	1
1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Video Conference
6	
7	SP-407 Solarcity Corporation PV LLC)
8	Kauai Special Permit - Adoption of Order)
9)
10	
11	The above entitled matter was held on January 27, 2016
12	at 9 a.m at the following video conference centers
13	simultaneously on O'ahu-Kalanimoku Building, 1151
14	Punchbowl St, Room B10, Honolulu, Hawai'i; 96813
15	Kaua'i, Lihue's State Office Bldg., 3060 'Eiwa Street,
16	Basement, Lihu'e, Hawai'i, 96766; Maui- State of
17	Hawai'i Department of Transportation District Office,
18	650 Palapala Dr., Kahului, Hawai'i 96732; Hawai'i-
19	Hilo State Office Bldg. 75 Aupuni Street, Hilo,
20	Hawai'i 96720.
21	
22	Reported by: HOLLY M. HACKETT CSR, RPR
\sim	Contified Charthand Depart on

Certified Shorthand Reporter

		2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	COMMISSIONERS:	
4	EDMUND ACZON	
5		
6	KENT HIRANAGA AARON MAHI	
7	CHAD McDONALD JONATHAN SCHEUER	
8	ARNOLD WONG	
9	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: DAN ORODENKER	
10	CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA	
11	STAFF PLANNER: SCOTT DERRICKSON	
12	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: DIANE ERICKSON	
13		
14	Docket No. SP-407 Solarcity PV LLC	
15	For the Petitioner: BENJAMIN MATSUBARA, ESQ. CURTIS TABATA, ESQ.	
16	CORRECT TENTIFY ESQ.	
17	For the State: BRYAN YEE, ESQ.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
                CHAIR ACZON: (gavel 9:15) Good morning.
    Before we commence with our proceedings I want to take
 3
    a moment. Commissioner Cabral, you want to do that?
 4
                COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes.
                                            I would just
 5
    like to ask as we are a state body, a board
6
    commission, and we have very recently lost a very dear
 7
    senator from Hilo, Senator Gil Kahele. I'd like to
    ask for a moment of silence, and then I will compose
 8
9
    myself. Thank you for this moment of silence.
10
                Thank you.
    (Pausing)
11
                CHAIR ACZON: Good morning, again.
12
    is the January 27th, 2016 Land Use Commission meeting.
13
    Before we commence with the proceedings the Chair
    would like to entertain a motion to make a correction
14
   to the title on the agenda from "SP15-54 Solarcity PV,
15
16
    LLC" to "SP-407 Solarcity Corporation."
17
    Can I have a motion?
18
                COMMISSIONER MAHI:
                                    I move.
19
                CHAIR ACZON:
                              Any second?
20
                COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Second.
2.1
                CHAIR ACZON: A motion has been made by
22
    Commissioner Mahi and seconded by Commissioner
23
    McDonald. Any discussion?
24
                MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Wong.
25
                CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Wong. Sorry.
```

Hearing none, all in favor? "Aye" All opposed? "Aye". The agenda is amended. Thank you.

2.1

The next order of business is the adoption of the January 13, 2016 minutes. Are there any corrections or comments on them? If not, is there a motion to adopt the January 13, 2016 minutes?

COMMISSIONER ESTES: So moved.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: I second.

CHAIR ACZON: A motion has been made by Commissioner Estes and seconded by Commissioner Mahi to adopt the January 13, 2016 minutes. All in favor say aye. "Aye". (off-island screens' feedback) "aye, aye")

Any opposed? (None.) The minutes for January 13, 2016 are unanimously adopted.

The next agenda is the tentative meeting schedule. Mr. Orodenker.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On February 9th we will be in Kona for the Waikoloa Development Special Permit. And on February 10th we will be on O'ahu for the Ko Olina Motion for Consideration.

February 24th we'll be on Maui for DR15-54 Punanoa Homeowners Association. And we also have a site visit to Ma'alaea in that afternoon.

February 25th is not scheduled at this time. March 9th we'll be at the Honolulu Airport Conference Room No. 3 for an update on the Waimanalo Gulch matter.

