1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAII
3	August 9, 2017
4	Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building
5	Conference Room 405
6	235 S. Beretania Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
7	Commencing at 9:30 a.m.
8	I. Call to order
9	II. Adoption of Minutes (July 19-20, 2017 and July 26, 2017)
10	
11	III. Tentative Meeting Schedule
12	IV. Action SP15-405 Waipio PV LLC (formerly known as Waiawa PV LLC) (Oahu)
13	To consider amendments to Waipio PV LLC's Special Use Permit that established a solar
14	energy facility at Waipio, Ewa, Oahu, Hawaii. Tax Map Key No.: (1) 9-5-003:017 (por.)
15	V. Action
16	SP15-406 Kawailoa Solar, LLC (Oahu) To consider amendments to Kawailoa Solar, LLC's
17	Special Use Permit that established a solar energy facility at Kawailoa, North Shores, Oahu,
18	Hawaii. Tax Map Keys.:(1)6-1-005:portion of 1,6-1-006: portion of 1
19	VI. Election of Officers
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

1 CHAIR ACZON: Good morning. This is the 2 August 9, 2017 Land Use Commission Meeting. 3 The first order of business is the adoption 4 of the minutes for the July 19-20, 2017 and July 26, 5 2017 meetings. Are there any corrections or comments 6 on them? If not, is there a motion to adopt the 7 minutes? 8 COMMISSIONER WONG: Move. 9 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Second. 10 CHAIR ACZON: Motion has been made by 11 Commissioner Wong, seconded by Commissioner Estes to 12 adopt the minutes for the July 19-20, 2017 and July 13 26, 2017 meetings. All in favor, say aye. 14 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 15 CHAIR ACZON: Opposed? The minutes are 16 adopted unanimously. 17 The next agenda item is the tentative 18 meeting schedule. Mr. Orodenker. 19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 Tomorrow, we will be on Kauai for the 21 adoption of the order for Island School UHCC Kauai. 22 I would note also that your handout is incorrect. We 23 made some modifications. We actually have a busy 24 fall and rest of the summer.

August 23rd, we will be on Maui for Pukalani

1 Association's motion for extension of time and for 2 adoption of the orders in this matter if necessary. 3 On September 20th and 22nd, we will be on 4 HCPO at HCPO on Oahu. That Friday, we are trying to 5 schedule a site visit on agricultural land petition in Kunia. 6 On September 27 and 28th, we will be on Maui 7 for Waikapu Town. 8 9 On October 11th and 12th, we will be on Oahu 10 for the Kunia on agricultural land petition. 11 On October 18th and 19th, we will be on 12 Molokai for the -- also for another IAL petition. 13 November 21 and 22 will be Honouliuli 14 Special Permits. I believe that's here on Oahu. 15 And then the schedule at the moment is free 16 for the remainder of the calendar. Although we are 17 expecting a number of petitions to come in. 18 CHAIR ACZON: So the September 6 and 7 is out then? 19 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Yes. 21 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners, any questions 22 on our schedules? Thank you, Mr. Orodenker. 23 The next agenda item is a meeting on Docket 24 No. SP15-405 Waipio PV, LLC formerly known was Waiawa

PV, LLC. To consider amendments to Waipio PV LLC's

1 Special Use Permit that established a solar energy 2 facility at Waipio, Ewa, Oahu, Hawaii. Tax Map Key 3 No. (1)9-5-003 portion of lot 17. 4 Before I go to the presentation of -- some 5 disclosures I believe? COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes. 6 7 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Chang? 8 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Good morning. I would 9 like to disclose that when this project was under 10 First Wind, I was doing some consulting work with 11 them several years ago. 12 CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Any opposed? 13 MR. KUDO: No objections. 14 MS. APUNA: No objection. 15 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners? Thank you, Commissioner Scheuer. 16 17 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I don't even know if 18 this is a conflict. I just see Mr. Wescoatt in the audience. And I work as a consultant for the 19 20 Department of Hawaiian Homelands of which he is a 21 commissioner. So I don't know his role in these 22 projects but I want to at least disclose that matter. 23 CHAIR ACZON: Any opposed? Any objection? 24 MR. KUDO: No objection.

MS. APUNA: No objection.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

Will the applicant or its representative please identify themselves for the record.

MR. KUDO: Representing NRG and the individual solar entities, Ben Kudo and with me is Sarah Simmons from my office. With me, I have Patrick Sullivan from NRG corporate headquarters and also Wren Wescoatt is a consultant and Aarty Joshi who is one of the project entitlement person here.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Ms. Apuna.

MS. APUNA: Good morning, Deputy Attorney
General Dawn Apuna on behalf of the State Office of
Planning. Here with me today is Lorene Maki and
Rodney Funakoshi.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

MR. YOUNG: Good morning, Chair, Members of the Land Use Commission. My name is Raymond Young. I'm representing the Department of Planning and Permitting, City and County of Honolulu.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

A note for the Commission that we are required by Hawaii Revised Statutes, Chapter 92 to allow public testimony of any agenda item. However, I also note that the Commission's consideration of this matter is limited to the record provided by the

Honolulu Planning Commission.

Mr. Kudo, please proceed with your presentation.

MR. KUDO: Thank you.

Good morning, Chair Aczon and Vice Chair Scheuer, Wong and Members of the Commission.

I'm here representing both Dockets SP15-405 and SP15-406. I'll be making a presentation on both dockets at the same time that are generic in nature and then specifically going into each docket separately.

Did the Commission wish me to stop after the Waipio docket?

CHAIR ACZON: Yes, take it separately.

MR. KUDO: All right. As I mentioned, we are -- I have with me both Patrick Sullivan, who is vice president of development at NRG Energy and Wren Wescoatt, a consultant working on both of these projects. They will be giving brief presentations and available for questions from the Commission if you have any.

Mr. Sullivan will give a brief introduction of who NRG is as the applicant. As you know, the original SUP permit approved by the Commission in 2015 was issued to SunEdison. And SunEdison is no

longer around. NRG has assumed their position. So I think it might be a good idea for you to understand who NRG is.

And Wren Wescoatt is available to discuss the project history since he was involved with SunEdison in doing the SUPs then in 2015. And he will also address specific issues pertaining to radio frequency interference, an issue raised by the Office of Planning.

We're here today seeking your support to amend two --

CHAIR ACZON: Mr. Kudo, can I interrupt you for a minute? I just missed one important portion.

I'm so sorry about this. I want -- for the benefit of everybody, I just want to complete the record before we go through the whole presentation. I apologize for my mistake.

Let me update the record for this docket.

On March 5, 2015, Commission Chair took official notice and recognized the change in the name of Petitioner to now be known as Waipio PV, LLC and the Commission voted to grant Applicant's application for Special Permit.

On April 21, 2017, the applicant submitted an application to the City and County of Honolulu

Planning Commission to amend its special permit.

On June 21, 2017, the Honolulu Planning Commission conducting a hearing on applicant's application for an amendment.

On July 24, 2017, the Land Use Commission received a copy of the decision and a portion of the record of the Planning Commission's proceedings on the Applicant's request.

