-profit water sport clinics for keiki, 22

and hopes to share the joy that the ocean and sports practice in the ocean can bring to ones life. The joy that it can bring to ones life.

23

24

25

I believe that the ocean is a playground

that's open for access any time of day to the keiki and all people of all ages, no matter what their financial position or no matter what their upbringing.

From my childhood I had the opportunity to realize just how fortunate I am to be from Hawaii, to be from Maui, have my roots in Lahaina.

From my childhood I also learned just how much of an impact the human hand can have on the environment. I've seen exotic and beautiful places all over the world being destroyed year by year, visit by visit to me, and I'm sad to say that I've also seen the similar situation every time I come home.

I oppose the proposed the Olowalu Town development because the Environmental Impact
Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fire, tsunamis and the degradation of viable terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers who utilize Olowalu. No mention of where public parking will be placed, if near existing surfing locations or how recreational users will be

1 | able to access the coastline.

Across the road from a major is surfing site they are proposing to build a wastewater treatment plant. The EIS failed to examine the effects this has on culture and surfing in the area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment.

Furthermore, they are planning to have 4.5-acre leach field, and we all know that leaks into the ground, and there is an aquifer that floats into the ocean, and so it will eventually make it to the ocean.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: So for my summary, I'm going to go off, I guess heart here, and say that it's sad to see such a beautiful place get destroyed, because I travel a lot, and I know that people go to a lot of places for the beauty of things and not to shop. And Hawaii is one of those places; Maui is one of those places. Honolulu is a play for people to go shop, but Maui is a place where people come to enjoy the ocean, to come and enjoy activities, to come and learn about the culture.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners?

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Matty Schweitzer, 2 followed by Sierra Knight, followed by Paul Fenelon, 3 followed by Snake Ahitee. Is Matty Schweitzer here? 4 Sierra Knight. Paul Fenelon. Snake Ahitee. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 6 that the testimony that you're about to give is the 7 truth? 8 THE WITNESS: I do. 9 SNAKE AHITEE 10 Was called as public witness, was sworn to tell the 11 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 14 and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Snake Ahitee. I 15 16 live at 33 West Apuhi Street in Lahaina. 17 I was raised in Lahaina. Lived on 18 Launiupoko with my great grandparents. We had a beach house down there. Our place of fishing was 19 20 from Lahaina to Olowalu. 21 My tutu was the Maehilua (phonetic) Ohana. 22 Launiupoko Beach Park wasn't Launiupoko Beach Park, 23 it was Maehilua (phonetic) Beach. That was my ohana. 24 My tutu gave birth in the ocean, which my great uncle

turned into a fish. And I grew up with that.

I was raised there, and I'm kind of the
endangered species, because I'm the last of the
person that knows all the fishing ground, all the
lots, the holes, all the way -- the akule comes in,
the 'opelu comes in. I did that when I was little.
From Hukilau, there's all the different families
comes every month, hukilau once a month. Fishing

ground was plenty fish.

I know had lot of changes because of the el nino and the weather change, yeah. I just came home from Tahiti. I been sailing with Hokulea from 1975. I was one of the first crew that did that. When I came home, the weather was really different. It's changing a lot because of el nino, and pretty much windy, this situation when we sail back from Tahiti.

I've been sailing almost like ten times across from Tahiti back to here, so lot of changes that happening.

For me the fishing ground from Lahaina to Olowalu, I know all where all the fishing is, and I'm objecting to the project right now because it's going to change everything that I have learned.

For me I need to teach my grand kids what all the fish spots is. And because build Olowalu

Town, it's going to screw up everything. I have seen

1 many places that are changed.

I just sailed from Tahiti to Samoa, went all through the different places where they have fishing sanctuary, and right now they pretty much taking care of it. Because in 1976 when we traveled there, so polluted. And now pretty much it's changing, so they cannot awaken themselves so that they take care of the aina.

So for me, I not here talking to everybody, I so shy of talking, but this is a problem that I need to address, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: To me it's my kuleana too, because I'm the last person that I live, that generation from Lahaina to Olowalu, and I live to teach my grand kids about all the areas that I fish and it's still there, nobody knows about the place.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for inviting us here to speak about the aina and the ocean itself.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any

questions? Commissioners?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: May I ask you a couple of questions, please?

Were you contacted during the cultural

impact assessment, or any other part of the EIS

process for your knowledge about traditional and

customary practices related to fishing in this area?

THE WITNESS: No. Somebody just called my

wife. And then this is a problem from Lahaina to
Olowalu, because I think only me for myself know all
about the ocean itself, all the reef and all the
different fishes in that area, because I was brought
up from my dad, his tutu, that show him all the
different things, yeah.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: One more question, and I apologize, it's a slightly awkward question to ask.

Would folks kamaaina to this area recognize if they had questions about fishing in this area, if they asked a few people, would they say go talk to Snake?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Everybody comes to me.

I've been surfing Honolua Bay from way back in the

'50's, late '50's. I have surfed there for many,

many years from big waves to small waves. And all

the younger kids, they come to me, talk to me about

this and that.

So I'm here to not only teach but, you know, listen to what their needs.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mahalo. If I may, one

1 more question. 2 Just so I clearly understand the summary of your testimony, in my mind, you're saying this 3 proposed development would impact your exercise of 4 5 traditional and customary practices of fishing within 6 this area, and that's not been addressed? 7 THE WITNESS: Not only fishing, Olowalu reef. I used to surf with the sharks right out 8 9 there. And I just follow the sharks, you know. Like 10 that fishing is amazing, you know. 11 If you can take care of them, they take 12 care of you. That's what it's all about, yeah. 13 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mahalo. 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Amy Markel, followed 15 by Joseph Borone, followed by Chris Caberra, followed 16 by Lauren Blickley. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm 18 that the testimony that you're about to give is the 19 truth? THE WITNESS: I do. 20 2.1 JOSEPH BORONE 22 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 23 truth, was examined and testified as follows:

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

24

and address.

