	_
1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I
3	Hearing held on February 6, 2019
4	Commencing at 10:00 a.m.
5	Courtyard by Marriott Oahu North Shore
6	55-400 Kamehameha Hwy, Laie, HI 96762
7	
8	
9	AGENDA
10	I. Call to Order
11 12	II. Adoption of Minutes January 9-10, 2019 Site visit Minutes January 23-24, 2019 Minutes
13	III. Hearing and Action DR18-63 MALAEKAHANA WEST HUI, (Oahu)
14 15	IV. Adjournment
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	BEFORE: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		_
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	JONATHAN SCHEUER, Chair NANCY CABRAL, Vice Chair AARON MAHI, Vice Chair	
3	DAWN N.S. CHANG LEE OHIGASHI	
4	ARNOLD WONG EDMUND ACZON	
5		
6	STAFF: RANDALL S. NISHIYAMA, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General	
7	DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Officer	
8	RILEY K. HAKODA, Planner/Chief Clerk BERT SARUWATARI, Planner	
9	RASMI AGRAHARI, Planner	
10	DAWN APUNA, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General	
11	RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, Planning Programing Administrator For State Office of Planning	
12		
13	NOA CHING, Planner Department of Agriculture State of Hawaii	
14	RAYMOND YOUNG, Planner	
15	FRANK HALL, Planner Department of Planning and Permitting	
16	City and County of Honolulu	
17		
18	KALANI MORSE, ESQ. Attorney for Malaekahana West Hui, LLC	
19	Accorney for Maraekanana west nur, file	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha mai kakou, good 1 2 morning. 3 This is the February 6, 2019 Land Use Commission meeting. Our first order of business is 4 5 the adoption of the January 9th through 10th, 2019 site visit, and the January 23rd through 24th, 6 7 2019 minutes. Are there any corrections or comments on 8 the minutes? 9 10 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I move to accept. VICE CHAIR MAHI: Second. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion has been 13 made by Commissioner Aczon and seconded by 14 Commissioner Mahi. Any discussion? 15 I will thank Riley for compiling these very 16 complicated minutes. 17 Any further discussion? All in favor? 18 Anybody opposed? The minutes are unanimously 19 adopted. 20 Our next agenda item tentative meeting 21 schedule. Mr. Orodenker. 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 On February 20th, we will be on Maui in the afternoon from 2:00 to 4:00 at Maui Arts and Cultural 24 25 Center for Ka'ono'ulu Ranch Motion.

We will then reconvene at 6:00 p.m. at Maui
County Center -- I've been told it's now the new high
school in Kihei, not the Kihei high school.

Then we have March 13th, which we are

asking the Commissioners to hold because we have some outstanding motions that may fit into that date.

 $$\operatorname{March}$$  27 we will be on the Big Island for Lanihau HHFDC status reports.

On March 28th we will be at NELHA for Waikoloa Mauka Adoption of Order and Bencorp Motion to revert on Order to Show Cause.

And on April 10th we will be on Oahu for the Waiawa matter.

On April 23rd and 24th, please note that's a Tuesday and Wednesday, we will be on Oahu for the Hawai'i Memorial Park matter.

And then in May, May 8th and 9th currently open.

May 22nd and 23rd we will be on Kaua'i for the Hokua and Kealia matters. That takes us to June.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

Are there any questions for Dan?

Our next agenda item is hearing and action meeting on DR18-63, Malaekahana Hui West, LLC's Petition to issue a Declaratory Order designating as

1 Important Agricultural Land approximately 230.33
2 acres of its lands on Oahu, Hawai'i, identified by

TMK 5-6-006:0018 (por.)

Will the Petitioner please identify itself for the record?

MR. MORSE: Thank you, Chair. May it please the Commission, I am counsel Kalani Morse representing Malaekahana Hui West, LLC.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHEUER:} \quad \mbox{Let me please update}$  the record now.

On December 21st, 2018, the Commission received the Petitioner's position for Declaratory Order to designate Important Agricultural Lands, and Exhibits A through E, with a hard copy and a digital file.

A request for comments to the LUC about the Petition were mailed by the Petitioner to the Office of Planning, State Department of Agriculture, and to the City and County of Department of Planning and Permitting on the same day.

