1		LAND USE COMMISSION
2		STATE OF HAWAI'I
3		October 18, 2017
4		Commencing at 1:30 p.m.
5		Kulana O'iwi, DHHL/OHA Conference Room
6		600 Maunaloa Highway
7		Kaunakakai, Molokai, Hawai'i
8		
9	I.	Call to Order
10	II.	Adoption of Minutes
11	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule
12	IV.	Hearing and Action - DR17-60 Monsanto Company -
13		Molokai IAL (Molokai) to consider Petition for Declaratory Order to Designate Important
14		Agricultural Lands for Approximately 1,084.079 acres at Naiwa, Manowainue, Kahanui, Molokai,
15		identified by TMK No. (2) 5-2-012-004
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	BEFOR	E: Jean Marie McManus, CSR 156
22		
23		
24		
25		

McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

		3
1	INDEX	
2	PUBLIC WITNESSES: Tom DeCoursey	PAGE
	Direct Examination	16
3	Aaron Boswell	
4	Direct Examination	19
5	Adolph Helm	
6	Direct Examination	22
	Artice Swingle	
7	Direct Examination	26
8	Lori Buchanan Direct Examination	29
9	Direct Examination Direct Examination continued	68
10	Walter Ritte	
	Direct Examination	33
11	Judy Caparida	
12	Direct Examination	40
13	Keoni Rawlins Fernandez	
14	Direct Examination	45
	Kauhane Adams	
15	Direct Examination	47
16	Robert Stephenson	F 0
17	Direct Examination	50
18	Justin Avelino Direct Examination	55
		33
19	Lawrence Kalamakulanakilaokekulakiekie Lasua Direct Examination	56
20	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	59
21	Sheila Awai	
22	Direct Examination	61
	Liko Wallace	
23	Direct Examination	65
24		
25		

------McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-----

			4
1	INDEX CONTINUED		
2	PETITIONER'S WITNESSES	PAGE	
3	Dan Clegg Direct Examination	87	
4	Cross-Examination/OP Redirect Examination	103 125	
5	Tom Schnell		
6	Direct Examination	128	
7	STATE OFFICE OF PLANNING WITNESS		
8	Earl Yamamoto Direct Examination 151	151	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Good afternoon. 1 2 the October 18, 2017 Land Use Commission meeting. 3 First order of business is adoption of the minutes for the October 11, 2017 meeting. Any corrections or 4 5 additions? 6 If there is none, may I have a motion to 7 adopt? COMMISSIONER ESTES: So move. 8 9 COMMISSIONER MAHI: Second. 10 CHAIRPERSON WONG? Commissioner Estes 11 moved, and Commissioner Mahi second. The motion has 12 been made. All in favor say "aye"; any opposed? 13 opposed. The minutes have been adopted unanimously. 14 Thank you. 15 Next agenda item is the tentative meeting 16 schedule. Mr. Orodenker. 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 Tomorrow, October 19th, we will be here if 19 necessary in this matter. 20 November 8th we will be on Kaua'i for Kauai 21 Solar Special Permit; and November 21st, here on Oahu 22 for Hono'uli'uli Wastewater Treatment Plant Special 23 Permit at Honolulu Airport. 24 December 6th and 7, we will be on Maui for

Waikapu Country Town District Boundary Amendment.

25

1 On January 10th and 11, we will be on Maui 2 for Pulelehua (Maui Land & Pine) Motion to Amend. 3 And on January 24th, we will be on Big 4 Island, National Park Service on Lanihau, HHFDC 5 status report, Waikoloa Heights. 6 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you, Mr. 7 Orodenker. Commissioners, do you have any questions? 8 9 Thank you. 10 This is a hearing and action meeting on 11 DR17-60, in the Matter of the Petition of the 12 Monsanto Corporation for Declaratory Order to 13 Designate Important Agricultural Lands for 14 approximately 1,084.079 acres at Naiwa, Manowainui, 15 Kahanui, Moloka'i, identified by Tax Map No. 16 (2)5-2-012-004. 17 Just for your information, this is not a contested case hearing, it's only to consider the 18 Petition for Declaratory Ruling. 19 20 Will the Petitioner please identify itself 21 for the record? 22 MS. LIM: Good afternoon, Chair and 23 Commissioners. 24 Jennifer Lim representing Petitioner 25 Monsanto. To my right is my law partner, Mr. Mark

1 Murakami.

2 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.

MS. APUNA: Good afternoon, Chair and
members of the Commission, Deputy Attorney General
Dawn Apuna on behalf of State Office of Planning.

6 Here with me today is Lorene Maki.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.

MR. SPENCE: Good afternoon, Chair,

Commissioners. I'm William Spence, Planning Director

for Maui County. With me day is Kathleen Aoki, a

Planner assigned to this Petition

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Let me update record.

On September 29th, 2017, the Commission received Petitioner's Petition for the Declaratory Order to designate Important Agricultural Lands, and Exhibits 1 through 10 with a hard copy and digital file; and \$1,000 application fee.

A request for comments to the LUC about the Petition was mailed by Petitioner to OP, Office of Planning, the State Department of Agriculture, and to the City and County of Maui Planning.

Earlier today, the Commission conducted a site visit to the Petition Area.

On October 10th, the Commission received

OP's comments on the Petition and mailed the

October 18, 2017 LUC meeting agenda to the

Petitioner, OP, DOA, Maui County and the State and

Oahu mailing list.

On October 13, 2017, Commission received the County of Maui Planning Department's comments on the Petition.

From October 12th to October 17th,

Commission received comments on the Petition from the

State Department of Agriculture and several other

organizations whose names are on file and are part of
the record.

Additionally, we have received a letter from the Petitioner dated October 18th, about this issue, all the Commissioners got it. And also an errata to Petitioner's Exhibit 16 on October 17th.

Ms. Lim, can you please confirm that your client understands and will comply with the Commission's policy regarding the reimbursement of hearing proceedings?

MS. LIM: Sure, Chair. I can confirm that Petitioner will comply.

And if I may, in that list of exhibits, we did also file on the 16th Exhibits 11 through 16.

And so that included the PowerPoint, the full

PowerPoint slides. And then, as you correctly noted this morning, Petitioner submitted the letter responding most directly to Office of Planning's comments, but also to Department of Ag and the County of Maui as well.

And at the same time we had noticed a couple of typographical errors in the PowerPoint slides, so two replacement PowerPoint slides were filed this morning, just for the record.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any questions about those? Thank you

Ms. Lim, the Chair understands the parties had discussions and had agreed on how the presentations could be made to expedite these proceedings; is that correct?

MS. LIM: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Sorry, I missed the opportunity. Mr. Chair, just regarding the last minute filings from the Petitioner, have those been made available to the interested members of the public?

MR. ORODENKER: They have been posted to the website.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Go ahead, Commissioner Okuda.

1 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 Before we begin or proceeding, I would like 3 to disclose the fact that I know Karl Kobayashi, who 4 is the Chairman of the Board of the Petitioner's law firm Carlsmith Ball. Mr. Kobayashi is a personal 5 6 friend of mine dating back to college days at 7 University of Hawai'i at Manoa. He sometimes refers clients to my firm; and 8 so would I like to make that disclosure. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Is there any opposition? 11 MS. LIM: No opposition from Petitioner, 12 and technically, for the record, Mr. Kobayashi has, 13 after many, many years of service, actually stepped 14 down from the Chair position, but he is still a 15 partner at our law firm. 16 CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP? 17 MS. APUNA: No opposition. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: County? 19 MR. SPENCE: No opposition. 20 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Is there any 21 other --22 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes, Mr. Chair. 23 you. 24 While I don't believe this is a conflict of 25 interest, I did want to disclose I have done work in

1 the past for Dow AgroSciences. I helped them with a 2 water use permit a couple years agriculture, but I 3 have not done any work for Monsanto, but I did want 4 to disclose that for the record. 5 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any objections? 6 MS. LIM: No objections. 7 MS. APUNA: No objections. MR. SPENCE: 8 No. 9 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Ohigashi. 10 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just want to 11 disclose that I have a social relationship with Ms. 12 Lim's husband, Steven Lim, friends from law school. And I don't think it would impair in my impartial 13 14 judgment. 15 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Petitioner? 16 MS. LIM: No objection. 17 MS. APUNA: No objection. 18 MR. SPENCE: No objection. 19 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Scheuer? 20 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I have declared, on 21 previous occasions, worked as a consultant to the 22 Department of Hawaiian Homelands assisting them with 23 their assertions of their water rights issues around 24 the State of Hawai'i, which include rights to the

Molokai Irrigation System, which is also used by the

25

1 Petitioner.

However, I do not believe these issues substantially affect this Petition, nor do I think -- and I also believe I can be fair and balanced and unbiased in this proceeding.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Petitioner?

MS. LIM: Petitioner has no objection.

MS. APUNA: No objection.

MR. SPENCE: No objection.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Is there anyone else that wants to -- okay. Thank you.

So what's before -- the parties have had discussions about the presentation to try to expedite. I just wanted to make sure that OP, is that correct, that you talked with the Petitioner about this issue of the proceedings and you're okay with it?

MS. APUNA: Yes, we are okay with it.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: County, are you okay

with it?

MR. SPENCE: Yeah.

22 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. The Chair will agree with these proposed changes.

Let me briefly describe our adjusted procedure for today on this docket.

I will first call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn prior to the testimony.

After completion of the public testimony portion of the proceedings, the Petitioner will make its presentation.

After the completion of today's portion of Petitioner's presentation, we will receive any public comments from the County, Office of Planning and Department of Agriculture.

And then we'll finish any remainder of the Petitioner's presentation, and any agencies public comments tomorrow or today, if we can.

Thereafter, the Commission will conduct its deliberations.

The Chair also notes that from time to time I may call for a short break just for all of us to get up and stretch out.

Are there any questions about the procedures for today?

MS. LIM: Chair, Petitioner does have one question just to clarify.

If the proceedings do go through today and

onto tomorrow, will public testimony be closed as of today? So there will be no additional public testimony at the start of tomorrow's hearing, but of course the commenting agencies would be able to continue commenting, as they typically do in these IAL proceedings.

I believe that's what you were describing, but I want to make sure I heard it correctly.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Well, we hope that all the public testimony can be done today, unless there's someone that really feels the need to testify, then we will allow them the opportunity if time permits tomorrow. But we will take it as we go, just in case.

If all the public testimony is done, and we hear everyone's statements, take into account, then we'll leave it at that.

MS. LIM: I understand.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Ms. Lim, the Chair also intends to declare all the documents submitted by the Department of Agriculture, Office of Planning, County of Maui Planning Department, any written public testimony as part of the record.

Do you have any objections to that?

MS. LIM: Not at all, thank you.

1 MS. APUNA: No objection. 2 MR. SPENCE: No objection. 3 CHAIRPERSON WONG: The documents are made 4 part of the record. 5 Is there anyone who desires to provide 6 public testimony on this matter? A three-minute time 7 limit will be enforced on this matter. So not 8 telling you to don't stop, but I just want everyone 9 to have their time to speak. We're just giving 10 everyone three minutes. Mr. Orodenker. 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 The first public witness we have signed up 13 is Tom DeCourcy, followed by Kauhane Adams. 14 THE WITNESS: I feel like Attorney General 15 Sessions here, but you'll have to excuse me, I don't 16 have any written notes. I just have handwritten 17 notes, and so, Mr. Chairman, members of the 18 Commissioner, good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON WONG: May swear you in first? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm 22 that the testimony your about to give is the truth? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. 25 Can you please state your name and address

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

1 | for the record, and proceed?

THE WITNESS: My name is Tom DeCoursey,

3 P.O. Box 745 Kaunakakai 96748.

4 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please proceed.

TOM DeCOURSEY

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I am operation manager for the Molokai Seed Company which produces seed for conservation and sustainable ag. And I've been farming on Molokai for 45 years. And, let's see.

We farm about eight acres of this land.

It's up by Kualapu'u School. We sublease it from

Monsanto. And you might, in your travels, you might
have seen the land. We haven't planted it yet. We
rely on rains up there. Try not to irrigate. It's

organic growing organically.

We usually are growing sunn hemp, which is a tropical legume that adds nitrogen to the soil.

It's for Conservation and sustainable ag.

I strongly support Monsanto's action in this. Over the years, in my career, I've seen much agriculture land taken out of ag, but I've never seen any nonagricultural land put into ag.

1 Once it's taken out of ag, it's gone 2 forever. And this 1000 acres, if I understand this 3 right, will be in agriculture in perpetuity. And 4 I've been fighting for that my career. Whether 5 Monsanto owns it or whoever owns it, it doesn't 6 matter, it will remain in agriculture. 7 I've seen fields here on Molokai, and 8 throughout the country, and internationally taken out 9 of agriculture, never to be put back into 10 agriculture. And as a farmer, that really frosts me. 11 Hopefully this will put it in agriculture, 12 put it in agriculture to stay. 13 This land -- I did some research -- this 14 land has been farmed for about 100 years consistently 15 in agriculture from when pineapple started, when 16 coffee came, now Monsanto, but it's prime 17 agricultural land, and I would hate to see houses built on it. 18 If you -- that's all I've got, but if you 19 20 have any questions, I would be glad to answer it. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Petitioner? 22 MS. LIM: No questions. 23 MS. APUNA: No questions. 24 MR. SPENCE: No questions.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners, do you

25

1 have any questions? Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mahalo for coming and sharing your thoughts.

I just want to clarify, since you stated your understanding that this would protect this agricultural land in perpetuity.

What it does, should the Commission accept all or a portion of the Petition area and designate it as Important Agricultural Lands, it increases the voting requirement for redistricting the land from agriculture to urban. But it does not absolutely prevent the conversion of that land from agricultural use to nonagricultural use.

That still remains at the discretion of this, or some future body with zoning authority.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for that clarification.

I took our family farm and put it in an easement in perpetuity back in the east. That's the ideal. This is better than nothing.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: A separate disclosure is I'm on the board of the Hawaiian Islands Land

Trust, and we do those kind of conservation easements which truly perpetual. This is a different kind of thing.

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Anyone else? Thank you,
3	sir. Next person.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next person signed up
5	Kauhane Adams followed by Aaron Boswell.
6	(Discussion held off the record.)
7	Next person is Aaron Boswell.
8	CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear in, please?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm
11	that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON WONG: State your name and
14	address.
15	THE WITNESS: My name is Aaron Boswell,
16	P.O. Box 83, Ho'olehua, Hawai'i 96729.
17	AARON BOSWELL
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: I oppose this. I don't
22	believe that the intention of the law is being met.
23	The products that they produce in general is not for
24	consumption here locally.
25	The biggest reason I object is because of

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148—

water. I feel that they will have extra -- they will be able to present a demand for current and future uses on the water system, and I don't agree with that. I don't think they should have that kind of vote as they are an outside entity.

I don't believe that they should have a say in the way that our resources get reallocated.

As far as not being able to develop, according to the rules, they are able to reclassify like a certain amount of their -- this area. And although they say that it's going into conservation, they could also classify it as rural or urban.

There is an allowance of a certain amount of development that is allowed within this parcel, I believe. And I don't agree with that as well. I do believe that it should stay as farming.

You know, everybody seen the news that they just -- they're in the process of getting bought out or merging or whatever with Bayer. And, you know, that, qualify -- this will allow them to qualify for certain amount of tax exemptions. And being the monster company that they are, they shouldn't be allowed -- you know, they should pay their taxes like everybody else.

