1	LAND USE COMMISSION			
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I			
3	July 19, 2017			
4	Commencing at 9:20 a.m.			
5	Held at County of Kaua'i Moikeha Building			
6	Conference Room 2A/2B			
7	4444 Rice Street, Lihue, Kaua'i, Hawai'i 96766			
8	and			
9	A94-706 Ka'ono'ulu Ranch (Maui) Page 46			
10	Commencing at 2:00 p.m.			
11	Maui Arts & Cultural Center			
12	Morgado Meeting Room			
13	One Cameron Way			
14	Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i 96732-1137			
15				
16				
17	I. ADOPTION OF ORDER			
18	A17-802 County of Kaua'i Housing Agency (Kaua'i)			
19	To Consider Adoption of Order for COUNTY OF KAUA'I HOUSING AGENCY'S Petition for State Land			
20	Use District Boundary Amendment to Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into			
21	the Urban Land Use District for certain lands situated at 'Ele'ele, Kauai', consisting of			
22	of approximately 75 acres, Tax Map Key No. (4) 2-1-001:054			
23	II. A94-706 Ka'ono'ulu Ranch (Maui) Page 46			
24	\\\\\\\\\			
BEFORE: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156				
	McManus court reporters 808-239-6148			

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Kaui Lima Ola)
2
      EDMUND ACZON, Chair
      JONATHAN SCHEUER, Vice Chair
3
      ARNOLD WONG, Vice Chair (Maui only)
      COMMISSIONERS:
4
      GARY OKUDA
      LINDA ESTES
5
     NANCY CABRAL
      DAWN CHANG
6
     LEE OHIGASHI
7
      DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ.
      Deputy Attorney General
8
      STAFF:
9
      DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Director
      RILEY K. HAKODA, Planner/Chief Clerk
10
      BERT K. SARUWATARI, Planner
11
      DAVID J. MINKIN, ESQ.
     KELSEY S. YAMAGUCHI, ESQ.
12
      Attorneys for Petitioner
      County of Kaua'i Housing Agency
13
      MICHAEL DAHILIG, Director of Planning
      County of Kauai Planning Department
14
15
      DAWN APUNA, ESQ.
      RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, Planning Director
16
      State Office of Planning
17
      JEAN NISHIDA SOUZA, Intervenor
     KA'ONO'ULU RANCH (Maui)
18
     RANDAL SAKUMOTO, ESQ.
      LISA CATALDO, ESQ.
19
     Attorneys for Petitioner Ka'ono'ulu Ranch
20
      CURTIS TABATA, ESQ.
      BENJAMIN MATSUBARA, ESQ.
21
      Attorneys for Honua'ula Partners, LLC
22
      TOM PIERCE, ESQ.
      Attorney for Intervenors
23
      MICHAEL HOPPER, ESQ., Corp Counsel, County of Maui
24
      WILLIAM SPENCE, Director County of Maui
      ANN CUA, Planner
25
     Attorney for Maui County Department of Planning
```

			3
1	INDEX		
2	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	PAGE	
3	County of Kaua'i Housing Agency	7	
4	County of Kaua'i Planning Department	13	
5	State of Hawai'i Office of Planning	13	
6	Intervenor	14	
7	Rebuttal Argument, Petitioner	26	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

McManus court reporters 808-239-6148

```
CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Good morning. Welcome
1
2
     back. This is July 19, 2017, Land Use Commission
3
     Meeting.
 4
                The first order of business is the adoption
5
     of the June 28-29, 2017 Meeting Minutes, which
 6
     included the recess and was continued to July 7,
7
     2017.
                Are there any correction or comments?
8
9
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes, I have a
10
     question.
11
                Page 5, first paragraph, it says: Because
12
     of transportation time constraints the parties all
13
     waived their closing arguments. Is that true?
14
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: As far as I know.
15
     We'll ask everybody. There was an option get
      15 minutes or wave.
16
17
                COMMISSIONER ESTES: I move approval.
18
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any second?
                COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'll second.
19
20
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The motion has been
21
     made by Commissioner Estes and seconded by
22
     Commissioner Cabral to adopt the minutes.
23
                All in favor say
24
     aye". Opposed? The minutes are adopted unanimously.
25
                The next agenda schedule is Mr. Orodenker.
```

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mr. Chair, our next proceedings, we would like to wait until this afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objections from the Commissioners?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: No objection.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: This is an action meeting on Docket A17-802, Petition of County of Kaua'i Housing Agency to approve of the form of the order in this matter.

The Commission met in Lihue, Kaua'i, on June 28-29, 2017 which was recessed and continued to July 7, 2017.

Written public testimony was submitted to the Commission, but various individuals who's names are on record and on July 7th, Intervenor Souza amended exhibit list and exhibits 1, 1A to C3, and 4 to 10 which were admitted to the record.

The Commission voted that document number A17-802 to grant, with conditions, the Petition to Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban Land Use District for certain lands situated at 'Ele'Ele, Kaua'i, consisting of approximately 75 acres. Tax Map Key No.: (4)2-1-001. Lot 54 and instructed staff to prepare proposed

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order consistent with its conditions for consideration, deliberation and adoption.

At that meeting, prior to voting, all the Commissioners affirmed that they had reviewed the record and transcripts in this docket.

On July 14, 2017, Commission mailed an Advisory Notice to the Parties advising them to ensure that all Parties have had the opportunity to present their arguments in recognition of how the July 7th, 2017 proceedings were cut short due to travel time constraints.

The Parties will be allowed an additional 15 minutes to present closing argument before the LUC on the adoption of the order, and briefly address any issue relating to the evidence presented during the evidentiary hearing, including comments and recommendations from the Petitioner's proposed Decision and Order.

On July 17th, 2017, Intervenor submitted proposed Findings of Fact.

Will the parties please identify themselves for the record? Please remember to use microphones, talk into the microphone, so our transcriber can record it.

MR. MINKIN: Good morning, David Minkin and 1 2 Kelsey Yamaquchi on behalf of the County of Kaua'i 3 Housing Agency. With me is Kanani Fu, the Director. MR. DAHILIG: Good morning, Michael Dahilig 4 on behalf of the County of Kaua'i Planning 5 6 Department. 7 MS. APUNA: Deputy Attorney General Dawn Apuna on behalf of State Office of Planning, with me 8 9 is Rodney Funakoshi. 10 MS. SOUZA: Good morning, Jean Souza, 11 Intervenor. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Good morning everyone. 13 To ensure that the parties are able to 14 conclude their closing arguments, public testimony will be taken after the arguments and before the 15 16 Commission considers the Adoption of the Order. 17 The Commission will now have the parties 18 present closing arguments. Mr. Minkin. 19 CLOSING ARGUMENT COUNTY OF KAUA'I HOUSING AGENCY 20 2.1 MR. MINKIN: Thank you. 22 Over the three days that we have had 23 testimony and presentations and questions and 24 responses, I think a number of things have become 25 abundantly clear. I think it was then Vice Chair

Scheuer who kept saying we don't to need to hear about the housing, we understand that housing is needed on Kaua'i.

But there was a reason why we were doing that. We needed to have you folks understand that not only is housing an issue an on the Island of Kaua'i, but it's also an issue on the west side. And it's an issue on the west side that needs to be addressed. And how is it being addressed?

The County, by purchasing this land and basically the deed requiring it to be either agricultural or housing planned ahead. The County now wants to move ahead with its plans and provide for its residents on the west side.

And, yes, there has been testimony that, who's going to live there", but I think from the testimony from the Habitat for Humanity we saw that majority of the folks that are moving into their land, their affordable housing, are from the west side.

So what do we know? Housing is needed.

And how do we go about doing it? Here we did a
unique process because we followed HRS 205-17 -excuse me, HRS 205, and had the County Council adopt
it, as put forth by the administration. And various

things took place there, public hearings.

Now we're before you folks for the boundary amendment for the 75 acres. And what have we learned? We have learned that the county is going to be willing, based upon he Commissioner's questions to facilitate working with the community on a number of issues going forward.

We have learned that TIARs are going to be done for additional phases. We have learned that the DOE -- we've had two principals come forward. There is capacity currently. There may not be capacity in the future, but we are going to work with DOE and state agencies. We are going to work with KEMA, the local Civil Defense Agency to deal with how we do the community center after we go out for community input.

We know that all of the structures are going to be built to county code. We know that all of the structures -- and that's important. Why?

Because one of the things that both the state and county came back on Civil Defense issues. We don't really want people out on the road when there is crises, when there's those types of incidents or episodes like Hurricane Iniki. We want them to be able stay into their home if possible. And why is that important? Because these homes are going to be

built to current code.

We also know there is going to be updated cultural impact studies, updated archeological studies, other conditions proposed by the Office of Planning, slightly modified based upon the testimony here, are going to be adopted.

Even a condition that the Intervenor proposed regarding lighting is going to be adopted.

We have also basically acknowledged that for the drainage issue, we're going to do best management practices.

We are going to take a look at that and do those things, because while the kupuna and kumu hula and Missy Kamai were able to testify that there isn't really anything of cultural and traditional significance on these 75 acres. We know that makai and we know mauka there are.

So how do we work those things in? And that's why it's important to basically facilitate and keep going forward with the community. Keep the community engaged.

There are questions about whether your responsibility has been met based upon the case Ka Pa'akai. We believe that it has. We believe that the Commission has the relevant facts before it from

all of the evidence, not just one portion. And if one portion of our submission was insufficient, we have basically, we believe, corrected that and included that so that you folks can conclude your constitutional mandate is being met.

2.1

What else? Public Trust Doctrine.

Commissioner Okuda mentioned that, and Kaua'i

Springs, a case that I was involved with for the

County of Kaua'i, basically had the Hawaii Supreme

Court state that it's every governmental entity, no

matter at what level, has to take a look at the

Public Trust Doctrine. That is something the County

of Kaua'i is well acquainted with.

That was a case that the County of Kaua'i, the Planning Commission went after a water bottler, and that was what the Hawaii Supreme Court said. You just can't pass it off to another agency and say it's your kuleana, you just can't do it.

The County of Kaua'i is cognizant of its

Public Trust Doctrine, responsibilities of the

constitution.

What else have we heard? We have heard some folks come in say, Phase I is okay, but we don't know about the other phases. But you've heard from other witnesses that to do it in that sort of

piecemeal process, could jeopardize, and probably will jeopardize funding going forward. There are Memorandums of Understanding already with state agencies. Why? Those memorandums deal with the financial aspect. Those financial aspects have to be anticipated, not after the fact, but going forward.

Therefore, based upon all of the credible evidence, all of the conditions that we have sought, all of the conditions that the Office of Planning has instituted, as well as those that have been voted on by you folks with amendments and additional amendments at our last hearing on July 7th, this project should be approved. This Boundary Amendment should be approve for these 75 acres all phases at this point in time. To do it piecemeal would not be prudent upon this Commission, because all of the statutory and constitutional elements have been met with our presentation.

With that I will end knowing that we have a time frame.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Minkin, Commissioners?

Thank you.

County, please proceed with your closing arguments.

1 Mr. Dahilig, I have to swear you in again. 2 You swear that the testimony that you're 3 about to give is the truth? 4 MR. DAHILIG: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and 6 address. 7 Mike Dahilig with the County Planning Department, 4444 Rice Street, Suite 8473A Lihue. 8 CLOSING ARGUMENT 9 10 COUNTY OF KAUA'I PLANNING DEPARTMENT 11 MR. DAHILIG: The County of Kaua'i Planning 12 Department stands on the written closing arguments 13 submitted by our attorney this morning. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any 16 questions for Mr. Dahilig? 17 Ms. Apuna, please proceed with your closing 18 argument. CLOSING ARGUMENT 19 20 STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF PLANNING 21 MS. APUNA: Office of Planning is in 22 support of this project. We think it's a good 23 project. We really would just like to thank the 24 Commission for their efforts in trying to establish a 25 complete record and have a proper process. So, thank

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

you.

2.1

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, any 3 questions for Ms. Apuna, Commissioners?

Ms. Souza, please proceed with your closing argument.

## CLOSING ARGUMENT

## INTERVENOR

MS. SOUZA: Yes, Jean Souza. I do not have objections to the Petitioner's specific statements in the Petitioner's Proposed Findings of Fact,

Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order, however, in light of the new information provided during these hearings, there are other Findings of Facts that I believe should be included.

With that, I submitted on Monday 31 new Findings, Proposed Findings, for you to consider for inclusion in your Decision and Order.

I don't know whether it's applicable to respond to some of your conditions in the Decision and Order document that was given to us this morning, and I'm just going to go ahead and give you a couple of suggested changes to/or consider.

So related to LUC proposed Condition 2 on highway and roadway facilities, I note that there is no provision to consider regional impacts.

1 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair.

Ms. Souza, if you could just refer us to the page so that we're able to follow along with your argument, please.

MS. SOUZA: So this would be the LUC Decision and Order, page 76, item  $8\ --\ \text{excuse}$  me  $--\ \text{B}$  at the top.

And I would like to suggest four words to add at the beginning. And it says: An updated TIAR, and add four words, "and regional traffic analysis".

And then continue with your wording, "shall be prepared prior to".

Then on page 81, at the top of the page, this relates to the condition on stormwater management and drainage. I would like to suggest one sentence, addition of one sentence to be inserted after the word "designed" on the first line, and the new sentence is: "Petitioner shall prevent runoff from the Petition area from adversely affecting the state highway facilities and downstream properties".

Then continue on with your sentence "Petitioner shall mitigate".

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Could you reread your line again?

MS. SOUZA: Sure. So on page 81, line 1,

your wording says, "improvements, or cause them to be maintained or designed as designed. Put a period there and insert one sentence, and the sentence is:

"Petitioner shall prevent runoff from the Petition area from adversely affecting state highway facilities and downstream properties." Period.

This is modeled after previous condition that you imposed on an affordable housing project on another island.

Then on page 82, and this is just minor, but just bringing the LUC terms up-to-date. On page 82, Condition 13 refers to the word "Civil Defense" both in the top and title as well as in the second line. And I think the new term is "Emergency Management". So I want to just put it out there that the topic be "Emergency Management", and that Civil Defense Shelter at the end of your sentence, be changed to Emergency Shelter.

Then I reviewed some of the transcripts, and I have some suggested changes to the transcripts. And I want to just focus in on those that have a difference in terms of the meaning of the sentence rather than some of the minor changes.

So on the transcript for July 7th, page 186.

1 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Chair, if I may.

Ms. Souza, we don't --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We don't have the transcript.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Well, we don't have them in front of us, and we also don't formally adopt transcripts as a record. So I think it's valuable for you to communicate to the Commission and the staff that you believe that the draft transcripts that you received might not fully represent what was said there. But we're not going to take a vote today on adoption of the transcript, if there is -- in the interest of us focusing on your most salient points -- if there is some critical issue that you think that we haven't understood, that might be the most effective thing for you to highlight for us, respectfully.

MS. SOUZA: I'm willing to do that, I just didn't know what the process was.

There is one statement made by Commissioner Cabral related to amendments to the condition, and this is on page -- I'm just going say this just for correction, just for the record, on page 195 of the transcript for July 7th, Commissioner Cabral said, "I except", e-x-c-e-p-t. I believe it should be "I

accept" a-c-c-e-p-t. I think it makes a difference in terms of the interpretation of that line. So just wanted to suggest that correction.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Otherwise just say -MS. SOUZA: That would summarize my
position. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any question for Ms. Souza, or any comments on her changes? Vice Chair Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha, Ms. Souza. In your intervention, you stipulated to focusing your intervention on seven issues.

Just confirming that additional conditions you raised today you believe fall within those seven stipulated areas?

MS. SOUZA: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: And if I have correctly understood the general -- the major thrust of your argument and intervention, is that you've asked for two alternate things.

First, you've asked LUC to consider only approving redistricting of Phase I of the project, or in the alternative, if the Land Use Commission is inclined to approve the redistricting of the entire 75 acres, to put certain conditions in place.

Have I understood your argument correctly?

MS. SOUZA: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: With the two additions that you've offered us today to the language of the conditions, are you personally satisfied with the conditions that are now being placed on the record?

Or if -- let me rephrase that.

Were the Commission to adopt your two proposed changes, with that and what's already in the proposed Decision and Order as conditions, would you be satisfied?

MS. SOUZA: Except for the last couple of minutes, I did remember one other thing that I neglected to mention and it was related to one of my areas of concern, and that relates to the notification of potential residents about the hazards associated with Kapa Reservoir.

So in my proposed conditions submitted earlier, I did identify a wording, and that wording has not been adopted by the Commission.

So with that, plus the other two that I mentioned, yes, I would be satisfied. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, very much.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes.

COMMISSIONER ESTES: In relation to the

2.1

1 traffic analysis, tell me what your definition of 2 regional is. 3 MS. SOUZA: Regional implies downstream. 4 So for this, for the Traffic Impact Analysis Report, the traffic expert said that the TIAR addresses local 5 6 impacts in the area. 7 And so as we have stated, myself and public members have stated, that there are traffic hot spots 8 9 into town. 10 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Into town meaning Lihue? 11 12 MS. SOUZA: Yes, between the Petition area 13 and Lihue, yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else, 15 Commissioners? Thank you. Commissioner Ohigashi. 16 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Can I ask Planning 17 Department to comment what is your definition of region? 18 19 MR. DAHILIG: In doing a --20 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: In doing a TIAR. 21 MR. DAHILIG: Traditionally TIARs are not 22 exact science, they're a prediction. So it tries to 23 encompass as much of a capture area as possible. So to be able to describe the definition of 24

region is related on understanding of the

25

socioeconomic activity in and around an area.

So, for instance, and area like
'Ele'ele-Hanapepe, if look at a capture area that
looks at where the jobs are, where the residents are
and where the residents are going in and out of.

So it's qualitative, not quantitative. So

I would hesitate to say that our department has a

Rubric to say that a region that encompasses a TIAR

is in fact the correct region. We leave it up to

traffic engineers.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: TIAR, does that take into account other -- a certain area? Normally takes into account a certain area, correct?

MR. DAHILIG: Correct.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Would the word "region" used to expand that area, and would it take place or would it be just --

MR. DAHILIG: The word "region" leaves it up to whoever is interpreting it at that time whether something is being met or not. So I would have difficulty saying that if you were to use the word "region" in an order, that it is a fixed area. But that, again, I think if you were leaving that up to the traffic engineer that is qualified under state law to provide those professional services, they

1 | would determine what that regional area is.

2 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mr. Chair.

3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: I want to make sure 4 Commissioner Ohigashi --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm just thinking.

commissioner chang: Ms. Souza, my understanding from regional is you want it much broader than the TIAR that was being proposed to look at the specific area of Hanapepe-'Ele'Ele. When you're talking about regional, you want it expanded beyond what was being proposed, is that correct, to include areas like Lihue?

MS. SOUZA: If I could just give a little background.

So one of the testimonies presented to you included a graphic from a multimodal study done for the County of Kaua'i. And it looked at the different regions of the island, and it identified the presence of populations that had jobs in different regions and how much housing was provided in each region.

That table which was presented as attachment to one of the testimonies by Councilmember Yukimura. It showed that the number of jobs was smallest in the west side and larger in all of the other districts on the island. And yet the housing

provided on the west side was more.

My point is that most of the people in Hanapepe-'Ele'Ele do commute to other regions of the island for jobs. So I believe that there was no professionally submitted evidence on the record that indicated that all or most of the people that will be taking housing in the Petition area already live in Hanapepe-'Ele'ele. I think we have to assume that they will be from elsewhere. So therefor, there will be, yes, on-site traffic impacts, but there will also be regional impacts as people drive to other job centers.

So I'm advocating the expansion of the traffic analysis to include regional impacts, not just on-site impacts.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I know our time is short, so I don't want to belabor this, but that does conflict with some of the testimony that we received which looked at that the affordable housing, that many of the residents was designed to address the west side.

So people living on the west side would be able to -- would be living in these affordable housing minimizing the traffic outside of Hanapepe-'Ele'ele. And I don't want to dwell on this

too long because time if of the essence. I just want to be very clear that that is your statement, and that there is other testimony that was provided which may be a little different from your assessment.

MS. SOUZA: I have been paying attention to what people have said and who has said it. Both the traffic expert and the marketing expert both did not say that the housing will be taken up by all or most of the people already live in Hanapepe-'Ele'ele.

The west side is a big region. It extends from 'Ele'Ele all the way to PMRF. So even if people come from Kekaha or Waimea and take up these units, that is still going to be more traffic than people who already live there.

So looking at the two professional statements, Traffic Impact Analysis expert and the marketing expert, those are the folks that I was looking at.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any other questions?

Commissioner Ohigashi, any additional questions? I

thought I cut you off.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: No.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Estes, you okay? Commissioner Cabral.

on Ms. Souza's comments about the traffic. While I don't know what the traffic is going do, I will support my knowledge that if you're going to get federal housing funds, that your housing then is open to people from all regions, and you cannot, per se, mandate that they have to be from around the neighborhood.