On December 31st, 2018, the Commission mailed the January 9 through 10, 2019 site visit agenda notice to the Parties and to Statewide and Oahu mailing lists and received Petitioner's \$1,000 filing fee.

On January 10th of this year the Commission 1 2 received a site visit map and performed a site visit. 3 On January 18th, the Commission received 4 the Office of Planning and Department of Planning and 5 Permitting's comments on the Petition. 6 On January 22nd, the Commission received 7 Department of Agriculture's comments on the Petition. On January 29th, the Commission mailed the 8 9 February 6, 2019 agenda notice to the Parties and to 10 the Statewide and Oahu mailing lists. 11 And on February 4th, the Commission 12 received Petitioner's Naming of Witness List. 13 Mr. Morse, does your client agree with the 14 Commission's policy regarding the reimbursement of 15 hearing expenses? 16 MR. MORSE: We do. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 18 Let me briefly describe our procedures for 19 today on this docket. 20 I'll first call for any individuals 21 desiring to provide public testimony to identify 22 themselves. Anybody here who wishes to provide 23 public testimony? 24 In case anybody comes in, we will swear

them in, then we will complete the testimony portion

25

1 after that.

After that the Petitioner will make their presentation. Following the Petitioner's presentation, we will receive any public comments from the County, if they show up, the Office of Planning and the Department of Agriculture.

 $\label{eq:commission} \mbox{And thereafter the Commission will conduct}$  our deliberations. And I will also note from time to time I will call for short breaks.

Are there any questions on our procedures for today?

MR. MORSE: None.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

MR. CHING: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Morse, the Chair intends to declare that the documents submitted by the Department of Agriculture, Office of Planning, City and County of Honolulu Department of Planning and Permitting, any written public testimony, and Petitioner's response are part of the record in this matter. Do you have any objections to this?

MR. MORSE: None.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. Hearing none, the documents are made part of the record.

Final check. Anybody in the audience wish

to provide public testimony? Seeing none. 1 2 Mr. Morse, you can proceed with your 3 presentation. 4 MR. MORSE: We would like to introduce our 5 first witness, Mr. Tom Schnell. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Schnell, if you 7 would please come forward. I will swear you in, then Mr. Morse can proceed with your questioning. 8 9 And for the written transcript, I'm going 10 to note that an exhibit has been handed to us, 11 printout from a PowerPoint entitled "Malaekahana Hui 12 West" with the name of the docket on it dated 13 February 6, 2019. 14 Mr. Schnell, do you swear or affirm that 15 the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed. 18 TOM SCHNELL 19 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 20 Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 21 and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MORSE: 24 If you could please, for the record, state

your full name, title and identify your employer.

25

- Tom Schnell. I'm a planner with a private 1 Α 2 planning firm PBR Hawai'i. 3 Q How long have you worked at PBR Hawai'i? 4 Α Since 1998. 5 Q Are you a principal with the firm? 6 I am. Α 7 As a principal what services do you Q 8 provide? 9 Α I oversee production of various projects
  - A I oversee production of various projects and planning-type projects. Principally I've been working on IAL petitions for the last three years. Before that I was assisting with IAL petitions.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our firm has done 11 of the ag assessment reports from, I think there's been 16 petitions for declaratory rulings before the Land Use Commission. We worked on 11 of those.

So I've been involved in some aspect of those. And in last few years I've taken over the running of those types of projects.

- Q Share briefly about your relevant education.
- A Master's degree in urban and regional planning from the University of Hawaii.
- Q Do you possess or hold any licenses relevant to your job as a planner?

Member of the American Institute of 1 2 Certified Planners. 3 Q How long have you been a member of that 4 institute? 5 Since 1998. A 6 And you've been a certified planner as 7 long? 8 Yes. Α 9 I believe a resume was sent in and 10 submitted to the Commission prior to this hearing, and it was a resume that belonged to you. 11 12 Is that a true and correct copy? 13 It is. Α 14 What -- I guess you've testified before the 15 Land Use Commission before as an expert witness? 16 I have on IAL petitions, also State Land 17 Use District Boundary Amendment petitions. What was your role in helping to prepare 18 19 the Petition currently before the Commission today? 20 I oversaw and supervised the production of A 21 the ag assessment report. I think it's Exhibit D in 22 the Petition. 23 PBR Hawai'i we prepared the maps and report 24 and also prepared the text of the report.

