1		LAND USE COMMISSION HEARING
2		STATE OF HAWAII
3		Videotaped Conference
4		Proceedings held on February 21, 2018
5		Wailuku District Office
6		54 South High Street
7		Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
8		Commencing at 8:30 a.m.
9		
10	AGEND	A
11	I.	Call to Order
12	II.	Adoption of Minutes
13	III.	ADOPTION OF ORDER A15-798 Waikapu Properties LLC et al (Maui)
14		To consider Petition to Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into the Rural
15		Land Use District for certain lands situated at Waikapu, District of Wailuku, Island and County
16		of Maui, State of Hawaii, consisting of 92.394 acres and 57.454 acres, and to Amend the
17		Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into the Urban Land Use District for certain lands
18		situated at Waikapu, District of Wailuku, Island and County of Maui, State of Hawai'i,
19		consisting of 236.326 acres, 53.775 acres, and 45.054 acres.
20	IV.	Discussion and Action, if Appropriate
21	v.	Adjournment
22	• •	
23		
24	BEFOR:	E: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156
25		

-McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148-

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Good morning. 2 This is the February 21st, 2018, Land Use 3 Commission meeting. The first order of business is adoption of 4 5 the January 24th, 25th, 2018 meeting minutes. 6 there any corrections or comments on them? If not, 7 is there a motion to adopt minutes? COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I move. 8 COMMISSIONER MAHI: I'll second. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Ohigashi moved to adopt the minutes. A motion was -- a second 11 12 was made by Commissioner Mahi. 13 All in favor please say "aye". Any 14 opposed? The minutes are adopted unanimously. 15 This is an action meeting on Docket No. A15-798 Waikapu Properties LLC to approve the form of 16 17 the order in this matter. 18 Let me update the record. 19 The Commission met via a videoconference networking on Lihue, Kaua'i; Hilo, Hawaii; Honolulu, 20 21 Oahu and Kahului, Maui on January 25th, 2018. And 22 prior to voting, all the Commissioners affirmed that

The Commission then voted on Docket No.

they had reviewed the record and transcripts in this

23

2.4

25

Docket.

A15-798 to grant, with conditions, the Petition to
Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries
into the Rural Land Use District for certain lands
situated at Waikapu, District of Wailuku, Island and
County of Maui, State of Hawai'i, consisting of
92.394 acres and 57.454 acres, and to Amend Land Use
District Boundaries into the Urban Land Use District
for certain land situated at Waikapu, District of
Wailuku, Island and County of Maui, State of Hawai'i,
consisting of 236.326 acres, 53.775 acres and
45.054 acres.

2.1

Land Use Commission staff was instructed to prepare a proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order consistent with its decision for consideration, deliberation and adoption.

On February 13, 2018, the Commission mailed an agenda notice to Parties, Statewide, Kaua'i, Oahu, Maui and Hawai'i mailing list.

Will the Parties please identify themselves for the record?

MR. GEIGER: Good morning, Chair,

Commissioners, James Geiger on behalf of Petitioners.

With me is Petitioner's representative Michael

Atherton.

1 MR. HOPPER: Michael Hopper, Department of 2 Deputy Corporation Counsel representing the 3 Department of Planning. 4 MS. APUNA: Dawn Apuna, Deputy Attorney General, on behalf of State Office of Planning. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. 7 Is there anyone in the audience anywhere who wishes to provide public testimony on this 8 9 matter? Going once, twice. Thank you. 10 The next order of business is to confirm that Commissioner Aczon has reviewed the materials 11 12 and transcripts of the December 6 and 7, 2017, and 13 January 24th, 25th, 2018 meeting, and is prepared to 14 participate in these proceedings. 15 Commissioner Aczon. 16 COMMISSIONER ACZON: Yes, Mr. Chair, I read 17 all the materials and transcripts regarding the 18 docket, and I'm ready to proceed. 19 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you, Commissioner 20 Aczon. 21 The Commission will now consider the 22 adoption of the order. 23 Commissioners, before you, for your

consideration, deliberation and adoption is the proposed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and

24

25

Decision and Order prepared by LUC staff as instructed at the last meeting on this docket.

Is there any discussion? Commissioner -- sorry, is that Commissioner Okuda?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda.

