1		LAND USE COMMISSION
2		STATE OF HAWAII
3		October 13, 2016
4		Commencing at 10:12 A.M.
5		COURTYARD KING KAMEHAMEHA KONA BEACH HOTEL
6		Ballroom #4
7		75-5660 Palani Road
8		Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96738
9		
10		
11	I.	Call to Order
12	II.	Adoption of Minutes
13	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule
14	IV.	Public Witness Testimony
15 16	V.	ACTION -A81-525 Y-O Limited Partnership (Hawai'i) Motion for an Order Regarding Satisfaction of Affordable Housing Condition
	7.7.T	
17	VI.	Discussion and Action, if Appropriate Authorize LUC Staff to Proceed with
18		Administrative Rule Amendments
19	VII.	Adjournment
20		
21		
22	BEFORI	E: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156
23		
24		
25		

-McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

		3
1		
2	INDEX	
3	WITNESSES: PAGE	
4	<pre>Keith Kato Direct Examination</pre> 11	
5	Mark Meyer Direct Examination 34	
6	Duane Kanuha	
7	Direct Examination 45	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148	

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Good morning. 2 Chair would like to apologize for the delay 3 this morning. We always strive for timeliness, but 4 we had some transportation difficulties. This is the October 13, 2016 Land Use 5 6 Commission Meeting. 7 The first order of business is adoption of minutes of September 7th and 23rd, 2016 meetings. 8 9 You will remember that the September 7th meeting was 10 recessed and reconvened on September 20th. Are there any corrections or comments on them? If not, is 11 12 there a motion. 13 COMMISSIONER ESTES: So moved. 14 VICE CHAIR WONG: Second. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Motion has been made by 16 Commissioner Estes and seconded by Vice Chair Wong. 17 All in favor, say "Aye". Any opposed. 18 The minutes are adopted. 19 The next agenda item is the tentative 20 meeting schedule. Mr. Orodenker. 2.1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: October 26 and 27 is 22 our next scheduled meeting. At the moment we do not

have anything on the agenda for that.

November 9th and 10th is the Remand Special
Hearing on Lana'i, Hearing Officer.

23

24

November 15 and 16 are also for the Remand Special Hearing and will be held on Maui, regarding the Lana'i case.

2.1

Lana'i Remand Hearings on December 8th, we're hoping that at that point we will be able to

7 come back so that will be a full Commission hearing 8 that will be on Lana'i.

December 22nd is also reserved for Lanai.

November 22nd is also to be determined.

January 11th and 12th we have reserved both Waimanalo Gulch Remand and Ka'ono'ulu Ranch adoption of the final EIS.

January 25th and 26th is also reserved for both of those items Waimanalo Gulch on Oahu and Ka'ono'ulu Ranch on Maui.

Schedule going forward from that has yet to be determined.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any questions on the scheduling? Thank you.

First agenda item, Action Hearing on Docket
A81-525 Y-O Limited Partnership, Motion for an Order
Regarding Satisfaction of Affordable Housing
Condition in a Petition to Amend the Agricultural
Land Use District Boundary to the Urban Land Use
District for Approximately 408.719 acres of land at

1 Kaloko and Kohanaiki, North Kona, Hawai'i, Tax Map 2 Key No: 7-3-09, Lot 19. 3 Will the parties identify themselves? MR. YUEN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 4 5 Commissioners. My name is William Yuen. I'm the 6 attorney for RCFC Kaloko Heights, LLC. With me is 7 Mark Meyer, who an officer of RCFC Kaloko Heights, 8 LLC. 9 MR. BRILHANTE: William Brilhante, 10 Assistant Corporation Counsel. With me is County of 11 Hawai'i Planning Director, Dwayne Kanuha. 12 MS. APUNA: Good morning, Chair and 13 Commissioners. Deputy Attorney General Dawn Apuna on 14 behalf of the State Office of Planning. With me today is Rodney Funakoshi. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, can I make a disclosure? 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead. 20 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: I would like to 21 disclose certain relationships which I just feel I 22 should disclose. 23

I personally know the Alston Hunt partner,
Lee Ames. She is married to my very good high school
friend, Michael Sinch. I have represented the Sinch

24

family in a number of matters, including their company, since incorporated. I was a guest at Lee and Michael's wedding many decades ago and before I was named to the Land Use Commission. Mr. Sinch would do electrical work at my residence at a rate which I know is below market rate.

I also know the Alston Hunt partner, Jay
Ching, who is married to another one of my very good
high school classmates, and I knew her before she
became an attorney. Ms. Ching has represented
clients who have been on the same side with my
clients, sometimes neutral clients, and sometimes we
have been on opposite sides of cases.

And I'd also like to disclose the fact that the Alston Hunt firm represents a party who has an action pending against my law firm.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any objection from the parties?

MR. YUEN: No.

MR. BRILHANTE: No.

MS. APANA: No.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: If this is the moment for disclosure, I do feel that I should disclose that the little Big Island here that I note in the

audience as I arrive I see that I know Allen Ruda, 1 2 who is with the County Affairs Housing Officer, and I 3 use his services on a regular basis professionally. I know Tom Yea as an attorney and his firm 4 5 does legal work for some of my condo association. 6 And I know Keith Kato professionally 7 because he built HUD affordable housing, and I'm always wanting to bid on it, but I don't think I have 8 9 any conflict with any of them. But that's what I 10 know about the people in the audience. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objection? 12 MR. YUEN: None. 13 MR. BRILHANTE: None. 14 MS. APANA: None. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 16 Anybody else while we are on it? 17 Let me update record in this docket. 18 July 19, 2016, Commission received Petitioner's Motion and Exhibit A. 19 20 July 21, 2016, the Commission received 2.1 Petitioner's filing fee and digital copies of its 22 documents.

On July 26, 2016 the Commission received OP's letter requesting a 30-day time extension for filing responses to this Petition.

23

24

On the same day, the Commission received County's email joinder to OP's extension request; and the extension requests were approved. The Commission also received Petitioner's email stating "no objection" to the extension requests.

On July 28, 2016, the Commission received County's joinder letter to OP's request for a 30-day time extension for filing responses to this Petition.

On September 1, 2016, the Commission received Petitioner's Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion for Order Regarding Satisfaction of Affordable Housing Condition and Exhibit "B"

On September 27, 2016, the Commission received Petitioner's Second Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion for Order Regarding Satisfaction of Affordable Housing Condition.

On September 30, 2016, the Commission received OP's Memorandum in Partial Opposition to petitioner's Motion for Order Regarding Satisfaction of Affordable Housing Condition; and Two Memorandums in support of Motion.