Thank you, Mr. Schnell.

25

Q

We would like to move, Chair, to submit Mr.

Schnell, and tender him as an expert in land use

3 planning.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there any concerns from the Commissioners? He's admitted that way. Please proceed.

MR. MORSE: Thank you.

Q If you could just tell us a little bit about the agricultural uses that are going on in the Petition Area.

A Sure.

First of all, good morning. Sorry I didn't get to say good morning. Thanks for having us here in Laie. I'm going to run through a PowerPoint presentation of the property and the IAL criteria and the maps that we prepared. So I'll start with that now.

This is just my name, so it's not in your booklet, but we saved a page by not putting my name in the book.

Just as an overview, we're talking about Malaekahana Hui West owns approximately 455.62 acres in Malaekahana and Keana. The proposed designation is 230.33 acres as IAL. This represents a total of 50.6 percent of the total landholdings.

Kamehameha Highway is up here (indicating). If you recall on the site visit, I think most of you entered from this road, also another access road over this way. We met around this area, and we came down here, and then we drove up into this area and into

the middle and back down here (indicating).

So this is a photo from the site visit at one of the stops. The site visit was on January 10, 2019. This is, as you can see, Aaron, who is pointing here at my right side, and we were standing in a field of basil.

Not all of these pictures are from the site visit, but I did go to the property previous to the site visit and took some of these pictures. Cucumber field on this side. Close up of one of the cucumbers growing. This is an eggplant field.

This is a plant called curry leaf, not sure if it's Vietnamese or Indian curry leaf. And this is marungay here. I think it has various names, maybe I'm mispronouncing it. Sorry if I am.

There is also various ag in this structure.

On the picture on this side you can see the shade

house constructed over this entire field. Inside of
the shade house is grown peppercorn.

There are ag roads here. You can see a

little bit of the ironwoods here. The fields are divided into crops, and a lot of ironwood trees.

We didn't stop at this, but there is dryland taro growing on the property. And this is on the Petition Area. We verified this yesterday. And so when we talk about the eight criteria, I do want to mention that there is dryland taro currently growing on the property.

This is a photo of a farmer out in the basil field. I believe basil is one of the few crops that are actually exported. Most of the crops are truck crops that are grown on Oahu, obviously, and sold on Oahu.

I believe the farmers have different associations with supermarkets, restaurants, even Costco. You might recognize the brand Whole Farms. Some of the produce is sold under the brand Whole Farms.

This was taken in early January of a field that was ready to be planted. It's probably planted at this time. It was freshly filled then. You see bananas in the background.

There is adequate agricultural infrastructure on the site. This is two of the three wells. Pump station here. This is another well pump

station here. Also one other well on the property.

On the Petition Area there is a total of four wells

on the entire property though.

This is an agricultural processing facility that's in the Petition Area. We drove by this briefly on the site visit. You might have missed it because we were driving kind of where your view would have been behind you on the site visit, but we did drive by this.

So I'll get into the main portion criteria and the maps that we prepared. This is a little bit more detail.

Malaekahana Hui West owns approximately
455.62 acres and 453.43 is in the State Ag District.
There's 2.19 acres in the State Urban District. I
can show you later on the State Land Use Urban map,
but it's right up in this area, not this area here
(indicating.) The yellow line is the boundary line,
but there is a sliver of urban land on the property
side of the boundary line.

In total we are proposing out of a total landholding of 230.33 acres, that's 50.6 percent of the total landholdings, but all of the IAL area is in the State Ag District.

I'll go through the standards and criteria.

I won't go through them right now. At the end I'll summarize these standard criteria. I'm sure you're all familiar, eight criteria. To identify Important Agricultural Lands, a petitioner doesn't have to meet all eight criteria, but it's a decision-making on the Land Use Commission's part on what the emphasis is and how many of them they meet.

I think the testimony of OP and State

Department of Ag follows the same kind of outline of plans with the criteria, and you should have that in your packages, or I'm sure DOA or OP will talk about that.

So one of the criteria is land that's currently in ag use. Currently there are five tenant farmers on the property, and in total they're leasing about 139.55 acres of the property, primarily used for diversified ag.

I showed you a variety of the crops.

That's not all of the crops that are on the property.

My understanding is that the farmers grow according to season, according to what's marketable. It varies, but all primarily sold on Oahu except for the basil.