And on March 23rd, and 24th we will be in Kona overnight for the Queen Lili'uokalani Trust Motion for Order Modifying Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

On April 13th and 14th we have a tentative date for Ma'alaea on Maui. And the schedule from there is to be determined.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Any questions about the schedule? Thank you. I will now call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony on Docket SP15-407 to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

Do we have any? We don't have anyone here. Anybody? Is there anyone in the audience who desires to provide public testimony on this matter? (pause) Thank you.

1 This is a meeting on Docket No. SP15-407 2 Solarcity Corporation Kaua'i to Adopt the Form of the 3 Order for a Special Permit for real property situated 4 at Kapai'a, Hanamaulu, Lihu'e, Kaua'i, Hawai'i 5 identified by Kaua'i Tax Map Key No, (4)3-8-002 6 portion of lot 2. 7 Will the Applicant or its representative 8 please identify themselves for the record. 9 MR. TABATA: Good morning, Chair, Members 10 of the Commission. Curtis Tabata and Benjamin 11 Matsubara appearing on behalf of Solarcity. 12 MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney 13 General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning. 14 With me is Rodney Funakoshi and Lorene Maki from the 15 Office of Planning. 16 CHAIR ACZON: Anyone from the County? 17 MR. ORODENKER: No. The County has 18 notified us they will not be present today. 19 Okay. On January 13, 2016 CHAIR ACZON: 20 the Applicant presented a summary of its proposed 2.1 Project requiring a special permit at the State Office 22 Building Meeting Rooms A and B in Lihue, Kaua'i, 23 Hawai'i. In addition, the Commission received Kaua'i 24 County and OP's comments on this matter.

After questions and discussion, the

1 Commission voted to approve the recommendation of the County of Kaua'i Planning Commission to approve the 3 Applicant's petition for the Special Permit with modifications. 4 5 On January 19, 2016 the Commission sent 6 the January 27th, 2016 LUC agenda notice to the 7 Parties and to the Statewide, O'ahu, Maui, Hawai'i, 8 and Kaua'i mailing lists. 9 On January 26, 2016 the Commission 10 received correspondence from OHA commenting on this 11 matter. Commissioners, do you have any comments on 12 the OHA letter? VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: 13 Chair? 14 CHAIR ACZON: Yes, Commissioner Scheuer. 15 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Regarding the OHA 16 I'd like to move that we go into executive letter. 17 session with our counsel pursuant to section 92-5 HRS. 18 CHAIR ACZON: Is there a second? 19 COMMISSIONER WONG: Second. 20 CHAIR ACZON: Motion has been made by Vice 2.1 Chair Scheuer and Vice Chair Wong for executive 22 session. Those in favor say aye. "Aye". Opposed? 23 Motion carries. Staff, could you please clear the

25 (Off the record 9:10). (Gavel).

room please and the neighbor islands, please.

We're back on the record. (9:30).

CHAIR ACZON: Mr. Tabata for the

applicant, do you have any comments at this time?

MR. TABATA: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead.

MR. TABATA: We've reviewed the OHA letter which we just received. And we note that this is coming in very late. We haven't had an opportunity to have a more thorough review of this letter. But we note that the thrust of this letter is that there are 2 historical sites that were recorded in 1981 during the Waialua River Hydropower Project, and that are not reflected in our Archaeological Inventory Survey.

We've been able to contact the client and confirm that these sites are not located on the 50 acres of our Project. We note that the letter states that these historic sites were identified by inputting the TMK for the Project, the Tax Map Key number, parcel number.

But the parcel in question is 5,300 acres plus. So that's why it comes up. These sites come up on the TMK parcel, but they do *not* impact or reflect upon or are located on our 50-acre Project. That's why it's not reflected in an Archaeological Inventory Survey.

So we don't believe that these historic sites will change the conclusion of our Archaeological Inventory Survey. In that conclusion there's no historic properties affected by our proposed Project. So we do not feel that it is necessary to continue this hearing, this matter, as a result of this late letter. We feel the Land Use Commission can proceed as scheduled.

2.1

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Is that all?