On October 5, 2016, the Commission received County of Hawaii Department of Planning's Response to Petitioner's Motion and the Commission mailed the October 13, 2016 LUC meeting agenda notice to the

Parties and the Statewide, and Hawai'i mailing lists.

Mr. Yuen, has our staff informed you of the Commission's policy regarding the reimbursement of hearing expenses? If so, could you state your client's position with respect to this policy?

MR. YUEN: Yes, we approve.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

Let me describe our procedures for today.

First, I will call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony on this matter to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in. A three minute time limit on testimony will be enforced.

After public testimony, I will give opportunity for the parties to admit the exhibits for the record. After admission of exhibits to the record, the parties will then present their arguments on the motion starting with the Petitioner, followed by the Hawaii County Planning Department, and State Office of Planning.

Once the parties have completed their arguments, Commission will deliberate on this matter.

The Chair would also note for the parties and the public that from time to time, I will be

1	calling for short breaks.
2	Are there any questions regarding the
3	procedures for the day?
4	MR. YUEN: No questions.
5	MR. BRILHANTE: None at this time, thank
6	you.
7	MS. APANA: No questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.
9	Are there any individuals desiring to
10	provide public testimony on this docket?
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mr. Chair, we have
12	Keith Kato signed up to testify.
13	KEITH KATO
14	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
15	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
17	state your name and address for the record.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Keith Kato. I'm
20	the Executive Director of Hawaii Island Community
21	Development Corporation, address 100 Pauahi Street,
22	Suite 204, Hilo, Hawaii 96720.
23	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Good morning. Please
24	proceed.
25	THE WITNESS: There are non-profit we've

been discussing the development of this particular parcel with RCFC Kaloko High School awhile now, and we have an agreement with them to go ahead and proceed with the development.

Our organization is affordable housing developer. We're a 501(c)(3). We do basically self-help housing and low income rentals. We have done over 300 self-help homes on the Big Island, and I guess about 260 or so apartments.

Our proposal, actually what we would like to do is on this ten acres that we've been talking with them about, is to get the entitlements in place through the preemption process, the 201(h) process, and then secure low-income housing tax credits. We have used this particular program at least seven times, and it's very successful. It makes construction of affordable housing possible, or financially feasible.

Essentially that's it. That's our plan. We would like to proceed as soon as we can. You know, there's a lot of support I think right now for affordable rentals, and this seems to be a good time to go.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Kato?

1 MR. YUEN: None. 2 MR. BRILHANTE: Nothing from the county. 3 MS. APANA: No. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 4 5 Commissioner Cabral. 6 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: If everything went 7 your way, what would you anticipate be a starting and date and a completion date that you might be able to 8 9 have, and how many affordable housing units are you 10 looking at on this property? THE WITNESS: We're looking at 80 units. 11 12 That's our basic plan. We have to go through, like I 13 said, preemption process and secure the financing, so 14 we think that we would be applying in the spring of next year for the financing. 15 16 We probably won't hear back from the state 17 until summer or fall. And we would anticipate that somewhere in 2018 we would be able to start the 18 19 construction and finish in 2019. 20 That's, of course, subject to us securing 21 the financing. 22 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: So then the question 23 would lead to the question if you don't get 24 financing, what happens to the affordable housing

plan and what would happen to this whole contingency

1 | that they provide affordable housing?

THE WITNESS: Well, what we would do is probably reapply again. We have been successful with all the products that we've pursued, except for one. But we've done seven of these projects on the Big Island already. We think we have a good track record and we know how get things done. So if we don't succeed the first time, we'll try again.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Good morning, Mr.

Kato. Could you provide me approximately how much would it cost, what's the amount of loan that you're going for to build these 80 units?

THE WITNESS: The way that the cost are going these days, we roughly estimate that the units would run about \$400,000 each.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: 400 times eight.

THE WITNESS: Somewhere in the range of about 30 million.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: How do you payoff this loan?

THE WITNESS: It's not all loan. With the low-income housing tax credits, they have two programs, you know. If you get the nine percent credits, which we would prefer to get, you get about

half of your cost covered by equity. What happens is they award credits. We, being non-profit, have no use for tax credits, so we will basically look for investors.

2.1

Most of our projects the investors have ended up being the local banks. The one we're dealing with now, mainland non-profit and they're putting together the financing. CHECK

But so it will cover between half and 60, 70 percent of the cost. The rest of it would come from A loan from a local bank probably. And then some of the loan from the State of Hawaii, HFDC. They have a special loan PLAN THAT IS set up to provide some of the loans.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'm not very familiar with the location of this property, but how far away is this ten acres from the current development? Is it on the same site.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's, I would guess, within a quarter mile of existing homes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Can you share what's the value of the property, the ten acres?

THE WITNESS: To be honest with you, I really don't know.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So you're optimistic

1 if you can secure the loan, that you can start 2 construction in 2018, and by 2019 you can complete 3 it? THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And there would be 6 approximately 40 units? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 80. COMMISSIONER CHANG: 80, I'm sorry. 8 9 you have a current, is it like a wait list of people 10 looking for affordable rentals? THE WITNESS: We don't have one. We've 11 12 been developing an exclusively senior housing. So 13 the wait list that we are kind of attached to is all 14 for seniors. This would be a family rental. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Would two this be the 15 16 first family rental property that you're involved in, 17 are the other seven all senior housing? THE WITNESS: Right. We do do family 18 19 housing through our self-help program. We've done 20 over 300 units there, but it's different. 2.1 financing is different and the participation by the 22 families is different. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is this the same loan 24 program as you go for your seniors.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you're comfortable
2	with going through that process and feel pretty
3	confident?
4	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
5	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.
6	VICE CHAIR WONG: I have a question, Mr.
7	Kato.
8	In terms of the 400,000, does that include
9	grub work and all other infrastructure?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
11	VICE CHAIR WONG: So right now the property
12	is bare, so you would have to do grub work?
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that would take at
14	least four months, five months.
15	THE WITNESS: Usually the grading part is
16	well, so this is a ten-acre site, yeah. I guess
17	it will probably take maybe a couple months to do the
18	mass excavation work.
19	VICE CHAIR WONG: Setting up the
20	infrastructure and all that?
21	THE WITNESS: No. Infrastructure, that
22	will take probably another couple of months to at
23	least get the mains installed.
24	VICE CHAIR WONG: And that's after getting
25	all the permits too, correct?