I am a local farmer. In fact, my homestead

is neighboring this property. If I read this 1 2 correctly, I believe a lot of the voting that would 3 take place would leave our County Planning 4 Commission, and would lie in the hands of you folks, 5 and no offense, but the Island of Molokai has been 6 very good at protecting its own. The State doesn't 7 have the best track record in taking care of our 8 resources. 9 And that's all I have. Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Petitioner, 11 any questions? 12 MS. LIM: No questions. 13 MS. APUNA: No questions. 14 MR. SPENCE: No. 15 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners? 16 you. Next person. 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier, Rob 18 Stephenson followed by Adolph Helm. 19 MR. STEPHENSON: I respectfully would like to allow others to testify, and I'll wait until 20 21 others are finished. Thank you. 22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Adolph Helm. 23 THE WITNESS: I go junk Ns po, so I can go 24 last. Just kidding. 25 CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear you in?

1 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 2 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm 3 that the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 4 THE WITNESS: I do. 5 ADOLPH HELM 6 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 7 truth, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Adolf Helm. 10 o ka aina. Born and raised on Molokai. My address 11 is P.O. Box 391, Ho'olehua, Hawai'i 96729. 12 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please proceed. 13 THE WITNESS: First of all, my family has a 14 long history of farming. My dad was the first in 15 Hawaiian Homes annual historically to meet the intent 16 and purpose of the Hawaiian Homes Act as a farmer. 17 As a child of my mother and dad, and my 18 siblings also, we carry that legacy today. I also am 19 a project leader for Mycogen Seeds, which is a 20 subsidiary of Dow AgroSciences on Molokai. 21 We have an operation on Molokai. We're the 22 smaller seed company on Molokai. That being said, I

One of the things that we specialize in is

also farm on Hawaiian Home Lands. I do a variety of

23

24

25

crops.

specialty teas. We grow a lot of Native Hawaiian teas for marketing.

That being said, the intent and purpose, I believe, with Monsanto is to obviously designate 1,080 acres or so, and I'm going to use the word "perpetuity". I might be wrong, but use the word "perpetuity" into agriculture as Tom mentioned, the first speaker.

As far as growing up on this island, I remember that whole place being into farming. And, you know, there may be some differences, and perhaps the practices that Monsanto do, you know, in terms of, you know, whether they're growing conventional --whether they practice conventional farming, biotechnology farming or organic farming, they have done an excellent job in transforming this particular area in what I believe is very outstanding farming an agricultural conservation practices.

They have transformed it into a place where they have put in infrastructure that helps to mitigate against soil erosion and other things that may cause problems in that area.

Though not perfect, I believe that they're trying to work as much as possible with neighbors and people in the community to mitigate against what

others may perceive as negative. And so I think those are things, as corporate citizens, you know, are things that I think we all are responsible for, and the same with our company.

And I think as corporate citizens they have done an excellent job with outreach work also, and especially with helping other farmers, and especially Hawaiian homestead farmers, helping them in many ways to start farming.

And one example would be a lot of farmers don't have the cash flow to clear their land.

Monsanto, and so is the company I work for, we go into the land unconditionally, clear the land, and make it turnkey so the farmer can farm. And there's no outlay of cash on the farmer, perhaps saving 10 to \$20,000 in cost.

We've been doing that as long as I can remember. I've been working for the seed corn company for 20 years. That's really, really helpful, helpful for farmers. And it's all about big ag, small ag succeeding.

And our philosophy and fundamental reason why agricultural needs to be viable is that we, as farmers, need to help other farmers succeed. And the problem with Molokai is we don't have enough farmers.

And by having corporations such as Monsanto to make 1 2 it easier for farmers to farm, tells a lot. 3 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you please wrap it 4 up? 5 THE WITNESS: Sure. 6 And the fact that, you know, if we go back 7 to 2014, there's a very contentious issue concerning GMO moratorium. Molokai as a community voted 8 9 overwhelmingly against the moratorium. You have a 10 lot of people on this island that support farming, 11 not just GMO, but support farming in general. 12 And with that said, I would like to humbly 13 ask that you consider their Petition, and ask you to 14 approve their Petition to set aside 1,084 acres as 15 important ag lands for Molokai. 16 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Any 17 questions? 18 MS. LIM: No questions. 19 MS. APUNA: No questions. 20 MR. SPENCE: No questions. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners, any 22 questions? Thank you. 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next public testifier 24 is Artice Swingle. 25 THE WITNESS: My name is Artice Swingle,

1 P.O. Box 121, Kualapu'u Hawai'i 96757.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can I swear you in?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you affirm or swear that the testimony that you're about to give is the truth?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you please proceed?

ARTICE SWINGLE

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My testimony basically, I am very much in favor of having this set aside as agricultural land that would be available to all people on the island to farm it. My concerns would be the use of the land with pesticides.

I come at this because of my background as a diagnostician for the Diagnostic School of Neurologically Handicapped in Southern California. I was a state level diagnostician, and I have the privilege of working with the children who came out of Davis, California, and many of those communities where high levels of pesticides were used, and also saw the impact of it generationally.

In moving here 16 years ago, I was extremely disturbed by finding some of the same profiles on testing that I saw there that impact the learning of these children, and are hidden in many ways.

I am opposed to genetically modified organisms. In particular, I have sensitivities to many kinds of pesticides. I fear for our water. I fear for our children. I fear for the land itself.

Coming here one of the first things I saw was the flaps of black plastic on the land, the results of the previous pineapple usage.

It was promised that those would be removed, and they never were.

I voted, and I -- you know, I'm definitely opposed to the genetically modified organisms. And I was thrilled to see that we did pass a moratorium on the growth of those products.

And I was very disturbed to see that it got overruled at both county and state levels because of the judge's wife not -- that wasn't recused properly.

Anyways, I have great concerns about our children and the welfare of this population. You have very, very high levels of diabetes, heart disease and other kinds of things.

1 And as painful to me to say that I know 2 someone personally who's sister had a child with the 3 intestines born on the outside. The child died. 4 I think that's about it. I'm concerned. 5 just want us to farm. I want land to be kept sacred, the water kept sacred, the wind is sacred, the 6 elements are sacred. And human life is sacred. 7 That's all. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any questions? 10 MS. LIM: No questions. 11 MS. APUNA: No questions. 12 MR. SPENCE: No questions. 13 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners? 14 Commissioner Chang. 15 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one question. 16 you support the Petition or do you oppose Petition? 17 THE WITNESS: No, I would like to see the 18 Petition go forward, but the thing that's missing 19 from there, which I think other testimony will say, I feel like we need to have a committee that is made up 20 21 of local people, not necessarily involved with 22 genetically modified organisms. 23 We have a web now of organic farmers on our 24 island. We have sustainable Molokai in place. It's

taken years to build these things so that people know

25

1 who's growing, what food is clean. I personally 2 won't eat anything that I can't source. 3 So if we have a committee that monitors, 4 that is made out of local people who have lived here, 5 know the people, know the ways, and respect the 6 sacredness of what we have here. 7 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Next witness. 9 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier is Lori 10 Buchanan. 11 CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear you in, 12 please? 13 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 14 you're about to give is the truth? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 16 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Can you please state your name and address for the record and 17 18 the proceed with your testimony. 19 LORI BUCHANAN 20 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 21 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 THE WITNESS: Aloha Honorable Commission 24 members. My name is Lori Buchanan. I was born and 25 raised on the Island of Molokai, and that's it.

So I do have written testimony, if you -if it can be distributed, sorry I don't have 21
copies. I never know going to have this much people
associated. Thank you.

I wanted to thank Monsanto for accommodating the public this morning in a public site visit. I very much appreciated that, so thank you very much. Thank you to the Commission for providing the opportunity for the public to see what you see.

I was born and raised in Manowainui, which is across the street from the parcel that is being asked for declaration. We were the only house within three miles.

I learned how to drive in the pineapple fields. And at the age of 14 I got a work permit and I worked picking pineapple until Dole went out of business. And that's how we made money during the summer.

So while some of you on Oahu was in the cannery, we were picking up the pineapple following the boom that sent those pineapples to Honolulu to the Dole Cannery.

The first night I had dreams of yellow pineapples was horrible. I am well acquainted with

the parcel. I spent my life there.

Up until Monsanto put up fences, I accessed the pu'u as a place for respite. I would climb on the hill, look out against the grand landscape, and just be in awe. That has ceased.

Also my ability to access across the street since private ownership of Kualapu'u Ranch. And we stopped subsisting, gathering, hunting in that area. So we hunted religiously since the day I was born in those areas, also my brother did.

But I wrote a lot of stuff while I was on the site visit, and I only have three minutes, so I might have to entertain the mercy of the Chair in asking to come back to wrap it up.

But a lot -- you can see that my testimony says in support with conditions. And the conditions are there. And I'm sorry, I had maybe 20 minutes to type this up between the site visit and coming here.

There's justification to the condition that

I put out in here. And the reason why, as you can

see, is to incorporate stateholder concerns, because

I don't know of any management plan for the 1000

acres that is available or currently that the

Petitioner has.

So today Commissioners asked on the site

visit how much percentage of this land is being used, and important -- the designation. Nobody was able to answer that. They weren't able to answer questions about field rotation, all that type of questions that you as a land manager would know, and would be able to convey was absent.

So that goes to the "why". And so a lot of the negative -- not negative, but I would say a lot of the concerns arise from best management practices by a landowner. And that would be stuff, you know, like if that's the wildlife integrated pest management, wildlife pesticide use. Earlier a testifies was worried about if they have priority to water.

And that's because we didn't have any environmental assessment or any EIS. So I'll wrap it up, but I would love to expound that maybe another three minutes and come back after everybody else has a chance.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Petitioner, any questions?

MS. LIM: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. SPENCE: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners? Thank

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

1	you. Next testifier.
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testify Walter
3	Ritte.
4	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Good morning, sir
5	afternoon, sorry.
6	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
7	that you're about to give is the truth?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you please speak
10	your name and address?
11	THE WITNESS: My name Walter Ritte. I'm a
12	Ho'olehua homesteader from Ho'olehua. And grew up
13	next to this parcel.
14	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please proceed.
15	WALTER RITTE
16	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: I could spend my whole three
20	minutes talking about why I don't support this kind
21	of farming. I don't know why they call it farming.
22	It's hard to figure out why they call it farming.
23	But it has a lot of negative impacts on our
24	community trying to figure out how to resolve that.
25	So really hard to get the government to resolve that

because most of them don't live here. They don't talk to people while the dust is blowing in their faces.

So I'm going to talk about what I want to see put into conditions if this is passed by this board.

The first thing I'm concerned about is the people who live downwind, including myself. I live less than a mile away downwind, and we have to deal with this dust and what's in the dust. And I don't know if their using dicamba now, but I think they are, which is a lot more prevalent about staying in the air and floating in the air. I'm really worried about the dust.

So I would like a condition to be that they plant windbreaks all along their property downwind to protect the homesteaders from what's coming out of these fields.

I saw some of the bermes that they were putting up, and it seems to me the bermes are only to divert water. They want to divert 'em this way, divert 'em that way. That's not good enough. They should be putting in Gabions. They hold the water on the land so it doesn't go down to the ocean.

This what I'm talking about. We were

standing up on the hill, and we looked down across the street, was more farmland that they're leasing.

Past that is our precious ocean.

This study was done by federal government calls it a treasure, U.S. treasure. So impacting this ocean negatively a high priority for us because that's where a lot of fisherman go to feed their families. Right below these fields, very critical.

So they need to figure out how to hold that water up. And there are permaculture ways to do it, different ways to hold the water on the land.

I really believe that we need a management plan from these people, that these issues could be put into that management plan.

The other one I'm really nervous about is our kids. Nobody made it a point to show you that right across the street from where these guys are farming is Kualapu'u School. All my grand kids are in that school.

So we need a buffer zone of some sort to protect the kids. We need a windbreak. We need Gabions. We need a management plan. We need buffer zones.

The last point I would like to bring out is that pu'u. That pu'u should not be in this plan.

That pu'u is a special pu'u, sacred place. And many of us know the stories. And many of us don't know the stories of that place.

So somehow in part of this plan it has to address Article XII, Section 7 of our constitution which gives us the right as Hawaiians to access these places. And that had been nonexistent. In fact, there is a fence to keep the dear out that goes right around, and there's these guys in white truck all night driving with white trucks to make sure no one goes in there.

So management plan with all of these items need to be there. If they're not in there, then I would like to have an opportunity to be an intervenor in this process.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Petitioner.

MS. LIM: Petitioner doesn't have any questions, but we would hope that the Commission recognizes that the test before the Commission today is whether or not the land that we were on, the soil that we were on today qualifies as Important Agricultural Land.

And there's some impassioned testimony, but if that testimony rises to be material or relevant, it's something I would question. And Petitioner just

wanted to lodge that concept for the Commissioners to
consider.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: That has been the exact problem we have been having here on Molokai with the government.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. SPENCE: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER ESTES: I just wonder how making this Important Agricultural Land would make your situation worse.

THE WITNESS: Would make it worse? Well, first of all we don't agree that this, how you define agriculture. This is like stretching this whole idea of what is agriculture.

I'm not against Important Agricultural

Land, but I'm really against the negative impacts.

If nobody in the government, including you guys, are not going to address that, then we're the ones that are going to bear the burden of all of the negative impacts from this.

We cannot just push this aside. They would love to push it aside and not deal with it, because

you guys supposed to only do -- you're bound by these laws and whatever. But our job is to say what is impacting us.

So I'm not here saying that we don't want agriculture. We all grew up with agriculture. It's something that's in our hearts. But we're not sure this is agriculture at all. And we want to be protected.

So we're hoping that you guys are going to be the ones that's going to help put into these conditions, before you give them the right do what they want to do, put in these conditions that is concerns coming out of the community to protect the community, whether it's us, our kids or the ocean.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha, Mr. Ritte.

THE WITNESS: Aloha.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: You mentioned the ocean. I'm going to ask you some obvious questions. You go fish shore gather along the ocean makai of this area?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We do crabbing. We do fishing.

The other concern I have is before you even get to the ocean, there's all these fishponds. And

what we have done to the fishponds is horrendous and they keep doing this.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Are you aware or did
you have a chance to review a document that's posted
on the LUC website as part of this Petition called A
Report of Findings on Cultural Research Related to
the Designation of Majority of Monsanto Companies,
Maui County Land. It was a report done by Ramsey
Taum of PBR Hawai'i?

THE WITNESS: No.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: He listed various groups that he consulted with. Were you consulted for the effect of anything on this property or downstream of this property on cultural impacts of this action?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Are you part of a group -- I'm going to get the name wrong unless I read it directly, the DHHL -- something like the DHHL Molokai Hawaiian Home Lands Kupuna Advisory Group.

Are you a member of that group?

THE WITNESS: No, I'm too young.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I guess that I have nothing further then, Mr. Ritte.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.

1	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier is Judy
2	Caparida.
3	THE WITNESS: Aloha, everybody. My name is
4	Judy Caparida. I'm a kupuna, and I've been serviced
5	in our community for years.
6	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can I swear you in,
7	please?
8	THE WITNESS: My name is Judy Caparida.
9	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm
10	that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Oh, brother, I do. Of course
12	it's got to be the truth.
13	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Now your address,
14	please.
15	THE WITNESS: My address is I used to be
16	in (indecipherable) but now I moved to Hawaiian
17	Homes. I got a home there. And my address, my new
18	address is P.O. Box 417, Ho'olehua 96729.
19	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. You can
20	continue.
21	JUDY CAPARIDA
22	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
23	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. I was having breakfast

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148—

this morning at the bakery, and I saw all of these people. And I said to my daughter, something must be up. Let's have a meeting. She said to me, oh, mom. And say, never mind, oh, mom. You see your eyes are closed. I say you got to open your eyes, when you look around, you see people.