So I would encourage the county to prepare, as they get more taxpaying citizens in owning those homes, to be preparing to spend some of that money on expanding roadways, and including their bicycle paths.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Don't mention bike.

Anybody else? Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Chair, can you clarify our scheduling challenges that we have alluded to?

When do we have to be out of here and back to the airport?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Chief clerk?

CHIEF CLERK: Our flight is scheduled departure is 11:24, boarding starts about 11:00 o'clock, so if everyone has already checked in, we're good. But I still have to breakdown everything and get it checked in.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So my procedural issue 1 2 is that Intervenor has suggested three changes to 3 language to the conditions, one of which we don't actually have in front of us. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We can take it up 6 during deliberations. 7 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you very much, Chair. I was going to say in relations to that that 8 9 we certainly don't have to have LUC concurrence from 10 the parties, but I would strongly prefer it, if it is 11 at all possible for there to be concurrence on the parties, so I didn't know whether or not there was 12 13 sufficient time for there to be a brief recess where 14 that is worked out at some point during our process. 15 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We will try our best. 16 If not, there's another day. 17 Anybody else, questions for Ms. Souza? Ιf 18 not, Mr. Minkin, do you have rebuttal? 19 MR. MINKIN: Yes, very short. 20 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT 2.1 MR. MINKIN: I heard four changes by Ms. 22 Souza. The third one being changing to Emergency 23 Management instead of Civil Defense. And my

understanding is the County Charter has been changed,

and that now refers to it as Emergency Management, so

24

25

no problem there at all.

2.1

The problem with the "and regional traffic analysis" is that it's adding something that our traffic expert did not -- that basically said it's difficult to do. The TIAR for at least Phase I was blessed by the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation. And I believe as long as you have a professional do it, and if there's concerns that get raised by either the county or the State Department of Transportation, then they can have the professional do whatever they want.

I don't believe it's something that's incumbent upon this board, Commission, to basically add in "and regional traffic" when it's such a nebulous term, and as long as there is a professional doing it.

The issue about Kapa Reservoir, that is something that's wholly out of our control. And as people buy property, there are disclosures that have to be made. Realtors know that. Professionals know that. To force us to do that when it may or may not be within the geographic location, I think would be an onerous task for us to do.

And it's something that's now being asked to be done for this 75 acres. Was it also being

asked to be done for Habitat for Humanity? Was it done for 'Ele'Ele Nani across the street? I just don't know. I don't think that's appropriate.

The one that gives us major heartburn is adding the sentence, insert, "Petitioner shall prevent runoff downstream and from adversely affecting state highway and downward properties".

That's something that you just really can't plan for. That's something that goes back to I believe it was Commissioner Chang talked about best management practices. And if we're building things compliant with the code, and we're doing things, and we have heard from the engineers already that the runoff that will occur, based upon the catchment basin and swales and everything else, if they're kept in shape, will be no more than what's already in existence there on Kaua'i Coffee.

To indicate or tell us to "shall prevent", I really don't know what "shall", which is mandatory "prevent" means, and I think that's an onerous task for anyone to do.

Basically we have been asked to do best management practices, comply with codes, look at things, keep things in proper order so that whereas there was testimony I believe by Ms. Souza and some

on them, there's going to be parking areas, these things. The swales are going to be kept -- the vegetation is going to be such that water can drain. So I do not believe that that condition should be added. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you for those comments. We'll take that into consideration during our deliberations.

Commissioners, any further comments? If not, that would conclude closing arguments.

Commission will now hear public testimony.

Do we have any? Anyone in the audience who wishes to provide public testimony in this matter? If not, that concludes the public testimony.

The Commission is now ready to consider the Adoption of the Order. Commissioners, before you for your consideration, deliberation, and adoption to the proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order prepared by staff as instructed at the last meeting on this docket and modified by today's proceedings.

Is there any discussion? Commissioners
we're in discussion. Anyone? Who wants to go first?

Commissioner Okuda.

thank Intervenor Ms. Souza for her participation. I think it adds to the process which is really important about getting the best decision, might not be perfect, but with everyone's input, and especially the hard work you put in with your expertise. I know it's appreciated by everyone, and that also goes for everyone else who participated.

I would ask and urge the Commission to adopt the order as-is, respectfully not including the provisions that Ms. Souza recommended and advocated.

I'm not saying that her suggestions don't make sense in a perfect world, but these are my concerns.

One concern is the fact that with respect to a condition about absolutely preventing runoff, I believe Hawaii law already addresses that concern, and for that I would call the Parties' attention to the case Rodrigues, R-O-D-R-I-G-U-E-S, versus State of Hawaii, which is found at 52 Hawaii 156. That's a Hawaii Supreme Court case that basically says that if you negligently divert water, the person who negligently diverts the water may be liable under the theory of negligence.

So I believe Ms. Souza's concern about runoff is well taken, but I believe the Hawaii law

already addresses that issue.

As far as traffic study, I agree that the evidence shows that there's a process in place with expertise that would consider these items in the future, and there's some things that we don't have a crystal ball as far as predicting the future, but I believe the evidence has shown that at least this county administration has taken its responsibilities under the law seriously as far as how they proceed regarding this.

And, finally, I would urge not to have an adoption requiring the warning of risks of the reservoir, and one reason is, I do not believe the evidentiary record is sufficient to show the level, if any, of risk.

We didn't have any engineering studies or anything like that to form an evidentiary basis, and our decisions have to be based on the evidence. So there isn't an evidentiary basis to put in a condition about warning with respect to the risk of reservoirs. And absent that type of evidence, my concern is that if the Land Use Commission were to acknowledge something, which may or may not be a risk, we may be setting inadvertently a standard of care which might create liability against certain

parties, including the state, when we really didn't intend to do so.

2.1

So would I urge the adoption of the Decision and Order as written respectfully, Ms.

Souza, without your suggested amendments or revisions or additions which I believe have shown thoughtfulness on your part, which we do appreciate.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner Okuda. Vice Chair Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Asking for a little forbearance. I'm going to have a somewhat long response to Commissioner Okuda's suggestions, and then my general comments on the document.

I'll be as efficient as I can. For the specific proposed amendments to the conditions proposed by Ms. Souza, I see no reason to not -- for a motion not to at very least incorporate the change to Emergency Services that the county has agreed to.

So rather than unchange, as Commissioner
Okuda suggested, I think at the very least that seems
to be a noncontested change.

I do agree with the analysis by
Commissioner Okuda regarding the reservoir. And also
just observe that we do have on the docket that the
county, which is helping put infrastructure in place

and has acquired the lands and is doing various things to get this affordable housing developed is not actually the sales entity, not the actual developer entity, having them try to make sure that third-party is going do that informing seems to be like a point of difficulty in actual implementation. So would I be disinclined to accept that proposed condition of the Intervenor.

Regarding runoff, I will politely disagree with some of the characterization of the testimony done by Mr. Lincoln, because under cross-examination from Commissioner Okuda the engineer, who testified about the runoff, stated on the record that there were best practices that are available that are not planned to be used in this development.

And so while I'm not convinced that the phrasing is correct that Ms. Souza has prepared, I think there is something a little more that we could perhaps add onto a condition, and it might be a simple as a phrase about, you know, all available best practices that would address any of the runoff concerns that we've had.

I don't think requiring no runoff is a practicable concern, but I believe we could address those. Those are my four responses.

I then wanted to state a few general things for the record.

I wanted to say this last time and this is done as kindly and as respectfully as I can.

I'm very grateful to the Intervenor having stepped forward at cost to herself to do it. And respectfully, Mr. Minkin, I found your questioning of her during her presentation abusive and not welcome. I thought it was not necessary.

It is certainly fine to be an aggressive and good advocate for your client, and I think that's necessary, but I think it crossed the line a little bit in terms of being appropriate for somebody who at no reward for herself is just trying to participate in this process.

I want to just highlight a couple other things during testimony. Not an Intervenor, Councilmember Yukimura came forward and raised a number of significant concerns about the project, and was strongly seeking that we only approve Phase I of the project. There is reasons I don't agree -- while I have tremendous respect and a long relationship with Ms. Yukimura, I don't agree with what she suggested.

When rereading her three testimonies she

submitted to us, two of her points were that traffic was insufficiently analyzed, and that impact on agricultural lands were insufficiently analyzed.

I think the record those otherwise. That the record that county put together actually shows that with the TIAR issues addressed with looking at the overall impact on significant Agricultural Important Lands in this county those impacts have been addressed.

I am inclined to actually agree with a number of the other concerns Ms. Yukimura raised about the concentration of affordable housing, whether this is the ideal location for affordable housing. How we can ensure that it is indeed west side residents who get those units. I think those are legitimate concerns.

And I think those are really not concerns of the Land Use Commission. I think those are the concerns of Kaua'i County, the council and the mayor. We are not an appellate body in that sense.

I don't necessarily know that I would have put all my eggs in this one basket. I would have preferred, because I have advised other agencies on the same, to have a land acquisition policy in place before choosing which land to buy.

Those are my feelings, but that has nothing to do with what my responsibilities are. It's not our job to question the county in terms of approving or disapproving the project based on those concerns that she raised.

Finally, I just do want to note for the record, in case my fellow Commissioners didn't notice, that we did receive very good testimony from Sabra Kauka, kumu hula, about the importance of this project and her concern about development. She did send in written testimony afterwards on July 7th that said with increased knowledge of the project, she would have preferred to have only Phase I approved.

So there was a certain thing about the rush of proceedings that we didn't get maybe everything we wanted to hear all at once.

That said I also stand by my great aloha and thanks to the County of Kaua'i for stepping up.

Not a perfect project, no project is, but doing something the county doesn't have to do, but trying really hard to address a very real need for residents of the island and going through this. Very, very grateful to the mayor, to the council, to the housing department for putting this project forward.

Thank you for your patience in listening to

my remarks.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners. Anybody else? Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you.

I too would like to commend the parties, and in particular the Intervenor, who I think made everybody a lot more accountable by providing sort of a level of consciousness, the community's consciousness, and I appreciated that.

I just want to quickly address some of the your proposed recommendations.

In my view I think the regional is not appropriate. I think the TIAR, it would be incumbent upon the county, given the way that the project is being imposed, that right now only Phase I is approved.

When they proceed on the other phases, they will have to consider all of those other projects or the status at that time, and in my view I think having the TIAR updated before they proceed on the next phases will be some adequate protection.

The overall traffic issues in Lihue and beyond, that is really, I think, a countywide issue that they need to address, which is probably, in my view, beyond this particular project.

With respect to the runoff, I too, putting on my attorney's hat, I worry about the liability issue. I think that my intention on making those additional amendments about the swales, the vegetation, maintenance, that the intention there was to create a higher standard of BMPs. And, again, the future projects will all have to be reviewed, and they will go through their own end assessment and have to comply with whatever the requirements are at that time.

So it will be incumbent upon the county to apply the best management practices at the time they proceed with those future phases.

Civil Defense, I agree, I think we can just change it to whatever the appropriate language is.

If it's Emergency Management language, then that's what it should be changed to.

I, like Commissioner Okuda, with respect to the disclosure about the reservoir, am extremely reluctant because of potential exposure both to the project, who has no control over that by creating this warning.

However, it will be incumbent upon the county and those around there to make sure that there are safety measures in place, because I think you

have put everybody on notice. And now with that notice is a high ended scrutiny that they will all have to adhere to. So I am comfortable with Ms. Souza's proposed amendment to the emergency, but I would have the proposed findings stand on its own.

I would like to make a final comment. The first day of proceedings I think you all realized as we proceeded with your Cultural Impact Assessment, it just wasn't -- there were some real concerns, let me put it that way.

And I greatly appreciated the next day bringing in the practitioners, bringing in the people who had ancestral connections to those lands that should have been included in the first CIA. But the fact that they were recognized as experts, the fact that they were given opportunity to speak, I really, really appreciated having them -- that we could hear it personally rather than in a report, and I think the record is much stronger as a result of that testimony.

And from someone who has advocated, we have an affirmative obligation to preserve and protect traditional and customary practices. To unilaterally say there are no traditional and customary practices for me is -- Hawaiians lived on here for centuries.

They walked those lands. There's probably something going on. So currently, based upon the record, I think we now have a good idea what happened and we also now have some protections I believe in the makai area.

But I do appreciate the second day of testimony hearing from all of the witnesses as to their connection to these lands, and their firsthand testimony. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Anybody?

Commissioner Estes.

COMMISSIONER ESTES: I move adoption of the order with the change "Emergency Services".

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'll second that.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Okay. It has been moved by Commissioner Estes and seconded by Commissioners Cabral to approve the form of the order. Is there any discussion?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, I would like to propose an amendment to the motion, just one.

On page 81, in lieu of the proposed language offered by the Intervenor, on line 2, on page 81 I would propose an amendment inserting the words "best practices for", between the words "incorporating" and "low".

So it would read: Petitioner shall 1 2 mitigate nonpoint source pollution by incorporating 3 best practices for low impact development practices 4 for on-site stormwater. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Are you including 6 introducing that amendment? 7 COMMISSIONER ESTES: And I accept it. COMMISSIONER CABRAL: And I accept that. 8 9 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Can you just 10 repeat? VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Yes. 11 12 The amended line would read, we are again 13 on page 81, under conditions, under the proposed 14 order, beginning at line 1, at the end of line 1. 15 The Petitioner shall mitigate nonpoint 16 source pollution by incorporating -- insert three --17 best practices for. And the remainder of the 18 sentence remains the same. 19 And I believe that actually addresses the 20 spirit of the Intervenor's comments and reflects more 2.1 accurately what we had on the record. 22 MR. MINKIN: For the record, we have no 23 objection with that. 24 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Okuda. 25 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: After considering what

1	Commissioner Scheuer has said, I think his amendment
2	better accurately reflects the record, and I believe
3	it's consistent with the Rodrigues case also. So
4	thank you for clarifying that point.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, there is
6	a motion to approve the form of the order with
7	amendment. Is there any further discussion? Hearing
8	none, Mr. Orodenker, please poll the Commissioners.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10	The motion is to adopt the order with to
11	add the language "Emergency Services" and as amended
12	by Commissioner Scheuer, to add additional language
13	regarding best practices.
14	Commissioner Estes?
15	COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Cabral?
17	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes.
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Vice Chair Scheuer?
19	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aye.
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Okuda?
21	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
22	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Chang?
23	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Commissioner Ohigashi?
25	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Chair Aczon? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Yes. 3 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you. Mr. Chair, 4 the motion passes unanimously. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you everyone. 6 Thank you for your patience. Commissioner Okuda. 7 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Could I just say one 8 9 thing? It's a follow up to what Commissioner Chang 10 had said. 11 With respect to protection of Native 12 Hawaiian practices, which is enshrined in our 13 constitution, I think the record should make clear, 14 as one who worked at 1978 Constitutional Conviction, you could pick the number of Native Hawaiian 15 16 delegates on one hand. There weren't that many in 17 the constitution. 18 What Commissioner Chang spoke about is not 19 simply a Native Hawaiian concern, it's a statement of 20 community values, and I think it's a community value 21 that cuts across ethnic lines, economic lines, 22 neighborhoods, it's statewide because that 23 constitution was ratified by not everyone in the 24 state, but by a statewide note.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

So I think it's important to keep in mind

that when we inquire into these items in these types of proceedings, we are not doing it just for a certain limited community, it's an inquiry that reflects community values of everyone who lives in Hawaii. I just like to state that for the record. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner Okuda. The Land Use Commission will now recess and reconvene at Maui Arts and Cultural Center at approximately 2:00 p.m. today. (The proceedings recessed at 10:40 a.m.) 

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII ) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU )
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on July 19, 2017 at 9:20 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 19th day of July, 2017, in Honolulu,
16	Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Jean Marie McManus JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
20	JEAN MARIE MCMANUS, CSR #150
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAII
3	July 19, 2017
4	Commencing at 2:00 p.m.
5	Maui Arts & Cultural Center
6	Morgado Meeting Room
7	One Cameron Way
8	Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i 96732-1137
9	
10	ACTION
11	A94-706 Ka'ono'ulu Ranch (Maui)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	To consider acceptance of Pi'ilani Promenade North, LLC's and Pi'ilani Promenade South, LLC's Final Environmental Impact Statement in support of their Motion for Order Amending the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order Dated February 10, 1995, which reclassified approximately 88 acres at Ka'ono'ulu, Makawao-Wailuku, Maui, Hawai'i.
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	BEFORE: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156  McManus Court Reporters 808-239-6148

1	APPEARANCES:
2	EDMUND ACZON, Chair
3	JONATHAN SCHEUER, Vice Chair ARNOLD WONG, Vice Chair
4	COMMISSIONERS: GARY OKUDA
5	LINDA ESTES NANCY CABRAL
6	DAWN CHANG LEE OHIGASHI
7	
8	DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General
9	CHARE.
10	STAFF: DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Director RILEY K. HAKODA, Planner/Chief Clerk
11	BERT K. SARUWATARI, Planner
12	RANDAL SAKUMOTO, ESQ. LISA CATALDO, ESQ.
13	Attorneys for Petitioner Ka'ono'ulu Ranch
14	CURTIS TABATA, ESQ. BENJAMIN MATSUBARA, ESQ.
15	Attorneys for Honua'ula Partners, LLC
16	TOM PIERCE, ESQ. Attorney for Intervenors
17	
18	MICHAEL HOPPER, ESQ. Corporation Counsel County of Maui WILLIAM SPENCE, Director County of Maui
19	ANN CUA, Planner Attorney for Maui County Department of Planning
20	DAWN APUNA, ESQ.
21	Deputy Attorney General, State of Hawai'i RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, Planner
22	Attorney for State Office of Planning
23	
24	
25	

			48
1			
	INDEX		
2	DUDITO WIENECCEC.		
3	PUBLIC WITNESSES:	PAGE	
)	Joan Martin	57	
4	Mario Cardone	59	
	Mike Moran	62	
5	Linda Berry	66	
	Rob Weltman	70	
6	Charlene Schulenburg (for Mary Wagner)	7 4	
	Gary Passon	77	
7	Mike Foley	81	
8	Amber Putsis (for Pamela Tumpap) Robin Knox	8 4 8 8	
0	Basil Oshiro	92	
9	Ronald Vaught	99	
J	Vernon Kalanikau	103	
10	Chantal Lonergan	107	
	Tom Cook	109	
11	Michelle Del Rosario	112	
	Gene Zarro	115	
12	Cody Tuivaiti	118	
1.0	Donnie Becker	125	
13	Jay Krigsman	132	
1 /	Robert Aldrich	135	
14	David Kaawa Hewahewa Tom Blackburn-Rodriguez	139 143	
15	Kaena Elima	146	
10	Ke'eaumoku Kapu	146	
16	Matthew Cearny	157	
	Jill Engledow Technique	161	
17	Allison Miller	163	
	Ko Hewahewa	166	
18	Mark Sheehan	175	
1.0	Kelly King	177	
19	Cross-Examination/Intervenor Hannibal Starbuck	181 186	
20	Jon Jon Tabon	190	
20	Cecilia Rose Reilley	195	
21	Heali'i Haukane	199	
	Kapono Makahanaloa-Antonez	202	
22	Deborah Mader	204	
	Albert Perez	207	
23			
24	EXHIBITS MARKED AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE		
25	LUC 1	70	
	McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 —		

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Good afternoon. This is the Maui portion of the July 19, 2017, Land use Commission meeting.

The minutes for the June 28, 29 and July 7th, 2017 were unanimously adopted earlier this morning on Kaua'i.

The next agenda is the attentive meeting schedule.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We'll be here tomorrow for continuation on this docket.

On July 26th we will be on Kaua'i for action on Maui Community College and Island Schools, and also to take action on the request to be the accepting authority for the EISPN for Honua'ula Partners.

August 9th on Oahu. August 10th we will be on Kaua'i again to adopt the order for Island Schools and UHKCC.

On August 23rd, we will be on Maui for Pukalani Associates Motion for Extension of Time.

On September 6th and 7th we will again be on Maui -- on the 6th we'll be on Maui for Waikapu Town, and on the 7th we will be on Oahu for Solar Special Permits.

September 20th to 22nd HCPO, and that is 1 2 all we have on the schedule for right now. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any questions about our schedule? 4 5 Thank you, Mr. Orodenker. 6 Next agenda item action meeting on Docket 7 No. A94-706 Ka'ono'ulu Ranch to consider the acceptance of the Final Environmental Impact 8 9 Statement. 10 Will the parties please identify themselves for the record? 11 12 MR. SAKUMOTO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Randall Sakumoto and Lisa Cataldo on behalf of 13 14 Petitioners. 15 MS. APUNA: Deputy Attorney General Dawn 16 Apuna on behalf State Office of Planning. With me is 17 Rodney Funakoshi. 18 MR. HOPPER: Hello. Michael Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel for Maui County Department of 19 20 Planning. With me is Planning Director Wil Spence 21 and planner Ann Kua is also here. 22 MR. PIERCE: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 23 Pierce on behalf of Intervenors, Maui Tomorrow 24 Foundation, Inc., South Maui Citizens for Responsible 25 Growth and Daniel Kanahele.