On July 31st, 2017, the Land Use Commission received the remaining portion of the record consisting of the minutes reflecting the adoption of the minutes of the Planning Commission's June 21st, 2017 hearing.

On August 2, 2017, the Commission sent an August 9-10, 2017 Land Use Commission agenda notice to the Parties and to the Statewide, Kauai and Oahu mailing lists.

Let me briefly describe our procedure for today on this docket.

First, I will call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony on Docket No. SP15 405 to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

Applicant will make its presentation.

And after the completion of the Applicant's presentation, you will receive any public comments from the State of Planning.

We have County in here. We were told nobody showing up from the County but, you know, since you're here, you're welcome.

Are there any questions on our procedure for today? I don't think we have that much public testimony but let me go through the motion. Any public testimonies?

COMMISSIONER WONG: None.

CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Thank you.

So Mr. Kudo, please proceed with your presentation.

MR. KUDO: Thank you, Chair Aczon.

As I said, we're here today seeking your support to amend two Special Use Permits previously approved by this Commission in 2015. The amended SUPs were recently approved in June by the City and County of Honolulu Planning Commission. But since the land involved in both projects is greater than 15 acres, we are here before you seeking your approval as well.

Both the Waipio SUP and the Kawailoa SUP are being heard by you today in this quasi consolidated

process. However, we will present each respective project separately.

Essentially, the modifications involved in these SUPs, there are three basic changes that we're seeking your approval.

First is an extension of time to complete the construction of the respective projects. As you recall, the SUPs approved in 2015 had separate deadlines for the construction of the projects to be completed. Those deadlines have since come and gone. And so we need to have those deadlines extended.

Secondly, a change in the number of panels that will be used for the project because we will be selecting a different manufacturer of PV panels which have different dimensions and also greater efficiencies.

And third, in the case of the Waipio SUP, rather than a static PV frame -- framing system, they will be framed on an automatic tracking system similar to what was permitted at Kawailoa. In other words, they'll track the sun. These modifications are minimal but necessary for these projects to move forward.

Both Waipio and Kawailoa SUPs were originally approved by this Commission again in 2015.

However, in April 2016, SunEdison filed for
 bankruptcy. And HECO terminated the purchase power
 agreements with SunEdison shortly thereafter.

NRG Energy purchased these projects through the bankruptcy sale of SunEdison's assets in October of 2016, a few months later.

In May of 2017, application to amend the SUPs was filed with the City and County of Honolulu Planning Commission.

Since these applications are for a modification of the existing SUPs, we will not repeat arguments already made on whether these projects are an unusual and reasonable use of agricultural lands under Chapter 205 Section 2056 as nothing has changed in that regard.

To that end, we plan on having Tin Roof
Ranch conduct its ranching operations on the Waipio
PV project lands. And Kualoa Ranch will be operating
on the Kawailoa PV project lands. The rent will be
significantly reduced to these ranchers at
approximately \$10 per acre not to exceed \$2,000 per
year. The ranches plan on pasturing sheep on the
areas where the PV panels are to be located.

There are no changes to the already filed agriculture plan considered by this Commission in

1 2015. PV facilities are a permitted use on 2 agricultural land under Section 205-4.521. And City 3 and County Land Use Ordinance Chapter 21-3.50-4 4 provided that proper permits like the SUP are 5 obtained. 6 Before speaking on the Waipio SUP itself, I 7 wanted to introduce Patrick Sullivan, vice president 8 of the development to orient you with regard to the 9 background of NRG Energy. 10 CHAIR ACZON: Let me swear you in first. 11 Do you swear that the testimony that you're 12 about to give is the truth? 13 PATRICK SULLIVAN: I do. CHAIR ACZON: Please state your name and 14 address for the record. 15 16 PATRICK SULLIVAN: My name is Patrick 17 Sullivan. I'm vice president of project development 18 for NRG. And my business address is 100 California Street, San Francisco, California. 19 20 CHAIR ACZON: Please proceed. 21 PATRICK SULLIVAN: Thank you. 22 So I'd like to provide a brief overview of NRG for those members of the Commission that may not 23

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

NRG is the largest wholesale power

be familiar with who we are.

24

generation company in the United States with over 50 gigawatts of both conventional and renewable power generation all throughout major wholesale power markets in the United States. We are also one of the largest retail energy companies in the United States with over 3 million retail customers primarily in Texas and the Northeast United States.

As a publicly traded Fortune 500 company, we've got about \$14 billion in annual revenue and about \$30 billion in total assets.

The renewables business for which I lead our project development platform is the largest integrated renewables platform in the country. Today we own and operate about five gigawatts of renewable assets across the country, about three gigawatts of wind and two gigawatts of solar. We are in development and construction on almost six gigawatts of opportunities in additional to that. We're active in 26 states across the country. And we focus on both utility scale as well as distributed generation solar projects. We are the market leader in community solar projects. And we also have a large presence in distributing generation in CNI type solar technicians.

And in terms of sort of the NRG's focus on

```
1
    Hawaii, the two projects that we're here talking to
2
    you all about today are two of five projects that we
3
    are advancing on Oahu. In addition to the two here,
4
    there's a third one that we have a contract with HECO
    and two earlier stage projects that we are looking to
5
6
    advance development for hopefully align some of the
7
    future procurement needs for HECO on the island of
8
    Oahu.
           Thank you.
9
             CHAIR ACZON: Any questions?
                                            Ms. Apuna?
10
             MS. APUNA: No questions.
11
             CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners? Commissioner
12
    Wong.
13
             COMMISSIONER WONG: Just a question.
                                                    I was
14
    wondering, you know, DOA has recommended --
15
    Department of Agriculture, sorry, has recommended to
16
    change the fencing. Do you have any problems with
17
    that?
18
             PATRICK SULLIVAN: We do not.
19
             COMMISSIONER WONG: Thank you.
20
             CHAIR ACZON: Mr. Young, do you have any
21
    questions?
22
             MR. YOUNG: No, the City doesn't have any
23
    questions.
24
             CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else? Commissioners?
25
             Mr. Sullivan, I understand that the
```

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

1 Petitioner is asking for some amendments in the 2 condition. I just want to make sure that are you 3 aware of all the conditions for this docket? 4 PATRICK SULLIVAN: I am yes, as is my team. 5 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. 6 Anybody else? Thank you. Mr. Kudo. 7 MR. KUDO: I'll now proceed to address the 8 Waipio SUP in particular. Waipio's Exhibit Number 7 9 submitted to the Planning Commission and part of the 10 administrative record before you is blown up here for 11 the Commission's reference and orientation and Sarah 12 will --13 CHAIR ACZON: Vanna White. 14 MR. KUDO: Beg your pardon? Vanna White. 15 Pointing to Exhibit 7 here. 16 First Wind started the SUP process in 2014. 17 Then SunEdison acquired the Waipio project formerly 18 known as Waiawa in January of 2015. The LUC approved 19 the State Land Special Use Permit on March 25th, 20 2015. 21 SunEdison was unable to finance the project 22 and HECO terminated its purchase power agreement in 23 February of 2016. Thereafter, SunEdison filed

bankruptcy and NRG purchased this project during that

sale in October of 2016.

24

In December 2016, NRG filed an annual report which requested an extension of time to complete the construction of this project.