Mr. Chair, and fellow Commissioners, I would ask that the Decision and Order with all Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law be adopted with one amendment. And the amendment that I propose would be Paragraph is 17 or Condition 17 found at page 61. And the amendment that I would request is that the following sentence be added to Condition or Paragraph 17 at page 61.

Again, the following sentence would be added to Condition 17 at page 61, and I propose following, and I quote:

No dwelling or structure shall or may be used for a residence or residential use, including farm dwellings or farm working -- excuse me -- farm-worker housing, on the adjacent agricultural lots and the applicable easement (whether termed agricultural easement or conservation easement) shall include this restriction.

And that's the end of my requested amendment, which is that sentence being added to

1 | Condition or Paragraph 17 at page 61.

The reason for that is, I believe that sentence will make the condition consistent with the representations of the Petitioners, which, again, I would like to state, the Petitioners have submitted a Petition, and followed the process, which I believe is a model, or really is something that shows commitment to the State, its people, and especially the County of Maui, but this would also make that condition consistent with Finding of Fact No. 387 which is found at Paragraph 46 of the proposed Decision and Order.

So with that, I have nothing further. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do I have a second?

Commissioner Scheuer seconded?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: No.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: No, not a second?

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: I don't think a motion was made. I think a point was raised, and I have a question for Commissioner Okuda about his proposed language.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: First, there was amendment. He made a motion to amend.

MS. ERICKSON: To adopt.

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG: To adopt with the 2 amendment? 3 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Chair, actually I 4 believe the Commissioner is correct that I was 5 answering a question. I did not make a motion. 6 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you for the 7 correction. 8 Commissioner Scheuer. 9 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Mr. Okuda, if I heard 10 your language correctly, you had part of your 11 proposed language referred to adjacent agricultural 12 lots? 13 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: And I believe that 15 that might be unclear, because that might add things 16 that you're not intending to add, because the overall 17 docket discusses adjacent agricultural lots, which are not part of the Petition area. And I don't think 18 19 you're trying to reach outside the boundary of the 20 Petition Area and impose restrictions. I think all 21 you're trying do is say on the 800 acres of the 22 agricultural easement there shall be no residential 23 dwelling.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda.

Yes, that's correct. I use the term

24

25

1 "adjacent agricultural lands", because I believe in 2 the Proposed Decision and Order the properties which 3 are to be subject to what's been called either 4 agricultural easement or conservation easement also 5 describe those lands as adjacent agriculture lands. 6 But for clarity purpose, I don't see a 7 problem of deleting my reference to "adjacent 8 agricultural lands". 9 The proposed clarification is intended to 10 apply to whatever is subject to the easement, whether 11 that easement is described as an agricultural easement or conservation easement. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Scheuer, 14 anything else? 15 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Not in response to 16 Commissioner Okuda's proposed language. 17 Mr. Chair, I'm planning to call for a motion, and then have discussion on the motion. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Do I have a motion with 20 the amendment proposed by Commissioner Okuda? Do I have a second -- or first a motion? Do I have anyone 2.1 22 to move for this so we can start discussion, 23 Commission Okuda motion. Is there a second? 2.4 COMMISSIONER MAHI: Yes.

25

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Mahi

seconded. Discussion? 1 2 Commissioner Okuda. 3 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda. 4 Mr. Chair, I move that the Decision and 5 Order, including the Findings of Fact and Conclusions 6 of Law prepared by the staff be adopted with the 7 following amendment to Condition No. 17 found at page 8 61 of the proposed document. The amendment would be to add the following 9 10 sentence to Condition No. 17. The addition would 11 read: 12 No dwelling or structure shall or may be 13 used for a residence or residential use, including 14 farm dwellings or farm-worker housing, on the lands 15 subject to the applicable easement (whether termed agricultural easement or conservation easement) and 16 17 such easements shall include this restriction. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Mahi, do 19 you still want to second that? 20 COMMISSIONER MAHI: I'll still second. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. 22 Now it's discussion. Is there any 23 discussion? Commissioner Cabral. 24 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I would like to speak

25

against that motion.

I can appreciate the theory that was represented that it's for agricultural use and not for residential use, and my concern is that ag theft, agricultural theft is a huge and major problem for large ranching, large farming land, and it is becoming more and more common over here on the Big Island where we have large chunks of land to have small dwellings in areas in order to help protect the land from what is massive amounts of ag theft.