And I think this was -- I said to her, I said, sis, she look at me. I said is there a meeting someplace? I say to her. And then she told me. And that's why I'm here.

I'm here because I care for Molokai. I love Molokai. When you are a farmer, you come from a family of a farmer, you learn how to stretch the ground with nothing. And you know what, any -- you live the life because that's what you was taught.

So when you got older, and then you travel around and you see the difference in life. You know, the lord blesses us. I don't care what anybody say anything. I acknowledge God, because he is the creator of everything on this earth, and everything is temporary.

So for me, it's life is precious. Life is precious. Everyone of us, we don't know what tomorrow. So the lord says, do what you can do today. Do it in righteousness, that maybe one day

you be with him to see him face to face. That is his promise.

And I'm here to let you know that there is nothing that over here that you do. When you cannot see the bees, then you cannot see insects, there's something wrong. There's something wrong.

Because there isn't any insects any more because of too much manmade stuff that kills the ground. Everything get killed. That is natural. Why don't you just say it. The ocean is dead. All along the ocean, line is dead. And don't tell me, oh, you know -- you damn well, you have got to believe it. We were raised on the ocean; raised on the mountain. You tilt the soil to live.

And this is why I raise all my children, my grandchildren to know that. And I'm a blessed mom, because you know why, if you teach them the right way, this is the way their life is going to be fulfilled. You're always with the joy and the peace. There's nothing that can bother you.

But I feel for those that don't know. And this is why I'm here today, to tell you that it has ruined our life on Molokai. If you live here, you would know the difference about living there. And this is why I say to myself, I say, wow, we ain't got

much time to live. But while I'm living on this earth, I will profess that. You know what, everything that we do, you better be make sure you're doing it in righteousness, because you killing people. Just say it. Why everybody cannot say the truth, that everything that we doing it is ruining the life of our life on this earth.

And on Molokai we're blessed. We're blessed. If you're not lazy, you get it. You have the best. So this is what I need to share with all of you. That I oppose it. If you're going to do it, do it the right way. Did you ever see anything that, wow, like everything. I saw the whole sky red with red dust, all the way down. I was watching it. I pull on the side, wow, lord, what is this. And I saw the whole sky red.

It was like one tornado of red dirt it was coming from that land. It was covering all the way to the ocean. This is God's truth.

And all these things come because why? We not doing it the right way. Man can say anything they like. Man do nothing -- when we leave this earth we ain't taking nothing with us. So I need to say that I share that with all my heart. I share it with all my heart that everybody knows the job is

44 that it's limited, yes. But if you do it the right 1 2 way, it can be done. It can be done. 3 But if you not living on Molokai, no talk 4 to us. No try to live our lives. I just telling you 5 that we happy with what we have. It's just that we 6 need to work together to do it the right way. 7 Mahalo. CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any questions? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Because, know what? Before I 10 came, there's a prayer table in town that every day, 11 five days a week we go down there to prayer and we 12 meet everybody that needs prayer. And I say the 13 first thing, I say, you know what, I don't know what 14 this meeting is all about, and that's why I didn't 15 sign up right away. 16 But I say water is life. Water is power. 17 And that's why everybody needs water. But not water 18 to waste. So thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Next person.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Next testifier Keoni Rawlins Fernandez.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear you in? Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

> THE WITNESS: Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Aloha, my name is Keoni
Rawlins Fernandez, P.O. Box 935, Kaunakakai Heights
96748.

KEONI RAWLINS FERNANDEZ

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I was born and raised on Molokai. My family has land in Ho'olehua, less than a mile from the property in question.

I have concerns, many of it shared previously. Water, erosion and soil. Homesteaders have two-thirds private right to the water, the water that is being used on this land. Non-homesteaders used 81 percent of the water of the MIS water in 2016 and 82.4 percent this year already. And the year isn't even over.

So concerns that were shared earlier about non-homesteaders getting more water is validated by these numbers.

I'm also concerned about the MIS water being contaminated because of the open-air pesticide testing. The wind can easily blow the dirt, which

carries pesticides into the open reservoir, which you guys seen this morning.

My concerns about erosion is that the erosion mitigation that is used is not effective enough. The ocean water below should be tested for pesticides.

My family has a house that we call Pala'au house. It's on the sand bank below. And we go there to gather crab and fish and to enjoy life.

It's not just runoff that I'm concerned about, it's also wind erosion. I'm concerned about the pine trees that are used for windbreak. Pine trees are known to absorb and hold onto water rather than letting it recharge the aquifer.

So for the soil I would hope that when Monsanto leaves, it gives our community assurances that they will conduct bio remediation on this land before they leave.

So this important ag land designation will provide Monsanto tax breaks. And this community should be able to readily see the tradeoff for the money that is not going to county operations as some of the testifiers shared before.

I support the idea of having a land use management plan. I believe that a plan would help to

1 address some of the concerns that I just shared. 2 Mahalo. 3 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Petitioner, 4 any questions? 5 MS. LIM: No questions. 6 MS. APUNA: No questions. 7 MR. SPENCE: No questions. CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners? 8 9 you. THE WITNESS: Mahalo. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Okay, Kauhane Adams 12 wanted to speak last. 13 THE WITNESS: Aloha, my name is Kauhane 14 Adams. Change our number -- 588 Nenehanapo 15 (phonetic) Avenue, Ho'olehua, Molokai. 16 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm 17 that the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 KAUHANE ADAMS 20 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 21 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 THE WITNESS: I get relevant information. 24 So I born and raised over here, live here 50 years. 25 My family live here, we go back 16 generations

1 (Hawaiian spoken).

Live here long time. So I also homesteader. I got you an autistic child that we want. I have chemicals, you know, gave her that disability.

I was trained in horticultural from Hawai'i four years in Hilo. So I know about chemicals and about the adverse affect on us and the environment.

Farming. Take off all 2017 glasses, put on our 380 glasses. Hawaiians love farming in that sense. We make malas (phonetic). We make gardens. We make places that benefitted people, the environment and the spirit, yeah.

I against this because this is not

Hawaiian. I live on homestead. I try got my land

certified by tilt, they told me come see them in

400 years. And they're saying if you live two miles

away from Monsanto's field, chemicals is going into

my fields, is going into my family's lungs. So this

is not agriculture in our sense, yeah.

(Hawaiian spoken.) It's not farming. This is not what we call farming. I also one of the last kia'i locals. I work in fishponds from the late

1980s. I work in Kalua'apuhi Fishpond which is right below the place where they like designate Important

1 Agriculture Lands. 2 I see what is happening because of your 3 agriculture. I see what it's doing to our reefs. I 4 also work in kalo, lo'i kalo. I see my water not 5 regulated. Nobody know how much water coming to my 6 lo'i, but I see the thing declining. I talk to the 7 people around the area. They see 'em declining too. So for that reason, I against this, yeah. Mahalo. 8 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Petitioner? OP? 9 10 County? 11 MS. LIM: No questions. 12 MS. APUNA: No questions. 13 MR. SPENCE: No. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Where do you farm 15 kalo? 16 THE WITNESS: Down the Manai (phonetic) 17 side, 11-mile marker. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Next person. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 19 20 your about to give is the truth. 21 THE WITNESS: I do. 22 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you please state

Robert Stephenson. My address is Post Office Box

your name and address for record?

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. My name is

515, Kaunakakai 96748.

2 R

ROBERT STEPHENSON

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha, Chair Wong and

Commissioners. Thanks for taking the time to come to

Molokai today. As I said my name is Robert

Stephenson. I'm the President of the Molokai Chamber

of Commerce. I was a member of the Molokai Community

Plan Advisory Committee, and former Chair of the

Molokai Planning Commission.

And I too grew up on a farm. My great grandparents were the first to receive land from the federal government and settled the Central Utah Valley and turned it into the alfalfa capitol of the United States.

So there are many voices in the community and there are many perspectives, and I would like to share one from may perspective with you.

Today I would like to share some statistics from the current State of Molokai. 35 percent, or one-third of our island is on government assistance. Molokai's unemployment rate is the highest in the entire state.

The state unemployment rate is 2.5 percent, and except for Lana'i, Molokai's rate at nine percent, that's right, nine percent is more than triple to four times any other island or the state average.

We have a workforce of 2,500 people on Molokai. Compare that to 84,000 on Maui. Now compare that to 694,000 statewide. That really shows how small and fragile of an economy we're talking about. That's why significant initiative like this Petition can have a profound affect on our future.

Agriculture is the largest private sector of our economy, but agriculture doesn't just sustain agriculture. As agriculture activity fluctuates up or down, it affects the unemployment rate.

It impacts our local business, and it can create or relieve stress on our families. We know the greatest indicator of health on a community is jobs.

We also know as unemployment increases, so do social and family challenges. And as we create more jobs, these challenges, they fall away.

One of the reasons jobs are so important to Molokai is to help us deal with our financial realities. The cost of fuel on Oahu is under \$3 a

gallon. Here on Molokai we may pay nearly \$5. And that high cost of fuel is part of the reason we pay among the highest electric rates in the nation, nearly double that of Oahu.

The cost of freight puts additional cost on every single item that we purchase on this island.

We have two gas station. We have one hotel, and we have four small grocery stores. And that's all that this economy can sustain. And that's why all types of agriculture are important to our island.

in place. It's a rare circumstance that anything great was achieved as a reaction to something else. We as a community need to take the lead. We need to create the things that will sustain us into the future. And the first step, and most important step for an agriculture community is to support this designation as Important Agriculture Lands.

With that said, I strongly support the Petition as presented by the Petitioner. And I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Petitioner?

MS. LIM: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. SPENCE: No questions.

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners?
2 Commissioner Estes.

COMMISSIONER ESTES: The land is agricultural right now. I want to know when it becomes Important Agricultural Land, how does that help your community?

THE WITNESS: It helps our community by keeping the agricultural land in agriculture. From what I understand, please correct me if I'm mistaken, but placing this land in Important Agricultural Land designation does that make it more difficult for that land to be designated otherwise?

If my understanding is correct, that would be one step closer, along with the intent of the Petitioner to be able to continue to farm, because we have a very unique situation hear on Molokai where the largest farming operations help contribute to the economies of scale that allow all of the smaller farmers, and even our residents to purchase goods at our local co-op, Hikiola Cooperative. The bulk purchasing power allows everyone lower prices. It also help to subsidize the operational costs of the Molokai Irrigation System, and many other things that this company brings to the benefit of our community.

So I believe that this is the first step in

securing the future of agriculture. 1 2 COMMISSIONER ESTES: So you believe if this 3 becomes Important Agricultural Land, there'll be 4 fewer people on assistance and a lower unemployment rate; is that what you're saying? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, in part. I believe it will 7 help agriculture remain strong on the island, and the 8 stronger our agricultural community is, the less 9 likely unemployment will rise, and the more likely 10 more people will continue to have jobs longer into the future. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Anyone else? Thank you, 13 sir. 14 Anyone else wanted to provide public 15 testimony at this time? 16 May I swear in? 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm 19 that the testimony that you're about to give is the 20 truth? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you state your name and address for the record?

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: My name is Justin Avelino.

Just I live Ho'olehua, Lot No. 4.

1 JUSTIN AVELINO

Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: For me, I mean, we like talk truth. I think we really got to mahalo the holy spirit today. Auntie Caparida, not knowing, you sneaky people do sneaky things. I found out half hour before I came, and I was already an hour late. Holy spirit works in different ways.

Plus auntie, for me, this auntie over here to who made it, so one kupuna was present. Other than that wouldn't have had kupuna.

So on top of that, I speak on behalf of everybody else who still working today because this meeting is at 1:30. If this is public meeting you guys need to consider the fact that everybody pau at 3:30, 4:00 o'clock, so if you guys going hold public meeting, it should be at that time.

So like I said, sneaky people do sneaky things. I don't support you guys plan. I stand with auntie. I stand strong with auntie. I stand for this aina, and I go die for it.

You guys remember this face. I hope we don't got to meet again.

1	MS. LIM: No questions.
2	MS. APUNA: No questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Next person.
4	May I swear you in?
5	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
6	you're about to give is the truth.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please state your name
9	and address for the record.
10	THE WITNESS: My name is Lawrence
11	Kalamakulanakilaokekulakiekie Lasua, P.O. Box 544,
12	Kaunakakai, Hawai'i 96748.
13	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please proceed.
14	LAWRENCE KALAMAKULANAKILAOKEKULAKIEKIE LASUA
15	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
16	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioners, for
19	coming here and listening to the Molokai people
20	speak.
21	First of all, I'm in favor for this
22	Important Agricultural Land designation. I want to
23	talk about the farming which is important, I guess,
24	for what this designation will be for.
25	I'm the fifth generation from the Island of

Molokai, and third generation on homestead land. My father farmed on our location here in the Kalamaula area. We also had pineapple lands, homestead lands up in Ho'olehua area where you guys are designating.

So I'm well versed on that part of it. And we've done our homework. But yet my father couldn't farm the area I currently live on, only because the products that he was selling to the stores wasn't purchased by the store owners. And so he had to quit farming because they were bringing produce from off island.

Again, it fails the people of Molokai who trying to make a living, and trying to improve their farm products to the community of Molokai. So that's number one.

The other thing, and that's why I like to have this designated Important Agricultural Land to help us, not only the small farmers, you have small farmers like me, although I'm working, I still do some small subsistence farming.

People talk about subsistence farming through Hawaiian Home Lands, but they tend to look at subsistence farming as a way to make money by selling their product. So they look at bigger product, or bigger lands to farm so they can improve their --

what do you call -- the benefit of being a farmer, yeah.

Just like you have big farm, then you have a lot of money coming in from those big farms. But it wasn't actually set up for that. It was set up for subsistence farming.

So as a subsistence farmer, I speak on behalf of what I grow. Everybody in here say they're subsistence farmer, but I don't really see that subsistence farming really happening in some of the areas on Molokai.

You have small farmers. I know that they sell to the market area their product, which is good, but I think what you guys are talking about is the designation of this piece of land, you know, the 1000-plus acres up in the Ho'olehua area, Naiwa, Manowainui, Kahanui.

So I think it's important that everybody, especially the Commissioners, who have no idea what Molokai is like, I think it's important that you guys at least find out about the area before you guys come in, not through maps, but talk to the people of Molokai.

Again, I like the designation for it to be the -- what you call -- important ag land.

1 Now, I did submit my testimony to you 2 through email. That's it for me. Any questions? 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. LIM: 5 Mr. Lasua, thank you very much for your 6 written testimony and for coming in today. 7 I know you mentioned, and I'm reading it here in your letter, that you are a homestead lessee? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 So there has been some concerns mentioned Q 11 by other testifiers that somehow Monsanto is 12 precluding DHHL homestead lessees from getting the 13 water that they need from the Molokai Irrigation 14 System. 15 What has been your experience in getting 16 the irrigation water from the MIS? 17 I don't see that as a problem. I don't see Α 18 any -- I see homesteaders farming, but it's not like 19 the Monsanto or even the other company is taking that 20 much -- they're using the water, everybody uses the 21 water. 22 But I can't see -- since they're on a drip 23 line system, probably using as much water as they say 24 they are. They might be bigger than theirs, but

sure, that's going to happen.