On February 8, 2017, another request was made for an extension of time.

And on February 15, 2017, the City Planning Commission approved the extension request as a minor change.

However by letter dated March 14, 2017, the
Land Use Commission notified the Department of
Permitting that the minor change was actually a
quote, modification of the SUP and a decision of the
LUC and therefore, needed to comply with a two-stage
approval process under the LUC Rules, HAR 15-15-96.1.
We met and discussed with both DPP and LUC staff to
jointly agree to redo the SUP application for
modification for Waipio and to include other
modifications that we were doing involving the use of
different PV panels from the one SunEdison had
proposed.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on June 21st, 2017 and approved the proposed amendments to its findings of fact, conclusions of law and decision and order dated July 19, 2017.

There are specific amendments, three

specific amendments to the Waipio SUP. The first is -- I mentioned is an extension of the deadline to complete the project. The original date is March 25th, 2017 which was over four months ago. And we obviously need more time to comply with this condition. And therefore, we are requesting -- we requested before the Planning Commission and this commission an extension of that deadline to December 31st, 2019. And this is for both Kawailoa as well as Waipio.

The second amendment that we are addressing is the number of panels that will be used that differ from the number of panels used by SunEdison or proposed by SunEdison.

Condition number 7 of the SUP for Waipio states that a major modification include but are not limited to significant increases in the number of PV panels. Applicant proposed and the Planning Commission approved the removal of the phrase number of and replace it with the area covered by. So that any time that we needed to adjust the number of panels because of the size or dimension of the panel, we wouldn't need to be deemed to be a modification and we would have to go through this process again. So we're replacing the word number of by area covered

by the PV panels.

Due to SunEdison's bankruptcy, the applicant is unable to purchase their panels. And so therefore, we've had to choose other panels to replace the panels that they had proposed. And they are different in size and efficiencies.

Exhibit 8, which Sarah will be pointing to, is a blown up on the large board here and shows the current proposed configuration of the PV panels which is subject to slight adjustments due to factors such as grade, topography and sunlight patterns. An overlay of the previous panel configuration and current preliminary configuration is included as Applicant's Exhibit 9.

Thus, Applicant is proposing to better express the spirit and intent of condition number 8 by stating that any significant increase in the area covered rather than number of PV panels will be considered a major modification that must be approved by both the Planning Commission as well as this Commission. In other words, we should not use the number of panels as a trigger for modification. This change was approved by the Planning Commission and it clarified that the 35 year life of the project did not start until the date of this Commission's

approval.

The third amendment that is being addressed in Waipio is the fixed tilt rack -- fixed versus tilt rank mounting. Applicant seeks to change the configuration of the project to include single access tracking racks very similar to the racks approved for the Kawailoa project. By installing these tracking racks, the project is significantly more efficient and gains additional sunlight time to produce energy. To support this change, applicant submitted an update -- updated reflectivity and glare study showing no increase in reflection from various points. In fact, as I understand it, the glare was reduced.

We received several agency comments on the Waipio SUP that I'd like to address. The first was DOT comments from the airports division. Although the Airports Division's official letter was received after the Planning Commission's decision, we have been in discussions with State Department of Transportation about their concerns and addressed them during the Planning Commission hearing. And they appear on page 21 of the Planning Commission minutes.

We stated during the commission planning

hearing that the airport's concerns regarding glint and glare was addressed by our updated glare analysis. This was submitted to the Planning Commission as the attachment to Exhibit 5.

Condition 10 of the SUP which states that if a hazardous condition is created for pilots and/or motorists, the Applicant shall immediately mitigate the hazard upon notification by the DOT Airports Division or the FAA. We believe this condition addresses DOT's concerns and we fully intend on complying with this condition.

The DOT also raised concerns regarding radio frequency interference caused by the PV panels. And we also addressed this before the Planning Commission confirming that the proposed PV modules to be used at Waipio are designed to maximize shielding potential RFI by ensuring that the power electronics within the inverters which provides sufficient shielding.

I'd like to bring up to the stand Wren
Westcoatt because he's our technical consultant to
address a recent incident at Wheeler Air Force Base
and another solar project, not our solar project,
which was producing RFI. Wren.

CHAIR ACZON: Let me swear you in first. Do you swear that the testimony that you're about to

1 give is the truth? 2 WREN WESCOATT: I do. 3 CHAIR ACZON: Please state your name and 4 address for the record. 5 WREN WESCOATT: Wren Westcoatt, project development manager for NRG. 6 7 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Mr. Kudo. 8 MR. KUDO: Proceed. 9 WREN WESCOATT: First, I want to say aloha 10 to the Chair and the Vice Chair, Members of the 11 Commission, Land Use Commission Staff, Office of 12 Planning Staff and surprise guest City and County of 13 Honolulu. 14 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Can I say something? 15 Welcome to Hawaii and I appreciate your testimony. As much as possible, instead of using all your 16 17 alphabet soup, you know, you have alphabets in 18 everything. And I actually do quite a bit of solar 19 on my buildings I manage but I don't know all of 20 those and I certainly don't know them on the fly. So 21 if you could, you know, not use a lot of alphabets, 22 it will make my picture in my mind clearer. Thank 23 you.

WREN WESCOATT: Sure thing.

24

25

I have -- just by way of background, I have

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

been working on these projects since they were first -- the inception of these two projects back in 2012. So I was employed by First Wind and then SunEdison and now working for NRG. So I'm really interested in these projects getting completed. And I thank you all for your patience for many of you who have been here with me most of that time.

To address the issue of radio frequency interference, the Waihonu solar project which is in between -- sort of between in -- between Mililani and Wheeler Army Airfield, there are -- there is -- it's approximately seven megawatt solar project owned by another company. So not owned by NRG. And had 5,000 -- approximately 5,000 defective solar panels which -- on which there is a microprocessor that was not properly shielded and was therefore, producing this radio frequency interference that was experienced and reported.

Once those -- the source was identified to be this defective PV panel, the recommended step was that those panels be changed out. Again, this is not our project so we weren't involved with this. But this was the recommendation in 2016 so I believe that's already happened or is in the process of happening. So that was the reason why these panels

were producing this interference.

The Waipio project and the Kawailoa project that we're considering today does not use those particular panels. Does not use that brand of panel. And does not even use that technology where the microprocessor is right on the panel itself. So the -- they won't -- it won't be produced -- these projects will not produce that same type of interference.

The power optimization occurs not at the panel level but in our projects, it occurs in the inverter which is on a separate -- those of you that have PV projects know your inverter's in a separate place. And that those inverters are -- have the required shielding so that there's no leakage of that type of interference that was seen from these panels.

That's -- concludes that description.

MR. KUDO: Thank you, Wren.

CHAIR ACZON: Any questions for the witness?

Mr. Young?

MR. YOUNG: No questions.

CHAIR ACZON: Ms. Apuna?