So I'm concerned, especially with the detail of this saying no farm dwellings -- and I understand farm dwellings are heavily abused, especially on this island. And are, you know, working places. But I'm concerned that what we are doing is setting them up to have to either break this ordinance or come back to us in the future and spend that time and energy because farm theft -- and I don't know, I'm not opposed to the concept of this motion, but I am opposed to it being specifically so limiting that it would prohibit them from protecting their crops. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I have a question for Commissioner Okuda as well, similar to Commissioner Cabral.

Is your proposed amendment, is it providing a limitation greater than what the existing ordinance and regulation would apply to the Conservation, this Conservation or Agricultural easement. Are you proposing a greater restriction than what the law provides?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda.

What I am proposing is a provision which is consistent with Finding of Fact No. 387, which is found at page 46, number one.

Number two, what the Petitioner proposed in this case was protections which are greater than what is provided for by law. And I think the Petitioner should be commended for that. In other words, they were trying to propose something, or frankly figure out a way of protecting agricultural lots from what is the reality that we are seeing here, and frankly, which was raised at my senate confirmation, about the fact that instead of having dwellings on agricultural lots, which are accessory to agricultural, you have the so-called gentleman farms.

And I do agree with Commissioner Cabral, agricultural theft is a real issue. No question about it. And with the type of profit margins, small profit margins sometimes, and the risk that farmers

take to farm, theft really can drive a farm out of business.

The unfortunate thing is, I think we have to weigh these competing interests here and look at the potential harm to actually farming in general.

And if I can just take a moment about that.

The problem with gentleman farms -- I believe the general studies in real estate pricing bear this out -- is that where you do not have real enforcement of the restrictions on agricultural lands, where people are able to use good prime agricultural lands for residential purposes and not farming, it drives the price of agricultural lands up, because it's simple price and -- you know, supply and demand.

And so without this restriction, there is a real risk, a real risk that we're going to start seeing a continuation of what is taking place statewide of people building MacMansions on large agricultural tracks claiming there's agriculture, and now the real farmer, the farmers that are really trying to make a go of agriculture are simply going to be priced out of the market because frankly rich people are going to bid the price higher.

So, yes, this does create a restriction

which is, frankly, more of a restriction that might exist in certain areas, but this is a restriction that the Petitioner had proposed to protect agriculture, and, frankly, this restriction was one of the major reasons why I was willing to vote in favor of redistricting prime agricultural lands, because even though we are taking prime agricultural lands out of agriculture production for this project, what the Petitioner has done is basically placed a guarantee on -- and represented that essentially they are providing a guarantee that the other remaining agricultural lands would remain agriculture.

So, again, the proposed amendment here is simply to be consistent with Finding of Fact No. 387 on page 46, number one, and to be consistent with representations made by the Petitioner, which are the representations that led me to vote in favor of this boundary amendment.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you, Chair.

I don't -- I greatly appreciate
Commissioner Okuda's comments.

I guess for me it's a matter of process and wanting to make sure that our decision stands on its own, and we are not -- it is not viewed that we are

unilaterally, we as a Commission are doing something beyond what the law provides.

So I would just ask what -- I support the intention, and we have seen the abuse of gentlemen estates.

So I would only ask that if the amendment is to approve, that there would be a reference to, as agreed to or as provided by the Petitioner, as proposed by, so that it is the Petitioner who is coming to us saying that they're willing to come in with this restriction; it is not Land Use Commission imposing that restriction on them, it is the Petitioner who is coming to the Land Use Commission saying we are willing to accept this limitation.

CHAIRPERSON WONG: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda.