2.1

THE WITNESS: Joe Borone, 45 Hake Hele Street, Lahaina. Previous to November 1st, I was at 806 Olowalu Village Drive, Olowalu.

I would like to talk a little bit about my job because I feel like I have a unique insight. I work for a kayak and snorkel tour operation, and I'm out on the reef four to five days a week.

I also recently completed the farm apprentice mentor program sponsored by the Hawaii Farmer's Union United. So I have a little bit of a background. I did 125 classroom hours on sustainable agriculture and 200 farm hours.

I'll try to keep this brief and not go over too many subjects, but I do have particular insight of those, so I want to talk about the document. I believe the EIS is incomplete. I feel like it's an incomplete document, any significant issues are omitted. So this being the environmental document, I think the most significant environment is the reef, it's over 450 acres of ocean, more than 700 years old. Our coral reefs in Hawaii, I don't know if you know this, are the number one source of biodiversity in our oceans. We don't have the kelp and the plankton they have near the poles. And worldwide

it's second only to tropical rainforest in the amount of biodiversity that they have.

So in addition to the endangered species, people have mentioned the nene and monk seal. We've got five species of endemic coral out there that don't exist anywhere in the world outside of Hawaii.

There's a black tip nursery directly adjacent to the shore, going out about 25 meters.

The black tip sharks come in every winter and raise pups until they're big enough to go out by themselves.

I feel the survey is incomplete since this survey was started in 2010/2012. These last two summers we've had unprecedented stress on the coral reef due to two major bleaching events. And all the scientists we've had on the reef -- I'm not a marine biologist -- had them all over the reef this summer. They're telling us the reef is up to 50 percent bleached.

And I can tell you this firsthand from watching it change from the beginning of summer until now, they're telling us the damage is not yet the issues, but it's a really critical stage for these reefs, and any significant additional stress or silicification is really going to exacerbate the

issue.

2.1

A couple other issues is whether the water remains above normal temperatures or cools off, how much fluctuation there is as opposed to stability in the water temperature, but any additional stresses are going to have a major impact on the reef.

So speaking to that issue, since I've been on the reef we saw significant impact from the removal of only four kiawe trees nearshore. Peter DeAquino already talked about that as far as the fish is immediately --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: -- which they were calling bait fish but these are actually herbivores that help to eat the invasive algae that grows on the reef.

As soon as those trees were gone, the water temperature moved up, the small fish move out, that's already impacting about two to five acres of reef just in the removal of four trees, so how can this document say that clearing alone, putting in 1700 new homes is no significant impact on the reef?

In summary, the document doesn't address all of the issues that we do understand? And these aren't linear effects. This ecosystem is really complex, we don't understand the way it works, so

1	these effects aren't necessarily linear.
2	And this document doesn't even address the
3	things we do understand. So therefore the EIS is
4	incomplete and I believe invalid.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
6	Commissioners? Thank you.
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Chris Cabrerra,
8	followed by Lauren Blickley.
9	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Some people have to go to
10	work.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're trying to finish
12	the public testimony today, so we can do some
13	deliberations.
14	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
15	that you're about to give is the truth?
16	THE WITNESS: I do.
17	LAUREN BLICKLEY
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
22	and address.
23	THE WITNESS: Lauren Blickley, 14 Panini
24	Place, Paia.
25	Thank you all. I know that it's been a

McManus Court reporters 808-239-6148

really long day, and thank you for giving us this opportunity to talk.

Like I said, my name is Lauren Blickley. I have a Bachelors of Science, marine biology; I also have a master's in environmental science and management, focused in coastal resource management.

I'm here today representing Surfrider Foundation and Surfrider Maui Chapter.

We believe that the FEIS is inadequate and should not be approved as-is. In 2012 Surfrider Maui did submit comments related to the proposed Olowalu Town EIS, and we had two major concerns: One is beach access in light of moving Honoapiilani Highway mauka; and the second was water quality as relates to the sewage treatment plant that would be located directly above a popular surf spot as well as the potential for R1 waters to drain directly into the adjacent Olowalu Stream then directly into the ocean.

Unfortunately the FEIS does not actually address these issues, and it failed to answer some of our really honestly basic questions.

We're particularly concerned because a project of this size and scope is going to have no question environmental and community impacts. And, for example, the north area is a really widely used

surf spot; south area is heavily used by families, kayakers, divers, fishermen, snorkelers.

Despite how far widely used these areas are by the community and how popular it is, nowhere in the FEIS is it even speculated that there is even going to be a parking area. Is there going to be a parking lot? Is there going to be five spots, 100?

Am I going to have to walk a mile with my surfboard to get to a spot where that can pull right up to now?

Am I going to have to pay a \$50 parking fee annually? I have no idea. None of this is stipulated, and again this is an area that is really heavily used by the community already.

The second area as I mentioned that we are concerned about is water quality uses. Again, some really basic questions. There have been no calculations done to tell us what size storm would overwhelm one of the treatment plants, again, directly mauka of a really popular surfing spot.

Also there is no -- we have no questions answered, what are the impacts if this treatment plant does overflow, because it has to be shutdown in an emergency. What happens if the engineered wetland overflows? Again, draining directly into the ocean.

And these questions have not been answered. And,

again, some pretty basic questions that you think 1 2 should and could be answered in a Final EIS. 3 We honestly need an EIS that truthfully 4 acknowledges and addresses these environmental and community impacts, and the results from this 5 6 projected development, and again, a development that 7 is so sizeable. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 8 9 THE WITNESS: The current EIS is grossly 10 inadequate and it should not be approved by Land Use Commission. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 13 Commissioners? Thank you. 14 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 RYAN ASPILI 18 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 22 and address for the record. 23 THE WITNESS: Ryan Aspili, 1205 Nahele 24 Place, Lahaina. 25 I am a Native Hawaiian descendent, lineal

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

descendent of Olowalu area through my kupuna, Joseph Kaona (phonetic) and Pao Kahului (phonetic), and her parents Kahului and Papahi (phonetic). And I am currently finishing my bachelors in Hawaiian language and studies at University of Hawaii at Manoa.