MS. APUNA: No questions.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners? Commissioner

25 Okuda?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you. Sorry, I was at court.

Not to minimize the potential, I don't want to even call it harm or RFI but isn't it true that you can get RFI or radio frequency interference from things like just a cable TV service to a neighborhood? So in other words, these types of interference, there's many other sources not just from solar panels, isn't that correct?

that carries electricity can produce electromagnetic interference. Generally, that is proportionate to the amount of electricity that we're talking about. So even a hairdryer or cell phone will create some sort of interference. That's why in these -- in this type of equipment where there's a lot of electricity, there's a lot of emphasis placed on shielding. And that was a defect in this panel that they identified.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yeah. I just wanted to make sure the record is clear that we just don't look at solar panels as, you know, the sole source of this radio frequency interference. That it can come from other sources. And it's good that you folks have

addressed that issue. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else? Commissioner Chang?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes. Good morning.

Nice to see you, Wren.

One question. With the change of the panels to this -- a tilt rack, does that impact in any way the ag use, the sheep grazing?

WREN WESCOATT: No, it does not. The panels will now be on a single axis tracking rack which is going to be very similar to what was approved at the Kawailoa project where sheep ranching was underway.

We also -- the distance to the ground will remain approximately the same. So the lowest point to the ground is still going to be three feet which is going to be generally a sheep compatible height.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay. Thank you.

WREN WESCOATT: And then just to -- for one additional comment just to clarify. The Department of Agriculture had asked a question about the fencing. Changing from like a hog wire fence which you would typically think of as a typical cattle fence which has rectangular holes to a chain link fence which is the industry standard for solar. The chain link fence of six feet high provides security

so you don't have people wandering into the site where there's higher voltage electrical equipment and potentially getting hurt. So the industry standard is chain link fence.

Department of Ag asked that we confirm with the ranchers that that change in fencing is not going to be a problem. So we got letters from each of the ranchers saying no, that would be no problem. In fact, one recommended it's actually better for sheep 'cause they won't -- their heads won't get stuck in the hog wire fence.

CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else, Commissioners?

Thank you, Mr. --

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have a comment.

I will confirm that I have sheep and cattle and chain link would be unbelievably nice so you have less problems there. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, Mr. Westcoatt.

MR. KUDO: Can you stay there.

The next issue that I wanted to address and Wren can speak to this as well is that there's a requirement for \$4 million decommission security because the project has a certain life. And the Commission wanted to make sure that there was enough money at the end of the project's life to

decommission the project. And so they required us to maintain the \$4 million security deposit. To that end, we supported changing this condition to the language of the condition saying no less than 4 million because the existing condition says approximately 4 million which could be below 4 million. So we said why don't we set a floor at no less than 4 million so that you're assured that there's going to be money in. That change was also approved by the Planning Commission as well.

The Commission also approved the hog wire fencing and chain link fencing.

Wren, perhaps you can talk about the decommissioning and the cost of decommissioning.

wren wescoatt: Yes. The decommissioning security, previously the language that made it into the decision and order was decommissioning security of \$4 million. So indicating it would be exactly that amount. That -- we found that might be problematic because we will conduct a final decommissioning estimate after construction of the project and that number may be more than 4 million. So we didn't want to be locked into exactly 4 million just so there's sufficient funds there to decommission the project that's posted at security.

And we were looking for some flexibility in the
language so it could be adjusted. And that's why we
came up with -- so the recommendation that we agree
with is the no less than 4 million. So if it's
4,150,000 for instance, that could be the security.
So just allows for some flexibility.

CHAIR ACZON: Just follow-up on that. How

CHAIR ACZON: Just follow-up on that. How about if it's less than 4 million? So what happen to -- like 3 million, what happen to the other million?

would post at least 4 million. So we have to have at least 4 million. It might come out less. But I think in this case, it's better to have more money set aside than less in terms of making sure there's enough funds to remove everything after that.

CHAIR ACZON: Where the extra million dollars go?

WREN WESCOATT: We will just have to post -- CHAIR ACZON: That's what --

WREN WESCOATT: So at that point, I think after -- I'm not sure what NRG would do if there was no longer any further requirement. I believe that would probably -- if the project completes decommissioning, I don't know what -- where the extra

1 4 million would go -- I mean the extra one. 2 CHAIR ACZON: Just wondering. 3 MR. KUDO: Wren, you negotiated the purchase 4 power agreements with Hawaiian Electric on this 5 project. And insofar as it relates to the completion 6 date of the construction which is part of this permit 7 and part of a request, is that date consistent with the purchase power agreement? 8 9 WREN WESCOATT: It is -- the date we're 10 requesting is aligned with the completion of the 11 project as required under the power purchase 12 agreement. CHAIR ACZON: 13 Excuse me, Mr. Kudo. Commissioner Ohigashi, you have a question? 14 15 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So my understanding 16 is NRG would be responsible for whatever the cost is 17 in decommissioning it. It's just that the security 18 is \$4 million because that's based on the estimate of what today's cost? 19 20 WREN WESCOATT: That's correct. 21 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And that if it's 22 more than 4 million, then NRG will still be on --23 WREN WESCOATT: Still be responsible. 24 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Who's -- is 25 anybody -- I wanted to ask about the coverage, the

1 area coverage.2 CHAIR ACZON: Maybe we can do that overall.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Is that for this witness or --

MR. KUDO: Yes, he can address that, any questions on coverage.

CHAIR ACZON: Why don't you do it now.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just was wondering, the maps up there, are those areas included as part of the record to ensure that the area coverage will be governed by those maps of the solar panels?

MR. KUDO: They are approximate because there may be slight adjustments due to grade, topography and sunlight patterns. So we may move it around a little bit the patterns. But those are what we have right now in terms of engineering studies.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just wanted to know if the condition is you're not going to increase the area, how do we know where the area is? So I wanted to know if the -- is it tied to those maps or is the area we speaking in this condition tied to those maps or --

WREN WESCOATT: So we did create a permitted area boundary. So the permitted area that we've

applied for is tied to a map which we provided to Department of Planning and Permitting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The -- where the panel locations are here, this is a preliminary layout, all falls within the area that's permitted. So the layout is slightly smaller than the outside boundary that was permitted. And that allows for some flexibility. The project design is not completed yet so there may be some changes. But we would need to stay within the permitted area governed by this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So then my question is how does this condition work then if you're going to be limited to an area but you're not sure where the area exactly is? So is it going to be defined later on or is it going to be -- so that the condition will actually say work -- you know, will work rather than you put -- let's say you put a solar power outside that area and so what? That's, you know, will it increase the amount of coverage? just put it in area that was not designated before. You understand what I'm trying to get at? condition says not going to increase the area. The area -- I'm assuming each solar panel has a certain amount of square footage. And if you put a square footage, you can put the square footage anyplace.

What I'm understanding is you have designated an area where it'll cover. So you cannot put solar panels outside the area, right?

MR. KUDO: Right.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: But it's not area X amount of square footage for the total amount of panels estimated? I'm just trying to get a feel of how this commission gonna work. I understood how it would work with the amount of solar panels.

WREN WESCOATT: So in this case in our application, we have also indicated the area covered by the panels.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Right.

know Sarah's pointing the number. I believe it's 99 acres of area that's actually covered by solar panels. In our permitted area, we have a footprint of about -- for Waipio it's -- I'm sorry, Waipio. I believe it's 99 acres. The permitted area is larger than that. So the area covered, if we have a significant increase beyond -- the 99 acres falls within that 300 acres, 308 acres that we have in the permitted. So we can -- that 99 acres of PV panel coverage, if we increase that, you know. So number one, there's an outline that you're talking about.