The other part of the Petition Area, it includes areas that are used for ag infrastructure

such as the processing facilities, pump sites. There are areas that are not currently cultivated, but may be in the future.

There are sections that are steep slopes, and I'll talk about that in a minute. But those areas are all important to the viability of ag on the property, the total of about 90.78 acres that I would classify as "other" within the Petition Area.

On the topography -- we did this topography map, and the light green areas are the more gentle slopes, less than ten percent. You can see a little bit darker areas as it goes up in the darkness of green, indicates greater slopes.

I better refer to my notes regarding the slopes, hold on.

So there are some steep slopes on the property. You can see the dark green areas here. What's notable too is that this part is not in the Petition Area, and that was purposefully excluded from the Petition Area because of the slopes and the accessibility there.

But there is a plateau right here that is farmable (indicating). It's not being farmed right now, but I believe this is LSB B lands up on this plateau.

We didn't go up in this area because it was too muddy, but in this area one farmer has a cucumber crop here. This area is preferable for that farmer I think because of the shade and microclimate that this slope and ridge line creates. So it provides good growing conditions for that type of crop, for cucumber.

(Raymond Young arrived.)

The Land Study Bureau rating of the property, if you combine the A and B ratings of 176.86 acres of the property is either A or B, that's about 76 percent of the total property is fairly, I would say, very highly rated according to the LSB designations.

Going to the Agricultural Lands of

Importance to the State of Hawaii, there's a high

percentage that are in the prime category, and the

other category, if you added those both together,

83 percent of the property, not the property, but the

Petition Area is classified under the ALISH system.

The property receives a mean annual solar radiation of 209 to 220 watts per square meter per hour. This demonstrates the intensity of the sunlight with the darker areas being higher intensity, and obviously parts back more in the

valley have less sunlight intensity.

The property contains agricultural infrastructure and water resources. I mentioned the wells, so there are -- there's a well here (indicating) and there was a picture of the well pump station there. There's a well up here, and actually there's two wells here (indicating). You can see well pump numbers are designated here, and there's another well that's not in the Petition Area over here, but this well also does provide water to the Petition Area. (Indicating.)

There's a processing storage facility that I mentioned. I think it's right about here where we went up this road and came around this way, but the well is here (indicating). I think many of you saw it.

And then the processing facility is here.

It's on a series of ag roads through the property.

There are some gates to limit access to increase productivity or limit, I guess, people from going into the fields.

We have two accesses to Kamehameha Highway, one is over here, one is over here, that is easement for this property (indicating). So the property has ready access to highways, transportation and markets.

There's also electrical power on the property. It was shown on one of the slides showing the pump stations. I forgot to mention that, but there is electric power to power the pumps and other facilities.

Talking about the State Land Use District, so this was the little sliver that I was talking about that was in Urban right here. The red line is the property line. The rest of the property and the Petition Area is all within the State Ag District.

For the Sustainable Communities Plan, this slide shows the 1999 version, currently the current enacted version. I believe there is an update languishing for a couple years, but basically designations are similar or the same.

So you can see on this map -- whoops, wrong map but --

Large majority of the property is designated as ag or agricultural. There's small portions up here that are designated as Military and Preservation. That might just be a mapping error.

These maps in the Sustainable Communities

Plan are not that precise. There is an Urban Growth

Boundary in this area for Kahuku. We are outside of

that Urban Growth, outside the growth boundaries.

The City and County of Honolulu zoning is Aq, A-1 restricted.

And we will talk about -- a little bit about the DPP Important Agricultural lands. The city's process is underway. I think maybe you've had discussion about that previously. I think what I wanted to point out is that our Petition Area here is 100 percent in agreement with the DPP's designation for this area as Important agricultural Lands.

DPP's also proposing actually the entire property, but we're proposing about 50.6 acres under the majority incentive of IAL statute to voluntarily designate our land as IAL.

Here are the standards a little bit more. We saw that the land is currently used for agricultural production.

Number two is that we demonstrated that the soil qualities and growing conditions, LSB ratings and the sunlight support agricultural production of food.

The land is identified under the ALISH system. I believe about 83 percent is under ALISH. Let me check. Yeah, 83 percent is identified under the ALISH system.