25

1 Have you experienced reduction or 2 restriction in the amount of water that you can use? 3 Α No. 4 MS. LIM: I don't have any further 5 questions. Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP? 7 MS. APUNA: No questions. 8 MR. SPENCE: No questions? 9 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Scheuer? 10 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha. Thank you for 11 your written testimony. 12 I just want to understand a point you made 13 towards the end of your oral testimony just now about 14 Commissioners, and there's nobody on this Commission 15 right now who is born and raised on Molokai, right? So you talked about Commissioners not familiar with 16 17 your island need to spend time on your island 18 understanding issues on agriculture before taking 19 this action. 20 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 21 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just to be really 22 clear, are you suggesting that we should not take up 23 this action immediately, though you are in support of 24 us taking the action? 25 If you guys are fine THE WITNESS: No.

with what you have in front of you, and what you've 1 2 -- I'm not saying not that you have to talk to all 3 the people in here, but at least like Justin was 4 saying, you have some community input as well, but 5 still, I would rather have you move on it. 6 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Anyone else. Commissioners? Thank you, sir. 8 9 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 10 you're about to give is the truth? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 SHEILA AWAI 13 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 14 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Sheila Awai, and 17 I'm -- you see, I came from Texas. I live Molokai 18 75 years, but because, you know, children grow older, 19 you got to travel. 20 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Just say the area you live, how's that? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Right here in Kamuela. 23 You heard everybody. And they're opposing it or they want the place. I don't oppose in any 24 25 company coming on the Island of Molokai, I don't.

What I oppose though is this: Water. Even as I look at my people that came to testify, we not going get water. And this is a promise to all of you, and even to our people. I can tell you the truth.

Kamuela from this end, all the way down to this end (indicating), you walk outside by the ocean. Limu eleele do not grow unless they get freshwater and saltwater. Without freshwater, the limu eleele you will not see. And it's sad to say at this time, no more. There's none.

So what I'm saying to the people, as well as the people of Molokai, I cry within my heart. And the reason I cry is because I know what without water is.

As a little girl growing up in Kamuela, we never had water. And every Friday we used to get the water. And I remember bathing, and you have to stand by a plant to bathe because not enough water. You know, when you come my house, my yard, I have fruit trees in my yard and those fruit trees was when I was young.

And the reason I'm saying this is because years ago when the kupunas came by, and we had to go fight Molokai Ranch because they was spilling water,

and nobody knew. And we had to walk all the way, almost four-and-a-half miles. And we found this big pipe that was flowing water constantly. And we went to the Commission down here, and I said -- I walked, and I am now telling you, I walked.

I'm a doer. I'm not a speaker only. I don't raise flags. I don't accuse any company of anything whether they right or wrong. But my concern, and you folks need to know because none of you go pick the Hawaiian stuff in the ocean.

There the ocean tells you what the land is all about. If I see today that you cannot see limu eleele, there is not going to be enough water for the people here. Not enough.

So when the Commission gets together, I want you guys to understand something when you prepare for these things that come to you, I don't know -- we don't speak too much, little bit, but I try hard to speak the right words.

But the thing is when you first look
things, first say, oh, Molokai, what about the water?
When people come they get all your information. You
go send somebody go Kamuela, walk over here, and you
can tell if you get water.

And the other thing is that Molokai needs

- jobs. I work all my life. I never ever went to
- 2 | welfare. I struggle with four kids, single parent.
- 3 You know what, I'm going tell you folks. We need
- 4 jobs.
- 5 But sometimes we have to forfeit those
- 6 | jobs, and maybe there is something that you guys can
- 7 do, and Maui, so can help us, so we can help our
- 8 keikis.
- 9 I am on income fixed income they call it,
- 10 so I don't need worry until I die, right? But you
- 11 | see these young people over here, they still got --
- 12 and the younger ones standing out there, they need
- 13 every one of your concerns.
- 14 They need everyone of you to look at your
- 15 | paper when anything coming in for Molokai. You guys
- 16 got to sit down. You got to look at it. And let me
- 17 | tell you, I don't want any guys sending reports here
- 18 | and said, okay, without during homework. Because I
- 19 am your homework.
- 20 So if you cannot understand my homework,
- 21 then go home. Throw out the paper. And go take a
- 22 good night's bath and go sleep.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.
- MS. LIM: No questions.
- MS. APUNA: No questions.

1	MR. SPENCE: No questions.
2	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners? Thank
3	you.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you everybody for
5	coming. I never know too. I was just called last
6	minute to come. Remember I live in Texas now.
7	CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear you in?
8	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
9	you're about to give is the truth?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please state your name
12	and address.
13	THE WITNESS: Liko Wallace. P. O. Box 935,
14	Kaunakakai, Hawai'i 96748.
15	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you, please
16	proceed.
17	LIKO WALLACE
18	Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: As I indicated, my name is
22	Liko Wallace, born and raised here on Molokai, and I
23	raise my children here.
24	I am homesteader. My homestead is less
25	than a mile from the property. And what I wanted to

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148—

add to that, I understand there is two different types of economy here as far as agriculture. We have subsistence and we have cash economy. And I understand that in the cash economy we do bring in jobs, but there are some residues that the rest of us will have to do to bring our lands back to grow foods to eat, because the land has been diminished for years of abuse.

We're still digging up black paper for years. It's just everywhere. And the nutrients is gone from the land.

So to just start off fresh with subsistence economy, and to be able to grow stuff to sell, or to do as -- to trade, because not everything is about cash. You can trade with your neighbors, you know, what you grow, what they grow.

And part of the cash economy has caused our soil to be diminished to the point of us being able to have to take care of our land and bring it back to a place where it can actually -- you can actually grow food on it. Because it's so hard, and it's clay and it's terrible right now.

Our subsistence economy helps support those that are unemployed survive. This type of chemical agriculture has an adverse impact on our subsistence

economy, which is what I had just discussed. 1 2 The enrichment of jobs should never 3 outweigh the protection of our environment, and our 4 ability to subsist. 5 So I appreciate you guys being here, but as 6 Justin had mentioned earlier, at 1:30 in the 7 afternoon it's difficult for most of us come. substitute teacher. I just got off from work and 8 9 just also found out that you guys are here. 10 And this Commission is very important to 11 us. And we need to be heard, because we would like 12 for your guys' decision to be our decision, and to, 13 you know, be in favor of the community in general. 14 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. 15 MS. LIM: No questions. 16 MS. APUNA: No questions. 17 MR. SPENCE: No questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners, any questions? No one else? This concludes public 19 20 testimony. 21 THE WITNESS: Can I wrap up? 22 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can we get back to you 23 because I want to take a recess. 24 (Recess was taken.) 25 -000-

1	LORI BUCHANAN
2	Was recalled as a public witness, having been
3	previously sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
4	testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
6	THE WITNESS: Lori Buchanan wrapping up
7	from earlier testimony.
8	For the record, if there is not conditions
9	that the Applicant is agreeable to from testimony
10	that you heard, then I would like to state my intent
11	to intervene into a contested case hearing.
12	My earliest did say in support with
13	conditions. So if there is no conditions that is
14	acceptable to this community, then I wanted to state
15	that, for the record, before any decision-making was
16	made.
17	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.
18	Commissioner Cabral, do you want to speak?
19	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I do have some
20	questions that I need to consult with the Board's
21	attorney on issues pertaining to our decision-making,
22	powers, duties, privileges, immunities and

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Second.

23

24

25

session.

liabilities, so I would like to move into executive

```
1
               CHAIRPERSON WONG: It's been moved and
 2
     seconded to go into executive session. Please clear
 3
     the room.
 4
                Sorry, folks. All in favor say "aye".
5
      Opposed?
               None.
6
                Please clear the room.
7
                (Executive session.)
                CHAIRPERSON WONG: Mr. Ritte. Is there a
8
9
     Miss Buchanan here? Come to the witness stand,
10
     please.
11
               Mr. Ritte, can you please come to the
12
     witness stand?
13
                Mr. Ritte, did you request for a contested
14
     case hearing, yes or no?
15
                MR. RITTE: Yes, on conditions, same
16
     conditions that Ms. Buchanan --
                CHAIRPERSON WONG: So you're requesting a
17
18
     contested case hearing?
                MR. RITTE: It depends on what?
19
20
                CHAIRPERSON WONG: We need a yes or no.
21
                MR. RITTE: I cannot answer that question
22
     because it's dependent upon what you guys going to
23
     do.
24
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Walter, if I may.
25
                I think what -- you know, the struggle is
```

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

looking with the existing Hawai'i Supreme cases. For us to make conditions, then the Commission would have to make a decision. And if we make a decision, then based upon the recent cases, when there's a request for contested case hearing, we have procedurally -- there's a defect.

So essentially what we need to have confirmed, because both you and Lori have asked to intervene, and we also understand you said if there's conditions you don't agree with.

But I guess for us as a Commission, once a request for contested case hearing comes in, we really have to stop the proceedings, and then move into the contested case hearing, like what some of the other projects have been required do.

If we were to do what you're asking is to approve it with conditions, then it's too late, because by that time, as the Supreme Court says, once you've made a decision, and there's a request for contested case hearing, you have violated their rights.

So for us, we need to be very clear. If you're requesting a contested case hearing, then we're going to stop the proceeding and permit the procedural compliance, filing the Petition for

1 contested case hearing, permitting the Petitioners to 2 respond. 3 But if you're saying, well, if you're going 4 to approve it, we want to see what the conditions 5 are, then that's really not a contested case hearing. 6 You can make comments on the conditions, 7 but it would be too late to ask for contested case 8 hearing. 9 So we need to make sure from you, because 10 we want to be very clear that we are not in any way 11 violating any potential rights that you may have. 12 MR. RITTE: There's two people requested. 13 CHAIRPERSON WONG: What we heard was both 14 you and Lori Buchanan. 15 MR. RITTE: So Lori is not here. So even 16 if I said something --17 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Once we proceed, 18 because in someway if you are not here during our 19 proceedings --20 MR. RITTE: Too bad. 21 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I won't say too bad, 22 but we need to proceed one way or the other, right? 23 You understand? 24 THE WITNESS: So there is a governmental

way of doing things, and there's a community way of

25

doing things. And right now we clashing in what we want to do.

I don't to have a contested case hearing if my concerns are met. And then -- yeah, so we're kind of stuck with this one.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And that's how we are feeling too. And we haven't heard their case yet. We haven't heard what the Petitioner may be willing to do. Totally outside of these proceedings. Some of things that you guys have asked for, they may be willing to do.

We have not heard them put on their case or the Office of Planning or the county to respond to their Petition.

Because right now before us the proceedings under the statute says we can either grant Petition, we can deny the Petition, we can set it for a hearing. And we have got 90 days. If we don't take an action within 90 days, arguably they may be entitled to their relief. They may be entitled to their Petition, the granting of the Petition by default entitlement.

So you have -- now, it's before you. If you would like to hear what their presentation is, what they may be willing to do, versus --

MR. RITTE: That would be -- will force me
into a position where I don't know what there willing
to do. I don't know what you're willing to do. So
if you're going to intervene, that's a huge
commitment.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: You're right.

MR. RITTE: So I don't want to take this thing lightly. But the issues are pretty dire, and the conditions that we mentioned.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And we've appreciated -- that's why it took us -- this was -- the request that came in, we took that very seriously.

We want to be very clear. This is a Petition to designate these lands into important ag lands. It is not to change the use out of ag. So that's what is before us.

But we want to make sure that we're -- procedurally, we want to make sure we're very clear.

MR. RITTE: So it would be useless for me to have a contested case hearing if I don't know what you guys are able to do. So I'm operating in the dark here.

What you're saying is that you can only do so many things, because of what is being brought

before you. And the community wants to expand this thing to include the safety of them in the future, all these things that they want to do. And we don't know whether you have the powers to grant our request.

So I'm sitting here going, if you're not going to tell me what you can and cannot do, then I'm going to make a decision that is based nothing.

You know, I'm putting myself out there based on I don't know what -- I mean, even if I win the contested case hearing, and the law says you guys cannot do it anyway. So I've wasted all my time and energy and money.

So you guys are putting the community in a really sticky position there.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair.

So, sorry, Walter, I will just say I slightly react to we're putting you, the community in a position. We are responding to a Petitioner, a Petition from a private landowner that they are entitled to file with us.

I want to make it clear, and hopefully to clarify some things that I heard during the process.

Just in case people don't know, we are volunteers. We are not getting paid to be here, in

fact, we are not getting paid for our jobs. We are taking vacation time or other sacrifices to serve what we are hoping is useful to the people of Hawai'i.

We're not sneaky in the sense that if you actually want to find out how much money I make, and where I make it from, you can find that on-line, because I actually have to declare that. It's accessible on your smart phone.

And also, just clearly what's in front of us is this IAL process does not -- if we granted their Petition, they don't get do extra agriculture or anything new. If we deny their Petition, they don't get to do anything less than they are already doing.

It doesn't actually change their operations, per se. They get certain benefits that are enumerated under the law, but they don't get to grow different crops or do different practices or anything by this action by the Commission.

I fully appreciate that there is all sort of different government agencies with different responsibilities. We are constrained by the statutes that we're charged with enabling.

MR. RITTE: So do I play my violin or what?

What do i do?

I mean this is a hard one. I mean, working with Monsanto is like working with some chemical company from Timbuktu to that doesn't really care or get to what this community's concerns are. And we have been struggling with this for years and years and years.

So -- and we've been through a lot as a community, split families, the whole works on this issue. So this is a pretty serious matter.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I want to just add, Walter. We're really not here to question what they're specific activity. It is ag. I know that the community has issues about what they're doing, and that may be a totally separate jurisdiction.

THE WITNESS: This is impacting our lives.

And our future generations. So this is something that we have never been able to get across to the government. The only people I think that can somehow make these guys do the right thing, and whether or not they're going do it on their own is what you're asking me do right now is to trust that these guys going to do what we're all talking about, and I'm pretty hesitant to do that.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And we're not asking

you -- what we're asking is, we have limited authority we cannot go and tell Monsanto to do more than what is the Petition before us.

You may have other avenues upon which to address some of your other concerns, whether they're Department of Health, whether they're other kinds -- but we're not here -- our only issue before us is the Petition that's been filed to designate these lands into IAL, which gives these lands greater protection.

Whether they are seed today or tomorrow they grow something else is grown on it, it is an important ag land designation. Probably one of the highest designations you can get. But we're not here to revisit what they can grow on the land.

MR. RITTE: So you don't know your powers, so having an intervention would be, in the end, we're not sure what you can and cannot do. So that puts me in a really bad position.

Whatever I've been telling you, have been telling Monsanto for years and years, and they have never reacted. No windbreaks, no this, no that.

Whether Monsanto wants to work with this community is a huge question.

So at this point, I guess, you know, dealing with the government, the same response from

1 | all of the agencies, so we're kind of stuck.

So I cannot see wasting all my time and money and energy if you don't have that power, which I'm beginning to get that you don't have that power.

So I'll just be satisfied with what we have on the record. And we can use that record in future times that we got to deal with Monsanto, and maybe there is some heart in Monsanto, who knows. We will see.

So I'll withdraw my intent for intervention and wait for the next battle.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you.

Can you identify yourself, please?

MS. SWINGLE: My names Artice Swingle. And my testimony, as well as in Lori Buchanan's we said that we were in favor of the action except if these conditions -- and hers were very specifically written out.

In my oral testimony to you, I said I want to have committees or leadership here. Now, I would contest it also if we were not going to move towards some direction where there would be community involvement.