I'm here today to urge the Land Use

Commission to deny the reclassification of land in

Olowalu from agriculture to urban and rural district.

The Final EIS is insufficient and does not meet the State of Hawaii responsibility to protect

Native Hawaiian rights incongruency (unintelligible)

of the Hawaii State Constitution.

Also, if the LUC were to adopt the proposed EIS, they would be improperly delegating its duty to protect Native Hawaiian Rights through the developers consistent with the findings versus land use.

Lastly, the Land Use Commission should do its due diligence in researching the validity of title to land situated at Olowalu and determine whether or not they have clear title to the land or if they are in fact crown lands from the kingdom.

Article 12, Section 7 of the Hawaii State

Constitution states that the state reaffirms and

shall protect all rights, customarily and

traditionally exercised for subsistence, cultural and

religious purposes and possessed by ahupua'a tenants who are descendents of Native Hawaiians who inhabited the Hawaiian Islands prior to 1778, subject to the right of the state to regulate such right.

The Final EIS provided does not reasonably protect these rights, and does not address in what ways these rights will be protected.

In the EIS they mention that the Ala Loa
Trail was used for intra ahupua'a travel, but the
mauka-makai trail was for prayer, for inter ahupua'a
travel. They use this time of travel to divert
attention from the Ala Loa, in which they intend to
subsist substantially limit if not cut off their
access entirely to said trail and resources obtained
near the shoreline. This is evidenced in their plan
to relocate and convert the old highway road to
secondary coastal roadway.

The access mauka-to-makai resources are significantly impaired. Can you imagine what type of access afforded with 1500 more families and homes?

There are also special pohaku found only in the Olowalu coastline that my ohana have used from time immemorial to make pohaku kui ai for subsistence purposes. Not only were the pohaku not mentioned in the Cultural Impact Statement, they were also not

1 | currently being protected under the current EIS.

Ultimately you will terminate access to my ohana and countless other ohanas to access and gather for subsistence purposes.

In the Final EIS, if the Final EIS being proposed does not address all customary and traditional rights of Native Hawaiians, then how can we be sure they plan to protect our rights from being regulated out of existence?

In <u>Ka Pa'ikai versus LUC</u>, LUC improperly delegated its duty to protect Native Hawaiian rights because they had failed to rely on their resource management plan on the front end.

Here we see the similar narrative, in which many of issues, including traffic improvements and cultural resources still remain unresolved. Olowalu Town LLC and associates have not identified all of the cultural, historical and natural resources which I personally can attest to.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I would like to conclude by reiterating my stance in opposition to the rezoning of the development in Olowalu, and would like to say mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any

1	questions? Commissioners? Thank you very much.
2	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Linda Nahina
3	Magalianes.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
5	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	LINDA NAHINA MAGALIANES
8	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
9	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
12	state your name and address for the record.
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Linda Nahina
14	Magalianes and I live in Lahaina.
15	Did you guys ever ask that question to
16	these guys, what you asked me, if they telling the
17	truth?
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: They were sworn in.
19	THE WITNESS: Just checking.
20	First of all I am a lineal descendant of
21	Olowalu and there's many of us. I'm first of all
22	against the EIS, even though I was a part of the EIS,
23	but there is stuff in there that I shared, but it's
24	not in there.
25	First of all, the impact that going to

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

cause and this happened to me. On 11/11/11 I remarried, my second marriage. I had asked out of the kindness to Peter Martin's office if I can hold my 15-minute ceremony at the public beach access, because I knew that they were going to have a wedding next at the plantation.

Donna, whoever works for them, told me I couldn't, and that she was going to call the police on me. So because I didn't want that to happen in my wedding, I walked away from that upset, sad because I am a lineal descendant.

I lived in Olowalu. Was a juice stand there right now. That's where I was partly raised. My kupunas buried on the top of Pu'u Kelia (phonetic). That place is so sacred that if you walk that area, walk in the valley, you going feel all that.

So now to be told, no, that I cannot use the public access for 15 minutes for my wedding, how this going impact the rest of the people in the future? It doesn't even state that in there, nothing about parking lot, access to the area, and the culture reserve. What is that reserved for? For who? Not for our people. You go check the culture reserve, all the Filipinos leasing the property for

their own good. Not for us Hawaiians, not for the lineal descendants from there.

And this town impacting the heart of Olowalu. I sorry, you kupunas. Whatever you decide, that's your choice, auntie, you know that. I never down you guys, but if you like this project, why don't we move them by your side? Yeah, move them to that side, because they're the only one who live in Olowalu that wants it. I sorry I got to talk like that.

But I'm angry, very angry, because I'm also on the committee of the Ahu Moku. I handle the cultural aspect part of it, not cultural, iwi kupunas. When they find iwi kupunas in Olowalu, who they call? Me, Linda Nahina Magalianes. Remember that name.

And I got called out there three times already. Once because one worker found an iwi that they also told them about it, and they told him go to go throw away. What he did, he put it in the tree so he could find it next day. He got fired, but he brought it to us.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: And we were told by the state guy that that's not even one iwi kupuna.

1	I summarize. I'm highly against the EIS.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
3	Commissioners? Thank you.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Keahi Haia-Kehai.
5	Carol Lee Kamekona, followed by Kristan Vasquez.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
7	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	CAROL LEE KAMEKONA
10	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
11	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
14	state your name and address for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: Carol Lee Amekona, 862 Makali
16	Street, Kahului.
17	Let's start by saying the fastest way from
18	point A to point B is straight line, right? Ok, I'll
19	buy that.
20	An ahupua'a is a land division from the
21	uplands to the sea. However, in that ahupua'a it
22	does not necessarily follow a straight path downward.
23	The division was based on the natural flow of water.
24	Kanaka maoli living mauka would grow kalo, uala, ulu
25	and those living makai would fish. They would then

trade goods with each other, thereby establishing a sense of balance and a sense of place.