Number four, land types associated with

traditional Native Hawaiian agricultural uses. There is dryland taro growing on the property. This criteria also mentions other unique agricultural crops.

I'm not sure how "unique" is defined, but I would say the curry plants that are growing there, marungay, and maybe some of the other crops could be considered unique also.

Number five is land with sufficient quantities of water. We do have three wells on the IAL area. There's four wells on the total property. There's sufficient water to support viable agricultural production.

The land whose designation as Important Agricultural Lands is consistent with general, development, and community plans of the county.

I showed you the slide of Kualoa

Sustainable Community Plan, and it's all designated as Ag, so I would say it's consistent.

Land that contributes to maintaining a critical land mass important to agricultural operating productivity. This is one of the criteria we would look for, designated critical area of land. That is why some of the sloped area were included so that we could have one large contiguous block of land

1 designated as IAL.

2.1

Last criteria is near support infrastructure conducive to agricultural productivity. You did see the processing facility. There are windbreaks and various other agricultural infrastructure.

That concludes my presentation.

Q Thank you, Mr. Schnell. Just a couple of follow-up questions, Chair.

So you walked us through the portion of the statute dealing with Important Agricultural Lands criteria and standards.

Are you familiar with other portions of that statute?

A I'm familiar. I don't have it in front of me, but I am familiar.

Q I want to get on the record your understanding of the voluntary landowner designation process in comparison to the designation process that involves the county and the county's mapping project.

A I believe the IAL statute was set up to allow voluntary designation of land for landowners to first voluntarily designate their lands through the petition process or the declaratory ruling process.

I believe also a provision that the county

shall designate Important Agricultural Lands after a period that landowners have an opportunity to voluntarily designate their lands.

And I also believe the statute says that if a landowner voluntarily designates at least 50 percent of their lands as IAL, then it cannot be further designated by the county.

Q Final question. I believe you sort of stated this, but I want to get it clear.

Do you believe that the Petition Area that has been presented to the Commission today satisfies all of the standards and criteria set forth in the statute?

A I do think it satisfies all of the eight criteria.

Q No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: At about 10:18 a.m., we were joined by a representative of city and county. Please identify yourself for the record.

MR. YOUNG: Good morning, Chair and members of the Land Use Commission. My name is Raymond Young. I would like to apologize for my delay.

I'm with City and County Department of Planning and Permitting. With me hear today is co-planner Frank Hall.

Essentially we have no questions. We will 1 2 let our position testimony we submitted stand. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: You'll have a chance 4 to present anything you want to present later. 5 Any questions for Mr. Schnell? 6 MR. YOUNG: No questions. 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning? MS. APUNA: I do have a few questions. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed. MS. APUNA: Thank you. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. APUNA: Mr. Schnell, you mentioned that there is 13 14 dryland kalo on the property. Can you tell us more 15 about that in terms of the location of that dryland 16 kalo? How long has it been farmed? 17 I'm going to defer that question to Aaron who is the landowner who went to look at the taro 18 19 yesterday, and is more in tune with what the farmers 20 are growing. 21 I have another question. 22 I think in the petition it stated that the 23 steeper slopes of the property that do not have 24 agriculture on them are important to the productivity

and preservation goals for the overall property,

25

including land stewardship, soil conservation, cohesion and continuity of ag uses, and maintain a critical land mass.

Can you explain how the non-sloped areas are important to ag productivity, and how they contribute to land stewardship, soil conservation, cohesion and continuity?

A Let me go back to the topography and slope map. I summarize in my testimony some of the points I want to make about the slope lands, referring back to my notes.

MR. MORSE: We're looking at Figure 3 in Exhibit D.

THE WITNESS: So the steep sloped areas you can see in the darker green I would say are relatively minor parts of the entire property. There is a plateau up there that's rated LSB B lands, and is not currently cultivated but could be cultivated.

For example, this ridge line that comes in here (indicating) provides shelter and shade for this area, shades certain part of the day, but developed windbreak because the windbreaks come this way, so good microclimate for crops in this area.

Q Thank you.

The Petition also states that 50 percent of

the lands are subject to city and county covenant restricting and dedicating those lands. Can you explain more or further with regard to this covenant and dedication?

A Sure. Thank you for the opportunity to clarify. I know there was some confusion in the Petition and also IAL report.