I'm not contesting whether this is good or bad, nothing about Monsanto. I'm just saying the

1 process. 2 So what I would like to know is would the 3 90 days give us an opportunity for process or not? 4 COMMISSIONER CHANG: No. 5 MS. SWINGLE: No opportunity for process? 6 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you're asking for 7 process before the Land Use Commission? What kind of 8 process? 9 THE WITNESS: The conditions that she --10 you have her written testimony. 11 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes, we do. 12 THE WITNESS: And you have the conditions 13 written out that she wrote down. 14 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes. 15 THE WITNESS: And under that she said, if 16 these conditions cannot be met, then I will contest. 17 So at a minimum, I think you owe it to Lori to contact her. She had another commitment and had 18 19 to go. 20 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I appreciate that, 21 unfortunately, we are in the proceeding right now, 22 and she's not here. 23 THE WITNESS: I understand that. 24 COMMISSIONER CHANG: We did get her on the

record, what she's asked for, but we went into

25

1 executive session and now we're out.

So the proceedings are ongoing, and I'm sorry that I she's not here, but we need to proceed one way or the other.

THE WITNESS: Well, okay.

You're going to continue the hearing and action tomorrow morning?

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Chair, if I can just add something for the record, just so that we're really clear.

We cannot give anyone legal advice about what anyone's rights are, what anyone's remedies are. And so everyone, including members of the Commission, many times have to do their best judgment or get their own advice as far as what the law empowers the Commission to do, and what the law doesn't empower the Commission to do.

What we are trying to ascertain or determine here is whether or not anyone has specifically and clearly told us, without any ambiguity, that they want a contested case. Because there are certain obligations that may attach procedurally if that request has been made.

And one of the things I don't believe we

have at this point in time, and anyone in this room can correct me if I'm misunderstanding this. I don't believe anyone has clearly unequivocally stated that they want a contested case.

THE WITNESS: See, I felt that in her written statement, which I read, that she did in fact at the end of that first page make that statement.

And that she offered to be a person who would intervene.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you're right, and that's the reason we asked both Walter Ritte and Lori Buchanan, because you're right, it was unclear. She had asked for contested case if certain conditions weren't met.

And what we did clarify with Walter was we cannot take an action and make conditions if there is a request for contested case hearings.

So what Walter did was said let's continue to proceed forward. He's going to withdraw his request. I'm sorry Lori is not here. So I guess -- are you asking for contested case hearing?

THE WITNESS: No, I could not intervene.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I would like to have it read out loud for everyone what our three options

are. What the law says is our options as Land Use Commission. It basically spells out three things that we can do after we've heard everything that we can hear. And whatever we can hear, we had to stop hearing things, and that's part of the issue.

But right now I think it should be shared with everybody, because we're being told all these limitations, and I think it would help understand what our conditions are.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: I'll ask Attorney General to state those three points.

MS. ERICKSON: I'm reading from Hawai'i Administrative Rules Section 15-15-100.

The Commission, within 90 days after submission of a Petition for Declaratory Order shall:

One, deny the Petition -- I'm going to -- I'll read the whole thing.

Where, A, the question is speculative or purely hypothetical and does not involve an existing situation, or one which reasonably be expected to occur in the near future;

Or, B, the Petitioner's interest is not of the type that confers sufficient standing to maintain an action in a court of law;

Or, C, the issuance of the Declaratory

Order may adversely affect the interest of the State, the Commission or any of the officers or employees in any litigation which is pending, or may be reasonably be expected to arise;

Or, D, the Petitioner requests the ruling on a statutory provision not administered by the Commission, or the matter is not otherwise within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

So again, to repeat, the first option for the Commission is to deny the Petition when one of those four items occur.

The second potential action of the Commission is issue a Declaratory Order on the matters contained in the Petition.

And the third option, is set the Petition for hearing before the Commission or hearings officer in accordance with this subchapter.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. So if there's no other request for intervention, and I see none, we're going to proceed with the Declaratory Order request Petition.

Ms. Lim, can you please proceed with your presentation?

MS. LIM: Thank you, Chair and Commissioners. Sounds like that was a fruitful and

detailed discussion. We are prepared now to put on our case.

We have a few witnesses with us today. I want to take a minute or so to talk about the discussions that I had with OP, and to a lesser extent with the County, about what we have on the record.

You will absolutely hear from our first witness Dan Clegg who is the Monsanto Hawai'i Business Operation Lead.

Our second witness Tom Schnell, PBR
Hawai'i. PBR Hawai'i is the company that prepared
the agricultural land assessment. That was Exhibit 4
to our Petition. And they can walk through the
PowerPoint presentation.

The filing that we made on Monday also included certain written direct testimony. The written direct testimony is of Mr. Josh Hunziker, who is the Molokai Farm Manager. You met him today talking about the windbreak.

And then there's also written direct testimony by Mr. Ramsey Taum. He's the person from -- who did the cultural consultation. That's the letter report that's Exhibit 5 to the Petition. And like I said, he's also got written direct testimony

on the record.

Mr. Taum could not be here today, absolutely couldn't happen. And because of that, we asked him to write written direct testimony, because the report says a lot, but we imagine there's also additional questions that the Commissioners might have or other parties might have.

So Mr. Hunziker is here. I think we will most likely, depending on the level of questioning, is there's still things that the Commission needs to hear about more after we present with Mr. Clegg and Mr. Schnell, we can certainly bring Josh up.

And although Mr. Taum can't be here today, he can come tomorrow. So if there are questions and the Commission or OP or County are not satisfied, if they want to explore further despite what we've already got in the record, then we can bring him tomorrow morning. So that's our layout.

Again, we're definitely going to bring up right now Mr. Dan Clegg.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, what is your sense of how long we're planning to proceed today? What is the availability of the room?

MS. LIM: I don't know how long we have the room.

1 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Chair, we're going 2 to lose some members who have to travel this evening. 3 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Just for your 4 information we have until 5:45. Then some of the 5 Commissioners have to catch a flight out and come 6 back tomorrow morning. 7 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: In that regard, and in regard to what the Petitioner said, I just like to 8 make sure we have a few minutes to discuss issues 9 10 around the cultural report so that it can be determined whether or not it makes sense to have Mr. 11 12 Taum come over before we adjourn for the evening. 13 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. 14 MS. LIM: With that, I would like to 15 introduce Mr. Dan Clegg, who many of you met last 16 week, Monsanto Hawai'i Business Lead. 17 CHAIRPERSON WONG: May I swear you in, 18 please? 19 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 20 you're about to give is the truth? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please state your name 23 and address for the record. 24 THE WITNESS: My name Dan Clegg. My 25 business address is 2111 Pi'ilani Highway, Kihei,

1	Hawai'i 96753.
2	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Please
3	proceed.
4	DAN CLEGG
5	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
6	Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined
7	and testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	THE WITNESS: What we will do is run
10	through a couple of highlights in our slides.
11	BY MS. LIM:
12	Q Before we do, Dan, in the interest of
13	efficiency, I do want to call attention to the fact
14	that we filed Petitioner's Exhibit 11, your resume.
15	Do you acknowledge that is your resume?
16	A Yes.
17	MS. LIM: Are there any questions about Mr.
18	Clegg's background to any of the parties?
19	A Thank you.
20	So Monsanto Company is a 100 percent
21	agricultural company. And so my experience with the
22	organization has been, as you see in my resume, I've
23	been farming and raising seed in Hawai'i for
24	20 years.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148——

The key component that I always try to make

25

sure that everybody understands, when we do have conversations about our what our organization does, what Monsanto really is, we create products for farmers, customers -- the customers from Monsanto are really farmers. That's who we serve.

The primary focus that you guys certainly experienced today on the farm tour -- which thank you very much for taking the time to look at our farm -- really is about producing the best, highest quality seed for our farmers that can assist our farmer customers really actually in having the highest possible yield, and doing the best job of farming they can do.

One of the lesser known aspects of our business in Hawai'i really is the vegetable seed business. And I know there has been some conversation about that, but one of the things we're proud of is that many of the vegetables that you see in the store, some of the vegetable seeds that you see in the little packets that gardeners buy, some of those seeds are actually some of this brand seed.

So at the end of the day, people that are gardening or even raising vegetables here in Hawai'i are using Monsanto seeds to run their farms.

But the focus on the property here on

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

Molokai really actually is the row crops. So when we talk about row crops, we are talking corn, soybean, cotton, sorghum, wheat. These are typically what, from just the farming vernacular, it's typically what people consider our row crops. So these are planted in rows.

What we grow on our are farm for our farmers is primarily corn, seed corn and soybeans.

Q And there other people using that land as well, right?

A So that is one of the things that we work hard to do as a business. With raising seed for over 20 years, and the one thing that we always committed to is that if we were farming new land or we were able to lease more land, or even in if this case we were able to buy land, there were a lot of times farmers that were already on the property, maybe they were a tenant to somebody prior to us.

So the coffee farm is a perfect example of how you can really partner up with somebody that is raising a different crop. And that partnership actually helps both organizations be more successful.

I've used a coffee operation. And then we heard from Mr. DeCoursey raising sunn hemp. So farming is about sharing. And farming is about

working together on these resources. And that's certainly something that we do. And I think the farm you saw today, is a good demonstration of what that can look like.

Q You know, something that we spent some time on the site visit, but I know we don't have a slide to describe it, was that native plant habitat and the pollinator habitat.

Can you just quickly touch on that. It's described in the Petition starting around page six.

A Right.

The idea of -- and I think you saw the sign -- the idea of having a pollinator habitat is to do exactly that, is to promote this natural proliferation of pollinators. And that happens all over the farm by itself. The pollinator habitats are there, but part of the idea of what you saw was to actually set aside and do this almost intensive -- I think there was even a question around water. Why is one greener?

I think the idea around that is to have something that you can say look, here's all the plants that are endemic that are on the property. Here's an example. It's easy to get to, and it's a great educational tool.

But those pollinator habitats, that's not the only place those are. Literally pollinator habitats are all over the farm, and that's where you start to comingle all of these different uses on the property, and that's what makes this farm special.

Q Did Monsanto -- was Monsanto awarded any kind of certification from the Wildlife Habitat Council?

A We were the first one to receive a Wildlife Habitat Certification. It's a third-party certification, so it's not a self-awarded thing. You literally have a biologist and entomologist and people that are part of that organization come and ensure that what you say you're doing, you're doing.

Q Let's maybe move on to the next subject, if we could. What I want to mention to you, and the Commission no doubt knows this, but under HRS 205-41, the state has a substantial interest in the health and sustainability of agriculture as an industry.

And what I like you to talk about briefly now is what is the seed industry's contribution to agriculture in the State of Hawai'i?

A So we're talking on this slide, certainly, we're talking about economics. But you can -- there are several things that go into that.

So I want -- you do want to point out that the seed industry is -- and I'm looking here, going as far back as 2006 -- you can go back to 1969 and get a hard number. But as far as back as this chart goes, you can see the seed industry is valuable.

2.1

These are really a function of wages.

These are a function of taxes. These are a function of buying input. These are dollars spent here in Hawai'i, here on Molokai. Some of these dollars are spent right here on Molokai in supporting the local economy and agriculture.

Q Why don't we go to the next slide. On this next slide what we're going to ask you to address is the statutory requirement under 205-42, that one of the definitions of IAL is lands that contribute to state's economic basis to produce agricultural commodities for export or for local consumption.

So with that, if you can please identify a few interesting details about this chart?

A So I'll point out -- I'm using a laser, a pointer here -- but seed crops are identified as number one. Now, this is a 2015 number, but it's pulled from USDA-NASS numbers from 2017.

They do regular censuses and gather information. So this is the recent chart.

But as you work your way down the list, I mean, this is the top 20. What I point out there is a wide variety of crops. When we talk about agriculture, agriculture is a lot of stuff. It can also include things such as landscape plant material.

There's even a category for other agriculture, aquaculture, algae, honey, livestock.

But it's been in my tenure that I've seen, as we've seen agriculture change, as we've seen the crops that are economically viable in the State of Hawai'i, we have seen that change.

You know, at one point in time, sugar was on top. And that's not what we have today. Seed crops are the top commodity. That means that's the top economic contributor to agriculture in the State of Hawai'i.

Q So that's the big picture, seed industry, big picture agriculture.

If you would turning to the next slide. We're still a little bit on the big picture in terms of the multiplier affect and the statutory requirement under 205-42(b), but I also want to discuss employment figure for the Molokai farm. First the multiplier.

A The multiplier, so are starting with -- if

you look at the number 323 million and really compare that to the chart previously that was.

Q The 141.

A Yeah, 140.

So the multiplier effect, is in fact, related to employment. It's related to those jobs.

So again, it's the transfer of those dollars from the agricultural business, spent the dollars. Those dollars are received by vendors, they're received by employees in the form of payroll, taxes. Those dollars are then spent again.

So there's this larger picture. And I think you heard some testimony earlier that really did a good job of explaining that it's not just that one piece. Not just that \$1 that the seed business will spend in Hawai'i. That starts to impact lots of different areas economically.

And that's where the 323 million number comes from, and that is sourced from an economic report that Mr. Paul Brubaker was commissioned to do for the HCIA, Hawai'i Crop Improvement Association.

So we get back to ag workers in Hawai'i.

Finishing the point, 20% of the agricultural

employees in the State of Hawai'i work for the seed,

raise seed. And on Molokai there's 66 of them.

- 1 Q 66 who are employed by Monsanto?
- A Employed by Monsanto, yes. These are

 full-time employed people that have good benefits,

 good pay, consistent work. It's important for

 Molokai.
 - Q So in terms of meeting the statutory objective of supporting diversity of agricultural activities and opportunities that expand agricultural income and job opportunities, what is your position on this 1,084 acres?
- 11 A I believe it completely meets that 12 criteria.
- 13 Q Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

25

- I'm going to switch gears entirely now, and want to ask you, have you read the Petition?
- 16 A Yes, I have.
- 17 Q And all the exhibits?
- 18 A Yes, I have.
- 20 end of the Petition -- let me get exact page number.
 21 But in the Petition we made a statement that the
 22 Petitioner is voluntarily waiving any rights to seek
 23 a reclassification of any land in conjunction with
 24 this request for IAL.
 - Would you comment on that, please?

1 I think that was stated perfectly. We are. 2 We're waiving any rights to reclassify land. 3 So you're not seeking reclassification in Q 4 connection with this? 5 Α That's correct. 6 You're not claiming any credits with the 7 reclassification? That's correct. In fact, there are no 8 9 incentives today for the submittal of this IAL even 10 outside of this component that are funded. Thank 11 you. 12 Have you read the comments that have come 13 in from the commenting agency, particularly County of 14 Maui, Department of Agriculture and the Office of 15 State Planning? 16 Yes, I have. Α 17 And do you have any commentary on those 18 letters? So those letters made reference to an 19 Α 20 area --21 You're referring to the Office of Planning 0 22 letter making reference to an area Pu'u Uala area? 23 Yes. And then if I -- without looking at Α 24 these again -- I believe that we had a lot of support

for the Petition. But there was a reference to

25

actually removing, I think it was 58 acres, from the Petition area, because it didn't qualify as Important Agricultural Lands.

Q Because it's --

A 20 percent slope. It's not irrigated. It hasn't been used in agricultural for a very long time. And certainly we are not using it for agriculture.

I think the idea, when we started was, you have this critical mass, that's part of IAL is to create this critical mass, sustained agriculture, an area for which agriculture can thrive.

That was built into the -- you know, you draw a circle around the property it sort of naturally fits in because it's right in the center.

But in further review, and other agencies's review, I think it was good point-out that there's this

58 acres that they really don't need. Just for lack of a better word, it's not good farm land. And they probably shouldn't be included in the Petition.