2.1

The utilization of natural resources with little or not impact for the sustainability of community, that is the true concept of ahupua'a.

Anything to the contrary is plagiarism and therefore a misrepresentation thereof.

The Cultural Inventory Assessment acknowledges past and present cultural practices take place on the lands, but concludes that none of these will be affected because a Cultural Reserve has been established, 74 acres of land.

We are not Indians. We do not live on reservations. So don't limit us to practicing our culture on a reserve.

What about our lawai'a? Our fishermen?
What about fostering and maintaining our ocean
resources? Gathering of limu, opihi, i'a, etcetera?

These issues are not addressed in the CIA or part of the Cultural Reserve. As noted in the CIA, ten years after the establishment of the Cultural Reserve, cultural access to Kaiwaloa Heiau still has not bee resolved.

A Cultural Reserve has no clear power to protecting cultural practices.

According to the FEIS, several issues are not addressed:

- 1. What enforcement is there in ensuring each single-family household will use only 275 gallons of potable water a day? What is the justification for that assumption on the household?
- 2. Supposing of the 1500 proposed units, say 800 exercise their option in having an accessory dwelling unit as allowed under the Olowalu Town Plan?
- 3. What if all 1500 residences use twice the usage predicted of drinking water:
- 4. These are agricultural lands. What if all 1500 units opt to have a lo'i? What affect would these instances have on the limited supply of the Olowalu aguifer?

Not to mention the water needs of the Olowalu Cultural Reserve. As we all know, cultural rights and practices have priority. So add the water usage for the lo'i of the OCR to the possible 1500 units having lo'i. How much more water does that calculate to?

Two new drinking water wells are proposed for the project and both are located near Olowalu Stream, yet the EIS concludes no impact with little data to support this conclusion. Wells that pump

near a stream can affect the natural underground 1 2 flows associated with those streams. The FEIS is missing important and analyses 3 4 and information regarding Public Trust water 5 resources and is assuming or concluding a lack of 6 impacts to these resources based upon inadequate 7 data. The FEIS does not comply with the content rules in HAR 11-200 and should not be accepted. 8 9 Mahalo. 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? Commissioners? 11 12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Kristen Vasques, 13 followed by Susan Vasques, followed by Anu Yagi, 14 followed by Jan Ferguson. 15 Kristin, is she here? Is Susan here? 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 17 testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 ANU YAGI Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 20 21 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 24 and address for the record. 25 THE WITNESS: My name is Anu Yagi.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

reside at 1597 A'a Street in the town known as -- the neighborhood known as Waikele in Lahaina.

Kala mae, I'm nervous.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We don't mind.

THE WITNESS: I foremost want to thank the LUC for your fortitude, for you being here. I want to thank you for this process. This process is important, albeit arduous.

I'm personally overwhelmed by the emotion of today, probably visibly shaking at this time, but thank you. Thank you very much.

I would like to simply add my voice to this fascinating feud in opposition to the environmental impact -- proposed EIS. There's been an abundant amount of articulate, scientific and cultural evidence that's been before you, so I simply just want to echo and a'o that.

My good friend Vinny -- I don't have hula sister, but hula brother. He taught me this quote or this manao. We are the descendents of our ancestors, but we are also the ancestors to our descendants.

What kind of ancestor will we be? How will we be remembered? The choices that you make today will be remembered.

So thank you for making the right choices.

2.1

1	And I especially appreciate the countenance of the
2	people who are showing that they're active listeners,
3	compassionate listeners. It stands in contrast to
4	other things that are being presented here today, so
5	thank you for that.
6	One more thing. I've been really disturbed
7	by usage of Hawaiian ideas, and Hawaiian words, and
8	Hawaiian philosophy misunderstood and misrepresented,
9	particularly the ahupua'a.
10	I also think it's interesting that it has
11	been stated no impact. Perhaps that's another
12	misunderstanding about the Hawaiian language. In
13	Hawaiian "know" means "indeed".
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
15	Commissioners? Thank you.
16	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
17	about to give is the truth?
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.
20	JAN FERGUSON
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
25	and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Jan Ferguson. 2 10898 Kula Highway in Kula.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I cannot state with more passion or expertise the reasons to reject the EIS and the ways it fails to address the real issues. I urge you to reject it.

Further, as a 45-year resident of Maui, I have been to countless hearings of this nature. One by one the pristine beaches on the coastlines of Maui have been developed and taken over by big business that gives little or nothing back to Maui or the aina.

It is shameful that the Hawaiian people have been robbed of all that is rightfully theirs. I am proud and encouraged by the people here today who have once again been put in the position to beg for the preservation of natural resources, cultural lands and for the last vestige of coastline available to the public.

When making your decision, I urge you to consider that for the people of Maui, Olowalu is one of the last undeveloped places. Reject the EIS and save Olowalu. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

1 Do you affirm that the testimony that 2 you're about to give is the truth? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. SARA TEKULA 4 5 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 6 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 9 and address for the record. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm Sara Tekula. I reside on 11 Piiholo in Makawao. After reading as much as I could of the 12 Final EIS, I can see that Olowalu Town fails -- or 13 14 the developers fail to carefully address many impacts 15 that the proposed development would likely bring to 16 our ocean, our land, our cultural resources, and our 17 beloved island culture experience. Therefore, this 18 EIS does not follow requirements put forth by state 19 law, and as Commissioners you would then be required 20 to reject it. 2.1 I ask you to take a very close look at what 22 the Final EIS presents and pay attention to the 23 things that are left out.