1 THE WITNESS: Right. VICE CHAIR WONG: So are you going to go 2 3 for 201H? THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 5 VICE CHAIR WONG: Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Okuda. 7 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you. 8 Mr. Kato, the agreement that you're 9 referencing or describing, that's the agreement that 10 you signed with the Petitioner here, is that correct? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: In that agreement 13 there's, I think it's paragraph 4.4, your entity's 14 right to terminate the agreement during the due 15 diligence period, right? 16 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 17 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Have you completed the 18 due diligent period? 19 THE WITNESS: I would say no. You know, first all, if you look at the agreement, we're going 20 21 to have to have adjustment to the agreement, because 22 one of the preconditions in that agreement --23 stipulated in that agreement is that the Land Use 24 Commission recognize the donation of the property as 25 satisfying the condition.

1 Apparently that's no longer the proposal, 2 so that part is going to have to be adjusted. 3 Let me just say, more informal basis, we looked at the property, you know, and we very 4 familiar with the area. I don't see any reason why 5 6 we couldn't proceed. 7 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: But the condition, or your entity's right to terminate the agreement, you 8 9 still retain that right, correct? THE WITNESS: I believe so. 10 11 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: In other words, that 12 condition hasn't been waived, correct? 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And the agreement 15 that's been presented to us, so there's some 16 modifications you believe that have to be made to the 17 agreement or modifications that are in place? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe there would 18 19 have to be. 20 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Can you tell us what 21 modifications to the agreement you believe have to be 22 made? THE WITNESS: Well, there's this one 23 24 provision in there, a precondition for them conveying

the property to us is that the Land Use Commission

agree that the conveyance of the property satisfies the condition. That was the original intent, I think, the Petitioner.

That doesn't seem to be the intent any more. And so, you know, that particular provision has to be changed. I don't really see any other provision that needs to be adjusted.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: When you say that's not the intent, what is your entity's understanding of the intent, whether or not that provision is supposed to be in the final agreement or not a final agreement?

Is it your entity's position that what you describe you're willing to do is contingent somehow on approval by or from the Land Use Commission?

THE WITNESS: I would say definitely. The programs that we are talking about are rental programs. The condition as it's presently stated is, they have to offer homeownership, and that's really not what we are proposing.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Okay. And finally, has there been any type of bond or anything like that obtained to ensure that the project that you're

describing is actually going to take place? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No, no. 3 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: There's been some opposition file to the petition. One of the 4 5 arguments seems to be that there's no real guarantee 6 that what you're describing, which sounds very 7 positive for the community, will actually take place. How would you address if at all that 8 9 comment or that argument or observation? 10 THE WITNESS: Well, we cannot offer a 11 quarantee that we're going to be successful. A lot 12 of what it takes to get it done depends on the 13 actions of others, like H-HFDC, they have to award 14 the credits. 15 On the other hand, I don't -- my 16 understanding is that at this point the Petitioner is 17 not seeking to have the condition released. That's the impression I'm getting from the documents I've 18 19 seen. 20 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank very much. 21 have no further questions. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Anybody 23 else. Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I do. 25 I will let you know that there is a huge

demand for affordable housing for families, and all age groups, but families in particular, so I don't think there will be any problem filling these houses rapidly.

I think one of the concerns that I saw in the documents reading that there's one of the lines referenced that it was for Hawaii residence -- for affordable housing for Hawaii residents.

Is there any way -- and I'm not sure if this is something you can address or not -- is there any you can make sure it's for Hawaii residents, because there's a problem where people just barely fly in and all of a sudden they're renting. And there are people who are long time Hawaii residents who still don't end up with housing, because these other people were quicker, smarter, faster to get there.

So I don't know if there is any kind of safeguards you are aware of, because I think affordable housing for Hawaii residents, the goal here, I don't know if there is any way you can safeguard for that. Maybe someone else can address that.

THE WITNESS: We've never been able to limit, clearly limit. What we basically try to do is

handle it by the way we market the project. We don't put it on the internet. We don't do anything of that sort. Basically what we will do is post a sign on the project saying we have rental project coming up, call this number. We just try to keep things low key.

We had an experience once -- let me just share this with you.

We got approval to do a project. I believe it was one of our Kona projects, and it got written up in the Advertiser. And I get a call from somebody in Minneapolis saying how do I apply. Said, well, how did you find out about this? He said he's been looking at the paper. I said, well, you can come down and fill out application. He said when will we know? I said we'll post a notice in the local paper.

So we try to be kind of narrow in the way we market things, but there's nothing we can really do to prevent somebody from applying.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Mr. Yuen, please describe any exhibits you wish --

MR. YUEN: We have no exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: No exhibits?

1 MR. YUEN: No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. County, please describe any exhibits which you wish to have admitted.

MR. BRILHANTE: County of Hawaii has no exhibits, thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: On OP.

MS. APANA: We don't have any exhibits, either.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Great.

11 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have a comment.
12 Any number of you could address this.

In terms OF THE location of the housing,
the question I think Dawn had is where is this
located, how close to housing. Since we have
previously met at the new county building, so I guess
a better landmark site would be how close to Costco
and how close to the new county building and how

you very much, I drove down that this morning. That
would be kind of my question is, you know, the

close to the road that you installed Hinalani, thank

would be kind of my question is, you know, the

22 proximity to me is a little bit more important

23 because then it gives you an idea about how close you

24 are to all these amenities.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Cabral,

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

can we have the Petitioner respond to that during the argument.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Yuen, please proceed with argument.

MR. YUEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Petitioner RCFC Kaloko Heights LLC is requesting amendment to Condition No. 1 to the order of reclassification which is the affordable housing condition, in order to facilitate a donation of 10.75-acre parcel of land in Phase I of this project to Hawaii Island Community Development Corporation.

Following the comments made by the County of Hawaii and the Office of Planning, we support combining revisions suggested by the county and OP for an amended condition to read, as I submitted in a letter to Mr. Orodenker, as well as the parties on October 5th, and let me read the proposed condition and then I'll go into answering Commissioner Cabral's question.

We would like the condition to read:

Petitioner shall provide housing opportunities for low and moderate income residents by offering for sale or rental on a preferential basis on its own or in cooperation with both the

Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation,
HHFDC, and the County of Hawaii affordable housing
units equivalent to ten percent of the lots and
residential units to be developed in the petition
area to residents of the State of Hawaii of low and
moderate family income as determined by HHFDC and the
County of Hawaii Office of Housing and Community
Development OHCD from time to time, (the affordable
housing requirement).