So I want to get the terms right, two different -- there are two different -- there is a tax dedication, and there is a land court dedication for ag use that the property owner has designated for the land.

And the tax dedication is under revised ordinances, not sure what the statute is, but it allows lower tax rate for dedication of ag land. I think mistakenly we stated in the Petition that that dedication expired in 2024. It expires in 2020. It goes in five-year increments.

So submitted in 2015 and expires in 2020. It's the intent of the owner to renew that tax credit.

The other one is a docket filed in land court for dedication of land, ag lands, and that is the one that expires in 2024, and I believe the intent is to remove that also.

1 Thank you. Q 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Department of 3 Agriculture? MR. CHING: No questions. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 5 Commissioner Chang. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you, Mr. Schnell, for your testimony. I just want to follow 8 9 up on the questions by OP. 10 In relationship to the land court 11 dedication, ag dedication, where is that in 12 relationship to the IAL, proposed IAL lands? 13 THE WITNESS: I need to refer to my notes, because it's not -- hold on one moment. 14 15 So we don't have a map showing where it is 16 on this map. A large majority of it is in the 17 Petition Area. There is 133.5 acres of the Petition 18 Area is designated under the tax ag dedication. For the other one, about half of the IAL 19 20 area is also designated under the -- sorry -- about 21 another half is designated under land court document 22 for ag dedication. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Land court, is that a 24 conservation easement, is that a restriction on the

25

land?

THE WITNESS: I believe Aaron Campbell may be able to address it more, but I believe it's a dedication of use of the land for ag.

2.1

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is there a reason why -- maybe this is going to be better suited for Mr. Campbell, for Aaron.

Is there a reason why all of that land isn't included in the IAL?

THE WITNESS: I think Aaron can address that better, but the dedication, land court and tax purposes were done at different times, maybe using different criteria.

When we came in as PBR Hawai'i to look at agricultural lands of importance, or IAL area, we might have taken a different approach. But basically all the proposed IAL designation, the tax dedication credit and land court document pretty much all converge into this area. There's overlap in between all on the Important Agricultural Land area.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is there a reason why some of the land that's more on the makai side of the boundary of the IAL designation -- I know when we went up to do the site visit, there were lands in agricultural production -- why those aren't included in the IAL petition?

THE WITNESS: This whole area here is 1 2 subject to flooding. Sometimes this road is closed 3 due to flooding. And so we didn't think that that 4 was the core area for agricultural production. 5 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there other 6 7 questions, Commissioners? Commissioner Aczon. COMMISSIONER ACZON: Just a quick 8 9 clarification. Just to follow up on the tax credit. If the Commission do agree to grant the motion, 10 11 there's going to be a condition that, with respect to 12 this, to not take any credits describing -- with 13 respect to the Petition Area. 14 Can you explain to me what is the 15 difference? THE WITNESS: I believe in the IAL statute 16 17 there is a provision that the landowner, if it's 18 designated IAL, could apply for certain incentives. 19 I'm not clear on what those incentives are. 20 So landowners agreed to waive that 21 provision in the IAL statute and will not be seeking 22 those incentives. 23 COMMISSIONER ACZON: So the tax credit we 24 are talking about awhile ago is not part of that? 25 THE WITNESS: That's separate.

1 COMMISSIONER ACZON: Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

3 Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I understand, if I recall properly, that the land down closer to the entrance off the main highway is not as good for agriculture, it was sandy and/or salty or rocky, as I recall.

And since that is outside of your Petition Area, do you have -- do you know, or is there any idea what the future of that land might be in the planning stages for long-term future use of that land?

THE WITNESS: We have talked about this with Aaron, and I believe there are no plans for any kind of development in that area at this time. It's going to remain in ag, and I think Aaron will be able to explain a little bit more about the history of how that came about and why plans to continue ag in that area.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, are there further questions?

I have one question, Mr. Schnell. It's a small point, but if I understood the Petition and

your presentation today correctly, you seem to be saying that because dryland taro is currently cultivated on the property, that satisfies the criteria for traditional Native Hawaiian agricultural uses. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: I wanted to point out that dryland taro is being cultivated in the Petition Area now. The report we prepared also mentions that there is a history of dryland taro cultivation, or taro cultivation in this area. I don't have documents of exactly where that was on the property historically.