1 We have to stay within the 308 acres that is approved 2 under this permit. And that is defined. So we have 3 a map we submitted to DPP and they've approved it. 4 So we have an outer boundary. The 99 acres that's 5 actually covered by PV panels, that's what's 6 represented in the blue right there. That has to 7 stay within that designated boundary. change -- and based on the number of the size of the 8 9 panel, we -- the number, total number could vary 10 quite a bit. But the area it covers is still going 11 to stay within that blue footprint. And so if we 12 change from that -- still 99 acres as it was before. 13 If we change that to, you know, 100 acres, 105 acres, 14 it goes beyond the total area covered, then we would 15 have to come back for a modification.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So does that condition as it's been proposed right now as amended, would that satisfy planning for purposes of defining what the condition is?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KUDO: It should. And we put all these figures, the permitted area and the coverage area in Exhibit 5. So it's on the record as to what we're going to be doing.

I think in addition as well as technology is advancing so rapidly in the PV area, the panels are

getting much more efficient. And so the size of the panels are getting smaller actually because they're much more efficient to produce the same amount of power. And so we're not as concerned about expanding as much as we're going to be basically contracting because of the efficiency of the panels. We're projecting that the actual decrease of the area will be by 11 acres because of the efficiency of the panels. Just every year, they get better and better and better so.

WREN WESCOATT: And this is the total permitted outline right here on this exhibit.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just a question. Do you have a power purchase agreement?

WREN WESCOATT: We do. So the Waipio power purchase agreement was renegotiated with Hawaiian Electric Company and was approved by the Public Utilities Commission on the 31st -- 27th of August.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay.

WREN WESCOATT: Reapproved.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is this project tied to the tax credit in any way so that if you don't build it by the expiration of the tax credit, will you continue to still do the project?

1 WREN WESCOATT: The project is -- it's not 2 tied to the tax credit but the --3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Or conditioned upon. 4 WREN WESCOATT: Yeah. The tax credit -it's -- the planning is to construct the project 5 6 within -- to qualify for the full federal and state 7 tax credits. The state tax credit is going to be completely passed through. So any state tax credit 8 9 that is received by the project will be passed 10 through to Hawaiian Electric and they will pass that 11 through to their -- my understanding they said they'd 12 pass that through --13 COMMISSIONER CHANG: When is the expiration 14 of that tax credit --15 WREN WESCOATT: There's no sunset on the 16 state credit right now. 17 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay. And one last 18 question about is there an approved archaeological 19 monitoring plan for the project? 20 WREN WESCOATT: For Waipio, there was not a 21 requirement for an archaeological monitoring plan. 22 For Kawailoa, there is and there was an approved plan 23 that will be utilized. 24 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So that was based upon 25 SHPD in their approval on your archaeological

1 assessment said no archaeological monitoring plan is 2 required? 3 WREN WESCOATT: I don't know if they stated 4 that but they did not require it as they did for 5 Kawailoa. CHAIR ACZON: Vice Chair Scheuer. 6 7 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just for the record, I 8 think you indicated the Public Utilities Commission 9 approved the purchase power agreement in August 22nd 10 but you must have meant July? 11 WREN WESCOATT: I'm sorry. That hasn't 12 happened yet. Correction. Thank you. July 27 --VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: 13 It was approved? WREN WESCOATT: It was approved. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: On July 27? 15 16 WREN WESCOATT: Yes. 17 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you. 18 COMMISSION CABRAL: He's anxious. 19 MR. KUDO: This concludes our presentation 20 for the Waipio Special Use Permit modifications. 21 CHAIR ACZON: Mr. Wescoatt, you can be 22 excused. 23 Any questions, Commissioners? 24 Mr. Young, do you have any testimony --25 MR. YOUNG: At this time, the Department

—McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 —

stands on its recommendation and the Planning
Commission's decision and order.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. Any question, Ms. Apuna?

MS. APUNA: Thank you, Chair.

Office of Planning reviewed the Waipio PV,
LLC application for modification Special Use Permit
15-405. Provided the following comments to DPP in a
letter dated June 2nd, 2017.

OP has no objections to the change in ownership of the facility from SunEdison to NRG Renew, LLC. OP recommended replacing the word approximately with the phrase no less than in condition to regarding the amount of financial security of the applicant to decommission the project and restore the petition area to substantially the same physical condition as existing prior to the development of the SEF.

OP supports that amendment of time to establish the project no later than December 2019 in condition 5.

OP supports the amendment to condition 7 with regard to major modification that are based on the area covered by the panels rather than the number of panels.

OP notes that LUC Commission 10 requires
immediate mitigation by the applicant of any hazard
created by the photovoltaic array for pilots or
motorists.

OP recommended deletion of condition 11 requiring State Historic Preservation Division approval of the draft archaeological assessment dated August 12, 2014 prior to commencement of construction of solar farm. State Historic Preservation accepted the document according to the letter dated January 16, 2015. However, OP recommended that condition 12 regarding the unanticipated archaeological resources finds be retained.

The Planning Commission adopted all of the OP's recommendations and therefore, fully supports the modifications for Special Use Permit 15-405.

Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners, any questions for Ms. Apuna? Thank you, Ms. Apuna.

MS. APUNA: Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners, what's your pleasure on this matter? Vice Chair Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, I move to accept the changes, amendments made by Petitioner County and OP and DOT and DOA and any other, you know, grammar

and other minor changes that staff may have to make on this amendment.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'll second that.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The motion has been made by Commissioner
Wong and seconded by Commissioner Cabral to accept
the changes. Is there any discussion?
Commissioners, discussion? There's no further
discussion --

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, I would just like to have it stated for the record that I believe that this project complies with Hawaii Constitution Article 11 Section 1 which specifically sets forth the requirement that the resources of this community be dealt with including development and I quote, consistent with their conservation and in furtherance of the self sufficiency of the state, close quote. believe this project and I would find specifically goes towards energy self sufficiency in this state. The Applicant should be commended by proceeding even after a bankruptcy which bankruptcies derail even good projects. But I believe this project specifically is consistent with the mandate of the Hawaii State Constitution with respect to self sufficiency. Thank you.

1	CHAIR ACZON: Thank you, Commissioner Okuda.
2	Mr. Orodenker, please poll the Commission.
3	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	The motion is to accept the changes and amendments
5	proposed by the Petitioner, OP and County.
6	Commissioner Wong.
7	COMMISSIONER WONG: Aye.
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Cabral.
9	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes.
10	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Scheuer.
11	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aye.
12	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Chang.
13	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.
14	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Ohigashi.
15	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes.
16	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Okuda.
17	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
18	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Estes.
19	COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.
20	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Chair Aczon.
21	CHAIR ACZON: Yes.
22	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23	The motion passes unanimously.
24	CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. We'll take a
25	five-minute recess.