The affordable housing units shall be offered for sale or rent at prices or rents not exceeding prices or rents (affordable prices) that enable such purchasers and renters to qualify for and obtain state assisted financing e.g. Hula Mae or federally insured or assisted finances, e.g., FHA 245 program, intended to encourage homeownership by low and moderate income families, or that provide affordable rental housing opportunities to such families. This affordable housing condition shall be implemented to the satisfaction of OHCD.

First to address Commissioner Cabral's question. This 10.75-acre parcel is adjoining Hinalani Road. I frankly am not sure exactly how far it is from Costco, but it's on the road that connects Mamalahoa Highway to Queen Kaahumanu Highway.

By way of background, RCFC Kalako Heights is the successor to a number of different owners, including the original developer of this property.

RCFC succeeded to ownership of this property in 2013.

The Land Use Commission originally approved the petition in 1983 reclassifying the petition area on an incremental basis subject to three significant conditions.

First, provision of ten percent of the units as affordable housing units.

Second, improvement or construction of the road to connect Mamalahoa Highway to Queen Kaahumanu Highway and that improvement has been completed.

It's now Hinalani Road.

And third, reaching an agreement and providing water source development to the satisfaction of the County of Hawaii.

RCFC and its predecessors have completed the two major infrastructure conditions, the roadway as well as the water source development. And now the Petitioner has also achieved zoning and partial subdivision approval, and is ready to start the actual development.

We now wish to implement the affordable housing condition by donating this 10.75-acre parcel

to an experienced affordable housing developer. The initial purpose of the amendment was to seek a satisfaction of the affordable housing condition.

Since we recognize that both the county, the Office of Planning and the Commission staff were all opposed to deeming the condition satisfied by the donation, we backed away from that.

2.1

Basically we want the Commission to recognize that the affordable housing condition can be satisfied both by the provision of "for sale" housing as well as affordable rentals since we recognize there is a market and a need for affordable rentals in West Hawaii.

So basically we're asking for the Commission's approval to amend the condition to permit us to satisfy the condition by the construction of affordable rentals, as well as for-sale housing, and we will proceed to develop it with the cooperation of the Hawaii Island Community Development Corporation.

I'll be pleased to answer any questions as well as Mark Meyers here to answer any questions that the Commission may have.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Yuen.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Good morning. Can you clarify your last statement that you intend to satisfy the condition of the affordable housing by doing the development? So are you going to do the construction of the units?

2.1

MR. YUEN: We expect that HICDC will be actually constructing the units, and it would be our intent to apply for a release to the condition after the units are substantially completed.

What RCFC is going to do is donate the land to HICDC as well as water commitments and availability of sufficient water for 80 units which is a significant cost factor in developing affordable housing, any housing.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you for that clarification, because I thought you were then going to construct it.

So the request is still to donate the ten acres upon which HICDC would then get a low interest loan to develop it, and they would be the ones who would offer these for affordable rentals?

MR. YUEN: Correct. Although we recognize that RCFC is the Petitioner is still responsible to satisfy the condition, at least as far as the Commission is concerned.

Τ	COMMISSIONER CHANG: GOOD TO KNOW.
2	Can you provide me the status of
3	approximately what is have you completed any of
4	the units in your Phase I, or you're just doing the
5	infrastructure?
6	MR. YUEN: We've just done infrastructure.
7	We're starting design for the first, I think,
8	40 units phase.
9	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Approximately what
10	percentage is complete of your Phase I development?
11	MR. YUEN: Nothing has been.
12	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just the
13	infrastructure?
14	MR. YUEN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER CHANG: What were you
16	proposing to do on your Phase I? How many units?
17	MR. YUEN: I believe 40 units. 40 units.
18	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you have an
19	estimated cost if you have to build the affordable
20	satisfy that on your project site, do you have an
21	estimated cost of what that would be?
22	MR. YUEN: No.
23	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you have the value
24	of the ten acres that you're donating?
25	MR. YUEN: I don't have that. We could

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

look up the tax assess value, but there's a value 1 2 certainly to the water commitment that we're going to 3 be donating also. COMMISSIONER CHANG: What is the value of 4 5 the water? 6 MR. YUEN: Excuse me. We're not sure 7 exactly. I think an approximate cost might be \$15,000 a unit. So 15,000 times 80 units. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CHANG: That's the water? 10 MR. YUEN: That's the water. 11 COMMISSIONER CHANG: But you don't have --12 MR. YUEN: We don't have a land value. 13 There is -- the 15 acres is a subdivided parcel, and 14 it adjoins Hinalani Road, so there's access to the 15 public street. 16 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And is the location of this donated land, what's the proximity to your 17 18 project site? Is it on the same --19 MR. YUEN: It is part of the petition area. 20 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Let me ask you, I 21 quess, somewhat of a hypothetical. 22 If LUC denies the petition, and you're 23 required to build the 78 or 80 units to satisfy this 24 condition, when do you think you'll be able to begin

25

construction of that?

1 MR. YUEN: First, we're no longer asking 2 that the -- at least the condition, as I wrote it in 3 the October 5th letter, we no longer are asking that 4 the Commission formerly recognize the donation. we had to build the units ourselves and didn't donate 5 6 it, I'm not sure when we would start construction of 7 the affordable. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Would you -- again, I 8 9 guess, another hypothetical. 10 If you had to build the affordable units 11 yourself, would you build them at the same time that 12 you're constructing your market value homes? MR. YUEN: Not necessarily. We would have 13 14 to do some of it, because the county would require 15 that the start be staggered, if you will, that 16 certain number of affordable units would be -- would 17 have to be built for each increment of market rate 18 homes. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you have a 20 projected date of when you would start building your 2.1 market homes? 22 MR. YUEN: No. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I know said you don't

MR. YUEN: We hope so.

have a start date, but would it be before 2019?

24

1 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much, I 2 appreciate it. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Cabral. COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Of your market homes 4 5 that you're hoping to finally do something with this 6 land and make some money, of those market homes on 7 your 40 that you're anticipating, what size lots are you looking at those being on, and what price are you 8 9 hoping by way of your analysis to sell those in order 10 to maximum 9 million you've already --11 MR. YUEN: I'm going to let Mark Meyer. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: I have to swear you in, 13 if you're going to be testifying. 14 Do you swear that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 15 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state name and 18 address. THE WITNESS: Mark Meyer, 120 Arbor Road, 19 20 Menlo Park, California. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. 22 MARK MEYER 23 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 24 Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 25 and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I we have a range of zoning designations on the property. We have estimated just for estimating number of lots based on the size of zoning designations. We have about 155 15,000-foot lots, about 170 10,000-square foot lots. About 125, 7500-square foot lots, and then we have about little over 300 RM3 designated units across this particular part of the property.