MR. TABATA: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners, before you is the Form of the Order approving the recommendation of the County of Kauai Planning Commission to approve the State Special Use Permit Petition with modification in this docket No. SP15-407.

The Form of the Order is the form

(electronic feedback) submitted by the Petitioner with

amendments adopted by the Commission and other

technical, non-substantive changes. (electronic

feedback) The Chair will entertain a Motion to

Approve the Form of the Order in this matter.

Commissioners, what is your pleasure?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair,

procedurally before a motion gets to be heard we ask
the Applicant for comments. Is it possible to hear if

```
1
    OP has comments on the OHA letter? Or do we have to
   make a motion first?
 3
                CHAIR ACZON: Yeah. Let's do the motion,
   then we can do the discussion.
 4
 5
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: I move approval.
 6
                COMMISSIONER CABRAL:
                                      I second.
 7
                CHAIR ACZON: A motion has been made by
 8
    Commissioner Cabral and seconded by Commissioner
9
    Estes. Any discussion?
10
                COMMISSIONER CABRAL: No, the other way
11
    around. Commissioner Estes made the motion and Cabral
12
    seconded it. I think it's important that the island
13
    representative be noted in the proper order.
14
                CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. A motion has
15
   been made by Commissioner Estes and seconded by
16
    Commissioner Cabral, is that correct? Any discussion?
17
                COMMISSIONER ESTES:
                                     Yes.
18
                CHAIR ACZON: Any discussion?
19
                COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair?
2.0
                CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Hiranaga.
2.1
                COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair, I would
22
    like you to ask OP if they have any comments.
23
                CHAIR ACZON: OP? (echo and electronic
24
    feedback)
25
                MR. YEE:
                          Thank you. Like the Applicant,
```

the Office of Planning did not receive the letter when we got here this morning. (echo) I think our comments, therefore, is not related so much to the facts alleged in the letter as much as due process.

So it's true the letter came in late. The decision, we did issue an oral decision. But it is an important issue, of course. What we do note in the process is that the issue of whether or not the AIS was sufficient, may be decided by the coun— or would have to be decided by the county prior to the issuance of their grading permits. (background feedback echo)

So while it's unfortunate the County's not here to provide sort of some input on this, it certainly would be appropriate that a copy of this letter be sent to Hawai'i County to make sure that prior to any grading activity, the issue of whether or not the AIS was sufficient is appropriately addressed. We don't — I'm not questioning the veracity of the representation made today. (electronic feedback continuing)

But because of the lateness of the document we haven't had an opportunity and it's sort of unfair to the Applicant in a way—(reporter has electronic feedback) — you asked for comments. Is it possible to hear if OP has comments — it's difficult

1 to engage in factual fact-finding today. 2 We do think there's enough information to 3 look forward to the decision making. (redundant 4 echos) But we just wanted to note for you the process 5 by the issue of the sufficiency of the AIS. Let me 6 backtrack a step. One of the issues I take is if 7 there are no archeological inventory sites, then 8 consultation with SHPD isn't legally required. 9 be done because it's a good thing to do because it's a good prudential move. But it's not legally required 10 11 by the county. (feedback sounds) 12 CHAIR ACZON: Can you guys hear, neighbor 13 islands? (echos) 14 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I can't hear you. 15 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Is someone 16 speaking? 17 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, we were having 18 difficulty with feedback. So I apologize for that. 19 (echo) 20 MR. HAKODA: Try if neighbor island 21 Commissioners mute your mic, please. 22 There's an internal echo. MR. ORODENKER: 23 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: But their mics were 24 muted. 25 CHAIR ACZON: It's okay now?

COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.

MR. HAKODA: Neighbor Island Commissioners raise your hand if you can hear us. (raising hands)
Okay.

MR. YEE: Assuming you at least heard some of what I said, just to quickly summarize. The issue of the AIS may be decided by the county and should be decided by the county prior to the issuance of their building permit — ah, grading permit.

And so this issue should be analyzed in more detail before any earth moving or grading activity occurs. So it certainly would be appropriate for Kauai County to get a copy of this letter so they can address this issue prior to any reversible action.