I think it's up for the Commission to decide if that -- if we satisfy that criteria, but I did want to point out that we do have dryland taro on the property now.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: As I understood your slide -- if you would go to that slide that related to that criteria, not the photo of the slide, but the criterion related to traditional Native Hawaiian agricultural uses.

And I don't want to spend a lot of time on this. What I want to just briefly explore, there is many ways to grow taro. Many kinds of kalo cultivated. Just because somebody is growing taro doesn't mean that it's a traditional agricultural

```
1
     use. Would you agree?
2
                THE WITNESS: I wouldn't agree or disagree,
 3
     but go back to taro is grown there. I don't know if
 4
     it's grown a traditional --
 5
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you know what
 6
     variety of taro is growing?
                THE WITNESS: I do not know.
7
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you know what
8
9
     method?
10
                THE WITNESS: I do not.
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: You don't know
11
12
     whether traditional methods or not?
13
                THE WITNESS: I do not, but point out a
14
     part of that criteria mentions other unique
15
     agricultural crops. And I believe there are other
     unique agricultural crops grown there too.
16
17
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I have nothing
      further. Any redirect?
18
19
                MR. MORSE: None, Chair. Thank you.
20
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Tom.
21
               Mr. Morse, please continue.
22
                MR. MORSE: We would like to call to
23
     testify Aaron Campbell, manager for Malaekahana Hui
2.4
     West.
25
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: How long do you
```

1 anticipate? 2 MR. MORSE: We probably ought to take a 3 break. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: About how long do you 5 anticipate with your next witness? MR. MORSE: Maybe 15 minutes. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: It's 10:44. We'll 8 reconvene at 10:54. 9 (Recess taken.) 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We are back on the 11 record. Thank you everybody. And we have the next 12 witness. 13 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 14 you're about to give is the truth? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. AARON CAMPBELL 16 17 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 18 19 and testified as follows: 20 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed, Mr. 21 Morse. 22 MR. MORSE: Thank you, Chair. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. MORSE: 25 Mr. Campbell, if you could, just for the Q

- 1 record, state your full name, employer and title.
- 2 A Aaron Campbell, Malaekahana Hui West, 3 manager.
- 4 Q Just for those who may have a concern, we 5 know -- who did you purchase the property from?
  - A We purchased the property from Campbell Estate.
  - Q Is there any relation between you and the Campbell Estate?
- 10 A No.

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q Just coincidence then?
- 12 A Yes.
  - Q How long have you been manager of Malaekahana Hui West, LLC?
- 15 A Almost 13 years.
  - Q Can you just share with us briefly what you know about the history of the area and the land?
  - A So basically this was the start of the Campbell Estate holdings. As you know, when they came to that point within their trust, they had to sell off some of the holdings, and we actually found the property because we did a service project with Kahuku High School and Campbell Estate helped us.
  - We were refurbishing the football field, and that's how we came to know Campbell Estate. And

they made us aware of this piece of property a couple years after that project.

Q Are you familiar at all with some of the historical agricultural uses on the property?

A To my knowledge, this was part of the Kahuku Sugar Plantation back in the day when Uncle Junior used to be the manager up at Manager's Ridge. Talking story with him, he explained that this was part of the process when the sugar mill was the heart of the area.

Q And are you familiar with about when the plantation shut down?

A To my knowledge, the sugar mill closed in 1971, and shortly thereafter Turtle Bay opened. And shortly after that I believe they started to lease this to individual farmers.

When we purchased it, all the farmers that are currently there were held over from Campbell Estate with the exception of one who passed away.

Q So you grew up in the area?

A I grew up in Laie, went to Laie Elementary and Kahuku High School, graduated in 1989.

Q You were not familiar with the property prior to?

A I was familiar with Kaaawa Road or

- Malaekahana Road right there, but other than that not really familiar, no.
  - Q And how did you -- I know you mentioned that you got in touch with the Campbell Estate folks and moved forward with that purchase.

What did you learn about the ag operations on the property at that point?

A At that point our partnership needed someone to manage the land and it fell upon me.

During the course of the last, almost 13 years, I've the opportunity to get to know the farmers. The major farmer is a Chinese individual. And then he has — there are other farmers there, and I've gotten to know them through my relationship over the 12 years with them.