Some of those RM3 units would be allocating for affordable housing, and they're all integrated through one site.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: And that price tag
that you hope to get, let's say of your 40 homes that
you would like to, or lots you're looking to build 40
homes and sell or looking to sell 40 lots and have
people build their own houses?

THE WITNESS: To be determined. I think there's a range of options between building lots and selling them for people. We're working with a builder who's going to come in and build a bunch of lots that we developed, probably a very likely scenario.

So I think we're looking at home prices across this community that range from 400,000 out to

800,000, probably. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: You think your top 3 prices would be 800,000? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: You don't think it 6 would be a million plus? 7 THE WITNESS: Maybe. COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'm in real estate. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I don't like to over promise 10 and under deliver. 11 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'm trying to establish the kind of value. If you look at that 12 13 then you start to understand what possible value that 14 might be the ten acres. If you started understanding 15 what one could do with those ten acres if one had them. You know, if you gave them to me, what would I 16 17 do. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. 19 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? 21 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one question. 22 there, in light of your changed position that you're 23 not looking for the donation to satisfy Condition 1, 24 can you currently enter into agreement with HICDC to

do exactly what you're proposing to do without coming

in to amend the condition other than to say it's now 1 2 you wanted to do affordable rentals? I mean is this 3 necessary? MR. YUEN: We believe that the change to do 4 5 affordable rentals is necessary. 6 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So that would really 7 be the only change would be to give you greater 8 flexibility other than sales, it would be to amend 9 the condition to now permit you to do affordable 10 rentals? 11 MR. YUEN: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? 13 14 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I sure talk a lot. I 15 was reading in here too that at some point I want to 16 clarify if that's changed, because if you wanted to see if they were to have built extra affordable units 17 18 in this initial build-out, if extra units would 19 credit towards future development. Is that no longer 20 on the table? 2.1 MR. YUEN: We withdrew that from what's on 22 the table. 23 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Mr.

Brilhante, please make Hawaii County's argument.

1 MR. BRILHANTE: Thank you very much, Chair.

County of Hawaii, through this process on the initial submittal of the petition as well as the subsequent amended petitions, the county raised three issues of concern.

One was the mere donation of the ten-acre parcel does not satisfy the affordable housing requirement.

Two, that any excess affordable units built in Phase I does not, you know, is not a holdover and a credit for any requirements on Phase II.

And three, that the multi-family components was incorporated into the condition which originally on the original condition that multi-family residential component was not.

Since that point in time, the county submittal in opposition, I guess a transmittal has been disseminated to the parties, and what I want to ensure that the Petitioner's October 5th transmittal to the Commission to the county, and to the State of Hawaii Office of Planning is now incorporated in the record, because a lot of what's been communicated at this point in time is predicated and based on the assurances in that October 5th letter.

So I want to ensure that that letter has

been moved into the record.

2.1

That being said, as reflected in that

October 5th letter, the County of Hawaii has no
objection to the current proposal. As a matter of
fact, we're in support of the current proposal, as I
guess the word I'm looking for as laid out or you
spelled out in that October 5th letter.

that we have comes pursuant to that letter, only lingering concern, and there's been a discussion brought to the attention of both the Petitioner and the Office of Planning was the last sentence in October 5th letter where it specifically stated the affordable housing condition shall be implemented to the satisfaction of the OHCD. The County of Hawaii loves being granted such great authority and power, however, we wanted to just ensure that that LUC still maintains the final -- is still the final determination or adjudication body whether or not the affordable housing condition is satisfied. We wanted to make sure that that's on the record.

Other than that, that's the county's position at this time. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Brilhante? Vice Chair Wong.

VICE CHAIR WONG: Just wanted to make sure. 1 2 So you agree, you're okay with what the Petitioner 3 just -- that change in the new option? MR. BRILHANTE: The County of Hawaii is in 4 5 agreement with the October 5th language amending the 6 original Condition 1. 7 VICE CHAIR WONG: So that's the statement that Mr. Yuen said in the beginning? 8 9 MR. BRILHANTE: Correct. 10 VICE CHAIR WONG: Okay. That's all I need. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners. 13 Anybody. 14 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So thank you so much for your county's position. I haven't seen the 15 16 October 5th letter, so I'm not too sure what it says. 17 So as I understand it at this point in 18 time, the Petitioner's position now is only to amend 19 the condition to expand it to affordable rentals, and 20 the county has no objection to that? 21 MR. BRILHANTE: In the county's proposed 22 October 5th opposition submittal, the county 23 specifically requested that the language that -- I 24 think it's third to the last sentence, the affordable

housing units shall be offered for sale or rent at

prices or rents not exceeding the prices or rents affordable prices that enable such purchasers and renters to qualify for dot, dot, dot. So that's the specific language that's been incorporated in the Petitioner's October 5th letter and the county is comfortable with that language.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank you. Ms. Apuna, would you like to make OP --

MS. APANA: Yes, thank you, Chair.

So Petitioner's motion basically sought the following amendments to the dba affordable housing Condition 1, including that Petitioner may provide some or all of the affordable housing units in corporation with HICDC, and that Petitioner may rent, in addition to the sale of the affordable housing units.

Petitioner's motion also sought assurances that 10.775-acre parcel will provide an acceptable step toward satisfying affordable housing Condition 1, and that permission to apply any excess number of affordable units by HICDC towards Phase II affordable housing conditions.

With regard to Petitioner's motion, OP recommends the following.

For the proposed amendment to Condition 1, allow Petitioner to provide the rental in addition to the sale of affordable units to broaden the range of affordable housing units for moderate and low income residents. Delete the statement that Petitioner may provide some or all of the affordable units in cooperation with HICDC as it is unnecessary and may be employed as a means to shift the responsibility of fulfilling Condition 1 to HICDC.

And deference should be given to the county suggested amendments to accommodate multi-family residential units.

Additionally, OP has recommended that the statement, quote, this affordable housing condition shall be implemented to the satisfaction of the county's Office of Housing, end quote, be added to empower the county to apply its standards to the affordable housing in fulfillment of the condition prior to the LUC's final decision on satisfaction of the condition.

OP opposes the LUC providing assurances on Petitioner's proposal to donate parcel for a few reasons. First, such assurances are unnecessary and premature. The donation of the parcel falls short of what the LUC envisioned as satisfaction of Condition

1. A single parcel with minimal improvements or infrastructure does not reach the standard of ready for sale units, or lots for low and moderate income residents.