1	MR. TABATA: Good afternoon, Chair, members
2	of the Commission. Curtis Tabata and Benjamin
3	Matsubara for Honua'ula Partners LLC.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let me update the
5	record in this docket.
6	On December 10, 2015, the Petitioner
7	provided a status report to the Commission. From
8	then until now the Commission received various
9	correspondence. Annual reports and testimony, which
10	was posed to our website and made part of the record.
11	On July 1st, 2016, the Commission received
12	Notice of Appearance for Counsel for Honua'ula
13	Partners LLC.
14	On March 9, 2017, the Commission made a
15	site visit to the Petition Area.
16	On June 27th, 2017, the Commission received
L7	Petitioner's Volumes 1-5 and digital copies.
18	On July 12th, 2017, the LUC mailed the
L9	July 19-20th, 2017 agenda to the Parties, and to the
20	individuals and entities on the Statewide, Kaua'i and
21	Maui mailing lists.
22	On July 13th, 2017, the Commission received
23	Notice of Change of Counsel for Petitioner.
24	On July 14th, 2017, the Commission received
25	OP's and Maui County's Comments and Concerns

Regarding Docket A94-706 to Consider Acceptance of a 1 2 FEIS. 3 In addition, on July 18, 2017, the 4 Commission received an email from Intervenors 5 Requesting Sufficient Testimony Time for Maui 6 Tomorrow Foundation, South Maui Citizens for 7 Responsible Growth, and Daniel Kanahele. Mr. Pierce, do you, in fact, represent the 8 9 individual and organizations referenced in your 10 request? 11 MR. PIERCE: I represent the Intervenors, 12 yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: You have the ability to 14 call them as witnesses. If they do give public testimony, they'll be subject to the same time 15 constraints as the other testifiers. 16 17 MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 18 understand we will be given the opportunity to call 19 them as witnesses. Thank you, Mr. Chair, that works 20 for us. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Yeah, just to be fair 22 with public testimony. 23 MR. PIERCE: I appreciate that from the 24 Commission.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let me briefly describe

our procedure for today on this docket.

2.1

First, I'll give opportunity for the Petitioner to comment on the Commission's Policy governing reimbursement of hearing expenses.

I will then call for those individuals deciding to provide public testimony.

After public testimony, the Petitioner will be called to make its presentation.

After the completion of the Petitioner's

Presentation, we will receive any comments from the

County of Maui Planning Department, the State Office

of Planning and Intervenor on Petitioner's Final EIS.

When public testimony begins, I will call for those individuals deciding to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

The public testimony today should be limited to the Petitioner's Final EIS and should not go into the merits of the Petitioner's Motion to Amend the LUC's Decision and Order in this docket.

Also, if you have written testimony or other documents you would like to submit, please give them to the Chief Clerk so they can be filed-stamped and made part of the record.

Τ	After completion of the presentations, the
2	Commission will then conduct its deliberations. The
3	Chair would like to remind the parties and public
4	that per HAR 11-200-23(d) - In the event agency fails
5	to make a determination of acceptance or
6	non-acceptance within 30 days of the receipt of the
7	Final EIS, then the statement shall be deemed
8	accepted.
9	The Chair would also note for the parties
10	and public that from time to time I will be calling
11	for short breaks. And please, use the microphone
12	when you're testifying or speaking.
13	Are there any questions in our procedure
14	for today, parties?
15	MR. PIERCE: Mr. Chair, Tom Pierce on
16	behalf of the Intervenors. I just have one question.
17	I'm not sure I heard when would you like
18	for us in your process today to call our witnesses?
19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: During your
20	presentation.
21	MR. PIERCE: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: After the public
23	testimony.
24	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead, Commissioner

Ohigashi.

resident of this county, I do know some of the Intervenors. Clare Apana assisted myself, together in the community effort, regarding connections to, I think it was Maui Lani Partners. We testified in front of the Planning Commission and the County Council and was able to obtain some legislation, but it wasn't a formal group.

And I do know Daniel Kanahele because through social matters. I want to disclose that.

The third person I do know is Maui Tomorrow Albert Perez. I believe that from time to time he would stop by my office and talk story.

But other than that, I want to make sure those disclosures are on the record.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objections by the parties for Commissioner Ohigashi's participation?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No objections from the Petitioner.

MS. APUNA: No objection.

MR. HOPPER: No objection.

MR. PIERCE: No objection from Intervenors.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

For those of you who just came in and

McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

wanted to testify, please sign in our testifiers,
please.

2.1

Mr. Sakamoto, has our staff informed you of the Commission's policy on reimbursement of hearing expenses? If so, could you please state your client's position with respect to this policy.

MR. SAKUMOTO: Yes, Mr. Chairman, they have advised us, and we accept the policy.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

Is there anyone in the audience who desires to provide public testimony on the matter before us? For public witnesses who are testifying, the Commission would appreciate it if you could confine your testimony to the acceptability of the FEIS and avoid repetitive testimony.

In addition, a three-minute time limit on testimony will be enforced.

Anyone? First testifier.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Our first testifier is Joan Martin followed by Mario

Cardone.

I would also like to apologize ahead of time if I mispronounce the names. Some of the handwriting is not very good.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: The chair for the

1	testifiers is on this side.
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Joan Martin followed
3	by Mario Cardone.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: As soon as your name is
5	called, please wait by the left side to the witness
6	box.
7	May I swear you in first?
8	Do you affirm that the testimony that
9	you're about to give is the truth?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
12	and address for the record.
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Joan Martin. My
14	address is 85 Manino Circle, Number 202, Kihei,
15	Hawai'i.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
17	JOAN MARTIN
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
22	Again, my name is Joan Martin. I have
23	lived in Kihei since 1998 and wish to indicate my
24	strong support for acceptance of the Final
25	Environmental Impact Statement submitted for the

Pi'ilani Promenade project in Kihei, Hawai'i. I
believe that the FEIS is complete and response to all
the environmental questions regarding the project,
including extensive cultural surveys of the project.

While this hearing is focused on the acceptance of the FEIS, I do want to note that when completed the project will include 226 apartments with 25 percent affordable under the Maui County workforce housing requirements for those earning 80 to 120 percent of the area median income.

The lack of sufficient affordable rentals in our community is at a crisis, and I support this effort.

I also support the creation of additional retail opportunities in South Maui and the jobs that will come with it. Right now, if I want to by a pair of socks, I have to drive to Central Maui and back. I can get shaved ice and a tourist T-shirt easy enough in Kihei, but what about shoes or a birthday gift? No luck.

I want to close my remarks by thanking the Commission for coming to Maui after a long day already on Kaua'i. Thank you for your service and for the courtesy of hearing my testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the

1	testifier?
2	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
3	MS. APUNA: No questions.
4	MR. HOPPER: No question.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Intervenors?
6	MR. PIERCE: No questions.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Ms. Martin.
8	Mr. Cardone.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Following Mike Moran.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let me swear you in
11	first.
12	Do you affirm that the testimony that you
13	are about to give is the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: I do.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
16	and address for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Mario Cardone, 202 Ohukai
18	Road, Kihei.
19	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
20	MARIO CARDONE
21	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
22	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	THE WITNESS: My name is Mario Cardone.
25	I'm a commercial real estate broker specializing in

the North Kihei industrial area. I've been involved in this area for the past 24 years. I live in North Kihei across the highway on Ohukai Road, and have lived there for over 30 years.

Pi'ilani Promenade project has a direct impact on where I live and where I work.

The current project is greatly improved over the previous plan proposed by Eclipse

Development. I did not believe that the scale and type of retail that was previously proposed was appropriate. However, I would like to see Pi'ilani Promenade's EIS accepted by the Land Use Commission, to give this project the chance it deserves to provide the community based industrial, apartment and commercial uses it is promising.

All parcels in the Pi'ilani Business Park and Kihei Commercial Center subdivisions, which are immediately to the north of Pi'ilani Promenade, have been developed, and the vacancy of ground floor industrial and commercial space is very low.

The vacancy rate island wide for industrial space is less than one percent, and adding space for warehousing, light manufacturing, industrial service businesses, and the like, is very much needed.

Community based commercial space is also

very much needed. This would be for all sorts of personal and business services, home improvement businesses, and flex spaces for office and retail uses coupled with warehouse or manufacturing space.

The more apartment projects we can encourage, the better. Apartments at any economic level help fill the housing needs of a growing community. This project will provide more than its fair share, as well as related pedestrian and bicycle paths in a park.

Community based retail meant to provide

Kihei residents with alternatives to shopping in

Kahului would be beneficial for the Kihei community,

and will help control traffic congestion. We need

commercial areas that do not primarily cater to the

visitor market.

In conclusion, I would hope Land Use Commissioner will approve the project's EIS and move it to the next step in the process. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? Mr. Sakumoto?

- MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
- MS. APUNA: No.

2.1

- MR. HOPPER: No.
- MR. PIERCE: No questions.

1 MR. TABATA: No questions. 2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Mike Moran followed by 3 Linda Berry. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that 4 5 testimony that you're about to give is the truth. 6 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, please state your name and address for the record. 8 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Mike Moran, 167 10 Aha'aina Way in North Kihei, and I'm speaking for the 11 Kihei Community Association today. 12 MIKE MORAN 13 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 14 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 We are testifying against accepting the 17 EIS. The Kihei Community Association or KCA is an 18 all volunteer unfunded non-profit with 57-year 19 history of representing the desires of the Kihei 20 community, such as street designs, stormwater

Unfortunately, Sarofim's developers did not consult with the KCA before deciding to build regional retail outlet in our community. Today we

management and transportation. We have no financial

stake in your process.

21

22

23

24

will offer a team testimony to touch on failing specific segments within the FEIS.

2.1

We also support the cultural aspect to be offered by Daniel Kanahele.

We have serious concerns about the negative and environmental impacts of the proposed Pi'ilani Promenade. Our community does not support the project in its current configuration, as best as anyone can define it in this conceptual offering.

In the 1990's, KCA members who worked on the Kihei-Makena Community Plan were committed to smart growth and designated the plan to prevent further sprawl and concentrate commercial activities makai of the Pi'ilani Highway.

Four Areas were designated for commercial services on page 17 of the plan. The proposed Pi'ilani Promenade site is not among those commercial sites approved by the plan.

KCA supports positive projects like the
Krausz Companies, Inc. plan for their development as
the Kihei Town Center. It has been designated to
meet the needs of the community and is supported by
the KCA. We do not want to see unfair competition
from the proposed Sarofim protract in attracting
anchor tenants contribute to the failure of the Kihei

Town Center or now called Downtown Kihei.

Our community needs affordable housing like the 100 percent affordable rental housing directly across from this site set to break ground in 2018, not a huge unneeded commercial entity with a smattering of such housing.

KCA would like to make clear that the Pi'ilani Promenade project is contrary to our community plan, a legal document created by the community to guide development in significant ways.

By circumventing the wishes of the community, which are spelled out clearly in this legal document, the Pi'ilani Promenade, as proposed, will be detrimental to our natural, cultural, and economic environment upon which our island is based.

Before discussion of the specific sections of the EIS by our team, we want to point out again the vague nature of the overall plan which is referred frequently in the EIS as conceptual. While the owner has designated areas for light industrial, retail and apartments, without specific site layouts, building use, or building designs, it's difficult to predict actual impacts to this project.

And this is further complicated by the Sarofim website which designates this property solely

```
as retail, even though categories of industrial,
1
2
     residential and business are assigned to their other
 3
     properties. We question, does this indicate the
 4
     owner hopes to build --
5
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
                THE WITNESS: Does this indicate that the
 6
7
     owner hopes to build out this site as 100 percent
     retail? Mahalo.
8
9
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
10
     questions?
11
                MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
               MS. APUNA: No.
12
13
               MR. HOPPER: No.
14
               MR. PIERCE: No.
15
               MR. TABATA: No.
16
               CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Ms. Berry.
17
               May I swear you in first?
18
                Do you affirm that the testimony that
19
     you're about to give is the truth?
20
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
2.1
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
22
     and address for the record.
23
                THE WITNESS: Linda Berry, 4 Waikalani Hema
24
     Place, Kihei.
25
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
```

1 LINDA BERRY

2.1

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I'm representing Kihei Community Association, and I will address the Alternative Section of the EIS which presents two alternatives and dismisses them both.

There is a third alternative that's not discussed, which KCA finds preferable, and I would like to tell you what it is and how we arrived at it.

KCA has been fighting this project for years and we're tired of it. So early this year, when the project came back to life, we decided to take a new approach, and imagine what the owners might build here that would serve Kihei.

Lack of housing for local families has reached crisis proportions. But the Kihei-Makena Community Plan does not provide for housing in this location mauka of the highway.

Since the Community Plan was adopted

20 years ago, four changes that were not anticipated

by the plan have impacted our community.

First, the nationwide failure of retail centers makes the need for additional retail space

questionable.

Second, the State of Hawaii DOE has begun construction of Kihei High School on the site directly adjacent and south of this site.

Third, we have run out of land for much needed local housing below Pi'ilani Highway.

And fourth, a precedent for housing above the highway has already been set at the Research and Technology Park, about a mile south of this site.

That business park has been revised to become a mixed use neighborhood, with the new Kihei Charter School and residential developments already underway.

With these four changes in mind, we concluded that this site, adjacent to the new high school, makes sense for residential development.

To prove the feasibility, we have drawn up detailed plans showing a mix of residential spaces, including apartments, multi-family condominiums, live work units, and single-family housing.

A connector road runs north-south through the site which will connect housing to the north, to the new Kihei High School to the south, and keep extra traffic off Pi'ilani Highway.

The site, which -- the gulch which bisects the site, and which Sarofim plans to concrete over,

destroying natural Hawaiian cultural sites, our plan 1 2 leaves the gulch in its natural state, leaving the 3 sites intact, and also allowing stormwater to seep into the ground naturally. 4 5 Where the owners envision paved parking 6 lots, the green space in our plan will minimize 7 existing stormwater drainage issues. 8 We did present this plan to the owners in 9 April for their consideration, but have no commitment 10 that they will revise their plans. No alternative --11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 12 THE WITNESS: No alternative design shown 13 in the FEIS preserves the small gulch, reduces 14 existing flooding downslope or protects significant 15 cultural sites. No alternative design shows all 16 residential use of the property. 17 Thus, the FEIS analysis is incomplete in 18 meeting minimum requirements listed in HAR 11-200-17. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 21 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 22 MS. APUNA: No questions. 23 MR. HOPPER: No questions. 24 MR. PIERCE: No. 25 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

```
1
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Can you give that date
2
      again when that plan was transmitted to the
3
     Applicant?
                THE WITNESS: We met with them in person in
 4
5
     April.
 6
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: When you met with
7
     them, did you also show that diagram?
8
                THE WITNESS: Yes, and we gave them copies
9
     too. And they said they didn't -- while we had
10
      interesting ideas, they didn't want to change what
11
     they were doing at that time.
12
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Chair, at some
13
     point in time I would ask that that illustration or
14
     demonstrative be made part of the record.
15
                THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any objections from the
17
     parties?
18
                MR. SAKUMOTO: No objection, Mr. Chairman.
                MS. APUNA: No.
19
20
               MR. HOPPER: No.
21
               MR. PIERCE:
                             Νo
22
                MR. TABATA: No.
23
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: What exhibit number is
24
     that, Riley?
25
                MS. ERICKSON:
                               LUC 1.
```

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Let the record show
2	that LUC, Exhibit LUC 1 was accepted for the record.
3	Thank you.
4	(Exhibit LUC 1 was marked and received into
5	evidence.)
6	Anybody else, Commissioners?
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Rob Weltman followed
8	by Charlene Schulenburg.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you affirm that the
10	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: I do.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
13	and address for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: Ron Weltman, 188 Wailua
15	Place, Kihei.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
17	ROB WELTMAN
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: Speaking for KCA regarding
22	the transportation impacts of the proposed Pi'ilani
23	Promenade development, KCA's concerns are based on a
24	Hawai'i State criteria for Complete Streets,
25	Kihei-Makena Community Plan, the Kihei Road Design

Standards, and the EPA Green Streets criteria.

The Hawai'i Complete Streets criteria include the provision of pedestrian and bicycle traffic in addition to motorized vehicles in the design of roadways within the development, as well as public roads impacted by the development.

The project includes the construction of a shared-use pedestrian and bike path along the mauka side of Pi'ilani Highway, adjacent to the project and within the project site, in addition to two bike lanes on Pi'ilani Highway.

However, the sketchy conceptual nature of the site plan does not allow for comments on the usability of the bike and pedestrian paths, but it is clear that the number of bike and pedestrian paths indicated are nowhere near the number needed to serve a 75-acre site.

The Kihei-Makena Community Plan stipulates reducing traffic on Pi'ilani Highway, not increasing it. The population of South Maui is not sufficient to support all the retail space already available with more retail to come in Downtown Kihei project.

While the Introduction to Volume 4 of the EIS states that "the objective of this project is to provide services for the tourist and residents of the

Kihei area, and that marketing efforts will be directed toward the South Maui area."

The proposed commercial square footage will require marketing to customers from other parts of the island, increasing traffic to and around the site. And that is not considered in the FEIS.

North Pi'ilani Highway is a choke point for all traffic in and out of South Maui. The FEIS indicates that in 2016 the level of service was already unacceptable for some traffic patterns at seven intersections among those to receive additional traffic due to the project.

And that the level of service will deteriorate even without the project through 2032 when the project is complete.

With the project, four intersections will have unacceptable service in almost all directions when it completes in 2032.

The FEIS suggests possible mitigations, particularly, quote, "future roadway construction in the area will provide additional capacity", end quote, but does not stipulate when they would be constructed, who would be responsible and who will pay for the necessary improvements.

In addition, KCA requests evaluation of

1	roundabouts the in lieu of additional signalized and
2	stop sign intersections to conform to Kihei-Makena
3	Community Plan goals for pedestrian oriented walkable
4	community without unacceptable congestion for
5	commuter time traffic.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
7	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
8	MS. APUNA: No.
9	MR. HOPPER: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
11	you. Next testifier.
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next Charlene
13	Schulenburg followed by Gary Passon.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
15	first?
16	Do you swear that the testimony that you
17	are about to give is the truth?
18	THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge,
19	because I am actually representing somebody who could
20	not be here who is a professional architect who is on
21	the KCA committee and is an expert.
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
23	and address for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: Charlene Schulenburg, 1390
25	South Kihei Road.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

# 2 CHARLENE SCHULENBURG

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

Speaking on behalf of Mary Wagner, also known as Randy, she is a professional architect, a member of the A.I.A., and is certified in the LEED AP.

The watershed protection is not adequately addressed by the Pi'ilani Promenade EIS, because it does not follow basic low impact design principles.

It is no longer acceptable to place streambeds or gulches underground in conduits and rerouted pathways. The developers are not following a nationally accepted standard that was created 20 years ago by a group of architects, engineers, developers and government agencies for the Center for Watershed Protection Association.

In reference to the tributary gulch that transects the property to the Kulanihakoi Gulch, the Pi'ilani Promenade EIS fails to consider the following principles found in the Consensus Agreement On Model Development Principles to Protect Our Streams, Lakes and Wetlands.

And she does cite this, and you guys were given copies of this, she sent them in.

The first one is: Create a variable width, naturally vegetated buffer system along all perennial streams.

The second is: The riparian stream buffer should be restored and preserved with native vegetation that can be maintained throughout the plan review delineation, construction and occupancy stages of the development.

The EIS states that Drainageway A will be routed to the East Kaonoulu Street right-of-way.

This is directly contrary to the purpose of the Model Development Consensus. The natural course of the existing gulch should not be changed, nor should the gulch be hardened or placed into conduit.

Another article from the library of the Center for Watershed Protection is the Architecture of Urban Stream Buffers. An average buffer width of 50 to 200 feet is required to allow for variation in gulch flow during high storm activity. Proper vegetation stabilizes the ground to help decrease erosion and increase uptake into the ground. None of this is addressed in the EIS.

As a professional architect, Randy has

lived for 20 years makai of the proposed development, and Randy and the KCA are alarmed by the narrow vision showed shown in this EIS.

We have all seen and watched the brown water conditions occur repeatedly in the ocean with worsening intensity. Storms have increased in frequency and duration. Flooding is now commonplace and we need to be working to prevent future occurrences, not aggravate them.

The gulch that has served this acreage over a millennia should not be altered. It needs to be restored and preserved.

The Promenade project is not sensitive to the unique and fragile concerns of our oceanside community. The apparent cut and fill and manipulation of the site, including relocating a gulch is cavalier to the real cost to the health of our ocean and to those of us who reside here, and future inhabitants.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: In summary, let's do what is pono. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

MS. APUNA: Nope.