If it is true that there are no archaeological inventory sites within the 50-acre parcel, and, frankly, located not so close that they would be impacted by any earth moving within the Petition Area or Permit Area, then further approval by SHPD is not legally required.

It would be better to have that addressed,
I think, by the county prior to their grading permits.
And the Office of Planning doesn't think this
necessarily needs to be decided or doesn't necessarily
need to impede the decision today.

1 Whether you want to require Kauai County 2 to look at that issue as part of the initial 3 condition, of course is your choice. But we don't 4 think that would prevent or should prevent you from 5 making a decision, and from the Office of Planning's 6 view point approving with conditions the Application. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, OP. Any other 9 questions? Commissioner Hiranaga? 10 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: I have a comment. 11 So I found it very informative to know that those 12 previously identified sites are not on the subject 13 I quess for clarity as far as protocol Kauai 14 County should have notified OHA, but they did not. Is 15 that correct? 16 If I may, Mr. Chair. MR. TABATA: 17 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead, Mr. Tabata. 18 MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Kauai 19 County made a decision (echo) to not seek SHPD review 20

MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Kauai

County made a decision (echo) to not seek SHPD review
because the conclusion of noise to our property is
affected with the result of the Project. What they
relied upon was the Walmart case where the
Intermediate Court of Appeals of Hawai'i ruled -(echo) -- that where historic properties are not
affected that SHPD review is not required under

2.1

22

23

24

1 Chapter 6E of the Hawaii Revised Statues. 2 Therefore, we agree with the county that 3 SHPD review for this Project is not required. Thank 4 you, Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Any other questions? 6 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead, Commissioner 8 (echo resuming) Hiranaga. 9 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So if SHPD should 10 have the issue with this it's between them and the 11 County of Kauai? 12 CHAIR ACZON: Say that again. 13 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Because SHPD was 14 not notified in a timely fashion, if they have an 15 issue with this it would be between them and the County of Kauai? Is that what I'm hearing? 16 17 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: The letter -- oh, 18 I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead, Commissioner. 20 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: In our discussion 2.1 the letter's actually from OHA and not from the 22 Department of Land and Natural Resources Historic 2.3 Preservation Division. So I really don't know if 24 actually SHPD has an issue. The issue is being raised 25 by OHA.

1 CHAIR ACZON: That was my question earlier 2 to SHPD as a comment. My question is: Are we 3 responsible to notify OHA or are we required to notify 4 OHA? 5 MR. ORODENKER: We, in this process --6 Mr. Chair, if I may, since the actual evidentiary 7 process takes place at the county level, the county 8 level is -- the county is responsible for making sure 9 that all interested parties are present. We provided

OHA with notice that we were gonna take up the matter

as a matter of course. Chief Clerk Sonoda. Is OHA on

12 our list?

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAKODA: Yes, they are.

MR. ORODENKER: So we sent them a notice that we were taking up the matter. But since it was not an evidentiary proceeding we're not responsible for taking their comments at that time. If they have an issue, then they know they can come and testify.

CHAIR ACZON: So that was OHA's responsibility to call the Commission.

MR. ORODENKER: Or to appear and make their concerns known.

CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Vice Chair Scheuer?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So I'm gonna speak
against the motion, respectfully, to my colleagues on

Kauai and Hawai'i Island. It doesn't have to do -- I think the project is very worthwhile. I don't want to see this project delayed without a good purpose. The problem for me is not the OHA letter. I want to say this is a pattern with OHA notifying us late.

2.1

I'd like to specifically request the Executive Director talk to OHA about they can't just keep chiming in late and disturbing the process. And for me, actually the issues in the OHA letter are not so much of what they allege should be in the EIS or how it should have been reviewed.

My problem is we only have to make it —we can only really make a decision based on what is on the record. And the record, unfortunately, from Kauai County, was just really silent as to, as I discussed last time in particular, whether or not there's valued cultural or natural resources in the area, the extent to which those resources might be used by practitioners as well as if there's an effect from the proposed project what we can do, if anything, to mitigate any impacts.