1 (Recessed taken.) 2 CHAIR ACZON: We're back on the record. 3 The next agenda item is a meeting on Docket 4 No. SP15-406 Kawailoa Solar, LLC Oahu. To consider 5 amendments to Kawailoa Solar, LLC's Special Use 6 Permit that established a solar energy facility at 7 Kawailoa, North Shores, Oahu, Hawaii. Tax Map Keys 8 (1) 6-1-005: portion of 1, 6-1-006: portion of 1. 9 Will the Applicant or its representatives 10 please identify themselves for the record. 11 MR. KUDO: Ben Kudo and Sarah Simmons 12 representing NRG Energy, the Applicant to Kawailoa. 13 MR. YOUNG: Raymond Young representing the 14 Department of Planning and Permitting City and County of Honolulu. 15 16 MS. APUNA: And Deputy Attorney General Dawn 17 Apuna on behalf of the State Office of Planning with 18 Lorene Maki and Rodney Funakoshi. 19 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mr. Chair, I'd like to 21 make a disclosure. 22 CHAIR ACZON: Go ahead. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I, like the previous

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

matter, when it was under First Wind, did some

consulting work with them.

24

25

1 CHAIR ACZON: Any objection? 2 MR. KUDO: No objection. 3 MS. APUNA: No objection. 4 MR. YOUNG: None. 5 CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else? 6 Okay. Let me update the record. On June 7 26, 2015, the Commission voted to approve the 8 Applicant's application for the Special Permit. 9 On April 21, 2017, the Applicant submitted 10 an application to the City and County of Honolulu 11 Planning Commission to amend its Special Permit. On June 21, the Honolulu Planning Commission 12 13 conducted a hearing on Applicant's application for an 14 amendment. On July 24, 2017, the LUC received a copy of 15 16 the decision and a portion of the record of the 17 Planning Commission's proceedings on the Applicant's 18 request. 19 On July 31st, 2017, the Land Use Commission 20 received the remaining portion of the record 21 consisting of the minutes reflecting the adoption of 22 the minutes of the Planning Commission's June 21st, 23 2017 hearing. 24 On August 2nd, 2017, the Commission sent a 25 August 9-10, 2017 LUC agenda notice to the Parties

1 and to the Statewide, Kauai and Oahu mailing lists. 2 On August 4, 2017, the Commission -- the 3 County's over here so. 4 Let me briefly describe the procedure today 5 on this docket. 6 First, I will call for those individuals 7 desiring to provide public testimony on Docket No. 8 SP15-406 to identify themselves. All such 9 individuals will be called in turn to our witness box 10 where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony. 11 The Applicant will make its presentation. 12 After the completion of Applicant's 13 presentation, we will receive any public comments for 14 the State Office of Planning. The Department of Planning and Permitting of the City and County of 15 16 Honolulu is here. 17 After we receive public comment from the 18 State Office of Planning, Commission will conduct its deliberation. 19 20 Are there any questions on our procedures 21 for today? 22 MR. KUDO: No questions. 23 MS. APUNA: No questions. 24 MR. YOUNG: No questions. 25 CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners? Thank you.

1 Are there any individuals wishing to 2 testify? None. 3 Again, I would like to note for the 4 Commissioners -- no need. 5 Mr. Kudo, please proceed with your 6 presentation. 7 MR. KUDO: Thank you very much, Chair Aczon. 8 Thank you very much for your approval of the 9 Waipio PV project. It's going to be very important 10 for Hawaii and the energy scene here. 11 The Kawailoa project back on is very similar 12 to Waipio's. Exhibit 7 up here on the large board 13 behind me is provided to orient the Commission to the 14 location of the project. 15 In 2005, First Wind arrived in Hawaii to 16 contribute to the Hawaii's renewal energy portfolio. 17 In 2012, First Wind proposed the Kawailao 18 project to HECO and the parties entered into a 19 purchase power agreement negotiations. 20 In 2014, the original state -- Special Use 21 Permit application was filed with the City's Planning Commission. And shortly thereafter in March of that 22 23 same year, First Wind was acquired by SunEdison. 24 The State Land Use Commission approved the 25 Kawailoa State Land Use Permit on -- Special Use

Permit on June 29, 2015. The SUP had a completion deadline of June 29, 2017, about a month ago.

SunEdison was unable to finance the project and HECO cancelled their purchase power agreement in February of 2016. And in April 2016, SunEdison filed for bankruptcy.

In October of the same year, NRG Energy purchased this project through the bankruptcy proceedings. And by April 2017, HECO reinstated the purchase power agreement with Kawailoa Solar that was recently approved by the Public Utilities Commission a couple weeks ago.

In early May of this year, Kawailoa Solar submitted its application to modify the existing State Special Use Permit, the Planning Commission approved the proposed amendments on July 19, 2017.

There are only two changes to this particular SUP unlike Waipio which had three. And the two are similar to the first and second, extension of the construction deadline and the number of panels. Kawailoa already is mounted on a movable trackable system which was approved by this Commission in 2015.

For the Kawailoa project, condition 6 imposes a project establishment deadline of no later

than two years from the date of this Commission's decision and order or June 29, 2017 which has passed.

Again, NRG recently acquired this project so we did -- we do need a new deadline to comply with this condition. Therefore, Kawailoa Solar is requesting the deadline be extended to December 31st, 2019 which is the same date for the Waipio project. This extension roughly corresponds to the deadlines imposed under the purchase power agreement with HECO as was approved by the Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission.

The second change that we are asking to the Kawailoa SUP is removing the number of and replacing it by the words area covered by.

Condition 8 of the SUP states major modifications include but are not limited to significant increases in the number of PV panels. We respectfully ask this Commission to replace the phrase number of with the phrase area covered by in that condition 8. We believe this is more accurate -- more accurately articulates the intent of this condition. The actual permitted area in this case is about 382.2 acres and the coverage area of the panels is 299 acres.

The Applicant is presently reviewing bids

from various PV panel vendors, some of which proposed different size panels and therefore, the number of panels used to cover the same area may increase or decrease. The specifications of the two types of panels currently being considered by the Applicant were attached as an exhibit to the modification applicant. See Applicant's Exhibit 12 which was filed in June 20th of this year. Based on these specifications, the panel coverage area should remain approximately the same with minor deviations.

Applicant's Exhibit Number 9, blown up map on the board, shows a preliminary configuration overlay in comparison with the previous configuration of panels. So the 2015 configuration is overlaid with the present design configuration.

We are not reducing the original permitted area of 382.2 acres covered by the SUP. The LUC comment that are noted and apparent discrepancy between the permitted area of the 382.2 acres and the exhibit map labeled Exhibit B in Applicant's letter dated May 5th, 2017 which I will call the overall site plan. See Exhibit 8 on the large board there.

The overall site plan list the figure of 299.809 acres as SUP area. However, we clarified at the Planning Commission hearing that this is actually

the fence project area which is a smaller area within the permitted area of 382.2 acres. That's the area that the chain link fence will go around. The difference in area provides the Applicant with the ability to adjust the configuration of PV panels within the permitted area of 382.2 acres and provides the sheep ranchers with additional space for ranching operations. Once the engineering plans are finalized, panel locations may be determined by such factors as grade, topography and sunlight patterns. Therefore, the actual panel configuration may need to move slightly within that 382.2 acre permitted area. We are requesting that the permitted area of 382.2 acres under this SUP remain the same.