2.1

1	MR. HOPPER: No.
2	MR. PIERCE: None.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mr. Passon.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Followed by Mike
5	Foley.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
7	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
8	about to give is the truth?
9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
11	and address for the record.
12	THE WITNESS: Gary Passon. I live at 1390
13	South Kihei Road in Kihei.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
15	GARY PASSON
16	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Members of
20	the LUC.
21	My name is Gary Passon and I'm a member of
22	the Kihei Community Association and a member of the
23	KCA Planning Committee. I represent the KCA today
24	and myself in today's hearing.
25	The KCA objects to the proposed FEIS for

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

many reasons. I would like to focus on the economics, Section 3. I have provided a more complete description and specific articles in support of our assessment as notes to each of you today.

A material premise of the FEIS is that the chosen economic model's projection supports the need for over 500,000 square feet of additional Big Box Commercial Retail space in Kihei.

We do not believe the models have been adjusted to accurately reflect the changing retail landscape nor the specific economic and retail space and social environment in Kihei.

Fact: Kihei Town Center, KTC, or also called Downtown Kihei, when considered in conjunction to the two shopping areas located adjacent to the east and the west of the KTC will constitute over 500,000 square feet of commercial retail space.

input, and the developer substantially modified their design to fit into the community. KTC was sized, laid out, and has become supported by the community because it looks like the community, is an in-fill project, and because the developer has supported the community's efforts to be a walkable, bike-able and a mass-transit supported community.

The community feels this significant additional retail space coming on-line soon, and the underutilized retail space along Kihei Road offer significant and sufficient choice, access and economic retail purchasing opportunities to Kihei residents.

The mega mall will significantly upset the by economic balance in the community by unnecessarily increasing the available retail space by a significant amount.

Fact: The Pi'ilani Promenade would put in large box stores into the Kihei community where access to those stores is already approved and they are being developed only 20 minutes away in Kahului.

Lowes Hardware big box and Target, having recently opened, are just two examples. Not fully having assessed the Kahului big box evolution on which the FEIS economic models are based is a flaw.

Fact: Retail buying rules are changing.

Economic modeling of the buying needs in this FEIS

are based on prior models which assume a square

footage need per person based on car-centric retail

purchasing.

Significant new retail studies and models as well as all of our real-life experience here in

Hawai'i suggests that on-line purchasing and overnight delivery will continue to grow, and free shipping will reduce the need for many trips to the stores.

Radio Shack's failure in Kihei and across the country is just one example of this coming trend. The FEIS seems to be ignoring this trend away from brick-and-mortar stores and the changing retail space needs per resident because of the on-line buying, and is therefore flawed.

Fact: Based on current studies many people believe large mega stores will be replaced with distribution centers located out of town. This will likely cause significant underutilized retail space in the future.

The project's failure over the long run would blight the community with an underutilized large concrete massive mall --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: -- with large parking lots, highly visible from the highway, blocking view planes, disturbing cultural pathways and sites, be environmentally unsound, and has the potential of having a significant negative effect to the community.

1	We request you reject the FEIS because the
2	analysis is insufficient and flawed. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the
4	witness?
5	MR. SAKUMOTO: No.
6	MS. APUNA: No.
7	MR. HOPPER: No.
8	MR. PIERCE: No.
9	MR. TABATA: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
11	you.
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mike Foley, followed
13	by Pam I'm sorry, I can't make out your
14	handwriting from Maui Chamber of Commerce.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
16	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
19	and address for the record.
20	THE WITNESS: Mike Foley, 160 Kionakai
21	Road, Kihei.
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
23	MIKE FOLEY
24	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
25	truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My name is Mike Foley. I'm former Planning Director for Maui County, and I have 42 years of experience as a land use planner and environmental consultant. I'm testifying today as an individual in opposition to the Land Use Commission's acceptance of the proposed Final EIS.

The project description in this EIS is extremely vague and incomplete. The law requires a detailed project description, and this document doesn't have one.

The majority of the site is apparently intended for commercial use, but that is really unclear. How much of it is commercial? How much of it is industrial? We don't know.

The traffic study inadequately considers other South Maui developments, and is also incomplete. You've already heard testimony previously about the existing vacancies in South Maui in commercial sites, and also the impact, it has not been addressed, regarding the A&B commercial project in Kahului, and the internet retail, which is an obvious growing trend.

This proposed project would be urban sprawl. The Final Environmental Impact Statement is

```
incomplete and inaccurate. The project would
1
2
     negatively change the character of Kihei, and that's
 3
     not adequately addressed. The people of South Maui
 4
     have never had an opportunity to comment on this
 5
     proposed project, only on the FEIS before this
 6
     Commission. And we thank you for that opportunity.
7
                The Alternative Section is also incomplete,
     as you heard previously testified by Linda Berry.
8
9
     There should have been an additional alternative
10
     considered where the site would be developed in all
11
     residential uses. Thank you.
12
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
13
     questions?
14
               MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
15
               MS. APUNA: No.
16
               MR. HOPPER: No questions.
17
               CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
18
     you. Next testifier.
19
                EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Do you swear that the
20
      testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
21
                THE WITNESS: I do.
22
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
23
     and your address for the record.
24
                THE WITNESS: My name is Amber Putsis. I'm
```

here to read Pamela Tumpas' testimony on her behalf.

25

Her address 95 Mahalani Street, number 22A, Wailuku, Hawai'i 96793.

2.1

## AMBER PUTSIS

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My name is Amber. I'm here to testify or to read Pamela Tumpap's testimony.

She is president of the Maui Chamber of Commerce. We are here to support Pi'ilani Project.

The Maui Chamber of Commerce supports growth, which promotes the quality of life in Maui County and enhances the attractiveness of Maui as a visitors' destination. It is the Chamber's position that development should occur only in conjunction with appropriate planning processes and be coordinated with the development of adequate physical and social infrastructure.

Since its initial proposal, this project has greatly progressed to help support our community. A series of discussions with the community was held which help shaped the current vision. The project now includes multi-family, affordable rental housing, needed light industrial uses, pedestrian and bicycle access paths and a park.

It is entitled to be a vibrant regional and sub-regional center providing residential, light industrial, and commercial opportunities for local residents and visitors. It is a project that would create jobs during the development and long after, generate revenue for the county and state, and improve both economies, and provide amenities and infrastructure for the area.

It contribute to one of our community's top priorities, desperately needed affordable housing units. A recent statewide study indicates that Maui County will need close to 14,000 new affordable housing units by the year 2025. And the Maui Island Plan indicates there will be a demand for additional 34,367 housing units in just Maui through 2030, with 9,735 units in Kihei-Makena.

Whatever the correct number is, we are woefully behind. However this project will be a tremendous help and start as it will fill roughly two percent of the projected Kihei-Makena demand through 2030 with the development of 226 multi-family rental units, with the pricing for these units expected to be affordable for Maui Island residents in a market with very limited supply.

Further, at least 25 will 226 units will be

rented at an affordable rate determined by the Maui County Department of Housing and Human Concerns.

They will be a mix of one and two bedroom units, and are targeted at the full spectrum of workers in the development. So therefore, this project is a key component to meet our affordable housing goals.

In support of businesses, this project will help meet regional commercial demand by providing space in South Maui for expanded retail that will help alleviate the need to travel to other locations on the island for shopping.

According to an economic study by The Hallstrom Group, all of the quality and competitive spaces along South Kihei Road, or in newer, modern centers, were occupied, and that there is a lack of quality, modern, well-located inventory. The Pi'ilani Promenade project will help satisfy the market demand by providing needed light industrial and commercial uses.

It's also important to the Maui Chamber of Commerce that this will --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: They will create jobs and deliver well in that area. And for these reasons, along with the physical and social infrastructure

1	this project will create, we stand in support of the
2	Pi'ilani Promenade project.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.
4	Any questions?
5	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
6	MR. HOPPER: No.
7	MR. PIERCE: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Vice Chair Scheuer.
9	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Good afternoon. I
10	realize you're reading testimony that you may not
11	have played a role in preparing.
12	Do you understand what our proceeding is
13	today?
14	THE WITNESS: It's for the acceptance of
15	the Environmental Impact Statement.
16	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So you realize we are
17	not voting for or against the project today? Do you
18	have any testimony related to the acceptability of
19	the FEIS?
20	THE WITNESS: No. Just that we support the
21	acceptance of it.
22	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Has the Maui Chamber
23	of Commerce ever opposed development in front of the
24	Land Use Commission?
25	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, but I

1	couldn't say for sure whether or not.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else,
3	Commissioners? Thank you. Next.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Robin Knox followed by
5	Basil Oshiro.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
7	first?
8	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
9	about to give is the truth?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
12	and address.
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Robin Knox. I
14	live at 28 Waikalani Place.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
16	ROBIN KNOX
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	THE WITNESS: I've been a Kihei resident
21	for 11 years, and for the past six years I've lived
22	just makai of where this project is going to go in
23	off of Kulanihakoi Street.
24	By way of background, I am an environmental
25	scientist with 30 year's experience in Clean Water

Act. I was the former coordinator of the Southwest Maui Watershed Plan that looked at this area from a water quality impact. And I'm testifying on behalf of myself, but I do consult with the Kula Makai Aha Moku Council and the Kihei Community Association.

And I want to support what the KCA said about not changing the drainage, the natural hydrology, and the things they said about green infrastructure and low impact development.

You cannot approve this EIS as it is. It is incomplete and inadequate with regard to impacts to water quality. First off, there is not even a section called "water quality" in the thing. It incorrectly assumes that there is no impact to groundwater quality because there is no on-site sewage disposal. However, it does not discuss the impact of the 114,000 gallons per day that will be sent to the Kihei Wastewater Treatment Plant.

After treatment at treatment plant, that wastewater will be injected into the groundwater from where it goes into the ocean. It represents, even after treatment, 6.6 pounds per day of total nitrogen and similar poundage of other pollutants that adds up to over 2400 pounds per year, over a ton per year of nitrogen. And as I will discuss further, these

waters are already impaired with respect to nitrogen.

2.1

The EIS does not estimate or quantify pollutant loads, not only from sewage, but doesn't look at stormwater infiltration or irrigation with R1 water, and how that will contaminate groundwater.

Even further, the Marine Water Quality
Report in Appendix J is flawed because it states that
the only potential effects to the ocean come from
groundwater, from the basal groundwater moving into
the ocean, and it does not address stormwater
discharges, or the fact that a stream carrying
stormwater discharges flows into those ocean
segments.

It assumes that in meeting the county minimum stormwater standards, that water quality will be protected. This is flawed because it does not consider what happens in storms greater than the design 50-year one-hour storm.

I've lived there for six years and once about every 12 to 18 months in that time, there has been major flash flood carries literally tons of sediments down into the ocean.

The areas where this project is supposed to be built are areas that were contemplated for ecological restoration in the Southwest Maui

Watershed Plan. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 3 THE WITNESS: The EIS completely mentions 4 that the receiving waters are impaired and have been 5 reported to congress by DOH for not meeting nitrogen 6 standards and turbidity standards. 7 Repeatedly the Applicant says they understand what the DOH is telling them that the 8 9 project cannot cause or contribute to the exceedance 10 of the standards, yet the EIS fails to offer a plan for mitigation of discharge of tons of sediment and 11 12 nitrogen compounds into the ocean. 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 14 MR. SAKUMOTO: No. 15 MS. APUNA: No. 16 MR. HOPPER: No. 17 MR. PIERCE: No. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? 18 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Basil Oshiro followed 20 by Ron Vaught. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in 22 first? 23 Do you swear that the testimony that you're 24 about to give is the truth? 25 THE WITNESS: I do.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 2 and address for the record. 3 THE WITNESS: Basil Oshiro, 505 Nanakai Street, Kihei. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. 6 BASIL OSHIRO 7 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 THE WITNESS: Aloha, Commissioners and all 11 in attendance. My name is Basil Oshiro, Kula Makai 12 Aha Moku representative. We agree that this Final EIS is not 13 14 complete for the following reasons. New sites that we have found is more 15 16 unlikely not recorded, for one, or being ignored, 17 which is just my opinion on the ignored part. Some sites that are the means for the 18 19 military use, the cultural trails for ease of moving 20 mauka to makai in the area. 21 The plan alters drainage, grading plan will 22 damage this cultural sites in the construction area. 23 The Ka'ono'ulu Gulch, which we call it Ka'u Gulch --24 they use a different name on some places -- is a

natural drainage for the area, and there are cultural

25

sites inside of this drainage gulch or area.

Altering this drainage, the natural drainage of the area, will and can affect our -- will and can affect makai, and protecting our wetlands is part of our culture. And in this Kulanihako'i muliwai and the Kalepolepo Fishpond is part of this affects that will come if this Final EIS should pass.

It's also affecting our fishery that practice for generations and decades for me.

And this EIS that we're having now, the Final does not address what can happen makai of the construction area. We want, through this, is to protect our wetlands, the muliwai.

And I move on with, as noted previous written testimonies, the projected construction site has many cultural sites, and we have not yet have found, and when the grading and grubbing --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Does come up, then we will find artifacts from precontact which has already been found.

The culture protocol of this whole EIS, Final EIS has not been followed. The protocol has not been followed.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

2.1

```
THE WITNESS: We suggest all sites
1
2
     preserved for education of our future generation and
3
     for visitors alike.
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
 4
5
     questions?
 6
               MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
7
               MS. APUNA: No.
8
               MR. HOPPER: No.
9
               CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Vice
10
     Chair Scheuer.
11
               VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Oshiro, kala mai.
      Just to clarify your testimony. You say that there
12
13
     is traditional fishing resources makai of the site
14
     that could be affected by development of the site?
15
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: That you're familiar
17
     with as a practitioner?
18
                THE WITNESS: Yes. Right now I'm a
19
     president of the Maui Cooperative Fishing
20
     Association. I'm a member Aha Moku Maui, Inc., as
21
     board member. So I'm actually a fisherman. And I
22
     got tied up, and my forte is fishing, but what
23
     happens mauka will affect makai.
24
               VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Have you fished in the
25
     area makai of this development?
```

-McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, for many years, even 2 before I lived in Kihei. My actual growing up is in 3 Paia. But growing up as a fisherman, I used the 4 whole island wherever I could access. 5 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Did the consultants 6 for this project contact you to discuss fishing 7 resources in the area? 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any others? 11 Commissioner Chang. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, just a few 12 13 questions to follow up on Commissioner Scheuer's 14 questions. One, you talked about that many of the 15 16 military sites that they have documented. Have you 17 read the Cultural Impact Assessment and 18 Archaeological Inventory Survey? 19 THE WITNESS: That's the thing. When I 20 requested the latest on the Final of this thing, I 21 didn't get it. So from what we went on the site 22 visits, and what was written in the previous 23 testimonies, many of the sites were military. 24 But our belief is the military used the 25 cultural trails, so to make it easy for them, because there's always something up there that shows, or you can actually see the paths.

Maybe now it's all overgrown, but back in the war zone -- not war zone, but the war time, there were paths that could be seen.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I want to follow up on the paths. Are you aware of any mauka-makai trails that go above this project site and below the project site?

on-site visits, because we have to get permission to get up into the private lands, and I've been denied a few times to actually walk the land. So I cannot verify actually, but I can see from what I walk on the site visits where we did have access. I can read the land, how the water flows; how the trails probably were there. But I cannot actually go up and go investigate on it.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Let me ask you also about -- did they meet with the Aha Moku Council, do you know?

THE WITNESS: No.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Their Cultural Impact
Assessment concludes that there are no traditional
customary practices that exist on that project site.

1 Would you agree or disagree?

THE WITNESS: I disagree to that. I have that, but I had to cut it short.

When you do cultural practices, it's not advertised. It's just done. The practitioners would gather maybe two or three, maybe five people at the most, which we have done, and done the practice.

So there is cultural practice going on without anybody else knowing except the people that do it.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: You say that you're familiar with the fishing area makai of this project site. What kind of fishing do you guys do over there?

THE WITNESS: We usually like we go dunking for ulua, muliwai. And we do a lot of whipping along the shoreline over there. So it's pretty much from Ma'alaea to Makena where we can get to. So the fishing area is vast.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: You talked about a natural gulch in this property.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And it's not a very big gulch when it comes down to the area, just maybe about ten, maybe 12-foot deep. And we've noted there is like a dam or waterfall over there. So I have

looked mauka of that dam, and I see a punawai, a reservoir there that was natural, and it's filled in with soil or debris, mostly dirt right now.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know if there are mo'olelos above this place, stories of this place long time ago that talks about this area, what it was used for?

THE WITNESS: Okay. There's testimony on this too, and that was part of what I was going to talk about too, but not enough time.

So my other comment was, is not -- EIS not complete because whoever was doing the interviews -- I'm not going to mention names on that one -- they didn't actually find the kupuna. They talked to me. They talked to my wife, and two other people. But I don't really consider myself kupuna, because I don't really have the generational knowledge of the area.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: But you are aware that there are kupuna from that area, and they were not spoken to that you know of?

THE WITNESS: I have two people that actually are, I consider kupuna and lineals to the area.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much, Basil. I appreciate your testimony.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. Oshiro. 2 We will take Mr. Vaught's testimony and take a short recess after that. 3 4 Do you swear that the testimony that you're 5 about to give is the truth? 6 THE WITNESS: It is. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record. 8 9 THE WITNESS: My names Ronald Vaught. I 10 live at 170 Ohukai Road, Kihei. I've been there for 11 46 years. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. 13 RONALD VAUGHT 14 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 THE WITNESS: Incidentally, I discovered 18 that Ohukai Road was a gulch. If it were not filled 19 in, I wouldn't living there. But my wife is as close 20 to pure Hawaiian as you can find. She teaches 21 culture. She teaches Hawaiian history at the grade 22 level in the schools. That's not what I'm here to 23 talk about, but I do speak on her behalf. 24 I've lived on Maui for 60 years. I've been

on Ohukai since 1971, which is 46 years. I've been

25

on Maui since there was nothing after Azeka Store until Makena was developed. It was Azeka Store Meat Market and Gas Station, and there were some 40,000 people living on Maui at that time.

I spent much of my time building and managing radio stations. Working in radio gave me a lot of time and purpose for which I put into South Maui. I was president of the Kihei Elementary School PTA, and I'm only giving you my history so that you know who I am.

When I saw the Pi'ilani Highway was set to be built below the school, I was able to influence the state to realign the school so that the subdivisions beneath school would not -- the children wouldn't have to cross over the highway to get to school.

And it's amazing what a little influence being on radio can do when you talk to the state engineers.

Around 1973 the county brought a 36-inch water main from Wailuku to Wailea and Makena, and that's where we get our water. I haven't used groundwater for a long time.

But then came the hotels. With the hotels 8000 jobs. 8000 rooms, 8000 jobs, and no homes were

built. No homes were built on behalf of the hotel employees. If you put two shifts into those hotel rooms, you've got 16,000 people traversing from Makena or Wailea to come out of there and go home somewhere in Kahului or some other area.

Pi'ilani project was submitted before, and it was not -- it had some affordable houses attached to it, but it was turned down, and rightfully so, because they had a bridge -- the plan, the plan was changed, and they had increased the commercial part of it, and they had increased a lot of other things.

I see in this plan the building of homes. The building, at least it's a start to help some of those people.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Summarize, yes.

I see they're going to bring in excellent selection of businesses. I have read the EIS. I didn't read all 4,000 pages, but I read the EIS, and I can strongly believe that it is going to protect me and my neighbors. My neighbors are down Ohukai Road from this project.

And so I believe it needs to be approved

1 before we can start building houses. 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. 3 Any questions? MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 4 MS. APUNA: No. 5 6 MR. HOPPER: No. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Commissioner Estes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ESTES: I don't have a 10 question. I'm just wondering, I'm concerned about 11 all the people have to stand up. Is there any way to 12 get some more chairs in here? 13 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We'll take a 14 five-minute recess. 15 (Recess taken.) 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We're back on the 17 record. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next witness Vernon 18 19 Kalanikau followed by Chantal Lonergan. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in 2.1 first? 22 Do you swear that the testimony that you're 23 about to give is the truth? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, yes, I do. 25 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

1 and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Vernon Kalanikau.

I'm from 426 Kenolia Road in Kihei. I've been in this area since 1965.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

### VERNON KALANIKAU

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I watch this area go from no flood to more flood nowadays. So talking about the EIS, I here to tell you guys do not accept it at this moment. Give the community more opportunity to feed on that, add to that.

The EIS, it's very vague. It needs more work. I just saw some documents today about --

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Kala mai, just one.

Can we have someone ask the people in the hallway to be quiet, because I want to be able to hear. Mahalo.

THE WITNESS: I only need one break because I'm hungry.

So, again, I from the area since '65.

Watched this place just get runned over through the years. Looking at the EIS, no way. I mean I'm from the area. We have an ohana over here. We got to

1 recognize them, Hewahewa, that's their kuleana lands.

They get the history. We got to listen to them.

They got the history.