Q And so today your attorney submitted a letter to the Commission, and that letter stated what in terms of Monsanto's position on the 58 acres?

A I believe our position was that we -- I think the Commission can decide whether that stays in

or stays out. We don't want to run -- we're certainly open to the idea of that being pulled from the Petition.

Q Whether it's in or out?

- A Whether it's in or out, no objection, no.
- Q Let's go through the slide, so we can make sure -- although we were all on the site visit -- let's go to the slide and make sure that we are all talking about the same area.

So that's slide number 11.

A We're talking about -- that's what everybody has been talking about, the pu'u. So that right there is the exact spot that we're talking about. And today during the farm tour, I think we stopped somewhere around here (indicating) when we came around.

Q We received several letters on the Petition, or the Commission received several letters on the Petition.

Do you have any comments on those letters?

A In general. It's a lot -- there's an amazing amount of support. So if I look at the organizations, and I think about who stepped forward and who took a hard look at the Petition, actually understand the purpose of IAL, and took this serious

in the matter of saying, look, our organization can support this for the good of agriculture.

I mean, you have the Maui County Farm

Bureau, Coffees of Hawai'i, Hikiola Cooperative,

Molokai Land Trust, Molokai Farm Bureau, Lawrence

Lasua, Luigi Manera, Stacy Crivello, Cheryl Corbiell,

and you have people providing verbal testimony today.

It's good for us. It's something that I think we can be proud of moving forward that the agriculture community is supportive of the commitment that Monsanto was making to this land.

- Q But is the commitment solely Monsanto, or does this commitment to IAL run with the land?
 - A It runs with the land.
- Q So whether Monsanto is still here or not, the land still has this extra layer of protection?

A It's the long view. You can talk about conservation. You can talk about stewardship, but at some point you have write it down. So this is the long game that lives beyond all of us.

Q You know, I know that you're not the farm manager, but perhaps you could speak a little bit about some of the conservation measures that are employed on the property, and also the process that you go through with the NRCS?

A Right. So the Soil Conservation Service, the National Conservation Resources Service, that's a federally run organization that has standards and protocols for how you manage land. They take everything into consideration from soil type, slope, the amount of rainfall. The type of farming you're doing, whether it's row crop or hill cropping, or you know, you saw the list of the different kinds of agricultural products just in the top 20.

So you sit down. And what you do is you take a look at the farm just from the land itself, and you start to determine how do you protect the soil? How do you protect other variables of the farm around it? And what you end up with -- if you think about the farm tour today -- those bermes we were talking about was the grassy areas. The whole purpose of those is to actually keep and preserve soil.

So that's why we called the Soil
Conservation Service just to sort of offhandedly,
because that is the focus. And everything starts
with the soil.

That is reviewed by the board. And then obviously conservation specialists go through that process. They use these protocols. They use

scientific standards to determine whether you've written a good plan. They'll help you write a good plan, which we have certainly worked with them here on Molokai. And then they approved the plan.

The idea though is to execute on the plan that's approved, and you're constantly improving on that plan. As you're improving on that plan, you continue to go back and work with NRCS ensuring that you're still in compliance with the plan that they helped you create. So there is oversight.

Q So it's an on-going process?

A It can be. If you continue doing the same exact thing, I guess you wouldn't have to change anything. But I think agriculture is so dynamic, and there's always something to improve on.

So the nice part is that you can go sit down with NRCS and the soil and water conservation district, and say I have an idea of how to make it this better. And they will actually help you with these protocols, and say, yeah, that's an improvement in your plan, let's do that. And then we go do it.

Q Are you aware that the State of Hawai'i and the Constitution of the State of Hawai'i sets forth certain rights to the Native Hawaiians who engage in traditional customary practices?

A Yes, I am. I believe that's Article XII, chapter 7.

Q And do you have any concerns with that? In other words, let me -- strike that question.

Does Monsanto have any -- does Monsanto
have any intention of not complying with whatever the
statutory requirements or constitutional requirements
there are under the laws of the State of Hawai'i?

A No, no. We follow the rules. That's what we do.

Q At this point has anybody approached Monsanto, to your knowledge, to be gaining access to the pu'u area that we were talking about earlier?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Why does Monsanto want to get this land designated as IAL?

A To make a long-term commitment to agriculture for Molokai. It's a really simple -- it's actually a pretty complex process. I think everybody understands that. It takes a lot of work to get to this point. But I think the commitment is to make sure that you're setting the stage for those that are going to come after you, and making sure that that commitment runs long.

MS. LIM: I don't have any further

1	questions at this time.
2	CHAIRPERSON WONG: County?
3	MR. SPENCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
4	don't think I have any questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP?
6	MS. APUNA: Thank you, Chair. I have just
7	a few questions.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. APUNA:
10	Q Thank you for your testimony.
11	Are there regulations for pesticide use on
12	Monsanto land?
13	A They're the same regulations that any other
14	farmer would follow.
15	Q And what about dust control? Does Monsanto
16	practice dust control measures?
17	A You saw today I don't know if you were
18	on the tour today that's exactly what a soil and
19	water conservation plan is. I mean, that's one of
20	the emphasis is to get plant material and do as much
21	as reasonably possible to maintain soil.
22	Q Do you do like water spraying when you're
23	tilling the soil?
24	A You can try to do that, but there is this

balance between water usage and all that. So I mean,

25

farming is hard. And you have to decide where you're going to use resources. And one of the things we have really focused on -- this is I think in one of the exhibits around our farm stewardship practices, it may not articulate it as greatly as it could, but we actually monitor wind speeds, and when we're doing equipment operation, where you're telling and things of that nature, if the wind is going to pick up, or we know there's gong to be an exceptionally dry period of time, we will stop what we are doing.

We'll change what we are doing on the fly to match the environment to make sure we are not creating problems.

Q As far as access to the pu'u, I believe Vice Chair Scheuer had asked if there is access. And think the response was that the gates are left unlocked.

Would that be considered Monsanto's policy as far as access to the pu'u?

A You know, I think we have got to figure -I think we have to figure out what that means. And I
think when I go back to Mr. Taum's written direct
testimony, I think if you go back to Article XII,
chapter 7, that has to play a role in defining what
access means.

1 And the archaeological literature review 2 that we did has -- it's information that obviously we 3 didn't have until today. So now we're going to try 4 to figure out what that means. 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners, any 7 questions? Commissioner Scheuer. 8 MS. LIM: May I just ask a point of 9 clarification? 10 You mentioned the literature review, but we Q don't have a literature review. You're referring to 11 12 Mr. Taum's --Yeah, that's what I'm referring to. 13 14 So that's, again to be super clear, you're talking about Exhibit 5? 15 16 Α Yep, that's Exhibit 5. 17 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Cabral? 18 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I appreciate OP's comments, and I'm not sure if I'm asking the question 19 20 of the right person, because I don't know who's 21 coming. But I do want to say that's something that I 22 think has come up now, or if not in the future, but 23 that making access in the event, especially in the

event that the pu'u is removed, that there be really

clear agreements working with the community to have

24

25

access into those areas. So I think that that is something that clearly needs to be acknowledged into the future.

I do have some questions about the conservation measures, and I had them out on the field, and I have some more.

Are you the best person to ask, or is there somebody else?

THE WITNESS: Let's see how far we can go.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Where we stood on visit number 2 -- and I gave my colored map to somebody and they never returned it. Thank you.

We were on stop number two. And I specifically asked about some of those questions about the tree planting, because to me, obviously that's a lot of acreage. I mean, you know it's a lot of big area. And I myself have access to acreage, but I live in Hilo, trees grow everywhere. You have to work to not have them there.

The question was, are you planting more tree breaks? Because there was a long distance between what appeared to be tree breaks for windbreaks, and I was thinking wind at that time, and that was pointed out that yes, that's an ongoing process.

But it did seem like it's a long distance, so I have concerns about that type of conservation measures. And they have been brought up by others today.

And then also obviously with the bermes.

We may not have seen the entire berme to hold the water, direct the water, because I think it was flat, looked more like a roadway, and then maybe above that was in fact berme for water control.

But I do kind of want to know a little bit more about how you determine what to do, and how to do it. And maybe how much money emphasis, percentage of profit you spend towards that kind of conservation measures.

THE WITNESS: We'll kind of work it backwards, if that's all right.

So the percentage of profit, I don't have that number. But there's 66 people on the site, and there's about five of them spend almost all their time on conservation efforts. And I mean literally that.

Now, that means if we pull a crew in, and they're actually doing a big planting or tree planting, then that's even more people. So if somebody could do the math of five into 66 for me

real quick.

But it's not really common that you're going to find an agricultural business that's going to put full-time people on payroll, and say, go out and do only conservation efforts.

At some point there is a cash crop that you have to make money on. I'm just bringing this up as a point as our commitment.

What wasn't really apparent. I know there were some questions in the field tour, and I remember this too, when you were asking some questions, there is filter strips in front of those bermes. The bermes are really -- we have all experienced it. Think of the rain that happened today. So if that had happened and it kept coming for two hours, that would have been a real doozy, right?

So those bermes stop the water. They slow the water down. Those filter strips in front of them that stay in grass, those filter strips then are designed to hold that water and let that water soak into the soil, whatever water then would reach those bermes.

A light rain you never see it. But a big heavy rain like we saw today that rained for two hours is going to be flooding everywhere. So bermes

and filter strips are like the tag team, they go

together. One without the other isn't as effective.

And the NRCS helped us you put that together,

including where the water should go, and how it

should flow based on X amount of rainfall, what's the

capacity of these bermes. And you actually have to

maintain them.

- You can't let them get small. You have to maintain their height. There is a lot of maintenance that goes into it on a regular every year, every year you're constantly maintaining what you've already got in place.
 - I know I missed a question there somewhere.
- VICE CHAIR CABRAL: No, I think between the answer I got in the field, which not everybody got, and then this answer, because we did not really discuss the bermes there.
- I guess then the question is, the subject property is uphill, upslope from the highway. And then you also lease land below that. So I don't know if Monsanto has land that goes all the way down to the ocean? No.
- So you layer it onto somebody else before you get there?
- THE WITNESS: (Nods head up and down.)

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Scheuer. 2 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aloha, Mr. Clegg. I 3 have a few questions. 4 And I'll count on your counsel to stop me 5 if I'm asking somebody I'm not supposed to be, or at 6 least to object. 7 Do you have the power to bind Monsanto in 8 this proceeding as to any commitment you made such as 9 the commitment to try and work -- phrased by right 10 now, paraphrased to work on access issues around Pu'u 11 Uala? 12 THE WITNESS: It would depend on how their 13 there documented. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Theoretically, you 15 have that --16 THE WITNESS: Handshake agreements are 17 really is good, they're really easy, anybody can do 18 it, but if we start talking about documentation, 19 easement, and things of that nature, we would have a 20 process that we would have go through. I don't know 21 what those look like. 22 But I certainly need to be careful to say 23 that -- I'm not -- I don't have all the --24 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm not trying to trap

25

you.

1 In other proceedings, not saying these 2 proceedings, but in other proceedings Land Use 3 Commission has made conditions, and testifiers, on 4 behalf of Petitioners potential say, yes, I can 5 commit the company to agreeing to condition X. So 6 just asking if you had that kind of authority. 7 THE WITNESS: It depends on how they would 8 followed through. That's the hard point. 9 MS. LIM: And as a point of clarification, 10 Monsanto has authority to file an IAL petition. 11 There's been eight or nine of them. The only 12 conditions ever put on IAL petitions are the waiver of the reclassification, and the requirement to 13 14 record. 15 So Monsanto clearly has that authority, 16 correct. 17 THE WITNESS: That's right. VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Does Monsanto intend 18 to seek the tax credit program? Tax credits from the 19 reclassification of these lands? 20 21 THE WITNESS: We are not seeking any 22 credits for reclassification. 23 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Once it's designated, 24 it allows you under state law --25 THE WITNESS: We're waiving those credits.

MS. LIM: So let me -- sorry to make this so interactive.

But you're correct, you have stated on the record you are waiving the reclassification credit.

What Commissioner Scheuer is asking about is any of the tax benefits. And perhaps -- we didn't discuss this on the record, but I'll state it now.

Our understanding, and I believe Department of Agriculture could probably talk about this a little bit more in detail, but those tax credits have expired. The statute did not get extended during this last session.

So there incentives in statute, but the actual tool to get tax credit I believe expired just this last tax year. So there's not -- are you waiving the ability to ever claim tax credits should that opportunity ever present itself?

THE WITNESS: No, we're not. I'm just looking at the Petition. And if I look at the Petition, this waiver is limited to use of credits for the sole purpose of reclassifying other lands that are not subject of this Petition.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I understand what you're counsel is saying.

Do you have an answer to the question I

asked during the field tour as to, on this day of the Petition area, what percentage of land, or how many acres of land currently have or bear red soil that we saw in certain areas?

THE WITNESS: I heard the question, and I knew what you were getting at.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Well, that makes one person.

THE WITNESS: I was kind of watching this happen and sort of going round and round, right.

I think the thing that was not obvious, and we didn't have time on the farm tour, is a majority of those areas, if you saw, had tracks or lines in them. Those fields -- most of those fields have actually been planted with cover crop, so the seeds haven't had a chance to germinate.

So there's this -- because of the rotation, the fallow that we do -- I'm going to tell you straight up, I don't have the overall percentage month/year number that you were looking for. But this moment -- any moment in time on just about any farm, if you're farming year-round, there's going to be a period of time where you're going to go from one crop to another crop. And you may plant a cover crop in between or you may not.

What we do is we literally go from one crop, we plant a cover crop, and there's that moment in time where the water's on, the seeds in the ground. And I think that's what you saw for the most part today.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you.

I just want to go -- this is the last thing
I'll ask for now. A little bit of comment, and I ask
the indulgence of my fellow Commissioners.

If I heard you correctly, you said you were okay with excluding Pu'u Uala because -- I heard you say it's not good agricultural land.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Just a comment, and I think gets to maybe some of the larger issues which drive controversies over IL. I mean, it's named Pu'u Uala, because obviously it was great agricultural land, right? But the IAL statute might not be designed or really intended to capture that kind of thing.

So in these proceedings, part of me wants to say of course that's great agricultural land.

It's named Pu'u Uala. But it's also not necessarily getting to the kind of IAL that the legislature had contemplated.

1 Thank you I will be quiet for now. 2 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Chang. 3 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you, Dan, I 4 really appreciate your testimony, and taking us on 5 the site visit. I'm sure today probably wasn't the 6 best day to take us as you did have a lot of land 7 that wasn't in cultivation, but I appreciate the site 8 visit. 9 And I do want to acknowledge Monsanto's 10 volunteering to put this land into IAL. But I have 11 several questions. 12 Based upon your testimony, one, when you 13 talk about the pollinator, you saw that. It is my 14 understanding that the seeds -- you carefully breed 15 your corn crops. 16 So how do you ensure that these pollinators 17 don't impact your seed crops? Because my 18 understanding with the seed, you want to keep a very hybrid seed, so how do you ensure that the 19 pollinators don't crossbreed with that? Do you 20 21 understand? 22 THE WITNESS: I do understand your 23 question, and I haven't been asked that for a long

I'm not an entomologist. But, you know,

24

25

time. I appreciate it.

seed purity is not -- seed purity is not exactly 100 percent.

Pollinators are an equally important part of any farming environment. But pollinators are only going to move so far, based on the species, and they're only going to carry so much pollen in general.

If you see a field of corn on Molokai, and it's out there planted together to self-pollinate, a majority of that pollen will fall on the intended female part of the plant.