Now, I don't think, based on my understanding of the process of the site, that there is anything. But there's no findings that we can rely on. And that's, I think, the standard that we're

1 supposed to follow. So I'm gonna vote against the Motion to Approve. 3 Thank you. Anybody else? CHAIR ACZON: 4 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair? 5 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Hiranaga. 6 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Just for clarity 7 did the County of Kauai receive a copy of OHA's 8 letter? 9 CHAIR ACZON: We don't know. 10 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: 'Cause I think if 11 they did receive a copy of the letter I would be 12 disappointed that they are not present to ask 13 questions. 14 If I can respond to that. MR. ORODENKER: 15 They were not cc'd directly on the letter. And even 16 if they were, like I said, it came in at 4:30 last 17 night. And they had already directed their efforts 18 I would agree it would be better if they elsewhere. 19 were here. 20 CHAIR ACZON: We don't have any control of that. Commissioner Estes. 2.1 22 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Can I speak? 23 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead. 24 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I'm satisfied with 25 the job that the county did. And I'm not gonna change

1 how I feel about that because of the late minute -when did we get it 4:30 yesterday afternoon -- so I 3 support the motion strongly. 4 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Anybody else? 5 COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair? 6 CHAIR ACZON: Vice Chair Wong. (echo) 7 COMMISSIONER WONG: I too am very 8 concerned about OHA's last minute chiming in. 9 want to support Vice Chair Scheuer's request for the 10 Executive Director to talk to OHA. 11 I was wondering if I could make a friendly 12 amendment after listening to OP on the motion, to add 13 a condition regarding that the county must inform SHPD 14 and get some sort of written response back by SHPD 15 about this Project before continuing on the Project. 16 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Estes, are you 17 acceptable of the friendly amendment? 18 COMMISSIONER ESTES: No, I don't accept 19 the amendment. 20 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Cabral? 21 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Hello. Yes. As the 22 seconder of the motion I would accept such a motion 23 but with a condition that SHPD be given some type of 24 time limit from when they received the request to

basically verify that the areas of historical concern

are outside of the area under consideration.

2.1

Because I would hate to have another, what I feel in my world, level of potential bureaucratic, you know, endeavors take 6 months or something, you know. And those types of things sometimes can do that.

So I don't know what their normal timeframe is to do something. But as a person who's sold large parcels of real estate I absolutely know it is not difficult once you've located something to know where one area begins and one ends when you're talking 5,000 acres. You know, it should not be a difficult thing to find out that these are miles apart from each other.

So I'm not opposed to such a motion if that is what makes the entire Commission more comfortable. At the same time I'm satisfied with the motion as it is because it would be noted in our record that we have been informed that this area of concern is outside of the subject area. And that everybody else is aware of that already.

And it just seems like maybe OHA, because they didn't get their official paperwork, has not been aware of that fact.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Cabral, are you

1 suggesting a different amendment at this time? 2 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: No. I'm comfortable 3 with the amendment as is. But I would rather have 4 some type of action move forward either with the 5 amendment as it is or make an amendment to that; or a 6 different amendment with a condition. But the 7 condition needs to be somewhat specific in terms of 8 timeframe for SHPD to be able to respond to verifying 9 that they have no concern. 10 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, Ms. Cabral. 11 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair? 13 CHAIR ACZON: If you don't have an 14 additional amendment, Commissioner Cabral, the maker 15 of the motion will now accept the friendly amendment. 16 (echo) Commissioner Hiranaga. 17 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair. So 18 just for clarity once again. The County of Kauai had 19 the right, they had the right to determine that 20 notification to SHPD was not required based upon their 2.1 determination. They had that legal right to do that? 22 MS. ERICKSON: Yes. 23 CHAIR ACZON: The AG said, "Yes".

MS. ERICKSON: But the issue, I quess, is

that OHA raised the issue that they were not notified.