To deem Condition 1 satisfied by the parcel donation will eliminate the LUC's ability to enforce the condition, and therefore, the affordable units may never be built.

And OP opposes any excess number of affordable units towards Petitioner's obligation under Phase II.

And lastly, dba conditions are essential to the LUC's authority over and enforcement of State

Land Use policies and objectives. Dba conditions are intended to assure substantial compliance with representations made by the Petitioner in seeking the boundary amendment, and to uphold the general intent and spirit of HRS Chapter 205a Coastal Zone

Management, and 226 Hawaii State Planning Act.

The affordable housing condition is one of 24 mandatory -- under the LUC Administrative Rules.

Affordable housing commission recognizes and upholds one of the priority guidelines for provision of affordable housing under the Hawaii State Planning Act, which is to create incentives for development

which would increase homeownership and rental opportunities for Hawaii's low and moderate income households. This Commission should therefore carefully consider any motion to amend or delete a condition D G. That deletes or strays from State Land Use and planning statutory objectives.

2.1

And then to answer Commissioner Cabral's question about whether the units will be sold or rented to residents of the State of Hawaii. The current Condition 1, and the one that is presented proposed today actually states that they should be rented or sold to residents of the State of Hawaii. So it's built into the condition.

That's it, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any questions? Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Actually it's a question for the county. Could you confirm the Petitioner's representation that the affordable housing and market housing there are required to be built staggered? I guess I just want to be very clear in my mind that the affordable housing will be built at the same time concurrently with the market housing.

MR. BRILHANTE: I think that representation

should be made from the Petitioner as to what's going to be built first. It's the county's understanding that the affordable housing units would be built first, but I want to make the Petitioner clarify that on the record.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay, that's fine, because I thought the Petitioner said it's a county requirement. Perhaps the Petitioner can clarify that.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: I'll give Mr. Yuen the final argument rebuttal.

MR. YUEN: You want me to answer the question or go to final argument?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead.

MR. YUEN: Just to answer the question, the Land Use Commission did not impose a concurrency requirement. The county zoning imposes certain requirements on concurrency.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: It's still unclear to me.

MR. YUEN: Basically the Land Use

Commission, unlike what the Land Use Commission has

perhaps done in subsequent petitions, the Land Use

Commission does not require that this Petitioner

build affordable housing simultaneously with market

housing.
COMMISSIONER CHANG: I guess I understood
you to say that it's the county requirement.
MR. YUEN: The county zoning ordinance
contains different requirements.
COMMISSIONER CHANG: So I guess it comes
back to the county.
CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let's
MR. BRILHANTE: I have Planning Director
Duane Kanuha here. I think he would be best to
respond to that specific question. I think what we
need to do is swear Mr. Kanuha in.
CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Yes. Do you swear that
the testimony that you're about to give is the truth.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and
address for the record.
THE WITNESS: Duane Kanuha, Planning
Director, County of Hawaii.
DUANE KANUHA
Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
County of Hawaii, was sworn to tell the truth, was
examined and testified as follows:
examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION

question, just for clarification, was --

2.1

which the affordable housing units will be built. My understanding from the Petitioner is that LUC made no condition that the affordable units be built concurrently with the market. However, my understanding from the Petitioner is that while it is not a LUC requirement, it is a county zoning requirement that they be built staggered and affordable and market.

So I just want to clarify that with the county.

THE WITNESS: The county zoning condition doesn't state that. All it states is that affordable housing units will be built in accordance with and in conjunction with the Office of Housing and Communities Development on the county side.

So it's basically the same language as in the condition, but there's no specific concurrence as a requirement, I believe, that affordable has to go before market or so on and so forth.

It's for that reason that normally the county in the rezoning process usually would adopt a Land Use Commission condition fairly verbatim. In this case it was not verbatim. And that's why we're

here today to make that adjustment to the Commission at the Land Use Commission level. And that is also why we did not support the release of the condition, because there's ongoing compliance for affordable housing requirement through both HHFDC, the state entity, and our Office of Community Development.

And based on the proposal and the agreement that's on the record today, our assumption is that in this case, the rental, if the Commission approves the modification of this condition, that the rental units will probably go first.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much, Mr. Kanuha. But there is no assurance that the rental units will go first other than we've had testimony that they think they can be built by 2018 or 2019. Because there does -- we don't seem to have a meeting of the minds between the Petitioner and the county.

One, I'm hearing there's no requirement that they be built concurrently. So I guess maybe Mr. Yuen will, on his rebuttal.

But I guess for purposes of the LUC, what are the assurances that the affordable unit, rental units will be built -- at what point in time will these affordable units be built? I guess that's my question.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Yuen.

MR. YUEN: The Petitioner's current plan is to donate land to HICDC. If for whatever reason HICDC is unable to complete any construction of the affordable units, responsibility to build a certain number of affordable units still rests with RCFC.

Once we donate the land to HICDC, we would give HICDC every opportunity to succeed. And if we reach a point where RCFC has finished most of the market rate units in Phase I, and HICDC has not been able to secure its financing and start development, then HI -- I'm sorry, then RCFC is going to have to develop a number equivalent to ten percent of the units on land other than what it donated to HICDC.

And we can't really predict when that's going to happen, because the whole point of donating the land to HICDC is to give HICDC an opportunity to succeed.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is there any objection if, included in this petition -- because it appears as if after the donation is made, HICDC will then seek a loan and work with other agencies that we came back -- that we required within one year to come back and give us a status of what that is.

MR. YUEN: There's already a requirement

that the Petitioner file an annual progress report.

So I don't believe any additional requirement on a

progress report would be necessary.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I guess I just like it very clear for the record that that would be -- and I appreciate that that is a requirement, but specifically on the status of the ability of HICDC, where are they in getting the low interest loans and building, because we are at almost at 2017, and I think Mr. Kato's fairly optimistic by 2018 they could secure the loan and be built by 2019, so hopefully within a year they would be much closer to securing all of the loans and getting at least plans for the building.

MR. YUEN: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Chairman, if I may, the condition that the Land Use Commission that's currently binding on this property, doesn't have a concurrency requirement. It makes no representation what the percentages are between market and affordable. So that's why the county's condition didn't go further than that.