For example, just something simple, none of the maps are to scale. You would think that over the

24

years we could produce an accurate map. This is nowhere close to a thorough statement on environmental impact. West Maui development has been responsible for development for Makila, Kaula and Launiupoko and now it's Olowalu.

They have been through this process before and must understand thoroughly what an EIS must contain. Have they forgotten? Or are things being left out on purpose? The most glaring example of this to me is there have not been any of the necessary major archaeological reports included in the Final EIS as pointed out by the Office of Planning in yesterday's letter, even though there were many different letters sent that notified the developers that more study would be required.

This concern alone is more than enough reason to reject the FEIS considering the cultural significance of the area and generations of Hawaiians who have called Olowalu their home since antiquity.

There's a high likelihood that we will need history preservation plans in place and diligent general review by Burial Council. In the proposed Olowalu area, experts have determined the Olowalu Valley area as the site of major religious ceremonies probably involving high chiefs and rulers. This has

been completely ignored by the developers in the FEIS.

In addition, the complaints on the Draft
EIS by many leaders in the community appear to be
ignored in this final version. Why is that? The law
requires that these comments are each specifically
addressed in the Final EIS. This has not happened.

It is obvious no such analyses were done.

It appears they were patently ignored. How can we,
in good conscious, move forward with potentially
harmful and permanent impacts on our resident
cultural resources, sacred archaeological sites, and
irreplaceable historic artifacts, wildlife, including
endangered species in land and water.

I want to leave with a couple of questions that I hope you will ask them tomorrow, if that's okay.

Number one, does the Final EIS accurately and adequately fully declare the project impasse? If not, why not?

Does the EIS accurately and adequately describe and discuss relevant and feasible impacts in the worst case scenario? If not, why not?

Where are the required archaeological surveys? If you don't have them, why weren't they

	27
1	done?
2	For those who submitted comments on the
3	Draft EIS, why were they ignored?
4	How will this development impact nearby
5	wetlands at Ukumehame? We haven't heard very many
6	people bring that up. These areas are connected.
7	Finally, how will you guarantee protection
8	of the pristine Olowalu mother reef system that we
9	have heard a lot about today? It is the last
10	functioning reef that we have in West Maui and we
11	have already lost the others.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
13	Commissioners? Thank you.
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Kelley Janes, followed
15	by Rikki Torres-Pestina, followed by Summer Trans,
16	followed by Kalani Ross.
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
18	testimony that you're about to give is the truth.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	RIKKI TORRES-PESTINA
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

25 and address for the record.

23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Rikki Torres-Pestina. I was born in the moku of Hamakua, Honokaa. I now reside in Hamakua Poko. Eight months ago I went to the Bureau of Conveyance, one of your state departments, and I legally changed my address so it now reads Hawaiian islands, outside the U.S.

It's ironic that you ask us if we're telling the truth, yet your form of government is not based on the truth. 123 years ago your government committed a crime called high treason, and to this day, you folks are perpetuating that crime.

Have you ever had anything stolen from you?

How you think us kanaka maoli feel? We had

everything stolen from us, our government, our

language? I was born in 1945. In the early forties

the Department of Education when it was a territory,

we wrote all our history books. Welcome to the

revolution. Revenge is not our cause; restoration of

the Hawaiian kingdom is. We no carry guns, we no

make bombs, no weapons of mass destruction.

Our weapons of choice, computers and massive amounts of aloha. We are rooted in an ancient spiritual beliefs of aloha aina and aloha kakou. One of the pillars of the beliefs is called kini kapu (phonetic), and to translate it in English,

it's a consecrated law that allowed almost demand the kanaka to live in harmony with each other, in mother nature, in the spiritual realm.

Your government and your government ways has destroyed our culture. It's destroying our oceans. It's destroying our aina.

I'm a combat veteran. I went and saw what America did to the other parts of this planet, and it's going on today. You must dig deep into your souls, in your manou (phonetic). Talk to your ancestors. Do what you must do for our children and their children and their children, very deep.

You're committing crimes against humanity.

Committing genocide. This is a crime. You're

committing crimes against the environment. You're

committing crimes against humans.

Please stop. Make the world aware of what Hawaii Ne is. Hawaii Ne, second heaven. Keep it second heaven. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Summer Tran. Is Summer here? Kalani Ross.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

ED KAAHUI

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Ed Kaahui. My address is 306 West Aipuni Place, Lahaina.

I'm here to testify for the approval of the Olowalu EIS. And I will be talking about the cultural aspect.

I was raised in Olowalu for 75 years. I don't think anybody sitting here, other than my sister up there, can tell you about Olowalu. So anybody that came in for the last, they're new comers.

You talk about agriculture, yeah. Cane is a good place for, lot of wind, lot of rocks. I was a pig farmer in Olowalu, that's probably what you can raise there. My uncle tried to raise taro, couldn't succeed, so he went to pig farming too, both of us.

As for the reef, that's a good story. I mean my children and I have been out on the reef for maybe 50 years, 60 years, and I've seen the changes

1 that have occurred. And all these professors, marine 2 biologist come here and testify, oh, the reef is 3 dying. And if it's dying, what you doing about it? 4 Well, let me apologize for my ohana up 5 Because they have no manners. But the reef, 6 if the reef is dying, then do something about it. I 7 don't see anybody going out there picking up trash, 8 picking up rubbish, picking up the junk in the water. 9 They can laugh all they want, but I know, 10 go ahead. 11 But no one has been in that area longer 12 than myself, I believe, that's still alive and still 13 here. So if you guys got any question or ideas about the reef, maybe they should ask me. 14 15 I'm not a professor, I'm not a marine 16 biologist. I look at the reef with my own eyes. 17 am Olowalu. And after me I don't know who else. 18 I got a son sitting in this audience. 19 forty something years old. We are surfing, diving, 20 fishing, but now he cannot even lay net because 21 there's changes in the rules. They make rule. 22 cannot throw net. So at my age, I supposed to be 23 diving? 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I don't think so.