I raised up right across the street from this mall or Promenade. We recently had that big flood, I think March 7. Then we had another one a month later. I recorded that. I never bring my flash drive. I don't know the process, so I would like to give you guys that flash drive of what ten minutes of rain will do above this project and not rain in Kihei. And it flooded. It teared up South Kihei Road all makai.

water, water quality to Kulanihakoi to the muliwai and to the ocean, our reefs. All in that area everybody should know already our reefs are dead. Our homes are all tumored out. The 'opae is gone. The o'opu is gone. And I trying to figure out how going to reestablish that, but if going have one project mauka of this moku, ahupua'a, we got to do better on the cultural side and the environmental side.

I mean for me, I willing to work with these guys. This whole thing should be about they got to work with us, the people of the area, and the

community, especially the ones with generational knowledge.

2.1

Now I'm going to talk about the pohakus, sacred sites in this area. A lot of the area up there get astrological pohakus, cannot be removed, they going to remove them, whatever. But everything is activated now only because of what is going on in this project right now. Families are coming home. They want to reconnect. They want to practice their traditional rights. I'm included in that.

My dad through the '60s, the '70s, up until '84 we did our practices makai in the muliwai near the fishpond. And back in those days had 'opae, had limu ele'ele, had o'opu, had aholehole.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: So I just hear that no accept the EIS. We got to be, people of the area, the families got to be included in the conversation more right now. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any question for the testifier?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. HOPPER: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank

-McManus Court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

106 1 you. 2 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I have a question. 3 You said that there are families from the area that 4 have ancestral ties. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, one is I think going to 6 testify today Hewahewa. 7 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know whether they were contacted for the Cultural Impact 8 9 Assessment? 10 THE WITNESS: No, just for me, I don't think so. I was in this mix for what, about four or 11 12 five months now. I been there longer than anybody 13 else. 14 And like I said, I watch this place go from nothing to what it is today, that fast. So we got 15 16 issues, flooding. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner, she's 18 going to be testifying. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Mahalo. 21 Next testifier. 22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Chantal

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

Lonergan followed by Tom Cook.

23

24

25

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON:

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Chantal Lonergan.

And the address is 95 Mahalana Street.

#### CHANTAL LONERGAN

Was called as a witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Members of the Commission. Thank you for hearing testimony today.

My name is Chantal Dugied Lonergan, a 37-year resident of Maui County speaking on behalf of the construction industry of Maui representing over 1,530 working class citizens.

Our mission statement is to unify the building community through active advocacy, communication and education to sustain growth through best practices. We are engaged with holding our members to a high standard for the betterment of our community.

When clear requirements are communicated, quality projects can be developed to meet our community expectations and make an overall positive

impact on the quality of our life.

We are here today because the project

Environmental Impact Statement has been prepared and submitted for processing and acceptance by you, the State Land Use Commission.

We respect and advocate for the process, and applaud participants who have engaged with the community to develop visionary planning for generations. We ask you to listen specifically to the experts who have reviewed the completed document.

We understand the project originally accepted back in 1994 has evolved in response to both community input and economic conditions. On behalf of the over 1530 working class Maui residents, and in order to have an opportunity to continue discussing changes to the project during the Motion to Amend within the confines of this Environmental Impact study which was developed under the purview of Hawai'i Revised Statutes, Chapter 343, I ask that you accept the EIS as submitted for processing.

Further, in an effort to save time, may I ask everyone in the room who is not represented, I know many of them have left already, but if they are not testifying today, and they are in support of accepting the EIS, may they please raise their hand.

1	So these are in addition to the 1530
2	members of our community that I represent. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?
5	MR. SAKUMOTO: No.
6	MS. APUNA: No questions.
7	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Next
9	testifier.
10	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Tom
11	Cook, followed by Michelle Del Rosario.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
13	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: I do, sir.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
16	state your name and address for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Tom Cook. My address is 18
18	Pohalani Place in Kihei.
19	TOM COOK
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	THE WITNESS: I've lived in Kihei for the
24	last ten years. I used to live Upcountry Kapakalua
25	for 35 years where I sold my family property to my

1 son.

2.1

I'm a general contractor. I have no affiliation with this project whatsoever. But over 50 projects 21 of which were in Hawaiian Homes in Waiohule, very steep properties. I'm addressing the requirements that the county and the state hold the building industry to for the BMPs for erosion control, and the archaeological monitoring for archaeological preservation.

I think lay people don't understand the extent that we are held to, and the high standards. There are many examples of failures of this over the years. Back in Maalaea Triangle was the worst one, that's when the BMPs really became serious and people started taking note.

So anyway, I live in Kihei. My wife and I and my teenage daughter, who just got her license, I'm supportive of this concept of this project. I'm hoping you accept this EIS so we can have further discussion about it.

I won't be driving to Kahului as often because of this project. The Upcountry highway that the State Department of Transportation is coming through this property, so I think that -- I tried to read the EIS and I couldn't stay awake.

1	So thank you for the opportunity. I am
2	supportive of this. I understand this is not the
3	approval, correct?
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: That's correct.
5	THE WITNESS: This is simply acceptance of
6	the EIS, and that we will have more opportunities to
7	comment on the project in its review; is that
8	correct?
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: That's correct.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you Commissioners for
11	the opportunity.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Questions?
13	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Next
15	testifier.
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next Michelle Del
17	Rosario followed by Mark Hyde.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
19	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
20	about to give is the truth?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
23	state your name and address for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: My name is Michelle Del
25	Rosario. My address is 19 Kuanene Parkway, Makawao,

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

1 Hawai'i 96768.

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

MICHELLE DEL ROSARIO

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I am the executive assistant to Councilmember Kelly King who is here and will be testifying later today.

We are in opposition of your acceptance of the Final EIS. This project is not consistent with the Community Plan, and we would like to see the project come before the County Council Planning Committee for a Community Plan Amendment.

As far as the impact statement itself, and to speak to that. There's going to be a large traffic impact in Kihei over the next couple of years. We have Alexander & Baldwin with a large residential community being built now in North Kihei, as well as this project is not consistent with the community's desire and supported by the Kihei Community Association for a Kihei Town Center project.

We already have ingress and egress issues at the entrance to Hale Pi'ilani residential

subdivision, as well as the new Alexander & Baldwin project. I know of at least one death where a young adult was killed in that area in North Kihei trying to cross the highway.

I feel if you put a project like this on that side of the highway you're going to have young people trying to go to the stores and the vendors there, and possibly incur additional injuries or death as well as the massive impact of traffic.

Also have a lot of drainage issues in the area. One of the top issues that we get calls to our office is regarding flooding. So I know if it is raining Upcountry, even if it's not in Kihei, my phone is going to be running off the hook.

So flooding and the flooding plan that is in process right now are major issues in the Maui community.

So I'm asking you to vote against the acceptance of this Final Environmental Impact Statement.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No.

MR. HOPPER: No.

1 MR. PIERCE: No questions. 2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 3 you. 4 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have a couple. 5 I've heard a couple of references to the 6 other housing project that reference Alexander & 7 Baldwin. Can you tell me how many units are planned 8 for that development and what their economic price 9 point is that they will be developing and selling 10 those units at? 11 THE WITNESS: Some of the units are already under vertical construction, a couple hundred units. 12 13 I don't know the exact count. There are condos and 14 townhome units being built there. And they have both 15 workforce affordable housing and market priced units. 16 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next. 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mark Hyde followed by 19 Gene Zarro. 20 MR. PIERCE: Mr. Chair, because of the 2.1 Chair's order that we will be permitted to give 22 testimony, Mark Hyde is the representative for South 23 Intervenor South Maui Citizens for Responsible 24 Growth, so he will waive his time now for the

opportunity to give his testimony later.

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Next.
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Gene Zarro followed by
3	Cody Nemet Tuivaiti.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
5	first?
6	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
7	about to give is the truth?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
10	and address for the record.
11	THE WITNESS: My name is Gene Zarro. I
12	live at 22 Ulanui Place in Pukalani. I actually
13	sleep there, I pretty much live in Kihei.
14	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
15	GENE ZARRO
16	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: I'm here testifying for
20	myself personally, as well as one of the founders of
21	Kihei Charter School. That young person that died
22	from the previous testifier was one of our students.
23	So we miss Sterling.
24	So what I would like to say is first of
25	all, I realize that this is just an acceptance

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

process for the FEIS, and with that said, I am in strong support of this going forward, approving the FEIS statement.

I think it's a necessary next step so that we can do things to alleviate some of the pressures on the community in South Maui.

I would like to put my KCS hat on and I would like to speak to the workforce housing issues. We lose teachers every year because they cannot afford to live and work in Hawai'i. Whether you know this or not, Hawai'i has the lowest paid teachers in the country. When you combine that with the highest cost of living in the country, it is nearly impossible for anyone to hold down a new employee unless they have family or someone they can live with.

An example is that a new teacher in our school will pay upwards of \$800 plus, plus, plus to rent a bedroom in Kihei. And this has to be alleviated. We need to stop the revolving door at the Kahului Airport for these people that want to come and want to help us.

If we have an educational system in Hawai'i that needs improvement, it's not going to be improved by these new teachers that wish to come here but

1 can't afford to stay here. The ones that can pay 800 2 a month just for a bedroom are the lucky ones. The 3 ones that have to live in Haiku and Kula, those are beautiful communities, but not when you have to 4 5 commute 45 minutes to get to work and back. 6 So with that said, I am again in strong 7 favor of approving this. It is a necessary next step 8 to alleviating some of the pressures in South Maui. 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 11 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 12 MS. APUNA: No. 13 MR. HOPPER: No. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Next. 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Cody Tuivaiti. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in 17 first? 18 Do you swear that testimony that you're 19 about to give is the truth? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 22 and address for the record. 23 THE WITNESS: My name is Cody Tuivaiti. My 24 address is 58 East Welakahao. 25 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

-McManus Court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

#### CODY TUIVAITI

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha mai kakou. My name is Cody Tuivaiti and I'm here on behalf of the Kula Kai Aha Moku Council.

Mahalo for taking the time to hear us out.

I've been in Kula Kai-Kihei my whole life. And I am hanai to the Kino Ohana of Honua'ula. I'm here in opposition to the Final EIS submitted to you, and ask that it be dismissed today.

I was there on the sidewalk with the LUC, even though it lasted for only 15 minutes. The EIS presented feels incomplete and force fed. There are a few issues that I'm deeply concerned with.

First and foremost, is the environmental impacts it will have makai of the project. The planning done in the presented EIS fails to acknowledge the true extent of our flood problems, reef damage, and what a massive culvert system will do to amplify it.

By focusing all the flow into one area, it will severely damage our coastline. Our natural river has pockets of tunnel systems that run our

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

muliwai water beneath the soil. This is the natural way our aina feeds the reef. These culverts will literally steal water from our muliwai, concentrating it into a funnel, creating an impact on our ocean that will be irreversible.

We've already seen it before with areas like Kohoma River where the reef is wiped out from the take of nutrients from the soil and creating a massive top layer of flood flow.

Another issue close to my heart is the cultural and historical impact. Kula Kai, or Kihei as much as many call it, has lost so much through the years. Said to be the fastest growing city in the nation at one time. The cultural historical aspect of Kula Kai has been lost in concrete.

While most generations have seen the rise of Westernization, the new generations are learning connection to the rise of their history, culture and practice.

Although mostly everything south of Pi'ilani Highway has been developed, we still have untouched areas mauka of the highway. I see these areas as future platforms for generations.

The EIS claims there are to have no cultural significance in these areas with no cultural

practice being done. I strongly disagree because I've been up there personally with some kupuna and seen the sites for myself.

Also have to say that this is western thinking at its best. I mean, who are they to say there would never be cultural practice with the rise of our lahui. Who are they to say that there are no cultural sites? When I have the chance to question them, they claim to have talked to a few kupuna about the area based on their own research --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: -- but who are they to say what kupuna is best for knowing the area?

When I get in hold of our kupuna that could offer true expertise by the deadline, doesn't mean it's complete, it means it's incomplete.

So while this may provide jobs, the biggest provider is the aina. When the money runs out and the employers move on, who will be left for generations but the aina?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

Any questions? Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mahalo for your testimony. I wanted to just ask you a few follow-up questions.

1	Were you contacted for the Cultural Impact
2	Assessment.
3	THE WITNESS: No.
4	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know of and
5	you said that you are aware of kupuna from the area
6	that have ancestral ties to this area?
7	THE WITNESS: I'm aware of some.
8	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know whether
9	they were contacted?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	COMMISSIONER CHANG: You talked about
12	resources that are mauka of the highway. Do those
13	resources do you know whether those resources
14	include like a trail system that may have gone from
15	mauka to makai?
16	THE WITNESS: I have witnessed trails with
17	obvious rock structures.
18	COMMISSIONER CHANG: What kind of fish
19	are you a fisherman?
20	THE WITNESS: Not seasoned fisherman, no.
21	COMMISSIONER CHANG: You talked about
22	impacts on the nearshore, the muliwai. What kind of
23	resources have you seen have been impacted or may be
24	impacted?
25	THE WITNESS: Well, from growing up there

1 from young, there should be a lot of limu over there 2 and a lot of awa, but nonexistent to these days. 3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: The cultural impact assessment concluded that there would be -- there are 4 5 no traditional customary practices in this area. 6 And is it your testimony, you said you 7 disagree with that conclusion? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I disagree. 8 The whole 9 area from mauka to makai was an area for land 10 navigation from what I was taught. So a lot of 11 reading of the stars, of the moon, things like that. 12 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much 13 for your testimony. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Okuda. 15 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you. 16 When you said that there were obvious rock 17 structures, can you explain what you mean by that? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, when I was up there, I 19 seen a line of rocks on both sides, you know, which 20 at first maybe looks like it could be knocked in, but 21 as you kind of follow the trail of the rocks, it kind

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Have you noticed or seen any other type of structures that you believe -- or other evidence of historical items or evidence

of goes for like long distances.

22

23

24

1 which should be followed up on?

THE WITNESS: Definitely.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Can you briefly give us description or tell us what else you've observed?

THE WITNESS: Without going into too much detail, and just from what I got from the area from who we were at the time, the rocks were need ed -- were celestial rocks. Movement of those rocks would conflict in the purpose of those rocks.

So like I was saying earlier, from mauka to makai this is open area on that slope, and it was used for navigational purposes. So these rocks pointed in certain directions. I mean this is just -- not saying that that's -- I'm no kupuna. But this is the information that I've gathered.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And final question.

And I don't mean to pry, but you understand that we kind of function in a quasi-judicial setting, so we have to look at evidence even though that evidence might be rather intrusive.

But can you tell us briefly how you know this? Is this training, or education you received from your ancestors?

THE WITNESS: I'm learning. Just learning through kumu.

1	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Okay, thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank
3	you. Next.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next witness is Donnie
5	Becker followed by Jay Krigsman.
6	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: For the benefit of the
7	audience, as well as the Commissioners, can we hear
8	how many people have signed up to testify and what
9	number we're on?
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We did 12 in one hour.
11	COMMISSIONER ESTES: We're on number 19.
12	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have 20.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Another 15.
14	May I swear you in first?
15	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
16	about to give is the truth?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: You had to think about
19	that. (Laughter.)
20	Please state your name and address for the
21	record.
22	THE WITNESS: Donnie Becker and I live at
23	270 Ahana, Kihei 96753.
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
25	-000-

### DONNIE BECKER

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I've lived in Kihei. I've been coming here since 1975. I was stationed at Schofield Barracks on Oahu, so I've seen Maui changing from 1975 until now.

From what I've listened to with all the testimony going on today is that the expression is "rush the judgment" but I don't like to use the word "judgment", but it sounds like this is all about rushing. For you guys to make a decision here today that's going to affect the future of not only Kihei but the Island of Maui. And it's unbelievable how we have to fight so hard to prove to you that this is such an important time in existence of man on Maui that we have the chance to make this place whole in the future. And the word "pono". And if we don't allow those two words to exist in the communication of the Land Use Commissioners and the public that are trying to convince you that this is such a pivotal time in our is existence.

In Kihei right now there is going to be 600 -- nobody seemed to know the number today -- but

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

there is 600 brand new homes that are being built on Mokulele Highway and Pi'ilani Highway. That intersection will have -- if there's two cars per family, that's 1200 more cars that will be destined for that intersection in the near future.

And then we have this development that they're saying it's a mega mall.

I mean, these two things are not even connecting each other, and nobody has even mentioned that part of it as much as I think is needed.

We're given -- you guys are taking the responsibility of accepting the jobs that you're here to do. I know you're not getting paid, but the responsibility is that you guys said to yourself, I'm going to get on this Land Use Commission and I'm going to do what's pono for the future of Maui and for the future generations who are going to be coming after you guys, the next Commissioners are going to look back and they're going to say, these Commissioners made it a lot harder, or they made it a lot easier for me to do my job.

And I hope that you guys will really, you know, deep down really look at the inside of what we're here for.

We're blessed to be living in the most

1	beautiful pristine spot that people only dream about,
2	and now we are here deciding that we're rushing to
3	judgment of a mega mall that will influence
4	everything in our future. And I hope that you'll
5	take that into consideration.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
7	questions?
8	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
9	MS. APUNA: No.
10	MR. HOPPER: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
12	you. Next.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Jay Krigsman followed
14	by Robert Aldrich.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
16	THE WITNESS: Do you.
17	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
18	testimony you're about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please State you name
21	and address for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Jay Krigsman. I
23	have a local address at 225 Piikea Avenue in Kihei.
24	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
25	-000-

### JAY KRIGSMAN

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I'm a principal and represent the ownership of the Pi'ilani Village Shopping Center in Kihei. And roughly 30 acres of land on both sides of Piikea just makai of the roundabout which we hope to develop if Downtown Kihei, the project that you've heard about a few times today.

As you can see in this room, Maui cares about its future. Groups such as Kihei Community Association work closely with the county to assure that their vision of a well thought out planned quality of life environment is maintained and brought forward.

The community's and county's plan for South Maui has been meticuously documented and spelled out in the Kihei-Makena Community Plan and on the Maui Island Plan.

The Kihei-Makena Community Plan specifically calls for commercial development to be focused on a corridor inside the SMA zone makai of Pi'ilani Highway.

The Environmental Impact Statement that is

before you is flawed and should be rejected because it does not take into consideration the true impact on the environment of South Maui that will incur once commercial development boundaries in the Kihei-Makena Community Plan are ignored.

I've been working the shopping center business for over 30 years, and I can assure you, without question, that a retailer will always choose large open parking fields, highway visibility, and fewer rules, regulations, and restrictions, all of which are available outside of the SMA zone and the infill commercial vision of the Kihei-Makena Community Plan.

If the Pi'ilani Promenade is permitted to be built as proposed outside of the Kihei-Makena Community Plan Commercial Zone and the SMA, it will set a precedent that will tear down the commercial boundaries set up by the Kihei-Makena Community Plan and will result in many more projects being built on mauka side of the Pi'ilani Highway dotting the landscape with mainland-style power centers, leaving the infill sites and older obsolete centers in the commercial zone to rot, resulting in blight, homeless hangouts, and environmental eyesore for South Maui.

The Kihei Community Association and the

county assured us that future development and redevelopment in South Maui would follow the guidelines and requirements of the Kihei-Makena Community Plan and the Maui Island Plan, focusing commercial development in the SMA zone makai of the Pi'ilani Highway, and making everyone subject to the same rules, requirements, and restrictions imposed by the county in the SMA approval process.

The Environmental Impact Statement that is before you is flawed and should be rejected because it does not take consideration the negative impact that will occur to the environment in South Maui once the rules and regulations imposed by the county and the SMA approval process are ignored by allowing a commercial development to occur outside of the SMA zone, and the boundaries shown on the Kihei-Makena Community Plan.

Let's talk for a minute about the vacancy in the market. There's been a tremendous amount of new vacancy in the last two years on Maui. Lowes moved around the corner to the new A&B center, and the historic Maui Mall sits vacant. Sports Authority at Maui Mall sits vacant. Savers on Dairy Road vacant. Kmart is now vacant with no new prospects. The Target Center has boxes under construction with

1 no prospect --2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: You have to summarize 3 your testimony. 4 THE WITNESS: In summary, I believe that 5 the even EIS in front of you for the Pi'ilani 6 Promenade is flawed and should be rejected because it 7 does not take into consideration all of the negative impacts that commercial development at Pi'ilani 8 Promenade will have in South Maui due to its failure 9 10 to comply with the Kihei-Makena Community Plan and the Maui Island Plan. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions? 13 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 15 you. 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Robert Aldrich 17 followed by Hewahewa. THE WITNESS: Aloha kakou. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the 20 testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 23 and address for the record. 24 THE WITNESS: Robert Aldrich, 160 Keonikai,

25

Number 16-202 Kihei.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please Proceed.

# 2 ROBERT ALDRICH

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I do not think that the EIS should be approved. I think that there are some significant things that are missing in it, and it has to go back to the drawing board. And I say that with some hesitation, because I've read almost all of it, and it's just an incredible document with many, many hours of work and the cost was probably not astronomical, but very high.