24

1 (electronic feedback) 2 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Then was the 3 County of Kauai required to notify OHA? Legally were 4 they required to notify OHA? 5 MS. ERICKSON: I would have to defer to the County of Kauai. 6 7 CHAIR ACZON: That was my question 8 earlier. 9 MS. ERICKSON: I would think that they 10 would, but I would defer to the county. 11 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead. (electronic 12 feedback) But OHA is in the -- go ahead. 13 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Another question is if this motion fails and there's a Motion to 14 15 Reconsider and that's adopted, I think Diane mentioned 16 there's like a -- it goes back to square 1 as far as 17 timelines. Is that correct? 45 days and 18 MS. ERICKSON: It depends on what the Motion to Reconsider does or what any subsequent 19 2.0 motion after the Motion to Reconsider does. (echos) 2.1 CHAIR ACZON: We can discuss that after. 22 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So hypothetically 23 can we defer this matter to the next meeting? Is that 24 an option if the Motion to Approve fails? 25 MS. ERICKSON: I believe that your 45 days

1 is going to expire in one or two days. 2 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So failure to take 3 action would basically adopt the motion or allow them 4 to proceed if no motion is approved or granted? 5 MR. ORODENKER: If we do not make a 6 decision today then the special permit is granted with 7 automatic conditions. And those conditions are those contained in our rules. 8 9 MS. ERICKSON: There are about 23 of those conditions. 10 11 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Estes? 12 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes. Number 1, I 13 don't think a Motion for Reconsideration is on the 14 floor. That motion was never made, was it? 15 CHAIR ACZON: No. We're just discussing 16 it. 17 COMMISSIONER ESTES: The motion was for 18 approval. Am I correct? 19 CHAIR ACZON: Yes. That was the question 20 under discussion. Vice Chair Scheuer? (echo) 2.1 COMMISSIONER ESTES: If we had the motion 22 for approval and it fails then we're back to square 1, 23 is that right? 24 CHAIR ACZON: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Or the project is not

1 approved. 2 CHAIR ACZON: That's correct. 3 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Okay. I will withdraw 4 my Motion for Approval and listen to a Motion to 5 Reconsider, but I'm only against the Motion to 6 Reconsider. I don't think we should reconsider. Τ 7 think we should approve this today. 8 CHAIR ACZON: So what's your pleasure? 9 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Can I have a 10 question, procedure question? 11 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Cabral. 12. COMMISSIONER CABRAL: If we have a call 13 for the question and we take a vote if this motion's 14 up or down then we would know, then we could entertain 15 another motion if necessary. Is that correct? 16 MS. ERICKSON: Correct. 17 CHAIR ACZON: Correct. 18 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Correct. Okay. 19 Just trying to simplify the process. 2.0 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Estes. 2.1 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Then that's true. Τf 22 the Motion to Approve fails then we can hear another 2.3 motion? 24 MS. ERICKSON: Yes. 25 CHAIR ACZON: That's correct. Vice Chair

Scheuer?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Last comment. To be really clear to my fellow Commissioners and Applicant it gives me absolutely no pleasure to speak against the motion at all. But I think just to mark our discussion today about what's in the OHA letter and what the process is. This is all outside our official record. The problem we have is, well, the County of Kauai did many things right. I just have to respectfully disagree they did not hand us a good record that we can point to to say that these things were addressed.

And we have this duty. No matter how it seems unlikely to us right now, we have this duty to make these findings or see that those findings were made in the course of our decision making. And we're just — to me our hands are tied because the record handed to us by the county was unfortunately very insufficient, in my opinion.

CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else? Let me just be clear. Commissioner Estes, you're still sticking with your motion, right?

COMMISSIONER ESTES: I'll stick with it.

Okay. Yes. I move approval of the project.

CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Any further

discussion?

MS. ERICKSON: Actually, it's the Form of the Order.

CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER McDONALD: I just have a quick comment. I understand where Commissioner Scheuer's coming from. As always I appreciate his due diligence in researching and his full attention to a lot of these cultural matters that a lot of us are not in tune to.