So the answer to the question is pollinators move pollen.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: The way it was described to us in Kunia, you actually have people who pollinate the corn. I guess the point I'm trying to get across is there was great pride in the fact that you have these habitat pollinators.

But yet it is somewhat inconsistent with the method of your crop production where you manually pollinate the corn, right?

THE WITNESS: On Molokai we do -- we cross -- we self-pollinate. So if you were to see the crew in the field, this would be the difference between Kunia and Molokai, is that Kunia those brown

paper bags you put over the ear and then over the tassel. That's how you would control moving pollen from the male part of the plant to the female part of plant.

On Molokai it's actually at a later stage, and these fields are planted. And then they're allowed to you actually shed pollen on themselves, there's no paper bag.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you for clarifying that.

I'm trying to confine my questions just to what you're testifying.

So that was a little kind of something inconsistent with what we had previously heard. So thank you for clarifying.

The other question I have, based on testimony, the statute talks about import, but it also talks about local consumption. That's one of the goals with the statute.

But essentially the seed crop, or the products that you're growing on your property here is not for local consumption. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: No, here's -- these are, truly in the definition of the statute, these are truly an export product.

judgment, because it is consistent with the statute. The statute does talk about import, but also talks about local consumption. Because the State of Hawai'i is looking at trying to be much more self-sufficient rather than importing in -- or exporting in a lot of food.

So I understand that the statute does say import, but the products that you are growing is not for local consumption?

THE WITNESS: In the context of are they State of Hawai'i, yeah.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: You also made a comment that there are no incentives today to do the IAL designation. Do you remember saying something --

THE WITNESS: Financial, to my knowledge.

There's huge incentives to do IAL from a stewardship standpoint, but from a financial standpoint, especially with the waiver of reclassification credits, that's not what our goal is.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: This is what I'm trying to really understand. I'm looking at one of your figures, Figure 2. You have an approximately 524 acres in corn and soybean. You have 210 acres in

soil conservation. That's where we saw a lot of bermes. A lot of those dark green on your map.

And you have 168 acres in other nonclassified plant restoration gulch. Then this 58 acres in the pu'u and 123 acres in coffee and sunn hemp seed production.

Under this IAL designation, if you voluntarily designate 50 percent of your land or more, then the county, if and when they do their IAL designation, they cannot designate any more of your land in the county. Is that your understanding?

THE WITNESS: That would be our position, yeah.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: As I'm looking at this chart, you are requesting to have -- you have identified 1,084 acres. But out of that, really only 524 acres is in seed and soybean. But this designation does preclude the county from designating your lands on Maui. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So there is somewhat of a financial incentive, because you could subsequently change the zoning on those lands, or it would preclude the county from ever designating those lands on the Island of Maui as IAL?

THE WITNESS: As IAL, that would be our position.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And those lands are located in Kihei?

not a development company. From our position -- I mean, when I come and sit in front of you today, Monsanto, we don't develop. We are not real estate developers. So that's why we plan longer term as an ag company. That's the only view point of the world that we have is agriculture.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I do appreciate that you've made that clarification.

But not having the IAL designation on those Kihei lands, if at some point in time, because this designation runs with the, land, if at some point in the future you want to, let's say sell those lands, it doesn't have the IAL designation on those Kihei lands?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I guess just one follow-up question about the Native Hawaiian rights.

While we may not be able to put it as a condition on this approval, what I heard you say -- I just want to make sure I understand -- that you would

comply with whatever legal requirements and constitutional requirements are made?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I really appreciate your testimony. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Following up on Commissioner Chang's question, and maybe this is not so much a question, but it's just a request to help us out over night. I don't want to call it homework, but maybe it's something along that line.

Please don't take this question to mean I or anybody else is prejudging anything here. But my question -- this was probably directed more to counsel that are all sitting at the table here -- is a question about whether or not, or does the Land Use Commission have to apply the Ka Pa'akai versus Land Use Commission case, that's 94 Hawai'i 131. The case analysis in making its decision here whether or not to grant this Petition.

That's the overall question. Of course, I don't expect an answer here today, and I guess you're free not to even answer my question, but that's a question I have.

And specifically when you look at the Ka
Pa'akai case, is there anything in that case which
specifically prohibits the Land Use Commission from
imposing conditions besides a waiver of the
reclassification provision under the statute, and

number two, the recording of the decision?

In other words, if your position or you believe that the case prohibits the imposition of conditions, where in the case does it say that, where it limits the authority of the Land Use Commission?

And I'm only talking about that case. I'm not asking or raising this question as far as any other statutes which may limit the Commission's authorities.

And conversely, the question also would be, is there language in the Ka Pa'akai case which in fact would authorize the Land Use Commission to impose conditions besides recording and the waiver of the -- or consensual waiver of, you know, the right to seek boundary redesignation, whether there's any language in the case that so states?

And this is the reason -- so you understand my limited thought process about this. The case makes clear that the Land Use Commission has independent obligations which can't be delegated to

applicant or anyone else to protect certain Native

Hawaiian cultural practices and resources enshrined

in the constitution?

And without getting into a long speech, having worked at the Constitutional Convention in 1978, I can assure you that the provision was not simply a concern about Native Hawaiians, but it was a statement of community values.

So if you can help clarify the extent and the application of that case, that would be really helpful.

And just a technical question here. Is this property land court registered property, regular system bureau, or dual system?

MR. MURAKAMI: Commissioner, it's land court.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Oh, okay, because usually I think, if and when these petitions are granted, the order says, record at the bureau, but if it's land court, it would actually have to be filed with the land court, not recorded at the bureau.

MS. LIM: I don't think that that's case.

I've been in several matters where the land is land

court but the rules say record at the bureau.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Let me just state one

1 thing.

Having taken the deposition of a land court registrar once, I notice that many of their rules and procedures are in writing. So that's another matter. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just have a question on slide number 8. I was looking at the Molokai properties that are not considered IAL petition.

Could you just tell me what's going on on those areas?

THE WITNESS: This lower property, if everybody can see that laser point to the bottom property, that's actually the baseyard, where the office is and equipment, tractor, things like that are stored.

That piece of property here is sort of -it's isolated. And so the logical thought for us
when we were looking at Molokai was, again, to really
try to achieve this single critical mass.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I was reading your percentages. That would mean these two lots comprise about ten percent or 11 percent of your holdings on Molokai?

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Are those zoned
3	agriculture?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
5	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Community Plan
6	agriculture?
7	THE WITNESS: Is that Tom
8	MS. LIM: Why don't you go ahead and turn
9	to that. I actually don't think that the slide show
10	is showing what the Community Plan designation is, so
11	if we can hold that question for tomorrow.
12	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I was just and
13	just to make sure that those if they are in
14	agriculture and the Community Plan as well as the
15	zoning designation, those are those will be
16	county rules and regulations concerning zoning?
17	THE WITNESS: I'm not a land planner. I do
18	think that's a good one for tomorrow.
19	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any other questions?
20	None. Redirect.
21	MS. LIM: Just some brief redirect.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. LIM:
24	Q Dan, is this Petition voluntary or
25	involuntary?

1 A Voluntary.

- Q Right now if you were to withdraw this

 Petition, would it change any access rights to the

 property?
 - A No, it would not.
 - Q So with or without IAL is there a change in access rights over the property?
 - A No, there is not.
 - Q Thank you.

You know there was a question about the difference size land areas, and why this land was picked rather than the much smaller Maui County lands.

Are you familiar with under Chapter 205-43, I think you were making reference to this, but I don't know if you have the statute in front of you.

205-43, that does talk about promoting the retention of IAL in blocks of contiguous intact and functional land units large enough to allow flexibility.

So when you consider that, and you look at that piece of property that you're proposing voluntarily that designated as IAL, do you believe that that piece of property constitutes a contiguous, intact and functional land unit, and I ask you that

1 as a farmer? 2 Yes. That component of it really does, Α 3 when you look at the voluntary process for IAL, it 4 literally steers you, one that's looking at this to 5 look at those larger parcels as enough critical mass 6 to be sustainable long term for agriculture. 7 0 I have no further questions. CHAIRPERSON WONG: Ms. Lim, how long will 8 9 Mr. Schnell take? 10 MS. LIM: Mr. Schnell could go through very quickly, but then I'm sure the Commission would want 11 12 to hear from OP. 13 Mr. Schnell could go through his 14 presentation in under 15 minutes. 15 CHAIRPERSON WONG: I'm just watching the 16 time. 17 (Discussion held off the record.) 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Back on the record. May I swear you in, sir? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do you swear or affirm 22 that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Can you please sate your name and address?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24

1 THE WITNESS: My name is Tom Schnell. 2 address is 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 650, Honolulu, 3 Hawai'i. 4 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Ms. Lim, can you 5 proceed. 6 TOM SCHNELL 7 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 8 and testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. LIM: 12 Hi, Tom. We know that the Commissioners 13 have all read through the agriculture land 14 assessment. I'm sure they also looked through the 15 PowerPoint slides, so we'll move pretty quickly. 16 I just want to reference the fact, was your 17 resume filed as Exhibit 12? 18 Α It was. Have your ever been qualified as an expert 19 20 witness in any sort of proceeding before state or 21 county board or agency? 22 I've been considered an expert witness --23 I've been qualified as an expert witness before the 24 Land Use Commission, and also I presented in front of 25 many boards and commissions besides the Land Use

- 1 | Commission.
- Q And your credentials are set forth on Exhibit 12, correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
 - Q You're with PBR Hawai'i, correct?
- 6 A Yes.

Q I think I mentioned already you prepared the Agricultural Land Assessment.

In the interest of time, if you could just please bring the Commissioners through the PowerPoint slides. I know that these are figures that are largely from the Agricultural Land Assessment.

A I'll walk you through the slides very quickly. Some of the questions have been discussed, but what I also want to do is highlight down at the bottom in italics, you'll see some of the standards and criteria for reclassification to IAL, so I'll try to relate it to the standard.

- Q That's a designation, there's no reclassification?
- A Sorry, designation.

So briefly, we've gone over some of this before, but Monsanto owns 1,817 acres in the County of Maui. What they are proposing at this point is to designate or have the LUC designate 1,084 acres on

1 Molokai as IAL.

That represents about 60 percent of their land holdings in Maui County in total, and that's 89 percent of their land holdings on Molokai.

The reason they want to do this 1,084 acres is that it contributes to maintaining the critical lands mass important to its ag operations and productivity. And that's one of the criteria.

Q Tom, real quick, a question was raised on the land use classifications of the other properties, mainly the Maui Island properties.

Did you read the County's Planning
Director's letter that was submitted?

A I did.

Q Are you familiar with in paragraph 6 where the Planning Director references the fact that the Maui Island lands are in fact agricultural lands?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q So you said before that you've been before the Land Use Commission as an expert witness.

Does that mean that you've been involved in boundary amendment proceedings?

A I have.

Q If you to could in three bullet points just remind the Commissioners the steps that would have to

go forward in order for any of those Maui Island lands to be turned into development lands?

A So the lands on Maui are State Land Use Agriculture. They are Community Plan Agriculture, and they're zoned Agriculture in the County of Maui.

So to redesignate those for another purpose that's not agriculture, the Molokai or whoever -- I'm sorry, Monsanto or the landowner would need to go to the State Land Use Commission. They would need to get a community plan amendment, and they would also need to have a change in zoning.

- Q Does the community plan amendment require compliance with Chapter 343?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Q What is your approximation of the number of years it would take to turn any of those Maui Island lands into urban development?
- A I would say three to five years.
- 19 Q At a minimum?

- A At a minimum.
- Q Thank you. Let's move on.
 - A So in the next slide we'll talk about the land use. And we've gone through this slide a little bit, but I wanted to highlight the active agriculture use, such as corn, soybean, coffee and sunn hemp,

1 | constitutes about 60 percent of the property.

And the balance is used for soil

conservation, which is the integral part of the

entire farming operation. And soil conservation

and -- not just soil conservation, but the roads we

drove on, the water infrastructure, the bermes, the

filter strips, all of those are part of the other

part that's not cultivated.

And we also saw the pollinator habitat and there is a 27-acre portion in the gulch that's native plant restoration area.

Q Tom, you were involved with the Kunia

Petition, and if you recall with the Kunia Petition,
the Commission was asked to consider the eight
different criteria under 205-44. The Commission I
believe found that that property clearly satisfied
seven of those eight criteria?

A That's correct.

- Q Is there any difference with this property?
- A The difference is that this property satisfies all eight of those criteria.
 - Q Why is that?
- A Because the criteria that Kunia did not meet was -- let me flip to it.
 - Q 205-44(c)(4)?

A Yes. And that would be land types associated with traditional Native Hawaiian agricultural uses such as taro cultivation or unique agricultural crops and uses such as coffee, vineyards, aquaculture and energy production.

So the difference on this property is that there is actually coffee cultivation taking place on this property.

- Q So all eight criteria are satisfied?
- A That's correct.
- Q Thank you.

Go ahead and move to the next slide.

A I'll just quickly go through this, just topography and streams. Up at the top is about 850 feet elevation. Down here is about 524. The property slopes up gently. The steep slopes occur on the pu'u.

So the LSB classifications of the property,
93 percent of the property is classified either A or
B, with irrigation, and irrigation is available to
the property.

The portion we were talking about, it doesn't show very well on the slide. But this, the pu'u portion that's 58 acres is rated E48, and E is the lowest classification of the LSB study.

1 The E classification doesn't change if it's 2 irrigated or not irrigated. So that's designated. 3 Q What is the ALISH classification? 4 The ALISH classification, most of the 5 property is prime agricultural land. So over 6 90 percent of the property is prime agricultural 7 land, including the area of the pu'u. 8 So there is some sort of a dichotomy going 9 on there, but prime land has the soil quality, the 10 growing season and moisture supply needed to produce 11 high yields of crops. 12 You're just reading from the statute? 13 I was reading actually from the ALISH 14 definition of "prime". 15 Let's go to the next slide, solar 16 radiation, and we were all on the property. It gets 17 a fair bit of sun? 18 Actually it gets quite a bit of sun. The mean annual solar radiation is 215 to 240 watts per 19 20 square meter per hour. That's a pretty high degree. 21 It's actually higher than Kunia gets. 22 And when we go to the next slide, I know 23 I'm moving through this very quickly, but there was

How is the Molokai farm irrigated?

discussion about the water source.

24

A So the source of water for the Molokai farm is the Molokai Irrigation System and the Kualapu'u Reservoir. Right here in the center is the terminus of that system. I believe that's the terminus, or that's the largest reservoir that supplies this area.

Q And does Monsanto own or control the reservoir, or the use of the water from that reservoir?

A It's owned and controlled by Department of Agriculture.

Q Looking at the next slide, we see that the land is in the State Land Use Agriculture District.

A That's true. It's in the State Ag

District, but it's also close to infrastructure

systems for power and water, and it has direct access

to roadways or access to markets and transportation

of the materials.

Q So it's another statutory box that we're checking off?

A Right. One of the statutory requirements is infrastructure conducted to agricultural work productivity, such as transportation to markets, water or power.

And I might add, it's also not close to the Conservation District.