I have a background growing up in Los

Angeles, my grandfather was Los Angeles City Engineer

for 22 years. He built structures for the San

Gabriel River, the Los Angeles River, turned them

into cement, concrete. Wiped out places that had

nice flowing rivers, trout, American Indians,

everybody was using it.

It was in some ways similar perhaps to ancient Hawai'i. Not you sure all the aloha was all there at that time, but in any event I transitioned into hydrology, hung around engineers all the time, got involved in writing thousands of permits that

involved sizing corrugated metal pipes. Was able to size rocks to withstand hundred years floods.

Things that were kind of odd. And then I come over here and I'm involved with the 'Aha Kiole on Molokai. I was very honored, being the only pigment challenged person over there that I know of. And it was just an incredible experience. And I grew to love the aina and the kai and the things that are out there. They're very precious and there's not much left here.

And I'm getting off track a little bit, but I think that from the hydrologic engineering side of it, the EIS was written with the idea that structures would pass a 50-year flood. Not adequate. I know the culverts down here along South Kihei Road are undersized. The county does not have the money, I'm sure, to take care of that.

And I don't see how a project as big as
Pi'ilani Promenade could be allowed to proceed, when
this EIS does not address what needs to be done
downstream before you start talking about something
upstream that could have terrible effects.

The reef out there is dead. It's bleached.

I don't see oli oli. I don't see ulu when I go

snorkeling. I go whipping along the shores and what

have you, and I've tried to spend some time with my that my hanai brothers, Hawaiians, and my friends that are ili kea or white.

I'm kind of an oddball having spent a lot of time in the navy, but that's okay. To me the issue is this EIS is not balanced. It needs more integrated planning in it. It needs to include the Kula Kai moku. It needs to get more information as to the archaeology that's up there on the hill.

I've talked to Vernon Kalau, and I know it's there. It's just a matter of someone has to go out and say, here it is. Here's the pictures. Come join us in this plan. I can go on and on and on, but it's just -- we need to have more information before we go forward. I hate to put on stop on this because I worked in construction for years and surveying, and I know how much time and effort is put into that, and I know from my point of view doing environmental enforcement and marine biology, marine enforcement and out in the land, I would rather talk to the guy that's on the backhoe, the caterpillar to make sure it's done right, then having to go back to an EIS with 3,000, 4,000 pages and say, this is what it calls for. This is what we need to do.

I'm kind of getting ahead of myself. But I

1	think the salient point is this EIS needs more work,
2	therefore, I hope it does not get approved.
3	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any
4	questions for the testifier.
5	MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
7	you. Next witness.
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Hewahewa followed by
9	Tom Blackburn.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
11	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and
14	address for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: My name David Kaawa Hewahewa.
16	196 Iniiniki Street, Wailuku.
17	DAVID KAAWA HEWAHEWA
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: I'm here to question I want
22	to deny the EIS for one thing. But the question, the
23	Hewahewa Royal Patent that never got addressed.
24	That's my name. It's Royal Patent 7447, Land
25	Commission Award 3237.

And we never used to get involved with these kind of things, but our community was crying out for us to step up and to finally -- to put a check onto all this growth that's going on in our islands.

And I'm here to deny this, and on the record, I want to say, in order for this body to move forward, why is my name all over the development, and it's never been addressed, for one thing?

As far as ancestral knowledge, I've only been practicing for about 12 years. My dad never taught me anything growing up. Because he knew with knowledge came great responsibility. So on his death bed I find out all these things he knew. So, of course, I inquired to practice. In my experience, if you have a direct tie in this line of Hewahewa, it's a DNA link, and it's a straight line that only you can access.

So you ain't getting taught by your kupuna, for one thing. It will come to you when you go there and you quiet yourself, and you listen. You will be directed on what to do. Maybe you guys don't understand that concept in Western thinking. But in our way of thinking, you learn as you practice. And who's to say we don't want to go back there and

```
1
     practice? I want to now, because of all this stuff
2
     going on.
 3
                So that's all I have to say. And for the
 4
      record my name is David Kaawa Hewahewa.
5
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, David.
 6
                Questions for the testifier?
7
               MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
               MS. APUNA: No.
8
9
               MR. HOPPER: No.
10
               CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?
11
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much for
12
     coming.
13
                THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
14
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: I know sometimes it
15
     can be hard, but thank you so much for being here.
16
               Now you mentioned the Land Commission
17
     Award.
18
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
19
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is your LCA on this
20
     property?
21
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know what
23
     happened to that LCA?
24
                THE WITNESS: I don't know, because those
25
     things got hidden for some reason over the years. So
```

we are trying to find that link, and we will find it, 1 2 because as far as I know, I'm the only Hewahewa left. 3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So at this point in time, I understand while you have a LCA, you 4 5 currently do not have a Western legal title to that 6 property? 7 THE WITNESS: Do they have one? 8 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Fair enough, but you 9 originally had a LCA. 10 Do you know -- your ohana obviously lived 11 on that property at some point. 12 THE WITNESS: Back then, maybe not in 13 recent. 14 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Have you gone back and looked at some of the testimony --15 16 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. Only now when my community cries out for us to come forward, 17 18 we came. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Did anybody come and 20 talk to you who did the Cultural Impact Assessment? 21 THE WITNESS: Not professional people. 22 Just my people. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So no one from the 24 Petitioner came to you and asked you any questions

25

about this property?

1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay, thank you very
3	much.
4	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody?
6	Commissioners? Thank you. Next testifier.
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Tom
8	Blackburn-Rodriguez followed by Kaena I can't read
9	the last name.
10	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
11	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Please
14	state your name and address for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you. My name is Tom
16	Blackburn-Rodriguez. I live at 85 Bonita Circle,
17	number 202, Kihei 96793.
18	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.
19	ROM BLACKBURN-RODRIGUEZ
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	THE WITNESS: I have brief testimony.
24	Aloha, Chair and Members of the Land Use
25	Commission. Thank you for coming to Maui and holding

this hearing to provide the opportunity for public comment and to request that the Commission accept the FEIS for Pi'ilani Promenade.

Just by way of background. I have lived in Kihei since 1998. My family has been in Hawai'i since 1870 when my ancestor became the assistant to the bishop of Honolulu.

I am the founding president of Affordable Housing Land Trust, which develops housing, which remains affordable in perpetuity and never goes to market price.

I am also a former president. I served for three years on the Maui Coastal Land Trust, that became the Hawaiian Islands Land Trust. And in 2004 the board of directors and the leadership of some of the folks that I know and become friends with in this meeting today, in 2004 the Maui Coastal Land Trust protected 277 acres in the Waihee dunes and wetlands.

I come to this project as a consultant for the Pi'ilani Promenade project, and I brought to the Commissioners -- I think you have them -- signed individual postcards by 183 individuals who support the Pi'ilani Promenade with its 226 rental apartments and associated jobs, but could not attend this meeting due to work or family obligation.

1 I thank you very much for accepting their 2 testimony. These are actual postcards, not an 3 internet point and click survey. Had they been able to attend, we would have needed to double the size of 4 5 this room in order for them to participate. 6 In closing, I respectfully ask the 7 Commissioners to accept the FEIS for the Pi'ilani Promenade project, which has addressed cultural, 8 9 economic, traffic, and community issues, allowing us 10 to move on to the issuance of a Decision and Order so 11 we might discuss the uses of the property. 12 Again, thank you very much and thank you 13 for your service. Aloha. 14 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any question for the testifier? Parties? 15 16 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions? 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Commissioner Cabral. 18 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I counted 175 19 20 postcards. Is that what you have, that you distributed? 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: We actually had 183. 23 couple came in under the wire. 24 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you very much. 25 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. Thank you for

-McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

your service to the Maui Coastal Land Trust. 1 2 So you prepared these cards. Can I just 3 ask you about the line at the bottom? "Your 4 information is never shared or sold to third parties." 5 THE WITNESS: That's correct, and that's 6 7 just because of the social media environment we live in right now. People are concerned about their -- so 8 9 this is retained. It goes nowhere else. 10 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just so you know, 11 right, this is now a public record. 12 THE WITNESS: With the exception, of 13 course, people knowing that when they sent that in. 14 But we will not market it. We will not share with third parties, and will not make any money off of 15 16 this. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank 18 you. Next testifier. 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next Kaena followed by 20 Amanda Tabon. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Can I swear you in 22 first? 23 Do you swear the testimony that you're 24 about to give is the truth? 25 THE WITNESS: I am.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 2 and address for record. 3 THE WITNESS: Wao Kaena Elima Eha Elua Makealanui O Kai Wahine Makihei (phonetic). 4 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Your address. 5 6 THE WITNESS: I just said my address. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. KAENA ELIMA 8 9 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 10 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: First of all, I know this is 12 13 kind of offhand. He's my keiki who's been sleeping in the back of the room, just to let you know as 14 15 well. 16 I hear people talking about having to drive 17 to grab socks. I drive all the way across the island 18 to take my kids to school. How about building one school instead of one mega mall? I drive from Kihei 19 20 every day to Paia and then to Wailuku to take my son 21 and daughter to Hawaiian Emergent School. Why not 22 build us one Hawaiian Emergent school? 23 Anyways, I'm here to deny the EIS. If you 24 guys haven't noticed -- you know, I hear all these

things about the rules and regulations that you guys

pass for water quality and stuff, but sometimes you got to get out of the books and get down there and look for yourself.

Because when you get there, this last storm that we had, had one car that went into the ocean, had one dumpster that was left on top the beach for weeks.

And the water quality, you go down there, you can't even swim in the water. These guys, scared to let them go swim in the water. And that's after the rain already came. This is weeks after the rain already came, and the waves come in, and they mix up the silt again, and make the water all dirty again.

You guys are wanting to put more water from where you guys are going to build into Ka'ono'ulu River which already has massive water flow when these big rains come. You ask the people in the condos that are next to these rivers flows, they going to tell you, because the river came right through their garage door last time. Came right through their cars, their whole apartment building was flooded. And you guys want to send more water down that way.

I don't think so. I don't think so.

Killing the reef more. You guys smothering the reef. Back in the day you could find limu all

over the beach. What happened to the limu? People was gobbling. People that move on top that beach, from far away, they came over here. They move on top of that beach.

And then they said the limu was something that doesn't belong there, all the older things. So they went with their tractor, and they pick up all the limu off of the beach, and now no more limu. No limu, food for the fish, once there's no food for the fish, the fish disappear. That's the cleaners of the reef. Once the fish disappear, the reef is smothered by the silt of the these projects that are uphill that don't take care of the water quality.

I just want to say I'm against this. You guys have better things to build. Everything that you say is for the public. It's not for the public. This is for the tourist industry. Enough with them.

Take care of our ohana. That's the first thing. Going to take care of you guys to make sure that in the coming years when we're gone, and these guys are gone, that the next guys get what we have.

That's all I got to say. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
2	you.
3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next Amanda Tabon
4	followed by Ke'eaumoku Kapu.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Next one.
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Ke'eaumoku Kapu.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
8	first?
9	Do you swear the testimony that you're
10	about to give is the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Yep.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
13	and address for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: My name is Ke'eaumoku Kapu.
15	I live in Kauaula Valley, Lahaina.
16	KE'EAUMOKU KAPU
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	THE WITNESS: And I think this thing kind
21	of going little bit too sideways.
22	I sit in the back and I listen to lot of
23	the issues and discussions on the EIS basically
24	claiming there is no cultural practices that are
25	being done in the area. You know, that's like saying

------McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ----

1 | that a lot of Hawaiians are on reserve.

So I wanted to leave everybody with this, and has a lot to do with that river. Kulanihakoi, that's a very important place. And I'm going to leave you with this. (Hawaiian spoken.)

That kahea was written for that specific place, Kulanihakoi.

Now, for people of foreign intuition, starts to make up so-called mana'o that this place has no cultural practices. Has no mo'olelo. Has none of those things that is really important to our people. Then they talking to the wrong people.

I'm appalled to this, based on this so-called environmental impact statement on whether or not we as kanaka maoli of this aina even qualify to be a part of this environmental impact statement. It's appalling.

Then the surrounding development, how many royal patents are in that area? And what gives this Land Commission, as well as these developers, the right to even take royal patents that was awarded from the kingdom? The pre-government existed from this so-called over-throw government, yeah? Where is the jurisdiction? Where is -- I like see the palapala on how all of a sudden warranty title deed

just trumps one royal patent. The hewa hewa.

My ohana is from that area too. I'm from the Kawawao (phonetic) genealogy. My kupuna is from that area. My great grandmother is Julia Keanai Namaunu (phonetic). She is also a royal patent of that area as well. We have other families that have royal patent there, the Konahea Ohana (phonetic). They're here today too. They here present. We heard the call. We had to come to figure out what happened. What is going on over here? How come all of a sudden everybody see no more tradition, no more culture, no mo'olelo in this area?

I just gave you one. Not just all of a sudden today, this is something that went passed down from generation to generation and still being passed down today through my roots, through my children's roots. So this EIS is missing a lot.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I am summarizing.

This EIS is missing a lot, and we coming to you guys, the Land Use Commission, to look at what you have in front of you. You have commodity, and you have kanaka, you have ohana. What is more important?

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. --

1	THE WITNESS: The life of the land, or the
2	people here to make money and reap our resources
3	every day? So mahalo.
4	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions,
5	Commissioners?
6	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Ke'eamoku, were
7	you contacted? Did anybody contact you when they
8	prepared the Cultural Impact Assessment?
9	THE WITNESS: I kind of hold like a
10	position, part of the 'Aha Moku system. So my
11	kuleana is when there's projects in different mokus,
12	if it cues to me, then what I do, I respond to the
13	moku representative like Basil Oshiro.
14	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Did they send you a
15	letter or did they call you?
16	THE WITNESS: No. It's just correspondence
17	that just comes from like the departments, and it's
18	kind of a wide correspondence that's sent. So my job
19	is literally to help with the process and trying to
20	get lineal descendants, cultural descendants
21	together.
22	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Did anybody personally
23	call you to ask you if you have any ohana in this
24	area?
25	THE WITNESS: No. I got involved because I

knew that the project was pending. Tried to get involved. Tried to get a lot of other families that are rooted.

But if you talking about maybe the moku in perspective, you get families that live there, but then you also get families that have ties to the area that doesn't live there. Like I already mentioned, like the Konahea (phonetic) family. The Namao, (phonetic) they actually from Wailuku, some even Lahaina, but very rooted to that place is what I basically trying to say.

Just because we are not from that area doesn't mean it doesn't affect us. Affects us greatly.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know whether those family members were contacted for their mana'o regarding Cultural Impact Assessment?

THE WITNESS: I haven't viewed the list,
but I know there are a lot of people missing in the
EIS that should be a part of the discussion,
especially for like the Hewahewa, myself as well as,
you know. And it's hard. It's hard because we all
kind of bombarded by a time frame.

Once this thing starts, sometime you get 30 days, 45 days. If you not involved, then poof,

there it goes. So we not a part of the process all because of a time factor, and I think that's really wrong. Something needs to be done with that. I like to say, you know what? This should go back to the drawing board and start all over to get the right people to the table.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: The Cultural Impact
Assessment concluded that there were no traditional
customary practices in this area. Is it your
statement today that there are, they just didn't talk
to the right people?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. There is

definitely. If you talking about mauka versus makai,
you know, whatever is done mauka basically similar
what is done on makai side. Some people made mention
about the heavy impacts that happens along
Kulanihakoi, that whole riverbed. So the cultural
practices that are being done today, you know, which
has a lot of to do with fishing. A lot of the mauka
sites have a lot to do with the koas. When you get
fishermen going outside, they got to look at the lay
of the land, that's the most important thing. Where
are those koas on the land versus the koas that are
in the ocean.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know when this

project was originally started? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So they only may have sent you something like the last 30 days, or you just 4 5 got something recently? 6 THE WITNESS: Normally, if I don't get 7 anything, then normally I got a call from a lot of the moku representatives for some kind of assistance, 8 9 and I try to assist in any way I can. 10 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I appreciate your 11 testimony. Mahalo. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? 13 Commissioner Okuda. 14 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you for your 15 testimony. 16 We operate under what they call 17 quasi-judicial rules, and so to some extent we have 18 to be aware that in the end somebody else, like Hawaii Supreme Court, is going to look over our 19 20 shoulder and determine whether the decision here was 21 correct or not. And the Supreme Court justices 22 aren't going to be here, they're going to be looking 23 at the transcript that our court reporter has 24 prepared.

-McManus court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

And many of us are not bilingual. Can you

please explain or summarize what you spoke in
Hawaiian?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

2.1

THE WITNESS: It was a chant about growth. But it was specifically a chant was identifying that specific area Kulanihakoi.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: So the chant was specific to the project area; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And how did you learn this chant? And I apologize for inquiring but --

THE WITNESS: Generational knowledge passed down from one kumu to the next, to the next generation.

You know, being affiliated to certain areas. I'm from Lahaina, but then my whole ohana is like all the way from Kipahulu all the way to Honokohau, yeah. So based on genealogy, as well as traditional resource management, as well as generational knowledge is passed down through our families till today.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Again, we don't meant to be prying about family or cultural practices, but there is a Hawaii Supreme Court that mandates us to do this inquiry, and that's why we're asking. So thank you very much for your testimony.

1 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Vice Chair Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: You testified about -questioned whether the warranty deed was -- excuse
me -- a warranty deed was sufficient to establish
title.

Do you have some personal familiarity with that particular issue either here or --

THE WITNESS: Yes. I have a lot of personal know abouts because my case just came through the Second Circuit Court through a jury trial and I was successful. This just happened about maybe three weeks ago.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Could you briefly elaborate for the Commission?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I've been fighting a court case for about 15 years with a land company on the west side. Well, let's say total 17 years, and the work involved in staying the course in being challenged by quiet title adverse possession claims.

After 17 years you come to understand a lot about the color of title. And when Pioneer Mill basically sold these properties with a warranty title deed, I challenged that 15 years ago. And within the past three weeks, I was successful on winning that. It was a jury trial.

So the reason why I bring up the issue, it's not a Land Commission Award, but -- although it's a Land Commission Award but with a Royal Patent number, this one is similar too. There's a Land Commission Award on the Hewahewa, also Royal Patent.

I don't know if the Commission understands how the royal patent works. Once the kingdom signs over the royal patent, he actually secures the Land Commission Award of those heirs from the time of the Mahele.

So basically, what I'm saying is, the royal patent is already secured. If anything the Office of Hawaiian Affairs should be the one here in answering for the interest of the royal patent in protecting the heirs like Hewahewa, Konahea, as well as the families.

So kind of touchy situation when I talk about that, but when you have a Land Commission Award and one royal patent, it even strengthens it more, whether existing government today has to protect the interest of the heirs' Land Commission Award because it was followed by royal patent.

That's kind of what I learned, and within the past I've been in land litigation for over 20 years now, so I know little bit.

1	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Kapu.
2	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. The next
3	testifier.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Deborah Mader.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Before we take her
6	testimony, we will take a five-minute break.
7	(Recess taken.)
8	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: We are back on record.
9	Mr. Orodenker, please call the next
10	witness.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
12	the next witness is Deborah Mader, followed by Matt
13	Cearny.
14	MR. CEARNY: I saw that Deborah had stepped
15	out.
16	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
17	first?
18	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
19	about to give is the truth?
20	THE WITNESS: I do.
21	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
22	and address for the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Matthew Cearny, 748 Aulike
24	Street.
25	-000-

## 

#### MATTHEW CEARNY

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I wanted to thank everybody here today who educated me. I learned a lot being here. I'm 11 years on Maui, and six years at you Aulike Street. So there are about ten homes on Aulike Street whose property goes into the that Kulanihakoi Gulch, it's an average of 40 feet. I'm one of the those properties. I'm a homeowner there.

And in its current state I feel like this project would endanger our property. Based on the fact that, just simple observation, of the frequency and increasing intensity of storms that are being generated.

And I'm there to stay. I'm a high school teacher, I'm not going anywhere. And I feel like as climate change sets in, if this project goes in as it is, it will bring water damage to our houses.

The recent winter was extraordinary one by my measure as six years, but also by the measure of long-time residents who have been in the Kulanihakoi Estate subdivision since it was built.

Our properties go about 40 feet into the

gulch, and we have about a ten-foot embankment that drops down. It was back in December -- I don't know the exact day -- but whatever, the storm was like December 6th or so, I think that was a bigger deal than the one that was previously mentioned in March for us.

The water came within about four feet of jumping the embankment on the magic river. It was a powerful and terrifying storm. It was already discussed how it brought cars and dumpsters. In my part of the gulch we had like a concert speaker, you know, like one of those things that has handles on both sides. It just got washed there.

And then I went out of town, and I think another storm came and took it away. I have no idea where it went.

And, you know, so this is before 500,000 acres get paved over before us with a very vague statement suggesting how they are going to guarantee that predevelopment drainage flow will not exceed post-development flow.