I suppose we could, but it didn't go further than what the language of the state's condition was.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. Kanuha. 2 Anybody else? 3 MR. YUEN: I also wanted to add, we believe the approximate value of the land would be 4 5 approximately \$5 million. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Vice Chair 6 7 Wong. VICE CHAIR WONG: OP. You said that pretty 8 9 much in your statement, and I think, correct me if I 10 am wrong, that you said that LUC would be off the 11 hook, or would not still continue to watch over this 12 project. Is that correct, the way you stated, if we 13 agreed upon the Petitioner's new statement? 14 MS. APANA: Actually, I'm referring to the original request by the Petitioner. So if this 15 16 Commission decided that the Condition 1, affordable 17 housing condition were satisfied solely by the 18 donation of the 10.775-acre parcel, then the 19 Commission would no longer be able to enforce the 20 affordable housing condition. But as we have heard today, they decided to 21 22 abandon that request, so I don't think that's an 23 issue. 24 VICE CHAIR WONG: You have no problem? 25 MS. APANA: Yeah.

1 VICE CHAIR WONG: So other issue you had is 2 about multi-family, again, beginning the first 3 condition when --MS. APANA: Actually I think the 4 5 multi-family amendment to Condition 1 was by the 6 county, and we are in support of that. 7 VICE CHAIR WONG: I have a question on that portion. In the new revised condition that is on the 8 9 table right now, does it say that that's also 10 moderately -- moderate income family or renters -- so 11 isn't that considered multi-family? So what is the definition of multi-family? 12 13 MR. BRILHANTE: Commissioner Wong, the original condition language was that designated 14 15 vacant lot and house lot packages would be required 16 under the affordable housing components. So under the county zoning code, residential parcels under the 17 18 code allows for multi-family units within that 19 structural use. 20 VICE CHAIR WONG: Let me ask Petitioner. 2.1 The way that HICDC is planning to build, is 22 it like more like townhouses then? 23 MR. YUEN: Probably. 24 VICE CHAIR WONG: Just because if you just

do one house only, have one family, but if you have

1 apartment or townhouses, you do --2 MR. YUEN: That's multi-family. VICE CHAIR WONG: Yeah, that's okay, right. 3 You have more families to help, more affordable. 4 5 MR. BRILHANTE: And that would be with the 6 amended language. As it originally read did not 7 afford that, but now it does. VICE CHAIR WONG: I just was confused when 8 9 OP was -- sorry, I just -- you're okay with the new 10 language? 11 MS. APANA: Yes. 12 VICE CHAIR WONG: That's all. And then one 13 other statement. 14 I think it way the new language is written, the LUC is still overseeing this until the affordable 15 16 housing is built, then the Petitioner has to come 17 back and say we finished it, can you check that off the box. Correct? 18 19 MR. YUEN: Correct. 20 VICE CHAIR WONG: Thank you, that's the way I read it. Just wanted to make sure. Thank you. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Chang. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I think this is my 24 last question. In light of the comments by the 25 county that there is no concurrency requirement,

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

would the Petitioner have any objection to the modification of that condition to include that the affordable and the market unit be built concurrently?

MR. YUEN: Yes, we would. Because once we donate land to HICDC, we can't control their pace of construction.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: If the land was not donated, for example -- if the land wasn't donated and it fell back on the Petitioner to build, would you build them concurrently?

MR. YUEN: Not necessarily.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Cabral.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I appreciate all of this, and somewhat because Mr. Kato's great reputation, I assume that's how he makes his living as a private citizen in building these houses and apartment buildings, so I'm assuming he's going to move ahead rapidly to get that project done.

And some of our confusion is we did not get your October 5th alteration. I didn't receive that as Commissioner Chang said also, so we didn't see that. So that's part of our confusion here.

MR. YUEN: I sent it to the Director and I'm not sure if I could take some time to make some copies. What would the Commission's pleasure be?

1	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Well, I was just
2	trying to check on making sure, because I read this
3	and if our group is ready, I think I'm ready to make
4	a motion.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The Chair would like to
6	take a five-minute break.
7	(Recess taken.)
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Back on the record.
9	I'm understanding that the letter that was
10	mentioned, wasn't formally filed, So it's
11	MR. YUEN: I would like to introduce it as
12	Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objections from
14	parties?
15	MR. BRILHANTE: County of Hawaii has no
16	objection.
17	MS. APANA: No objection.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Make it
19	known that the Exhibit 1 is part of the record.
20	Thank you. Commissioner Okuda.
21	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: If I can ask the
22	question of the parties, since Exhibit 1 is now part
23	of the record.
24	So Office of State Planning, you've read
25	Exhibit 1, is that correct?

1 MS. APANA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Do you find -- let me ask you -- withdraw the question to Office of State Planning, but ask the county first.

You've read Exhibit 1, is that correct?

MR. YUEN: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: The condition or the proposed condition that's set forth in Exhibit 1, you find that satisfactory? And when I say the proposed condition, there seems to be sections that have been stricken and sentences that are underlined.

Do you find the condition or proposed condition as written there, for example, what's stricken is not going to be included, do you find that acceptable, or is it the county's position you want something still modified such as the last sentence in the Exhibit 1?

MR. BRILHANTE: Thank you very much,

Commissioner Okuda. The County of Hawaii's position,

as I previously stated on the record, was that we

were in receipt of the October 5th letter from the

applicant, the Petitioner, as presented to the

Commission now.

The county initially requested that that letter be incorporated into the record, because we

anticipated discussion about that letter taking place today. So that's why we initially requested that that letter be placed in the record.

We're happy now that it's been placed in the record as exhibit.

As the language was proposed in that letter from the Petitioner, the applicant, the county is in agreement and would support the proposed amendment as you represented with the stricken language being removed and the underlined language being add to the original Condition 1.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: So the underline is an addition, the strike out is of course stricken, okay, I understand.

MR. BRILHANTE: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Same question to the Office of State Planning, you've read Exhibit 1, correct?

MS. APANA: Yes, we have.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Is the Office of State
Planning in agreement with the condition that's
spelled out in Exhibit 1, and for clarification,
meaning whatever's stricken is not to be included in
the proposed revision to the condition, and the
underline is what's going to be added in?

MS. APANA: Yes, that's correct. And I would just add I think this condition, as drafted in the October -- in Exhibit 1, it includes modifications that we suggested to the current Condition 1, but are not reflected by the strike out and underline.

2.1

For instance, in the first sentence: By offering for sale or rental, so the "or rental" is addition to the current condition. I just wanted to point that out so that you don't see this as just the original condition with changes made by strike outs and underline.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Okay, I understand. Thank you. No further questions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, anybody else? Commissioner Hiranaga.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: I believe the County of Hawaii had some concern about the last line in this proposed language OHCD, so did you -- I know you made some comments for the record, but did you want it memorialized in the language?