1 There's no Conservation District 2 surrounding this land? 3 Α That's correct. 4 Another criteria that the Commission should 5 consider, which is whether or not the land proposed 6 for IAL designation is consistent with any county 7 general or community or development plans? 8 So the Molokai Community Plan that was last 9 updated in 2001 is the current community plan, 10 designates the property as agriculture. 11 The County of Maui is also working on a 12 draft update to the Molokai Community Plan at the 13 council right now. And that plan also designates the 14 land as agriculture. 15 Tom, I know PBR has been involved in all or 16 almost all of the IAL petitions. 17 Eight out of nine. Α 18 Are of you aware of any other IAL lands within the County of Maui? 19 Yes. So Alexander & Baldwin have their 20 Α 21 land designated by LUC in Central Maui. It's 22 approximately 27,000 acres, and that's on the Island 23 of Maui. 24 So we are not moving very closely towards Q

constitutional and statutory obligations of actually

getting more IAL designated within the State of
Hawai'i, it would seem?

A It seems that a 1000 more acres would move closer to that goal.

Q Thank you.

The next slide, maybe just put it up and rest on that, because I know that you took pains to check off those various statutory boxes. We're talking about slide 19.

A This slide lists all the standards and criteria for IAL under 205-44(c), and there eight criteria standards. And we believe that the property meets all eight of those criteria.

Q Is there any obligation to meet even more than one criteria?

A There is no obligation to meet more than one. It's a decision the LUC makes based on the criteria.

Q And it's rather extraordinary to see property that satisfies all eight -- at least based on the IAL petitions you've seen?

A I have not seen a Petition that satisfies all eight.

Q Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON WONG: County, any questions?
2	MR. SPENCE: I don't have any questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP?
4	MS. APUNA: No questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners?
6	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I don't know whether
7	this is a question for you or perhaps Don.
8	From the maps and that and I do have my
9	map back, thank you, sir it appears that I'm
10	assuming when there was a reference earlier in the
11	public testimony about a school being near this
12	agriculture area.
13	So I'm assuming that the schools are up
14	here along the Farrington Avenue area; is that
15	correct?
16	THE WITNESS: I believe that's correct,
17	yes.
18	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: That is land that's
19	
	still under control of Monsanto or adjacent to land
20	still under control of Monsanto or adjacent to land that's been leased for the coffee or sunn hemp seed?
20 21	
	that's been leased for the coffee or sunn hemp seed?
21	that's been leased for the coffee or sunn hemp seed? THE WITNESS: Monsanto doesn't own land
21 22	that's been leased for the coffee or sunn hemp seed? THE WITNESS: Monsanto doesn't own land mauka of Farrington Avenue.

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148——

Τ	THE WIINESS. RIGHT.
2	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Is that your coffee?
3	THE WITNESS: Monsanto subleases that.
4	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: And the same with the
5	hemp seed?
6	THE WITNESS: I believe the hemp seed is
7	down in this location (indicating).
8	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: So I don't know where
9	the school is. Is that adjacent to the question
10	is it adjacent to land that you currently control or
11	adjacent to land that's leased to somebody else at
12	this time?
13	THE WITNESS: I think it's both. I think
14	the school is right in this area here (indicating).
15	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Has your organization
16	or Monsanto ever heard before about this concern
17	about the dust or the chemicals or the proximity of
18	agricultural lands to the schools? Has this ever
19	come up before at community hearings?
20	I don't want the history so much, but have
21	you looked at doing something to help mitigate that
22	concern?
23	THE WITNESS: I think Dan would be more
24	qualified to answer that question.
25	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Vice Chair

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148——

1 Scheuer.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I have some questions about water. You state you prepared the Agricultural Land Assessment Report?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Bottom of page 15 you state the MIS originally served large scale pineapple operations, but was converted to serve diversified agriculture after pineapple operations closed in the late 1970s. The system also served the DHHL homestead in Ho'olehua.

What is your authority for that statement?

THE WITNESS: Let me review the statement.

Just a minute. Could you reference the page?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Bottom of page 15, the last two sentences.

THE WITNESS: 15 of the Petition?

18 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: No, of your report,

19 Exhibit 4, Agricultural Land Assessment.

THE WITNESS: The last sentence says: The MIS originally served large scale pineapple operations, but was converted to serve diversified agriculture after pineapple operations closed in the late '70s. The system also serves DHHL homesteads in Ho'olehua.

1 And you wanted to know the source of that? 2 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Yes. 3 THE WITNESS: I think maybe Mark would be able to answer that question. 4 5 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Are you aware that 6 there are at least others who have written that the 7 system was actually built for DHHL initially, and 8 included pineapple operation to help subsidize the 9 construction cost? 10 THE WITNESS: I understand that the system 11 is owned and operated by Department of Agriculture. 12 So I don't know the origins of the system, but I do 13 know Department of Ag --14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm getting at whether 15 or not the -- I realize it only has to meet one 16 criteria. I'm getting to the assertion that it meets 17 all of the criteria, including sufficient quantities 18 of water. Are you aware that there is a two-thirds 19 priority of water use from the system for the 20 21 Department of Hawaiian Home Lands? 22 THE WITNESS: I'm aware of that. 23 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Have you calculated if

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

there is sufficient water if DHHL was asserting its

full two-thirds use to supply the agricultural

24

operations on these lands? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I'm not able to answer that 3 question, but I believe Dan or Josh --4 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: You can't answer 5 whether or not you've calculated it? 6 THE WITNESS: I have not personally 7 calculated it, no. 8 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: So you're not sure 9 whether or not if DHHL asserted its full legal 10 rights, there would be sufficient water available? 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm the right 12 person to respond to that question. 13 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm just trying to ask what's in the report and your claim that there is 14 15 sufficient water available. 16 THE WITNESS: Currently there is sufficient 17 water available. 18 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Under the law? 19 THE WITNESS: Jennifer or Mark maybe can 20 clarify. 21 MS. LIM: Well, is water be served to the 22 property? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 MS. LIM: And do we actually have, included 25 in the record, the amount of water that is going to

1 the property? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 MS. LIM: Did the Department of Agriculture 4 indicate in their comment letter that there's been 5 any shortage, and that somehow the Monsanto land is 6 claiming more water, and therefore leaving Department 7 of Hawaiian Home Land lessees without water? 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MS. LIM: So right now, the snapshot in 10 time right now today, which is what the statute is 11 having us look at, are there adequate supplies of 12 water to this property, to the best of your 13 knowledge? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 MS. LIM: Have you heard otherwise -- has 16 Department of Agriculture or Office of Planning 17 submitted any comments to suggest otherwise? 18 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Respectfully, Ms. Lim, 19 the statute also points out that a landowner can 20 remove land use designation if IAL, there is 21 sufficient water available. 22 MS. LIM: That's absolutely correct.

> COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much for

> VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I'm done. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any other questions?

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

23

24

1 | your testimony. Just a few questions, two.

There was questioning by counsel that if -what's the step to change the designation, in
particular she was asking questions regarding Maui,
for those lands to be changed out of ag.

And you said that -- you went through three steps, and you said it probably would take three years to change the designation.

THE WITNESS: Three to five years, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: If the county designated the land IAL, what would be the additional process that Monsanto or anyone else would have to go through to change that designation from IAL to a different land use?

THE WITNESS: So one of the steps would be a state district boundary reclassification. So it's currently in the state ag district, and if they washed to change it to urban, I believe that takes the majority of the Land Use Commission to approve that now.

Under IAL, it takes two-thirds majority.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So there is a different process which would be much more difficult if the land was designated IAL?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe a higher

1 standard, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you discuss the

Kunia Petition as well. In the Kunia Petition

76 percent of that land on Oahu owned by Monsanto was
going into IAL designation. Do you recall that?

THE WITNESS: I believe that's correct,

yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And in this case currently there is 59 percent of the total land holdings of approximately 1800 acres. You took the County of Maui.

THE WITNESS: The County of Maui as a whole.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Molokai and Maui, approximately 1800 acres. And this particular Petition, according to the Petition, 59 percent of that land, entire land holdings is going into the Petition?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: However, based upon today OP's testimony objection about wanting Pu'u Uala taken out, and that's five percent. So that would take the designation down to approximately 54 percent, if we follow --

THE WITNESS: With rounding it would be

1 about maybe 56, 55 percent, correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'm looking at your Figure 2 on the PowerPoint where it went down from the pu'u was approximately 58 acres, the percentage was five percent. That's why I got 59 minus five.

It's not mathematically correct?

THE WITNESS: I did the calculation last night, so the numbers that I have is Monsanto owns 1,817 acres, not counting the decimal point, on Maui County, within Maui County.

Our Petition was asking for 1,084.

However, if you took out the pu'u, which was

58 acres, that would be 1,026 acres. So the

percentage of 1,026 acres to the total is about

56 percent.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'm sure there would be difference calculations on this Figure 2. But out of that, the coffee and sunn hemp subleases

123 acres, soil conservation lands, 210 acres, other non-classified plant restoration gulch, 168 acres.

I'm looking at Figure 2.

MS. LIM: On slide 9.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So the total amount of land that Monsanto is actively in agricultural use for the corn and the seed is 524 acres, according

to --

THE WITNESS: Yes, according to the table in the Ag Assessment Report on page eight, corn and soybean production is 524 acres.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And standard and criteria under this figure as well, land currently used for agricultural production, HRS 205-44(c)(1), that's what you got up there.

A majority of the land is not currently used for agricultural production. A majority of the land on your chart is soil conservation, other land restoration, the gulch, the pu'u. I guess maybe more than that, because you have the coffee and the hemp.

THE WITNESS: If you added the coffee and the sunn hemp to those corn and soybean, you have about 60 percent in active cultivation.

But the soil conservation measures and infrastructure and all of the things that make up the other contribute to the entire working cultivation and production of the farm.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: But it does -- if you look at the standards you've got there, land currently used for agricultural production. I guess my point is that under the statute, if more than 50 percent, the landowner voluntarily puts in more

than 50 percent, then the county is precluded from designating any more lands into IAL.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: The percentage is -- you're very close to the 50 percent.

THE WITNESS: Well, I would say if you look at LSB classification and also the ALISH classification, it is all good farm land, or large majority is highly -- ranked very highly understand LSB and ALISH.

So they may be using soil conservation measures that are an active part of the farm now, but that does not mean that those lands are not productive agricultural lands that in the future could be perhaps planted with a different crop or cultivated differently.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Because the statute in the constitution is very clear that the purpose of IAL is really to promote agriculture use, right? So I understand that some of these lands are being used for soil conservation, but if we actually look at the amount of total land owned by Monsanto that's actually going into IAL, it is very close to --

THE WITNESS: I would say that this 1,084 acres is an intact farm. We were out there

today, and you could see that this is a large farm area. And it's one large piece. So it's a critical mass that contributes to this farm. The farm is not just the row crops. The farm is everything else that makes the farm functioning.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I guess today was probably not the best day for us to be out there, because you're right, we really didn't see a lot of the land actually being in production.

I did see a lot more soil conservation measures, so probably wasn't a very good representation of the potential of the land.

THE WITNESS: Well, there wasn't a lot of corn growing on the land. You didn't see a lot of greenery. But similarly when we went to Kunia, they were also in the period where they're between crop cultivation.

So there was not a lot of corn stocks that you could see on the Kunia property either.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: But we did see a lot of what would have been in my mind markings of cultivation. There were rows. There were clearly areas that you knew -- whether they were cover crops.

Here, I'll be honest, I saw a lot more hale koa than I did see evidence of potential active

1 cultivation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And then the coffee and the sunn hemp, 3 those are under lease?

4 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And Monsanto has no control over what or how they grow on those land?

Currently it's in active coffee cultivation, but if those leases were terminated -- let's say coffee -- they decide to stop growing, that could potentially affect the total percentage of the lands that make --

THE WITNESS: But if you designate it IAL, then probably another crop would go in there.

13 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Chang, 14 anything else? I want to stop there with Mr.

15 | Schnell.

Just because Department of Ag wants to present something out of order, because they cannot make it tomorrow.

So can you -- do you have enough time, because we have to stop here like in five, ten minutes. So if the other parties don't mind, can we take Department of Ag out of order?

Mr. Schnell, we will continue with you tomorrow, if you don't mind.

Let me swear you in.

1 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 2 that you're about to give is the truth? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 4 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Can you please state 5 your name and address for the record. 6 THE WITNESS: Earl Yamamoto, Department of 7 Agriculture, Kaua'i, 1420 South King Street, Honolulu. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Please proceed. 10 EARL YAMAMOTO 11 Was called as a witness on behalf of State Department 12 of Agriculture, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 THE WITNESS: Make a short story shorter, 16 I'll just go to the conclusion that we concur with 17 all the prior presentations, that all eight of the criteria have been met, and we feel that -- the 18 Department of Agriculture feels that the project 19 merits IAL designation, with the exception of that 20 21 area around the Pu'u Uala, what acreage that is, is 22 to be determined by other parties. 23 We ask the Commission to consider not

including that as part of the designated IAL area.

I'm available for any questions.

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Petitioner, any
2	questions?
3	MS. LIM: No questions.
4	CHAIRPERSON WONG: County, any questions?
5	MR. SPENCE: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON WONG: OP?
7	MS. APUNA: No questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioners?
9	Commissioner Okuda.
10	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: You were here, and you
11	heard the questions that Commissioner Scheuer was
12	asking about the calculations of water if existing
13	persons or entities asserted their water rights on
14	the system that is now serving the property.
15	Do you remember that line of
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, two-thirds preference.
17	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Did the Department of
18	Agriculture conduct or do the calculation that the
19	Commissioner was asking about?
20	THE WITNESS: Calculated for this
21	particular Petition, no.
22	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: So there is no
23	calculation done about whether there would be
24	sufficient water if other parties asserted their
25	legal rights to the water, is that correct?

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148—

1 When I say sufficient water, sufficient for 2 Monsanto's farming operation. 3 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question 4 again? 5 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: My question is: 6 there any calculation that you're aware of showing 7 whether or not there would be sufficient water for 8 Monsanto to maintain its farming operation on the 9 subject property if all the other parties who have 10 rights to use the water from the water system 11 actually asserted those water rights? 12 THE WITNESS: I'm personally at this time 13 am not aware of a calculation being made. That does 14 not mean that one doesn't exist. 15 I'm sure after these years with all the 16 meetings held by the Molokai Irrigation System Users 17 Advisory Board, that that question surely has -- but I do not have that. 18 19 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, no further 20 questions. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Just for your 22 information, Petitioner, I would like to have your 23 cultural expert --24 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I have a question.

Sorry, question of our current testifier, Earl,

25

right, and your Department of Agriculture.

In the event that there are concerns that the public might have about pesticide use or even fertilizer uses, or dust and that, is the Department of Agriculture the place that someone could go to to get some type of examination or relief to see if there is something that might be affecting the community, or potentially adjacent crops or something?

Or do you as, a department, state department provide that type of service to investigate those types of things.

THE WITNESS: I'm aware of a history of such investigations taking place on Kaua'i, whether or not what mechanism was used to get these investigations, the field practices and so forth and so on, done, I'm not aware.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: But you're saying

Department of Ag has done it on another island, so

potentially that is an area --

THE WITNESS: Let me back up. I'm not saying that -- I'm sure in terms of initiation, what took place. It was in our planning industry division pesticide branch, but I am aware that we did obviously have a role in the study for field

practices out in, I think it was Kekaha/Waimea area on Kauai. VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any more questions? Before I ask, Ms. Lim. Mr. Schnell, you're not off the hook. Come back tomorrow. And also would like your cultural expert to be here tomorrow and we will recess and come back at 8:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. (The proceedings adjourned at 5:50 p.m.)

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I)) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	T TEAN MADIE MAMANIC do boroby gortify:
	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on October 18, 2017, at 1:30 p.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 18th day of October, 2017, in
16	Honolulu, Hawai'i.
17	
18	
19	/S/Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148—