I would agree with Commissioner Chang on that. And my recollection was that when I asked that question of the Petitioner's counsel, or the Petitioner, when we were going through what might be the basis of a decision, I asked whether or not that was the Petitioner's position. And, you know, if I'm wrong, please someone correct me on the record, because I don't want this record to state or imply something that's not correct, but I believe that the

Petitioner had stated on the record that this was 1 2 their intent. 3 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Let me ask the 4 Petitioner. Is that correct on Commissioner Okuda's 5 statement. 6 MR. GEIGER: This is James Geiger on behalf the Petitioner. The answer is "yes". The Petitioner 7 is agreeable to a condition that the lands -- the 8 9 adjacent lands subject to the easement, whether it's 10 Conservation or otherwise, will not be used for 11 residential purposes. 12 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Any more discussion on 13 this amendment? If not, let's vote on the amendment that --14 15 Commissioner Scheuer. 16 VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Procedurally, a single 17 motion was made not an amendment to a motion. So if you voted right now, you're voting for the entire 18 19 order. CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you, Commissioner 20 21 Scheuer, you are right. 22 Is there anything else on discussion on

this in front of us? Commissioner Scheuer.

23

24

25

Briefly, I wanted to say two things.

VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

---McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148----

In the context of, again, thanking the Petitioner for their very significant work with the community to evolve their project from their original proposal over many years to what has come before us, I'm personally very grateful.

I want to say that I place a considerable amount of importance on Condition No. 27, which is the catch-all condition that indicates that we are relying on the overall representations of the Petitioner.

wordsmith, some of the language in the Decision and Order. Particularly the conditions, I think are sort of vague. You're going to do water conservation measures. There's not a lot of specificity. There's not a lot of measure in that particular condition, but in your presentation to us there was a huge amount of detail of what you were trying to do. And that's really what my vote is based on are those overall representations, including the specifics that are included in the existing conditions that are before us.

The second thing I want to say, and I just want to acknowledge, is that it's really great to have a great proposal in front of us, but I also just

1 want to note for some people on Maui and so people 2 around Hawai'i, it's still a heavy decision. We feel 3 good about it. We feel good that this is a great 4 project that's going forward. But this is a one-way 5 door. Acres will be converted forever to 6 nonagricultural use. So we do so, or at least I do 7 so, with really an acknowledgment of the significance 8 of the decision we're making today for the future of 9 Maui, at the same time that we thank you for the good 10 work that you've done. Thank you, Chair. 11 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Any other 12 discussion on the motion with the amendment? 13 So no more discussion. It has been moved 14 by --15 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I would like to be able 16 to vote on the amendment separate from the main 17 motion. Can that be separated? 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Not at this time, sorry, 19 Commissioner Cabral. So it's all in one. 20 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay, thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON WONG: So any other discussion? 22 It has been moved by Commissioner Okuda 23 with the amendment, and seconded by Commissioner Mahi 24 to approve the form of the order with the amendment. 25 So, Mr. Orodenker, can you please poll the

1	Commissioners?
2	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
3	Once again the motion is to adopt the order as
4	amended by Commissioner Okuda.
5	Commissioner Okuda?
6	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
7	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Mahi?
8	COMMISSIONER MAHI: Aye.
9	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Aczon?
10	COMMISSIONER ACZON: Yes.
11	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Scheuer?
12	VICE CHAIR SCHEUER: Aye.
13	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Cabral?
14	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Aye.
15	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Ohigashi?
16	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Aye.
17	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Estes?
18	COMMISSIONER ESTES: Aye.
19	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Chang?
20	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aye.
21	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Chair Wong?
22	CHAIRPERSON WONG: Aye.
23	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Mr. Chair, the motion passes unanimously.
25	CHAIRPERSON WONG: We have one more agenda

------McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148----

item. Mr. Orodenker, do you have anything to state? 1 2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We don't have anything 3 to report at this time, Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Any other 5 business that needs to be attended to? If not, I 6 declare this meeting adjourned. 7 Wait, wait. Commissioner Okuda. COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda. 8 9 just want to state one more thing for the record. 10 And even though James Geiger in many prior cases has 11 been on the other side with respect to our law firm, 12 I would like to just state for the record that the 13 way he's handled this proceeding just increases even 14 the big respect I had for him before. 15 I think he's a credit to the practice, and 16 I really thank him for his work in this case. 17 MR. GEIGER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON WONG: Thank you. Is there any 19 other statement? If not, this meeting is adjourned. 20 Thank you. 21 (The proceedings adjourned at 9:00 o'clock 22 a.m.) 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on February 21, 2018, at 8:30 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 21st day of February, 2018, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

——McMANUS COURT REPORTERS 808-239-6148——