I've worked a little bit on top of being a high school English teacher, as a historic preservationist, so I've looked at EISs and I can't see how this one would protect our properties. And I

would like to go on record in the event that something does go in and our properties are damaged by the development.

2.1

Just a side note to put on my teacher hat,

I don't see how putting in 200 properties is going to
solve our teacher shortage. It's much more systemic.

Actually, the recent DOE initiative to home grow
teachers is a much better way to stabilize our
teacher population. And this is Mac right here.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. HOPPER: No.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one question.

Mr. Cearny, were you contacted by the Petitioner?

THE WITNESS: I am on the homeowners association, and we do follow these events very closely. I'm a very busy dad, and English department head and Kekaulike High school, so I try to check those emails regularly.

I cannot recall any contact. If you would like to contact our president, he's more on top of it. He's a former fire chief and has a little more

time, he's retired. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CHANG: You adjoin the 3 property? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do talk to Eric who 5 owns -- the owner of the property quite a bit. And, 6 you know, he's helpful. But, no, this -- the 7 Pi'ilani Promenade has not contacted me. And honestly, I don't think it contacted our association. 8 9 I'm not certain though. 10 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank you. Next testifier. 12 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Next testifier is 14 Deborah Mader. Jill Engledow, followed by Allison 15 Miller. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in 17 first? 18 Do you swear that the testimony you're 19 about to give is the truth? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 22 and address for the record. 23 THE WITNESS: My name is Jill Engledow. I 24 live at 80 Halili Lane, Kihei, Apartment 8H.

-000-

#### JILL ENGLEDOW

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I live I think just below where the previous testifier lives. I live in the Villas at Kenolio, which is a residential apartment complex right on Kulanihakoi Gulch, and I am concerned about the overall impact of a lot of development that's planned for the mauka area.

When we -- as others have said, we already have a really serious flooding issue. Every time it rains heavily Upcountry, it doesn't have to be raining in Kihei, but it's the mauka drainage that's causing us problems.

And we already have, in addition to this project, we have a high school, low income apartments, and probably a few other things -- other things next door, the Maui Lu Development. But there is a lot of development planned for this area in the near future.

What happens is Kulanihakoi Gulch has been so silted in, that however deep it was made when they did these housing developments along its edges, it's now several feet higher. And when these big rains

come, we have like a chocolate river flowing by.

And last time there was a big one, I stood on the edge of the gulch near my neighbor's condo, and there was maybe a foot or two between that river and the edge where it would have flooded into their condos. And some of the condos on the other side of the gulch were flooded by that rain.

That was the one that washed the dumpster down not just to the beach, it was in the water until somebody could drag it out of there.

There were deer and pigs caught in the flood. There is, at the bottom of the road at Kaonoulu Road where it meets off Kihei Road, there is what I believe muliwai that used to be much bigger, and I think it's silted in too.

It's like the whole system needs to be dealt with. I'm concerned that this project is not looking at the whole system, not integrated with other developments within that area.

The water goes through that old muliwai area, under the bridge, which has pretty much been washed out a few times. Every time it rains that intersection is completely flooded. There is no passage on South Kihei Road from Kaonoulu onto South Kihei Road, forget it. You can't go there. Cars

1 were washed all over the place in that last storm. 2 And then, of course, the water goes right into the ocean which is where the ancient fishpond 3 is. As people have said, there's no more limu. 4 There's no more fish. The reefs are all dying. And 5 6 I think the silt has a lot to do with it. 7 This is my biggest concern, although I've other concerns about this development. This is the 8 9 big one for me. 10 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions, parties? 11 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 12 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank 13 you. 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Allison Miller followed by K. Hewahewa. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in? 17 Do you swear that the testimony you're 18 about to give is the truth? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: State your name and 21 address for the record. 22 THE WITNESS: Allison Miller. My address 23 is South Kihei Road, Kihei. 24 ALLISON MILLER 25 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: So I work in marine education as a marine naturalist. And I'm a student here at UHMC studying sustainable science management.

I spent my first three semesters here studying Hawaiian ecology, and recently earned my certificate for the UH Marine Option Program.

I ask that you reject this EIS because it does not address all necessary concerns. As I live on South Kihei Road, I have many concerns about this EIS, including impacts from the flooding, increased traffic, and the need for low impact development on Maui moving forward.

But I am here today to speak on behalf of Maui's coral reefs. Hawai'i's economy largely based on tourism, and it is coral reefs and beautiful beaches that bring those tourists here. A couple years ago we experienced a mass coral bleaching event as the water temperature around Maui reached 84 degrees. The current Save Our Reefs is dismal, and entire sections of reef have died off in some areas. In the face of climate change, our coral reef ecosystems are suffering from global sectors like rising ocean temperatures and ocean acidification.

Hawai'i is home to many endemic species
that are found nowhere else on the planet. It is
estimated that 25 percent of our reef fish are
endemic. It is important as an island community that
relies heavily on our coral reefs for fishing and
tourism revenue to do everything that we can in order
to mitigate impacts from local stressors.

2.1

Sedimentation from the development process alone is a threat to nearby reefs. Runoff is another huge concern. The more roads and parking lots we build, the more fertilizers and chemicals and everything else that gets washed onto our reefs. Excess wastewater and nitrates are also a threat to the health of our coral.

There are many things that we can do on a local level to help ensure our reefs are resilient to global threats from climate change, and building a commercial development this clothes to our coastline is not one of them.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the testifier?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I could have asked any number of people this. Were you notified? Were

1	there any community meetings about this project
2	coming up, or of that nature that you've been made
3	aware, been invited to come and given input into the
4	community at all?
5	THE WITNESS: Not about this, no.
6	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank
8	you. Next testifier, please.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: K. Hewahewa followed
10	by Mark Sheehan.
11	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in?
12	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
13	about to give is the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
16	and address for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Aloha everyone in attendance,
18	aloha to the LUC. My name Ko Hewahewa.
19	KO HEWAHEWA
20	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
21	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	THE WITNESS: I'm here with my family,
24	parents, brother, my children.
25	I'm a direct lineal descendant of the

Hapakuka Hewahewa, the recipient of Royal Patent
7447, and recipient of Land Commission Award 3237.

It's hard to find the genesis to begin with for there is so much to say, so bear with me. I first address the project and its developers.

For many moons foreigners been coming ashore with foreign terms, concepts, terminologies and ideas to not contribute to our community but to take advantage of it.

For over 200 years these foreigners, not all of them are bad, but been coming here to develop, deplete and take advantage of our people and its natural resources for personal interest and personal gain. I see this as an act of colonialism.

So let me define colonialism. It's the policy or practice of acquiring food or partial political power and control over another country occupying it with settlers, and exploiting it economically, socially and environmentally.

Another term came to mind. Gentrification.

It's the process of renovating or improving the district so that it conforms to middle class taste.

Is this not you? Middle class or other any classes here are far different from the mainland. You're trying to improve what's best for you and where you

come from, but changing our landscapes to make a selected few feel comfortable. And to make it feel like the mainland does not fit here. Here we take care and serve our land and our resources.

I see in no way this plan of a mega mall serving the land and its resources and/or contributing to our community. What I mean by community is I'm talking about the flora, fauna and minerals as well.

I hope the developers, investors, and all participating business partners and parties are here not to just hear but to listen.

Where is your water going to come from? Sticking straws in wells in our land, or running pipes from the watersheds all into dryland areas doesn't make sense environmentally, and is not sensible under any circumstance.

It doesn't matter how much money you put into this environmental impact study or environmental assessment, there will never be a right way. So shame on the companies writing these studies, because you know that there is no right way.

Many of the cultural sites have been destroyed and obliterated by foreigners in the past who came here trying to improve something that was so

purchase, to capitalize on out land and resources for personal gain and interest.

I like to turn my attention to the LUC and our community. One of the major cultural impacts from this project will be the final destruction of one of our main cultural sites of celestial observation.

A surveyor's eye may easily miss the value of these places, and may only see dried up dead lands, land overran by cattle. It may look at those it may have absolutely no cultural significance, but through an eye of a descendant with passed down generational knowledge.

I see its advantage point for one of the most important aspects of our culture. I'm talking about the importance of our moon, our stars and our sky. This ahupua'a of Ka'ono'ulu is in a direct alignment with Kealaikahiki. This channel is a direct path to Tahiti, hence the name of the channel, Kealaikahiki.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: The path to Tahiti.

So why was the Hawaiians the best navigators on the planet? Because of their keen observations and brilliance and knowledge of

celestials.

2.1

This place where the project wants to develop is a place that for many generations has been a university, a place of higher education and observation that developed our most prominent navigators. I stand here a testament of one with the knowledge of kilo, and observer, a kilo can stand here and explain to you how the colors of the sky to determine the weather to come. A kilo could stand here and tell you how the moon dictates the action of the flora and fauna.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: My genealogy doesn't just connect to the royal patent award, but it connects to the stars. I didn't come here to just argue and complain, but I want to state the facts and suggest that you please refute the FEIS for it does not adequately address --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions? Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'm sorry. Mr. Hewahewa, were you contacted at all by the consultants who prepared the Cultural Impact Assessment for this project?

THE WITNESS: For the record, no, sir.

-McManus Court reporters 808-239-6148 ---

1	COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you what I
2	understand from your testimony your family, or this
3	land has cultural significance?
4	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
5	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are you aware of
6	has there been practice, has families continued, or
7	have they practiced in the past this celestial
8	connection between the navigation and the land?
9	THE WITNESS: Practice, very much so in the
10	past, and currently practicing right now, that's
11	myself.
12	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is this land critical
13	to that ongoing practice?
14	THE WITNESS: Definitely. Like testimony
15	before, a lot of these pohaku or these places, if
16	disturbed, will lose some of that we'll lose a
17	place to practice this.
18	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are you aware that
19	there was a petroglyph on this site?
20	THE WITNESS: I am.
21	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know what the
22	significance of that petroglyph may have been?
23	THE WITNESS: Not at the moment.
24	COMMISSIONER CHANG: So the conclusion of
25	the Cultural Impact Assessment was no traditional

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ——

1 | cultural practice of this site.

THE WITNESS: I stand here to challenge that.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much. I appreciate your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any more questions?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Hewahewa, your

8 ancestor, this is the same Hewahewa who is Kahunanui 9 to Kamehameha?

THE WITNESS: Aye.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm familiar with his residency in Waimea, Oahu where he passed, about are you aware at all of why this aina was awarded to him?

THE WITNESS: You might not be talking about the same Hewahewa, but we're family. This Hewahewa passed in 1837.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Are you aware of the reason for the selection or award of this aina?

THE WITNESS: You know, a lot of this stuff was hidden from us. It's stuff that we got to dig out, and they made it so hard for us to go and find -- and I just want to share with everybody the challenges of us to find our generational knowledge. We got to go and seek it. Without money, it's hard. But this stuff was passed down through generational

1 knowledge to seek and then finding. 2 Could you repeat that last question you 3 had? VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: If you were aware --4 5 THE WITNESS: The significance --6 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Could share -- yes, 7 because when certain chiefs or other people were awarded they had reasons, ties or other reasons for 8 9 securing awards that sometimes pointed to the 10 significance of a place. 11 THE WITNESS: The significance of the 12 place, like I stayed had earlier in my testimony, was 13 some of the celestial studies and also the fisheries. 14 But looking mauka is all kind of destroyed already, 15 but this place has the most bio diverse dryland 16 forest in the entire world. It was all destroyed by 17 cattle from the previous owners. A lot of resources in there. 18 19 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mahalo. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioner Okuda. 21 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Hewahewa, if I can 22 just ask this question.

Since the Hawai'i Supreme Court recognizes in certain cases what they call a kama'aina witness is an exception to the hearsay rule, but being more

23

24

1 specific here. 2 Have, in your family, were you told by your 3 elders that on this specific site, this specific 4 site, that in your family cultural practices actually 5 took place? 6 THE WITNESS: I was told by family members. 7 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Would you mind sharing so that our record is complete what family members --8 9 you don't have to give the names, but if you can 10 describe where in your family tree these family 11 members told you that specific cultural practices 12 were taking place on this specific site. 13 THE WITNESS: If you look around me, saw my family members. We all have generational knowledge 14 15 passed to us through kupuna. 16 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Okay, so your 17 grandparents told you? 18 THE WITNESS: Friends, family, kupuna, 19 kumu. 20 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you very much. 21 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? Thank 22

you Mr. Hewahewa.

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Mark Sheehan followed by Kelly King.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the

testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record. 4 5 THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Sheehan, 588 6 East Kuiaha Road in Haiku. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. MARK SHEEHAN 8 9 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 10 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 THE WITNESS: I would like too invite the 13 members of the Land Use Commission, while they're 14 here to please take a look at the vacant malls on the 15 way to the airport. 16 The Maui Market Place there on Dairy Road 17 has only a couple of stores remaining there, Office 18 Max and a pet shop, and Old Navy, but the big tenants 19 have already moved on. They will also see the Kmart is another ghost mall. And that we have a very high 20 21 vacancy rate here. 22 I can't understand why the developers want

I can't understand why the developers want to build another gigantic mall and ignore the community plan. The traffic impacts will be far greater than I think has been considered in this EIS

23

24

because of all the other developments that are happening between North Kihei and Makena. And that should be taken into consideration.

One of the things that is never considered is the social impacts, and I don't really have any specific information for you about social impacts, other than to say that when I look at what is happening to this society, it seems to me that this will have an impact. As a former school principal, I would never put a mall right next to a school.

I want to speak specifically though to how this violates the community plan. There was a decision by the Intermediate Court of Appeals that was decided on June 22nd, 2012, that basically says that the community plans have the force of law.

The county, some people in the county

Planning Department don't believe so, but this was a

decision by the Intermediate Court of Appeals and

I'll leave this with the recording secretary if

anybody would like to look into it.

But it violates the specific language of the community plan which calls for light industrial use. While there is some light industrial use in this particular plan, the amount of retail is a way of basically bending the rules and is in violation of

1 the community plan.

Mostly warehousing and distribution

facilities are really what is called for in light

industrial, and yet less than half of the project is

planned for light industrial. So this project would

contribute to sprawl, and draw business away from the

core of Kihei that the community plan is trying to

create.

So for that reason alone, I think that you should reject this Environmental Impact Statement because it doesn't really conform with the community plan.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Any questions for the testifier?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank you. Next witness.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Kelly King followed by Jeremy Konohia.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Kelly King. I

1 live at 72 Kalolo Place in North Kihei.

2 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

KELLY KING

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I am here as both an individual who's been a long time resident of Kihei. I've been in Kihei for over 35 years in the same house that my husband and I built and raised two children in for over 31 years. And I also serve as the Kihei resident representative to the Maui County Council.

I also sit on the board of the Hawai'i

Technology Development Corporation, which is a state organization that has a site in the R and T park in Kihei that we try to rent out. It's been about 50 percent occupancy for many years, because of the vacancies throughout Kihei in retail commercial. There's not a huge demand for that right now.

I do want to say that I've been intensely involved in Kihei for many, many years, starting with the birth of my child who is now 31; and in the schools, in the parks, in local governance with the

Kihei Community Association back then. Some of you may remember Jean Thompson, who was a beloved figure as the President of the Kihei Community Association back then.

And I've gotten involved because of the need for things to happen, for stuff to get done and for our community to be represented.

So I've been in the fight for air-conditioning for our schools, in the fight for second elementary school, which we eventually got.

Got so involved in the school system, that I ran for the State Board of Education and got myself on that board, back when it was an elected position.

So being involved in the community it becomes very frustrating when these projects come up without collaboration with our community. I watched the original rendition of this project that was matriculated into the Kihei Community Plan, flipped drastically, and change into something else without going through the same process that it originally went through. It's changed again, but it's still not the original project that it was accepted by the Kihei-Makena Plan.

On the Maui County Council, I chaired the planning committee, and so we are going through the

process of community plan reviews, which was woefully slow up until this year, and we're finishing up the Molokai Community Plan which is the entire Island of Molokai right now. The next will be West Maui. The next will be South Maui.

I met with the Kihei Community Association yesterday, and Mr. Spence, and some of the folks from planning were there, and I'm encouraging all communities to not wait until we get around to doing their plan, but to start the engagement now.

It really worries me and bothers me, and it's sort of a "throw up your hands" situation when you see a project like this come this far without community engagement.

I'm trying to, as chair planning committee, encourage all developers I talk to, to before you even get to myself or County Council or talk to other councilmembers to engage your community, and so I haven't seen that.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize e.

THE WITNESS: I would urge this board TO send the EIS back. Ask the developers to engage with the community, because the EIS addresses a plan that is really not acceptable.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any

2.1

1 question for the testifier?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

3 MS. APUNA: No.

4 MR. PIERCE: I have a question.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PIERCE:

2.1

Q My name is Tom Pierce representing

Intervenors Maui Tomorrow and South Maui Citizens.

My question is as a councilmember, could you explain why the Kihei Community Plan is one of the plans that's important -- an important planning document?

A It's important because we have a framework called the Maui Island Plan, which kind of gives growth boundaries. The growth boundaries are a general guideline to me, and they do say where we intend to eventually have growth.

But that doesn't mean that growth is going, to happen this year, or even in the next five years or the next ten years. It's up to the community, within that framework, to look at our own communities, community by community, and say where the next growth should be. What is good for our community. We have a lot of stuff happening in

Kihei. The high school probably being one of the biggest thing. So that's going to impact our community hugely.

As a person who's been working on that for 25 years when I actually thought my own kids might go there some day, it's been a long haul. Nobody doesn't want the high school. But all the projects I've looked at since the high school has been funded, have given impact statements that don't even include the high school, and don't look at all of the other developments that are coming down the pike.

So we need to look at our community holistically. We're getting to a point -- and personally for myself, I'm working with the community on many projects having to do with drainage, with signage, with cultural heritage, with mapping those things out. And we're starting to come together around this idea of Downtown Kihei, which is in our community plan, starting to come together about an idea of identity for Kihei, and having these other things thrown at us by outside entities doesn't help.

So if I can, I wanted to read to you straight from Chapter 280(b) which is entitled Code of Ordinances. It says: This is the purpose and intent of General Plan and Community Plans. This

chapter is designed to provide plans, clearly identify provisions that are meant to be policy guidelines and provisions that are intended to have the force and effect of law.

So when our community puts hundreds of hours -- and there were thousands of hours put into Maui Island Plan -- and develops a plan for our growth that we feel good about. We feel like it will serve our next generations well, we do want it to have force and effect of law.

So it's important that the projects that come before bodies like this, match up with our community plan, or at least come before the council for an amendment so that the representative of the people can decide if that an amendment should be given.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Go ahead, Mr. Pierce.

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Mr. Chair, if I can ask
another question.

Q Ms. King, have you had a chance to review any portions of the EIS?

A Just the overviews.

Q So one of the things that is said in there is that it appears to be the position of the drafters of the EIS that there's no consistency issue because

there is M-1, the area zoned M-1, light industrial.

Now, you as a County Council member are in charge of -- the County Council is in charge of zoning. So do you agree that because it is zoned M-1 industrial, light industrial, and apparently, according to the drafters of the EIS, all the uses that they're proposing are consistent with it, that because of that, that ameliorates any problems or any inconsistencies that may or might be in the community plan?

A No, I don't. And I don't think the community plan is just a zoning map. I think there are a lot of other issues that we deal with as a community besides just land use and zoning. And in order to get to that end goal of having a whole, healthy environmentally happy community, we have to be able to look within those parameters, what land use do we want to have there.

So the community designated, and the original developer agreed to have that light industrial development there. Somehow new people got ahold of the property, and it's changed.

So, no, I think that the healthy thing to do, and the smart thing to do, and I think the legal thing to do is come back to the community and work

1 out a plan that works for everybody.

Q Mr. Chair, one more question.

Ms. King, as I understand it, I think I've heard Director Spence talk about this before, is that the growth boundary has moved mauka of Pi'ilani Highway. Are you familiar with that?

A Right.

2.1

Q And you, as representative of Kihei, would you see that because the growth boundary has moved, that that should mean that the core urban usage should be moved mauka of the highway?

A That might happen at some point, but when you look at our infrastructure in Kihei, we cannot support that now. Those growth boundaries are there, that's fine, but it doesn't mean we have to fill in all the growth boundaries immediately.

Q Do you believe that that type of information needs to be addressed in the EIS?

A Absolutely. I think the infrastructural information has to be addressed.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Since you're clearly involved in the community, were you aware of, or have you been invited to any community meetings that have discussed this project?

THE WITNESS: Not before it's come before

1	this body. No, I haven't. I've had one meeting with
2	will the developer's representative in my office.
3	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: That wasn't a
4	community meeting. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Anybody
6	else?