Under the LUC decision and order, the Applicant is to provide the metes and bounds description of 382.2 permitted area. Once the approvals are secured by the Applicant, the Applicant will survey the permitted area and prepare a metes and bounds description for this Commission.

In terms of any comments we received, we received the same comments with regard to the \$4 million decommissioning security. The same reasons we would like -- we agree with the Office of Planning that the words should be changed to no less than

rather than approximately. And with regard to comments of the hog wire fence and chain link fence, we would ask your approval of the chain link fence as a substitute for the hog wire fence which is the industry standard.

The letters from the Department of
Agriculture are included in the record as Exhibits 10
and 11. And we believe that this condition has been
complied with.

In closing, the changes that are being requested are relatively minor and do not change the impacts of these -- of this particular project. We are committed to complying with all of the conditions imposed by both the Planning Commission and this Commission. And we look forward to providing renewable energy source for the island of Oahu along with a sustainable source of lamb meat. So we would respectfully request your support and approval of this SUP application. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

I believe you have witnesses to call? You want to call the witnesses now --

MR. KUDO: I have no further need for the witnesses. Their testimony would be similar with regard to the same issues so unless you would like to

1 hear --

CHAIR ACZON: No, no, no. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I have questions on your maps. So on -- I'm into maps, you know, everybody knows that, right? In 7 now, you have your white lined area. You have that white line that -- that is clearly -- your yellow area is 300 acres and your white area. So is that the actual parcel of land that you have ownership of? And then the yellow section is the section that you have designated or separated off that you will put the chain link fence around for your solar panels? Is that what's going on in and then they're better detailed in 8 and 9 diagrams?

MR. KUDO: We'll let Wren --

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you.

WREN WESCOATT: Wren Wescoatt, project development director --

CHAIR ACZON: You're still under oath.

WREN WESCOATT: The -- you're correct. The white lines are the parcels of land. It's owned by Kamehameha Schools. The yellow on that right hand map defines the project area. The -- so it's similar to the fence you see in the other two maps.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Let me just ask you have any questions. Mr. Young, do you have any questions?

MR. YOUNG: No questions.

CHAIR ACZON: Ms. Apuna?

MS. APUNA: No questions.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you.

Go ahead Commissioners. Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one question. Why is the area in this project, it's almost three times the size of your Waipio project. It's 99 acres and this one is 280 something?

wren wescoatt: So I beg the Commission's patience. This is a little difficult, complicated to explain. That's a good observation. The -- I will start with the larger and go down to the smaller. So the permitted area, the Special Use Permit covers 382 acres at Waipio. So I'm just going to reference the Waipio project for the -- to keep them parallel.

In the Waipio project, the permitted area is 308 acres. Getting smaller from there, within that permitted area, we will build the project and a perimeter fence. So that perimeter fence, all the panels will be within there. At Kawailoa, that's 299 acres. At Waipio, that is approximately 300 acres, about 300 acres at Waipio so slightly smaller. Then

1 what I mentioned before, the actual area covered by 2 the panel, that -- those fenced area include space 3 between the panels, between the roads. The area 4 covered by the panels, if you were to push all those 5 panels together, that's the area covered by the 6 panels. And that's approximately 99 acres. That is 7 very similar to Waipio. It's also approximately 99 So that's why there's three different 8 9 numbers. And so the area covers approximately the 10 same as well.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay. 'Cause for the same 50 megawatt? Okay. So --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WREN WESCOATT: Correct. Waipio is slightly smaller at 47 but very similar.

MR. KUDO: We also have the wind turbines that are on the Kawailoa lot.

CHAIR ACZON: Are you done, Commissioner -COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Cabral.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you. Yeah, I'm a big supporter of all of this and for everyone's good reasons. But I'm familiar with wind turbines. I'm from the Big Island. We have those and I have myself installed a lot of photovoltaic on many large buildings and I'm thrilled with the results.

Just as -- for my own information, cost effectiveness and profit for the owner, I mean is wind turbines in the right location really cost effective or not? I've heard that they're not. I don't own any of those but are they -- I mean photovoltaic has been fantastic but do you find that the wind turbines are really cost effective if you didn't have the tax credits involved? Are they -- or is it really just a tax credit game? Sorry.

WREN WESCOATT: No, no apology needed. In both -- both technologies can be cost effective if they're installed in the right areas.

Wind, there is more variability in terms of location to location even on the island of Oahu. So the -- just for example, the wind project at Kahuku is much more productive than the wind project at Kawailoa. They're still both economically viable but depending on where you put -- and then the Maui -- on Maui, that's even more wind so that's even more, you know, potentially profitable project. Now so in terms of profit, so I think both are viable but wind, you definitely have to locate it in the right place to be viable.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Ohigashi.

1 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just was curious. 2 Is that -- the \$4 million, is that the same 4 million 3 or is it two separate 4 millions? WREN WESCOATT: It's separate. 4 Good 5 question. 6 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just want to --7 because one was 200 -- 290 something acres and she 8 answered that question by --9 MR. KUDO: Unless you want to make it one. 10 WREN WESCOATT: We're required to post 11 separate, you know, at least 4 million for each. 12 CHAIR ACZON: Vice Chair Scheuer. 13 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. This is not a 14 question for the witness but for counsel. I've been 15 interested in OP's thoughts too. I just want to make 16 sure I get this on the record. 17 These two projects differ in that this 18 project is designated as IAL, the land area whereas 19 the last project was not. Can you explain why you 20 think that issuance of a special permit of this 21 matter does not change based on the land's 22 designation as IAL? 23 MR. KUDO: How it doesn't change? 24 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Why are we following 25 the same procedures here as in the last docket even

though -- for the approval of a special permit even though this land is also designated as IAL in addition to being the state agricultural --

MR. KUDO: The original process was followed -- we're not changing, by the way, the agriculture aspect and agricultural plan for this project. And in 2015, I was not the lawyer involved in this particular case for SunEdison. And they had to address the IAL. That's why I said at the very outset that I would not be addressing the ag criteria issues because they were already addressed and approved by the Commission. And since we're not changing anything with regard to the agriculture plan and raising sheep on this, we didn't need -- felt we didn't need to go over it again. I don't know if that answers your question.

WREN WESCOATT: Vice Chair, if I may. The County Planning Commission did take a special interest in that difference. So for Kawailoa, they did require that we submit a specific agriculture plan that they could review because it was IAL and they felt there was a higher level of diligence that they needed to do. So we worked with local ranchers and other ag producers to provide a agricultural plan which was part of the record in the initial planning

1 in 2015. 2 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you. 3 CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else, Commissioners? 4 Ms. Apuna? 5 MS. APUNA: Thank you. 6 So when OP reviewed the IAL designation by 7 Kamehameha Schools, wind farms were part of that 8 consideration for designation. So we feel it's okay. 9 CHAIR ACZON: Answer your question? 10 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Yes. Thank you. 11 CHAIR ACZON: Anybody, Commissioners? Thank 12 you. 13 So if there's no further discussion on the 14 motion, Commissioners, what's your pleasure? 15 Mr. Young, do you have any comments? 16 MR. YOUNG: No. The City does not have any 17 comments. 18 CHAIR ACZON: Ms. Apuna. 19 MS. APUNA: Thank you, Chair. 20 Office of Planning reviewed the Kawailoa 21 Solar, LLC application for modification and Special 22 Use Permit 15-406 and provided the following comments 23 to DPP in a letter dated June 2nd, 2017. 24 OP has no objection to the change in 25 ownership of the facility from SunEdison to NRG

Renew, LLC. Again, OP recommended replacing the word approximately with the phrase no less than in condition 4 regarding the amount of financial security of Applicant to decommission the project.