MR. BRILHANTE: That's correct. The County of Hawaii, upon initial review of this Exhibit 1, we the language regarding that OHCD would be the ultimate arbitrator of whether or not the condition

was satisfied, we had brought that concern to both the Applicant as well as the Office of Planning, State of Hawaii, and we were both -- and we were directed to the original conditional approval in which the LUC, at the end of the day, maintains the ultimate authority as to whether or not each of the conditions have been appropriately satisfied.

So with that on the record, the county is comfortable with that proposed last sentence.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ACZON: There's no changes on }$  that one.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Would that create confusion?

MR. BRILHANTE: No, it shouldn't, it's because it's the county's position, and I'm sure the other parties can make representation as well, is the county's overall understanding that these conditions can be satisfied individually, but the overall satisfaction of the original application still lies with the this Commission.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So one question for Mr. Yuen, just so I'm clear in my mind. What relief are you asking from the Land Use Commission, jus so that we're really

1 clear what the request is.

the affordable housing unit.

2 MR. YUEN: We're asking that the Commission 3 approve the condition as proposed in Exhibit 1.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Mr. Yuen. 4

5 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one last point, and I really do appreciate the fact that the Petitioner is entering into this private/public partnership hopefully with HICDC, because I think it sounds as if they may more timely be able to build

With that clarification about LUC having the overall, the final word in compliance, so it is my understanding that this is a two phase project, so that before the Petitioner can move to develop Phase II, the condition of the affordable housing rental or sale would have to be satisfied before Phase II could be developed?

MR. YUEN: That is correct.

2.1 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.

22 With that I'm very comfortable with those

23 clarification, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Yuen, I'm giving 25 you final rebuttal.

MR. YUEN: I think everything's been answered.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

Commissioners, what's your pleasure?

Commissioner Cabral.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes, I would like to go ahead, and I hope I've got this clarified with a few alterations, that I would like to go ahead and approve in part the Petitioner's motion to amend Condition 1 to allow for the rental in addition to the sale of lots or houses and lots, and include the ability to accommodate affordable multi-family residential units, and to deny other aspects of the Petitioner's original motion.

I'm saying that because, again, I think this letter, while it's a memo and a letter, I'm trying to respond to the original motion that it was legally submitted as opposed to a letter that was supplemental and not really a submitted petition. So I'm trying to, I think, make sure my language agrees with what we have all I think evolved to with the idea that then the affordable housing could take place with the donation of the land, but that ultimately the developer is still responsible to make sure that that takes place, and that the rental of

those affordable units is acceptable. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: There is a motion by 3 Commissioner Cabral. 4 VICE CHAIR WONG: Second. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: A motion has been made 6 by Commissioner Cabral and seconded by Vice Chair 7 Wong. COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, can I make a 8 9 motion to amend the motion, and my motion would be 10 that the motion we consider is to approve the 11 petition submitted by the Petitioner as modified, or 12 to the extent of the relief being requested in 13 Exhibit 1, so we track the language of Exhibit 1, and 14 we deny everything else that's requested except for 15 what's being requested in Exhibit 1. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Cabral. 17 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have no objection 18 to that. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Wong. VICE CHAIR WONG: No objection. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We have an amended 22 motion. We are in discussion. No discussion. 23 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, I would like to 24 speak in favor of my amendment. 25 I understand the questions about when

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

development and things will take place, but the only thing that's in front of the Commission today is the specific request to amend this condition. If something happens in the future or doesn't happen in the future, that's for another day, another motion, another petition. We all wish everyone well, but I don't think we should, you know, have the record indicate that we're doing anything except for what's before us in this specific petition, and so that's the extent of my comment and argument.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. Okuda.

Commissioner Hiranaga.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Just for clarity, the amended motion is to adopt the language as provided in Exhibit 1 -- just for clarity, the motion is to adopt the language provided in Exhibit 1?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes, that the petition would be adopted to the extent and to reflect the proposed language in Exhibit 1, and denied as to anything else that was requested in the petition.

COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any further discussion?

There is no further discussion, Mr. Orodenker, would

you please poll the Commission.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1	The motion is to adopt the language contained in
2	Exhibit 1 and deny the original motion.
3	Commissioner Cabral?
4	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Wong?
6	VICE CHAIR WONG: Aye.
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Mahi?
8	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Aye.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Scheuer
10	is absent.
11	Commissioner Chang?
12	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Hiranaga?
14	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Aye.
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Okuda?
16	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Estes?
18	COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Chair Aczon?
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Yes.
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you.
22	Mr. Chair, the motion carries unanimously
23	with eight votes.
24	MR. YUEN: Thank very much, Mr. Chair and
25	Commissioners.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Congratulations, thanks 2 for everyone's participation. 3 Two-minute break. (Recess taken.) 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're back on the 6 record. 7 The next agenda item is discussion of action, if necessary, to authorize LUC staff --8 9 administrator rules. 10 Mr. Orodenker, can you walk us through the 11 rules? 12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 I really wasn't intending to go through them one by 14 one. We haven't made any addition, didn't get any other comments with regard to additional rule changes 15 16 in the last --17 What we're asking for now is approval to institute the process that will allow us to change 18 the rules that would require public hearings, 19 20 approval by the governor and lieutenant governor's 21 office, and various other agencies in the state, the 22 small business, I forget what they call it. Small 23 business regulatory review. 24 As stated the first time around the these

rules are really a cleanup of rule changes that we

made several years ago. They're designed to clarify 1 2 inconsistencies that we may have inadvertently made 3 when we made the original changes, and to correct minor typographical errors and so forth. 4 Once again, you will have a chance to 5 6 comment on this at least two more times. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, do you have a copy of the rules. Any further discussion? 8 9 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So if we want to make 10 any changes, I just have a few. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead. 12 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Should I just give 13 them to you? 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: You can just give them 15 to us. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes. 17 COMMISSIONER ESTES: You said we have a 18 copy? 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: It was in the staff 20 report. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any further discussion? 22 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I would like to speak 23 in favor. I read through these. While I don't have 24 very much experience on the LUC, I am somewhat 25 acquiescing to the management here, because these

1	type of operational procedures will, in these
2	changes, will hopefully help the entire Commission
3	function in a more fair and equitable manner and with
4	appropriate representation.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Are you making a
6	motion?
7	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I so moved.
8	VICE CHAIR WONG: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any further discussion?
10	Hearing none, those in favor say "aye", opposed?
11	Motion carries.
12	If there no other agenda items, there being
13	no further business, I declare this meeting
14	adjourned.
15	(The proceedings ended at 11:51 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII ) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU )
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on October 13, 2016 at 10:12 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 13th day of October, 2016, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/S/ Jean Marie McManus JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	