However, as our Attorney General just stated that it's not under — well, Kauai County made the decision with regards to acceptance of the AIS. And as confirmed by our Attorney General that's under their purview. It didn't necessarily need to go to State Historic Preservation Division which I was under the impression it needed to. So in that regard I feel the record is complete enough to move forward with the Decision on the Form of the Order.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Vice Chair Wong. COMMISSIONER WONG: I guess I also am

concerned about Kauai County's lack of filing the proper procedures especially on public trust issues.

But they still, I think, I believe they still have to do an Archaeological Inventory Study as OP mentioned prior to the grading permits.

CHAIR ACZON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So, you know, I totally agree with Commissioner McDonald on his statements about Commissioner Scheuer, Commissioner Mahi's point that they're better than me on this issue. But the AIS I believe it still has to be done for a grading permit. So I'm gonna support this motion.

10 CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else?

11 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair?

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Hiranaga.

(echos)

2.1

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Mr. Chair? Yes. So just a quick comment. So I'm an advocate of Home Rule with, you know, the various islands and counties. Being on this Commission I've learned that each county has their own style of operating. You may not agree with the amount of detail that was provided by the County of Kauai, but I will be voting in favor of the motion.

I think if there is issues raised, serious issues later by OHA or SHPD, that really will be between them and the County of Kauai. I will be voting in favor of the motion.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Anybody else? Commissioner Mahi.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: I'll be voting against the motion only in that that I will be a Pontius Pilot, just wash my hands and say, "Take him if you want him." That's what my feeling is right now so I will vote against the motion.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner

Mahi. The Chair will — is gonna be supporting this

motion. I'm very disappointed with OHA for this last

minute communication. I'm more of the maintaining the

integrity of the process. With due respect to OHA, if

anybody else comes with this late in the public,

coming this late notice what would we do, you know?

So that's kinda pretty much.

Where is the end of this, you know? OHA did this twice already on us. So I will be voting for the motion. Any further discussion? If there's no further discussion, Mr. Orodenker.

MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, the motion is to Adopt the Order on SP15-407 Solarcity, the approving the County's granting of a special permit. Commissioner Estes?

(Commissioners talking over one another)

COMMISSIONER MAHI: They cannot talk like

```
that. Who's she talking to? I asked the question,
 1
 2
    Chair. Who...is...Commissioner Estes...reporting...
 3
                CHAIR ACZON: We don't know.
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: Our...deliberation?
 4
 5
    We should find out. I demand to find out!
6
                CHAIR ACZON: You lost some at recess.
 7
 8
                COMMISSIONER MAHI:
                                    I will -- is gonna be
9
    supporting this motion. I'm very disappointed with
10
    OHA for this last minute communication. I'm more of
11
    the maintaining the integrity of the process. With
12
    due respect to OHA, if anybody else comes with this
    late in the public, comes with this late notice, what
13
14
   would you do, you know?
15
                So that's kinda pretty much "where is the
   end of this"? OHA did this twice already on us so I
16
17
    will be voting for the motion. Any further
18
    discussion? If there's no further discussion,
19
   Mr. Orodenker?
20
                MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, the motion is
21
   to Adopt the Order on SP15-407 Solarcity approving the
22
    County's granting of a Special Permit.
23
    Commissioners speaking over each other)
24
    Commissioner Estes-- Mahi and....
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: You cannot talk like
25
```

```
that. Who is she talking to? I asked the question,
 1
    Chair. Who...is...Commissioner Estes...reporting our
 3
    deliberation?
                CHAIR ACZON: We don't know.
 4
 5
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: We should find out.
                                                        Т
 6
    demand to find out! I demand to find out!
 7
                MR. HAKODA: Can we get a recess?
 8
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: Get them back on.
 9
                VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Second.
10
                CHAIR ACZON: Recess. (During recess
11
    Commissioner Hiranaga left the room) (gavel)
12
                CHAIR ACZON: We're back on the record.
13
                MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
14
   Mahi had a question.
15
                CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Estes,
16
    Commissioner Mahi has a question.
17
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: We heard you
18
    discussing who was for and who against. My name was
19
   mentioned and so was Mr. Scheuer's name. So are you
20
    reporting to someone over there?
2.1
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: No! I didn't mention
22
   your name!