OP supports the amendment to the time to establish the project earlier than December 2019 in condition 5.

OP supports the amendment to condition 8 with regard to major modification that are based on the area covered by the panels rather than the number of panels. OP notes that while the number of PV panels will increase, the proposed tracking rack is similar to the original proposal.

OP notes that the current condition 11 requires immediate mitigation by the Applicant of any hazard created by the photovoltaic array for pilots and motorists.

The Planning Commission adopted OP's recommendation. Therefore, OP fully supports the modification to Special Use Permit 15-406. Thank you.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioners, any questions for Ms. Apuna? None. Just want to make sure.

Commissioners, what's your pleasure?
Commissioner Cabral?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'd like to make the same motion that Commissioner Wong made on the last petition. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Second.

CHAIR ACZON: The motion has been made by Commissioner Cabral and seconded by Vice Chair Wong to accept the changes. Is there any discussion? Vice Chair Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Yeah. I'd just like on a personal note to note that the manager for Kamehameha Schools, Kapu Smith has passed away since this first came in front of the Commission. And if you didn't know Kapu, she made it her career's work after the land reverted from Waialua Sugar to Kamehameha Schools to make this a really viable agricultural asset for the schools respecting the cultural values there, trying to produce income to the institution at the same time is really being compatible with the wishes and desires of the North Shore community. So sad that she's not here to see this further step forward. But I'm grateful for her work and will be voting in favor of the motion.

CHAIR ACZON: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, thank you.

As similar to the prior application, I would

find that this project and the request by the

Petitioner complies with Hawaii Constitution Article

11 Section 1. I think the record is very clear that
the project -- the project gives and moves the State
forward in furtherance of self sufficiency which self
sufficiency is a constitutional mandate.

And again, I believe that the Applicant and its counsel should be commended for picking up the pieces after a bankruptcy which normally would derail even the best of projects. And so for that reason and other good reasons on record, I will be voting in favor of this motion.

CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else, Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yeah. I'd like to also speak in favor of this in light of the fact that it is clearly to the best of our -- every one in our community that we try to get away from fossil, fossil fuels and what we know about that. And as I have PV on my house and I've put it on many of the large condominiums I manage, I am thrilled with that in and can't wait for the day that everybody produces all of their own daytime power and has something to store it with to, you know, crank it up at night. So I think that this is really wonderful that we all get to be a part of something so progressive and at the same time

1	we keep sheep on the land. Thank you.
2	CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else, Commissioners?
3	If there's no further discussion, Mr. Orodenker,
4	please poll the Commissioners.
5	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6	The motion is to adopt the order.
7	Commissioner Cabral.
8	COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Aye.
9	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Wong.
10	COMMISSIONER WONG: Aye.
11	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Chang.
12	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.
13	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Ohigashi.
14	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes.
15	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Scheuer.
16	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aye.
17	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Okuda.
18	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
19	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Estes.
20	COMMISSIONER ESTES: Yes.
21	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Chair Aczon.
22	CHAIR ACZON: Yes.
23	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24	The motion passes unanimously.
25	CHAIR ACZON: Congratulations.

--- McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 --

1 MR. KUDO: Thank you very much. 2 (Recessed taken.) 3 CHAIR ACZON: We're back on record. 4 The next agenda item is a committee report 5 and election of officers. The Chair will hear the 6 nominations and conduct the vote. Commissioner 7 Estes. 8 COMMISSIONER ESTES: First of all, I'd like 9 to say I was on the nomination committee and I 10 originally suggested that we flip a coin between 11 Jonathan and Arnold and the loser got to be Chair. 12 But nobody liked that. So we're going to go in 13 alphabetical order and I would like to nominate 14 Arnold for Chair, Nancy and Jonathan for Vice Chairs. 15 CHAIR ACZON: Who's first and second? 16 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Oh, you have a first 17 Vice Chair? Okay. Jonathan first, Nancy second. 18 I'd like to add something else. I think 19 Edmund has done a fabulous job above and beyond the 20 call of duty. Arnold, you're going to have big shoes 21 to fill. 22 CHAIR ACZON: No, my shoes are small. 23 COMMISSIONER WONG: That's good shoes I'm 24 wearing. 25 COMMISSIONER ESTES: Anyways, those are my

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148 -

nominations.

CHAIR ACZON: Any second?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'll second.

CHAIR ACZON: Seconded by Commissioner

Chang. Discussions? Go ahead, Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yeah. Chair, I'd like to thank you for your work as Chair. I mean since I'm the neophyte here, appreciated how you treated me with respect even though I might not know what I'm doing. You've made me feel welcome.

But the more important thing is I've noticed even in contentious hearings how you really made people, the public feel that they had input, a right to give their opinion. In this time of very polarized things that happen politically, I think the way you handle being Chair, the fairness, the openness but also keeping people to their three minute statement which I will limit myself to, I think that's something really positive. And the fact that we haven't had any controversy is a testament to your leadership. So I personally would like to thank you as the new boy on the block.

CHAIR ACZON: Thank you for that.

I also want to thank you all Commissioners for their patience and confidence in me. I'm glad to

1 have been in this position. 2 Commissioner Ohigashi. 3 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I would like to 4 correct him. I'm the new boy on the block. 5 CHAIR ACZON: Okay. Any other discussions? 6 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just to echo Gary's 7 appreciation for your leadership, Chair. 8 CHAIR ACZON: Thank you. 9 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Both in the meetings as 10 well as many other efforts at the rectangular 11 building across the street among other places. 12 CHAIR ACZON: Anybody else? Hearing none. 13 Those in favor of the motion, say aye. 14 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 15 CHAIR ACZON: Oppose? Motion carried. 16 Congratulations Commissioner Wong and Commissioner 17 Cabral. 18 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you all. 19 CHAIR ACZON: There being no -- any other 20 business? There being no further business for today, this meeting is recessed and will reconvene tomorrow 21 22 in Lihue, Kauai at 9:30 at the Kauai County Moikeha Building Conference Rooms 2A and 2B. Thank you. 23 24 (Concluded at 10:58 a.m.) 25 --00000--

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, PRISCILLA GONZAGA, CSR #127, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That on August 9, 2017, the proceedings
7	contained herein was taken down by me in machine
8	shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting under
9	my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the
10	best of my ability, a true and correct copy of the
11	proceedings had in the foregoing matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel
13	for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way
14	interested in the outcome of the cause named in this
15	caption.
16	Dated this 23 day of August, 2017 in
17	Honolulu, Hawaii.
18	
19	
20	<u>/s/ Priscilla Gonzaga</u>
21	Priscilla Gonzaga, CSR #127
22	
23	
24	
25	

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-