1 In summarizing, I've heard a lot of 2 comments from the younger people that said they know 3 everything about the reefs out in Olowalu. Let's go outside and talk. Let's see what you know. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 6 questions? Commissioners? Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 MYRNA AHITEE 11 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 15 Please state name and address. 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Myrna Ahitee. 17 live 33 West Aipuni Place, Hawaiian homesteads. I'm 18 married to Snake Ahitee, and we have children. 19 come from a family of fishermen. I married a 20 fisherman, and we spend a lot of time in the ocean. 21 And my husband is -- basically a lot of times you can 22 see his truck down at Olowalu fishing or whatever 23 ocean sports. He's got a green Toyota truck, you see 24 it every day.

Bottom line, they want to put a sewage

treatment plant across the surfing area where he normally is. And as we all know, the treatment plants that we currently have, they did those injection wells. And now there is a big hoo-ha that it' destroying the ocean. That's why you cannot fish at the beach Kaanapali is because they like that fish to eat, that limu, all that thing grows. And the only thing can eat is that particular fish.

So it's right across the street. It's so low, it's like, okay, guys, are we dealing with the issue here? And you're saying it's not going to impact -- oh, my goodness -- the ocean, please, you know.

Then also I heard about this along time back, they're going to close our roads. That beautiful road I drive every single day and, of course, now driving back at 12:00 o'clock and your traffic is backed up to Ma'alaea because of the stoplights in Launiupoko and Puamana. So can you imagine emergency? My goodness. Olowalu with 1500 homes and thousands of people, they going to go right down there. I don't think they're just going to buy their stuff there.

So we used to go to the ocean to clean our body. You going to get kaki'o, cuts. You go to the

ocean. Now you can't even do that, because you going to get MRSA. I had a friend unfortunately she was camping at Olowalu, and she had MRSA and e coli.

So you know what it is, the water is pilau. You guys need to take care of that ocean now. Forget this development stuff right now. You get all those tourists going down the ocean, what they doing? Come on, we all know.

We got to malama now. And this development, whatever, it's going to take years, probably not my life time, but my husband wants to teach my grand kids. I want my grand kids -- I come from a line of fishermen. I'm not afraid of the ocean. I'm afraid of the shark, but that's my husband's family.

But bottom line is Launiupoko, that whole area, people come from this side of the island to go to have their parties in Lahaina. And you're saying, you know, you're going to have this road -- I don't know how long this going to take. Took how many years for that bridge up? But still, just looking at what 700 acres, if they did two-acre lots, 350 homes, is the smallest size.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: So I reject this proposal. I

Τ	wish they would I know it's about money, you know,
2	they got to survive, but is it a choice of our future
3	for our keiki. We can do something better. We can
4	work together, make it work. Don't just dump all
5	those houses there.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
7	questions? Commissioners?
8	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Again, not
9	commenting on the Environmental Impact Statement, not
10	on the merits. Thank you and thank you for coming
11	out here.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Anybody
13	else?
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Robin Neubold.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear or affirm
16	that the testimony that you're about to give is the
17	truth.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	ROBIN NEUBOLD
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
24	and address.
25	THE WITNESS: Robin Neubold, 3136 Keikihi

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

Street in Kihei.

I'm speaking on behalf of Pauline Fiene.

Thank you for being here today and allowing us this opportunity to talk with you.

This is again written by Pauline Fiene.

I'm writing to asking that you do not approve the Final EIS for Olowalu Town project.

I am a diver and biologist with over 9,000 dives in Maui waters since 1987. I mainly study a group of mollusks called Opisthobranchs, but I also have broad interests in coral reef ecology.

In 2011 I commented on the Draft EIS in regard to Appendix D, the Assessment of Marine Water Chemistry and Biotic Community Structure in the vicinity of the Olowalu Town Master Plan. A couple of weeks ago, I received responses to my comments.

However, my comments were not substantively addressed in the FEIS.

In my second comment I reported that there are twice as many species, 24 species versus 12 species of corals within the area that was surveyed than were reported. The EIS states that the NOAA methodology that was used is deemed to be an appropriate scientific methods to survey such a large area. But clearly it is not, otherwise, how could

fully half the species be reported? Obviously the methodology used for the EIS was not effective at surveying and recording the correct number of species present. That omission calls into question whether the rest of the data in the EIS are accurate.

I was not requesting an exhaustive list of all species in the area. That would be impossible.

But corals are a protected group of marine animals in Hawaii. Boaters have been assessed huge fines for damaging corals, yet developers could impact half of them and no one would know, based on this EIS.

If we do not have an accurate accounting of all the species present, we are being misled into thinking that there are fully half as many species as there actually are, and thus we can't accurately assess future impacts.

In my third comment I stated that the number of species of corals that form micro-atolls at Olowalu is under-reported. Olowalu is also the most extensive area of well-developed micro-atolls in the whole state, making it a state treasure. The unique status of this area in the whole state is not even mentioned in the EIS, again downplaying the value of this area's marine resources.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. We

1	have her testimony.
2	THE WITNESS: The summary is that the EIS
3	is not final until it addresses the reality of the
4	project's potential sediment impacts during
5	construction.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
7	Commissioners?
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Do you affirm that the
9	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
10	THE WITNESS: I do.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
12	and address for the record.
13	TEGAN HAMMOND
14	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
15	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
18	and address for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: Tegan Hammond, 893 Makani
20	Street, Makawao
21	Aloha. Thank you all for your attention.
22	It's been a long day, but I appreciate it.
23	I'm with the Maui Marine Resource Council.
24	At the Draft Environmental Impact Statement we
25	submitted questions regarding sedimentation in

McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

reference to the reefs, and I just wanted to address those concerns. I know many of those things have been addressed here today, and so I'll try to keep my comments brief.