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next witness is Jeremy
8	Konohia followed by Hannibal Starbuck.
9	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the
10	testimony that you're about to give is the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
13	and address for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: Aloha, my name is Hannibal
15	Starbuck. I live at 294 Elilani Street in Pukalani.
16	HANNIBAL STARBUCK
17	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	THE WITNESS: I'm a lifelong resident of
21	Maui, and I've lived all over. I lived in Kihei from
22	'87 to '91 when I was finishing high school. Right
23	now I'm in Pukalani renting, and acknowledge the need
24	for affordable housing because we're renting and have
25	two working members in our ohana. Still hard to find

a house.

So I started looking at the FEIS at the beginning of the meeting, but it's been a long time. So I was able to find a couple things that stood out to me. And the one thing is the drinking water. It says that they need 171,000 gallons per day of drinking water for this project, and it says that there is .421 million gallons per day allocated from the Iao Aquifer. Iao is up here. Kihei is way over there (indicating).

And they also going to take some water from Kamaole Aquifer, 81,000 gallons per day of nondrinkable water.

So it doesn't list any alternatives. Yet it does say that there is all these other projects, and if you look on page 323, Table 16B in the Appendix, a lot of them are residential, Maui Lu Resort, Kihei High School, Kinalea Apartments (phonetic), Downtown Kihei, Maui Research Technology Park, Honua'ula Affordable Housing Development.

The total that they need is 2.3 million, right? And that's not available, obviously, for all these projects.

And this just took me, you know, just in this time skimming this over, it lists no

alternatives. It does say as noted .421 million gallons per day, which is 421,000, if you're not current in your metric conversion, basically asking for 40 percent of what is available.

Kihei High School wants 185,000 per day, and the -- well, Kihei residential is the one that's currently on the table. They want more than is available, and they're already in construction, I think.

So it says here:

As noted in the FEIS .421 mgd of groundwater can be allocated from the Iao Aquifer System, therefore, all proposed projects in Table No. 16B will not be able to utilize drinking water from the Iao Aquifer System. It is noted that only the Kihei residential project has begun construction of those listed in the table. And as development occurs, each individual project will need to provide a viable water source. Alternatives considered by the projects in Table No. 16B include, but are not limited to drilling wells within the Kamaole Aquifer as a new water source, but as was noted, the Kamaole water was going to be nondrinkable.

So the 2.3 million was drinking water. So the water doesn't add up, and there is no

```
1
     alternatives listed. It doesn't list anything about
2
     priorities.
 3
                Is the project that's already under
      construction going to get all of the .42 million and
 4
     there's none leftover?
5
 6
                So I'm just concerned about that, as
7
     everybody on Maui is concerned about our water.
                And that's all I'll say about that.
8
9
                I do want to mention that if you go to Maui
10
     Mall lots of commercial space open. Kaahumanu is
11
     never full capacity that I've seen in any time
12
      recently, not even at Christmastime.
13
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.
14
                THE WITNESS: And that's all, thank you.
15
               MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
16
               MS. APUNA: No.
17
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
18
     you, Mr. Starbuck.
19
                EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Captain Jon Jon Tabon.
20
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in
2.1
     first?
22
                Do you swear that the testimony that you're
23
     about to give is the truth?
24
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
```

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

and address for the record.

2.1

THE WITNESS: My name is Jon Jon, a lot of people know me as Captain Jon Jon. Kihei boy. My address is 100 Honuea on the other side of Ali'i Lani where I grew up.

### JON JON TABON

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I'll let everybody know that I'm on the water, on the shores, and I see every morning for the past 40 years. I have seen the decline of limu from one area to another, and it always starts when the development starts.

We have so many problems right now and we creating more. I don't understand. I'm not sure if everyone notices how many trees has gone from our shores because of the corals are dying. Dead coral turns into sand. People say, oh, the water level is rising, it's not, it's the ocean floor from all the excess sand.

Now you don't have limu coming up on top, being pulled from the rocks naturally from the waves and currents. That's what used to stop the high tide water from reaching land and uprooting all these

trees.

Now, we have this development on a major gulch. I've seen it. Maui Lu, I've seen all that area. The reef is dead. It's not dead, there is always going to be some species that's going to thrive. But what we have right now is, I mean Kihei, if you look at it from aerial map, it was made up of many, many fishponds, after fishponds, after fishponds.

I'm assuming, you know, all allocated to the ahupua'a of the island. Each one has their own. I'm here speaking on behalf of the reefs that we have here. I have four children. I was fortunate enough to see all the fish that we used to see. You don't see colors any more along the shore. I mean, you don't see yellow. You don't see the manini, aholehole. You don't see the kulas any more. That tells you a lot, when the nocturnal fish don't even come out, or you can't even see them, that tells you a lot about what's going on.

You know, I just got back from the

Philippine Islands, and there is a very

high-pressured fishing, where dynamite fishing was

used and small ice. They band it. It's been a

little over a year. What they do have, however, is

the bottom of the food chain which is the limu.

In my understanding, and I don't have no fancy marine biology paper to say I'm a marine biologist, but what I do have is 40 years of being watching these shores, fishing these shores every single day -- well, not every single day, but, you know, my wife won't let me. But almost every single day.

But what they do have in the amount of time, and how it relates my trip to the Philippines

Islands --

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I was there for three weeks and what I have seen is in that short amount of time, because they have the bottom of the food chain, there was a lot of fish that I seen come back in that short -- in that short amount of time.

One of the biggest impacts of every development, and before so, for one, is I'm note sure if everybody knows, I know, I notice, there is always a fire before a development. It's the fastest way to clear land.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So my concern is how is that going to play out for one?

```
1
                Secondly, how is it going to impact -- or
2
     are you going to be -- is there going to be any
3
     measures taken to protect the reef and assure that
 4
     there's no more --
5
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you, Mr. Tabon.
 6
     Any questions for Mr. Tabon?
7
                MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.
8
                THE WITNESS: Thank you so much.
9
                CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Mr.
10
     Tabon, we have a question for you.
11
                VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. Just briefly.
12
                You testified, if I understood you
13
      correctly, that you've seen the decline of marine
      species makai of particular developments; is that
14
     correct?
15
16
                THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely.
17
                VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I don't know if you
18
     are aware -- are you aware whether any of those
19
     particular developments had best management practices
20
      in place to control runoff?
21
                THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I'm not aware
22
     of that.
23
               VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you very much.
24
                COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Not just to yourself,
25
     but to many people here, I really want to thank you
```

```
1
      folks for taking the time, because it's all your time
2
     too, and coming out and being willing to talk to us,
 3
     because we don't live here, yet we're burdened with a
 4
      really important decision in a lot of ways as things
5
     happen. So I do appreciate the fact that that's
 6
     probably not a comfort level to sit here and look at
7
      this whole row of people, so I do want to thank, not
8
     just you, but everyone who's testified. So thank you
9
     for your testimony.
10
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: One more question.
11
     Are you a commercial fisherman?
12
                THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
13
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: So you fish for
     pleasure, recreational fishing?
14
15
                THE WITNESS: I'm a pro angler. Believe it
16
     or not, I actually get paid to fish, and it's mostly
17
     catch and release.
18
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: You fish right in
19
      front of this area?
20
                THE WITNESS: I fish all of Kihei. I could
21
     tell you where every rock is.
22
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are there fishes makai
23
     of this project area? Or is --
24
                THE WITNESS: No, there is fish, okay. The
25
      fish hasn't disappeared. None of the species has
```

1 gone extinct, it's just gone out of reach. They have 2 gone further out. 3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Anybody 4 5 else? Next testifier. 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Judith Levy, Judith 7 Levy. Rose Reilley, Rose Reilley. CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Do you swear that the 8 9 testimony that you're about to give is the truth? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 12 and address for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: My name is Cecilia Rose Reilley, legally, and I live at 100 Kane Road in 14 15 Haiku. 16 CECILIA ROSE REILLEY 17 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 18 truth, was examined and testified as follow: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: So I first moved to Hawai'i 20 21 when I was ten, and have moved back and forth. And 22 I've, you know, been -- I lived in New York. I lived 23 in Florida, Texas, Colorado, California, and then 24 back over here. So I've been -- and I was born in

25

Ohio.

And so, you know, I'm talking with my co-worker the other day, and she is from Ohio. And she's -- so I was asking her, what's it like over there? And I've had a lot of stories, you know, over the years waiting stories and stuff, and getting to talk to people. And they're like, oh, they lit the river on fire.

There is like all these things. And she is like, there's nothing really to do there because all they have is shopping, and then -- so it's like commercial development and then residential development and everything is developed.

I'm also a massage therapist now. So I get to go to a lot of different homes. And like, a lot of these homes are filled with illegal vacation rentals. Like, I swear, like, I look at Kihei, and I'm like empty in so many places, those people are just coming in. And they're like, you know, buy the house for, you know, third, fourth home, make money.

Also, you know, the resources of really beautiful people. So I would say that, you know, everybody has brought it up, our commercial development is really quite empty. And that we don't want it and need it. You know, we are all set up. We want to live sustainably.

And people come here for beauty of nature.

And we should provide that for them and give them

that sustainable experience of what it's like to be

in nature. They want to eat the fresh food, see all

the trees, and we can do things with that that would

be sustainably profitable for people, you know, that

we have things that we can really offer.

And so I would just like to say that I consider a mega mall to be completely ridiculous.

And that I would say that we need to be innovative and use technology that is way different than has been used.

2.1

Everyone has seen the change and, you know, like my mom lived here for 20 years. I've been coming here. I lived on Kaua'i, and Kaua'i is so nice with the protect the beach, actually like trees, and then you have like development farther from the beach. So when you're in the water and look over, you see a beach and trees, instead of looking over and seeing hotels. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

1 you. 2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: I'll recall some of 3 the witnesses who didn't appear. Deborah Mader. 4 Amanda Tabon. Jeremy Konohia. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else from the 6 audience that wishes to testify? 7 MR. PIERCE: Dick Mayer was our expert witness in the contested case, the Order to Show 8 9 Cause, which it's our position this is part of that. 10 What we've asked to do is Mr. Mayer is 11 prepared to give public testimony. If he's not 12 provided the opportunity, what we would like to do, 13 since you have given us the opportunity to call some 14 witnesses, we are going to keep that limited, keep it 15 as efficient as possible. We would like to call Mr. 16 Mayer since he was an expert. 17 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: That would be fine. 18 MR. PIERCE: Thank you. I think he won't 19 have to testify as a public person today. 20 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Who else wants to 2.1

testify? Just go to the witness box. May I swear you in?

Do you swear that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

22

23

24

25

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Heali'i Kauhane, (phonetic) and my address is 585 Linakon, or Second Lane, Linakon Street.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed.

## HEALI'I KAUHANE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: So I would like to ask that the Commission reject the EIS. You know, one of the questions that comes to my mind is why does the water come from. And I think that was already addressed.

So does the Environmental Impact Statement address where the water is coming from? And how the farmers who practice their cultural heritage in Iao Valley are not able to get enough water while water is being piped to a mall somewhere else?

I also know that when they built the drainage system for the Wailuku River -- now what you have is a funnel that takes all of the silt down into the ocean. I live right on the ocean, and the ocean continues to be brown down there. And I don't know anything about Kihei, except when I go to the ocean

in Kihei, I cannot see the vibrant colors of limu that I used to see as a boy, and I'm not even from this island. I'm from Oahu, and same thing going there now.

captain Jon Jon, he said -- what he said is, yeah, it's the bottom of the food chain, but you need water for it to grow. And if sediment is filling that reef, then you're not having any limu growing, then not having any small fish eat, then not having big fish eat the small fish, then you don't have the humans practicing the cultural practice of eating, but now they can go to the mall to go buy clothes so that they can go to 808 Bistro or Cafe Ole to buy food from somewhere else.

And then when the funnel effect, I know that there is some engineer who went to school somewhere, got a degree in engineering, past a bunch of tests, got a job with an engineering firm, and drew -- planned a waterway aside from the water's natural path.

I don't know how many of you guys were around during Hurricane Iwa. You guys all remember Haione Valley? I don't know anybody knows where that is. That's on Oahu. Big place for development. Haione Valley, they moved the river to the side.

1 They caused the water to go over to the side. Right 2 after Hurricane Iwa destroyed, you know, 40 percent 3 of the island, there was a tropical storm behind it. That wasn't a 50-year storm. That was a once in a 4 5 lifetime storm, but it happened twice. 6 I don't know who does the math with the 7 50-year storm, so --CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 8 9 THE WITNESS: In that second storm the 10 water took its natural path. The natural path was 11 under the houses that were built on the development 12 that moved the river. Nobody knew it, because they 13 were all at work until their houses started caving 14 in. I don't know if you remember the news, 15 16 there were cars, there were playground sets, there 17 were people's dogs and cats all getting washed into 18 the ocean. And it's not a 50-year storm. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 20 questions? 21 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 22 THE WITNESS: What, no questions? 23 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners, any 24 questions? Thank you. Next testifier. 25 Do you swear that the testimony you're

1 | about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name 4 and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Kapono Makahanaloa-Antonez, and my address is 28 Laupapa Place, Haiku.

## KAPONO MAKAHANALOA-ANTONEZ

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I just wanted to talk about the cultural side of it all.

I wanted to speak about like my life growing up a kanaka. I have native blood, and growing up in this school system where I kind of didn't really know my culture and identity too well. You know, at the ending of my schooling I'm only now starting to figure out my cultural and identity that my ancestors have left behind for me. And if you guys build this mall here -- I would love to go visit this spot. I would love to go visit this place and practice with everyone here. Doesn't matter who you are, as long as you share that aloha.

And aloha is -- my definition -- not my

definition, but a lot of Hawaiians' definition of 1 2 aloha is the essence of relationships in which each 3 person is important to every other person for a 4 collective existence. 5 So if we're not collectively existing on a 6 pono scale where everything is right, there's no 7 aloha by definition. So I just wanted to bring that to everyone's awareness, because we do live in 8 9 Hawai'i, and we do want to practice aloha. 10

And I just feel like the EIS isn't following that protocol here. And that's pretty much all. We don't need another Oahu here, that's another thing as well.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

And seems like everyone has done their homework, and they can tell you that it's going to impact the environment majorly.

So, yeah, I'm opposed. Definitely don't go with the EIS on this one. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions.

22 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank
23 you.

Just to let everybody know that we are going to finish the public testimony today and recess

1	and continue tomorrow. So next testifier, please.
2	Do you swear that the testimony that you're
3	about to give is the truth?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name
6	and address for the record.
7	THE WITNESS: I'm Deborah Mader, live
8	Moolio Place in Kihei. This is part of my family.
9	DEBORAH MADER
10	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
11	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	THE WITNESS: Boys, you recognize this
14	video?
15	MADER BOY 1: Yes.
16	THE WITNESS: What's happening that day
17	when we shot this video? Do you remember anything?
18	MADER BOY 1: It was like all these it
19	was like after a flood when there was like all these
20	like rocks like washed away, like.
21	THE WITNESS: Is the land normally dry
22	where you had seen the water come through?
23	MADER BOY 1: Yes.
24	THE WITNESS: Would you call the area a
25	gulch?

------McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ----

```
1
               MADER BOY 1: I would.
2
               THE WITNESS: That's fair.
3
                So on the day after the rain, it rained a
      lot of Upcountry, right? It poured some in Kihei,
 4
5
     but not like for days, right?
 6
               MADER BOY 1: Yeah. No.
7
                THE WITNESS: So when the water rushed
     through this whole area, was the water able to flow
8
9
      from the mountains to the ocean in its proper path,
10
     or was there stuff in the way? Was it rerouted?
11
               MADER BOY 1: Rerouted.
12
               THE WITNESS: What rerouted it?
               MADER BOY 1: Stuff.
13
14
               THE WITNESS: Like cement and like poles
15
     and stuff?
16
               MADER BOY 1: Yes.
17
                THE WITNESS: And like fences and things?
18
     Okay, so this is part of the Kulanikai (phonetic)
19
     Gulch, and developers in the past in Kihei said best
20
     practice management. We're going to help control the
21
     water. It doesn't work. And this happened in
22
     December, and it's not the first time, and it won't
23
     be the last, and it's still not fixed. And damage is
24
     still there.
25
                But a car actually got swept off the road
```

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

and pushed up against this barrier (indicating) because the water couldn't flow properly.

So my concern about the project and EIS being that you cannot control water all the time with manmade solutions such as this. And I don't think the EIS has taken this into consideration at all.

The flooding we have had in North Kihei year after year -- we used to live on Kulanihakoi Street in South Kihei. So that road flooded several times and still continues to do so, because of Environmental Impact Studies in the past didn't take certain things into account.

We live it. We see it. The road has become impassable. And not even if there's a drop of rain in Kihei, it's from what's happening up the mauna.

So I hope that you deny this Final EIS study, as lengthy as it is, and challenge them to put a lot more thought and consideration into what they're doing.

And also to kanaka out there, I hope to look up and see some of you on this board, because I think it's important for kanaka maoli to be part of land making decisions on Maui.

CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Thank

you. Next testifier. Anybody else? 1 2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Albert Perez. 3 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: May I swear you in first? Do you swear that the testimony that you're 4 5 about to give is the truth? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please state your name and address for the record. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Albert Perez, 55 North Church 10 Street, Wailuku. 11 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please proceed. 12 ALBERT PEREZ 13 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 14 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 THE WITNESS: I'm director of Maui Tomorrow 17 Foundation. My background is master's in planning 18 from UH, and I have previously worked for Office of 19 Planning for the state and Maui County Planning 20 Department. I have reviewed many EISs, and I have never 21 22 seen one that is so large with so little useful 23 content. Rather than describing the impacts, it's 24 largely is a self-serving recitation of the benefits

of the project, which is specifically prohibited in

25

Hawai'i Administrative Rules 11-200-14.

An EIS draws its meaning from the conscientious application of the EIS, and shall not be merely a self-serving recitation of benefits and rationalization of the proposed action.

Unfortunately, that is exactly what they have done with this EIS. The Applicant does not use the incredible length of this EIS to fully describe the impact of this largely undefined project, or to discuss the clear conflict of this proposal with Kihei-Makena Community Plan.

For example, the EIS's treatment of the land use plans, it picks and chooses items that support the project, but ignores or minimizes conflicting policies. A good EIS would consider both.

The other section of those Hawai'i

Administrative Rules talks about EIS style. It says:

Preparer shall make every effort to convey the required information succinctly in a form that's easily understood.

This is over 4,000 pages long, and it was preceded by -- a couple weeks prior -- by something else that I haven't heard of called a pre-Final EIS.

That was another 4,000 pages. We had to go through

over 8000 pages.

It's certainly not succinct, instead it presents an undue burden to people who would like to thoroughly review it. If it's accepted by the LUC, then in the future it will not be a useful tool for decision-makers to understand the impacts of the proposed order because of its length.

Also the conceptual bubble map that is the only idea of what we have is what the project is going to be like is not adequate to determine the impacts. To claim that they have evaluated the maximum build-out of the most intensive possible use within the bubble, doesn't allow us to determine appropriate mitigation.

We don't know what they're doing. One bubble says, light industrial, business, commercial. Which is it? Light industrial uses have very different impacts than business commercial uses. They need to evaluate specific uses so we can determine their impacts.

With regard to flooding, you've heard South Kihei Road is one of the worst flooding areas on Maui. County drainage standards do not prevent flooding when we get greater than 50-year storms.

The EIS needs to talk about flooding and

offshore sedimentation impacts when we get bigger 1 2 storms than that, 100-year storms. Just because 3 you're using best management practices doesn't mean 4 there will never be any impact. 5 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Please summarize. 6 THE WITNESS: I would just like to say one 7 more thing, and that there is a Hallstrom Group economic study cited in the EIS about commercial 8 9 space. It was done 2013 and it showed a Kihei floor 10 area vacancy rate of 10 percent, but conditions have 11 changed. There was a 2016 Collier study that shows rate of 31 percent. So that information needs to be 12 13 updated in the EIS. 14 We urge you to reject this EIS to its many 15 inadequacies and do a better job. 16 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Thank you. Any 17 questions? 18 MR. SAKUMOTO: No questions. 19 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Commissioners? Vice 20 Chair Scheuer. 21 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I asked a 22 representative from the Maui Camber of Commerce 23 whether they had ever opposed a development.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

Has Maui Tomorrow ever supported a

24

25

development?

THE WITNESS: Actually, we have. We have 1 2 supported the Waikapu Country Town, which came before 3 this Commission fairly recently. We feel that that EIS was done in full 4 5 spirit of compliance with the EIS law. VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ACZON: Anybody else? 8 you. Next testifier. Anybody else? Last call. 9 If not, this concludes the public testimony 10 portion for this docket. I want to thank everybody for their 11 12 patience and for committing to the time limit that we 13 imposed. 14 Tomorrow we will start with Petitioner's 15 presentation at 8:30. We are in recess for the day 16 and see you tomorrow. 17 (The proceedings adjourned at 5:30 p.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 ---

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII ) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU )
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on July 19, 2017, at 2:00 p.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 19th day of July, 2017, in Honolulu,
16	Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/S/ Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

McManus court reporters 808-239-6148