23
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: You did. Did you all
    hear not my name? Say "aye". (Commissioners saying
24
25
    "aye".) We know you were in conversation with
```

1 You were in *direct* conversation with someone. someone. 3 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Estes, could 4 you identify the person with you. 5 MR. BELLES: Oh. My name is Michael 6 I'm an attorney with the Applicant Solarcity. Belles. 7 I was the attorney before the Planning Commission of 8 the County of Kaua'i. 9 (pause) 10 Is this procedural? COMMISSIONER MAHI: 11 Is this correct procedure? 12 MS. ERICKSON: He is allowed to attend as 13 a member of the public. 14 COMMISSIONER MAHI: And have discussion 15 with the Commissioner, have an open discussion in 16 terms of what was proceeding, in terms of how we're 17 gonna vote on this issue? Is that proper? 18 MR. BELLES: Commissioner, just for the 19 record, I only asked who the second vote was and that 20 That was the extent of my discussion with the 2.1 Commissioner on Kauai. 22 COMMISSIONER MAHI: This is mud! 23 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I apologize, Aaron. 24 I didn't even remember doing that. No, it certainly 25 is not appropriate for me to talk to anybody about the

```
1
   voting. And I apologize. I did not recall doing
    that. I was --when we were off the air I was talking
 3
    with Mr. Belles about Mexico. So if I did that I --
 4
                COMMISSIONER MAHI: I distinctly heard -- I
 5
    distinctly heard, Commissioner Estes, "We have 2 and
    6!" I heard that! "We have 2 for -- I mean 4 for it
6
 7
    and 2 against." You said, "Mahi and Scheuer are
8
    against it." I heard that. That's... a... very...
9
   plain... and...open...discussion. And I'm embarassed
10
    that you took that liberty to expose the proceedings
11
    of our deliberations. I protest!!"
12
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: Ari, I think you're
   absolutely right. I apologize. You're absolutely
13
           In politics I'm a natural vote counter.
14
    right.
15
   you're absolutely right. I never should have said
16
    anything.
              Totally apologize.
17
                COMMISSIONER MAHI:
                                   Thank you.
18
                CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner
19
   Mahi. Can we move on, Mr. Orodenker?
20
                MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21
    Once again the motion is to adopt the order approving
22
    Solarcity Corporation's Special Permit for real
23
   property situated on Kapai'a, Hanamaula, Lihu'e,
24
    Kaua'i. Commissioner Estes?
25
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.
```

1	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Cabral?
2	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes.
3	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Mahi?
4	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Nay!
5	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Wong?
6	COMMISSIONER WONG: Aye.
7	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Scheuer?
8	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: No.
9	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner McDonald?
10	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes.
11	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Hiranaga?
12	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Aye.
13	CHAIR ACZON: Aye.
14	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
15	motion carries 6 votes to 2.
16	CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. The motion
17	passed. Is there any other business for the day?
18	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, I'd like
19	to ask that on our next agendas through the
20	legislative session we add to the agenda an update on
21	any bills or other legislative action that might be
22	affecting the Land Use Commission?
23	CHAIR ACZON: Yes. The staff will be
24	doing that.
25	MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Vice Chair, we will

1 make an effort to do that and make sure that that 2 happens. 3 If there's no further CHAIR ACZON: 4 business I declare this meeting adjourned. Thank you, 5 everybody. (Proceedings adjourned at 10:00 a.m.) 6 7 --00--8 9 COMMISSIONER ESTES: There was a tremendous 10 amount of detail consideration. I'd like to just 11 thank everybody on this group who gives to the matters 12 at hand. And while we might not always agree I think 13 that it's a level of acceptance of open discussion and 14 consideration that things be done right is very 15 admirable. And I thank you all for your good efforts 16 to be honest and ethical. 17 Thank you, Commissioner. CHAIR ACZON: 18 (Gavel. Proceedings adjourned at 10:00 a.m.) 19 20 --00--21 22 23 24 25

2.1

CERTIFICATE

I, HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;

That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matters on the 27th day of January 2016;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me;

That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matters.

DATED: This 3rd of February 2016