Overall our responses that we received were still in insufficient, in our opinion, and our concerns are still standing as the initial letter indicated.

Our one big comment is that we're looking for real detailed specifics on what the construction process sediment mitigation plans are. And there is a lot of really great planning that's gone into this in terms of post construction, BMP's, nice design elements there. But the construction phase is one of the most dangerous parts in terms of the reef's perspective for sediment risk. And without a real detailed plan outlined for that, our concerns are still very real.

Right now we noted in a recent study
there's been some coral mortality at Hekili Point
which is dated a to around a year, year-and-a-half,
two years back. And the subsequent timeline that is
also in line with some recent tree clearings, so what
we are seeing right now are practices which are not
also resulting in improved health to the reef which

1 are happening today. So this begs us to ask the 2 question: What will become with this much larger 3 scale project in the future? I know in my summary that I submitted for 4 5 you all there is some photos included, and one of the 6 statements that was made was that nearshore impact 7 from sedimentation and chemical impacts are limited to about 100 meters from shore. But as you guys can 8 9 see from those photos and much of the document, 10 sediment is carried with it and attaches to other 11 elements in the water. And it extends out farther than 100 meters offshore. 12 13 In summary, I think we feel the Final EIS is not yet final, and we still would like to see our 14 15 concerns addressed. Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you. 17 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Connie Applegate. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the 20 testimony that you're to give is the truth? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 CONNIE APPLEGATE 23 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the

DIRECT EXAMINATION

truth, was examined and testified as follows:

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your
2	name and address.
3	THE WITNESS: Connie Applegate. I live 34
4	West Aupuni Place, Lahaina.
5	I am a farmer. And I am the owner and
6	operator of Olowalu Ala Nui Hydroponic Farms for the
7	last 15 years. And I absolutely support these two
8	guys Frampton and Ward, thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for Ms.
10	Applegate? Commissioners? Thank you.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: The final testifier
12	Mark Deakos.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
14	testimony that your about to give is the truth.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	MARK DEAKOS
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
21	and address for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: Mark Deakos, 4493
23	Honoapiilani, Napili.
24	So I did my work on Olowalu. Just a quick
25	note talk about sediment on the reefs. Just so you

understand the issue of sediment. Once it lands on the reef, it gets resuspended over and over time, blocks the light so corals can't grow, new coral can't land. So a one time one event and it's there. It's not like a sewage spill, it dissipates after days. A lot of people don't see it after a few days. It's just resting on the bottom.

So the message there is you can't have one failure. This will continue to kill over and over again.

So the EIS states there's no impacts.

That's quite a broad statement. I tried to find any references in the marine report, I didn't find any.

When I did Google coastal development as an impact as a positive impact on the reef, I couldn't anything.

In the paper I see tremendous literature in the opposite, tremendous negative impacts. So that alone is probable cause to discuss the impact.

Also look at the track record. The same

Marine Research Consultant group is also the one that

did the Mahana Estates, which was a complete

disaster. You heard a lot about that today.

We also know down at Olowalu, a couple houses, Peter Martin's house violations of BMP there.

A couple days ago violations on the lot they cleared

right next to it. So clearly mistakes happen, and I think that's probable cause to at least address a ten-year build out that maybe there will be at least one failure, one oops.

So if that's the case, then they should go ahead and discuss what that impact would be. So let's say you get that one failure, you get that one jump of sediment on the reef, how does that going to affect commercial use, cultural use? How is that going to affect any -- you need to survey that. You need to understand who's using it, who's fishing there, who's using it culturally, recreation, the commercial side of things.

So I can make some suggestions on what kind of survey. I've been working with these guys over the last three-years. Very nice guys, I agree. We presented them some of the top four biologists in the state and we have all the resources that they need to do a proper reef assessment.

And just one example. We talked about 300-year old coral. So what is involved in mitigating that? You have get that one failure, you accidentally kill a 300-year old coral. What does that mean as far as impact? You've got to measure it, talk about it. It's not an easy thing to assess.

1 But how are you going to replace it, grow a coral for 2 300 years, put it back? Those types of mitigation. 3 It's not easy to do, and we know the value of this 4 place. 5 So there's a tremendous amount of work that 6 needs to go into this EIS to really be 7 representative. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Worst case scenario. I have 10 a lot of other recommendations. I know you've asked 11 a few people about what would you do. You don't 12 think there is enough information. I would be happy to provide those kinds of 13 14 things. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 16 questions for the testifier? 17 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Your submitting your 18 written testimony to us? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Are there any more 22 public witnesses? 23 (Audience interruption.) 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please sit down. Are 25 you testifying?

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
2	testimony that you're about to give is the truth.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	THELMA POOLEKA-KUAPUHI
5	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
6	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
9	and address for the record.
10	THE WITNESS: Thelma Pooleka-Kuapuhi. I
11	reside in Olowalu in my parents' home for 75 years.
12	On the EIS I approve. I'm still living
13	there. I'm retired, and I live in my parents' home,
14	our family home, and it's beautiful. So if you going
15	to build homes for people, I welcome them, because
16	it's a beautiful I want them to enjoy the beauty
17	of Olowalu. So any questions?
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
19	questions? Commissioners?
20	Anybody else, public witnesses?
21	Thank you. That concludes our public
22	testimony for today.
23	Tomorrow we will hear from the Petitioners
24	presentation, followed by the county and the
25	motion from the Petitioners, and then followed by the

------McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

1 | County and Office of Planning.

I just want to remind everyone that we have a full agenda tomorrow. After this docket we going to proceed with Docket SP94-386, County of Maui landfill.

And I want to remind everybody that we have to vacate this room by 12:00 noon. So we really need to be efficient to get all the testimonies.

Any question on that?

MS. LIM: If we may. Thank you for clarifying, confirming that tomorrow we'll have an opportunity to discuss our motion in that request.

I would just like to add if there is any way possible for us to be able to present the testimony of our traffic expert, Roger Dyar, recognizing that you have the agenda and a short day, I wanted to mention.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: They going to be available tomorrow?

MS. LIM: He's flown in specially for the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any of objection?

(Audience interruption.)

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We have to proceed with the last docket, so is this going to be long?

MS. LIM: We will be efficient with 1 2 whatever time that you can allow us. So, no, it will 3 not be long. I would say under any 20 minutes. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objection from the 4 5 parties? County? 6 MR. YEE: Just the traffic expert to 7 testify today? CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Tomorrow. 8 9 MR. YEE: We have no objection to any 10 witnesses being called tomorrow. 11 When you're finished, I do have another 12 procedural question. 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, let me try to get this straight in my mind where we're at. 15 16 So we have heard public testimony. The testimony portion has concluded. Tomorrow as the 17 first order of business, the Petitioner has asked for 18 19 a 15-day extending. We can either grant that 20 extension or deny it. 21 If we grant the extension, then sometime 22 within that 15 days or shortly thereafter we would 23 hold another hearing which will also be subject to 24 having public witnesses, actually on the question

that has been before us, should the FEIS be accepted.

25

If we say reject Petitioner's motion, then

we would actually make a ruling on the petition -
excuse me -- the FEIS tomorrow. And if, as was

covered before, the way the law is laid out in Hawaii

Administrative Rules governing EIS's, if we fail to

take action tomorrow, within 30 days it's considered

automatically approved.

This was the change that the legislature made to the law a couple years ago.

If we approve the FEIS is complete, that it's and they have one more step towards a complete docket in front of us.

If we reject it, the Petitioner has 60 days to appeal that to the State Environmental Council.

Do I have that sort of correct where we're at in the process in my mind? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any other questions?

MR. YEE: The question I have is with respect to public testimony tomorrow. The past practice of the Land Use Commission has been to hold one series of public testimony during its two-day hearing, and when that is completed, you do not normally allow additional public testimony for those two days.

If the Commission were to meet again in

another period of time, like December, as anticipated, then public testimony would again be allowed at the beginning of the hearing.

And so I just wanted to clarify whether tomorrow Land Use Commission is going to again open up the hearing to public testimony, or whether we have concluded the public testimony for this period today? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON:

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Before you answer that. I have a question in a similar line. I don't have much history with the LUC, so from a position of protocol, are you going to be recessing this current meeting?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So you're not going to allow an opportunity for public testimony to continue tomorrow, but you would limit public testimony to people who have not testified today, but --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: What we are going to do, because of the time, we have another docket to consider, it's going to depend on how fast -- we probably going to have some people testify after all presentations, depending on how long, because we have

to vacate this room.

So we will allow if it time allows us, otherwise we are not going to have time to deliberate and make decision. Again, we don't want to automatically, you know, get approval, because of the timetable that we have.

MR. YEE: Chair, if I may. We really appreciate the input from the public. The public testimony is very important, an eye-opener for me. I value what everyone says, but I think our recommendation, quite frankly, is to conclude your public testimony, to recess, continue this hearing tomorrow, and frankly not allow additional public testimony.

Just to make clear to people, you know, it's not a good day to come tomorrow to this, if you're going to public testify. If we come back in December to look at this FEIS again, then we open it up again for public testimony.

But tet up the expectation of people that they may testify, sets people up for possible disappointment. I think with respect to, as much as I know you want to allow as much public testimony as possible, our recommendation is to respectfully ask that people wait either -- and to be clear, even if

1 the FEIS is granted, then we still have the petition 2 to consider and public testimony will again be opened up to everyone who wants to testify, of which, I 3 4 think, frankly, will fall similar to what we received 5 today. 6 So with respect, our recommendation is not 7 to have public testimony tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: That's a good point. 8 9 Is the Commissioners okay with that? Because there's 10 things that might happen tomorrow because of the 11 extension, but we don't really know what is going to 12 happen tomorrow. 13 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yeah, we do know what's going to happen tomorrow. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead, Commissioner 16 Estes. We can't hear you. 17 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I think we do know what is going to happen tomorrow, right? 18 19 You guys are going to come, give their 20 presentation. Hear from you guys, and we're not 21 taking public testimony. We finished it today. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We still going to 23 deliberate and vote on the issue, so we don't really 24 have a decision --

Mr. Chair.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER:

25

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Procedurally it's the discretion of the Chair to close the public testimony or not. And I do share the general desire to make as much space as possible for members of the public to speak.

But the reason why I think that it is in this case advisable to close the testimony, because if we do not close the testimony today, then people could come testify tomorrow, that could lead us to actually run out of time to even consider the motion to extend, in which case as I've described Hawaii Administrative Rules.

The EIS would be automatically approved by the Land Use Commission's failure to act.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer, for that clarification. I think we all agree.

(Audience interruption.)

MS. LIM: Just one final point, because the matter was listed as continued action, if necessary, for tomorrow. And we came in today with this request for extension, and we appreciate that that will be heard and discussed tomorrow.

Should that request be denied tomorrow, we

will need opportunity to put on our case and try to have our experts respond to questions that the public and Commissioners have put on. So I just wanted to register that with you, which it may kind up jumping the other matter. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: It's on the agenda, so we can't bump it. So that's the reason why we're trying to limit the public testimony so we have more time to discuss. Okay. Public testimony is closed today, and we will make deliberate tomorrow after the presentation. Anything else, Commissioners? We will recess tonight and we will convene tomorrow morning at 8:30. (The proceedings ended at 6:30 p.m.)

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1	CERTIFICATE STATE OF HAWAII)
2) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on November 18, 2015, at 9:30 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 18th day of November, 2015, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	McManus court reporters 808-239-6148-