- Q Do you speak Chinese?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q And you developed this relationship with the farmers. How does that overlap with your duties and responsibilities as manager for Malaekahana Hui West?
- A So in the course of this relationship, I've gotten to have a lot of aloha for these farmers, because I think when you're not used to ag, you don't real -- for example, I never used to worry when it

was too hot, or when it was too much rain, but now I'm worried on both occasions because the farmers have a lot to lose in those instances.

- Q What other kind of support do you provide to these farmers in your role as manager?
- A We have infrastructure. They get their water from our wells, our irrigation structure that I'm responsible for. Any time the wells go down, I need to make sure to get them up and running in a timely manner. That's another point of high stress for the farmers, and subsequently for me.
- So between that and obviously the leases and making sure that everything's in place and just monitoring the usage.
- Q What kind of crops are you aware of being grown on the property?
- A So the majority of the crops stay here on island. The farmers, especially Mr. You, has a very long standing distribution channel, they get sent out to all of our local supermarkets, Safeway, Tamura's, Foodland. So I'm familiar with that, with his distribution, and then -- yeah.
  - Q What types of produce are they?
- A So we have cucumber. We have squash, bitter melon, papaya, apple banana. Sometimes we

- have long bean, for example, planted. It's entirely dependent on what the market is demanding.
- Q Do the farmers rotate the types of crops that they grow?
  - A Yes. And it's amazing how quickly these crops are rotated.
  - Q So you mentioned that most of it stays on island. What crops are you aware of that get exported?
  - A The crop that I'm aware of that gets exported is the basil, and primarily that's exported to California.
  - Q As far as you know, the rest of the crops are sold in local markets here?
- 15 A Yes.

- Q Let's talk a little bit about the leases you have with these farmers. I know Mr. Schnell testified there were five farmers. How did you come to acquire these leases?
- A The leases, as I mentioned before, were the same leases that Campbell Estate had with them, the longest 2021, to Mr. You. The other leases are month-to-month.
- We have gone back and forth on that.

  Sometimes it's hard because the farmer never knows

what is going to happen, and we don't know what is going to happen. They have been known to even change the usage. However Mr. You is the longest one. I'm currently talking to him about reupping that lease.

The issue with him is he's 87, so I have to figure out if his son is interested in carrying on, and we're going through that process right now.

Q So if one of the farmers were to come to you and say I need a different lease or longer lease, you would entertain that conversation?

A Absolutely.

Q And the goal in that conversation would be to do what?

A Goal in the conversation is to find a medium that's good for the both of us. Our intent is to help the farmer, but it's also not to overburden the farmer.

I've had farmers before that, you know, they're a little bit hesitant to take on a long-term lease because of that commitment. So we try to find a place where it's beneficial to them and to us.

Q Despite all of that, have all the farmers more or less been able to keep most of the ag or cultivable fields in the area of the fields productive?

1 A Yes.

- 2 Q Year round?
  - A Yeah, with exception of huge rainfall, then you get a lot of crop loss. So subject to weather, yes.
  - Q So what are Malaekahana Hui West's plans with respect to agriculture on the property in the future?
  - A Well, we currently have no plans to do any development. We want to keep in current ag. I'm passionate about agriculture. The covenant that you talked about earlier requires me to stay in ag, which I'm happy to do.
  - Q Could you explain for the Commission why you initially entered into that restrictive covenant and filed it with the land court?
  - A I mentioned before I have aloha for the farmer. It was amazing for me that I grew up in this community and never felt I had an appreciation for the agricultural component of our area.
  - When we purchased the property and I saw the plight of the farmer, you could say, I was really interested in that, because it's really amazing. So I felt that if we could help the community to understand what's in our backyard and to have an

appreciation for that, then we would be helping not only the farmer, but ourselves to support local agriculture.

That's why I created the agribusiness that we have which requires the covenant. So my passion is to the connection, the brilliance of kanaka maoli and their relationship to the aina.

And I want to, in a unique way, pass that information on, not only to kamaainas, but also to the guests who come to Hawai'i.

Q So is the intent that more awareness would lead to consumers purchasing more local produce?

A The intent is for, number one, I want people to leave our property with a greater appreciation for the unique relationship of Hawaiians to the land.

Number two, in the case of kamaaina, if I know Whole Farmers are in my backyard, and see it in Foodland, I'm more apt to support that because I know they're in my community.

Q So accessory use, can you describe that briefly for the Commission?

A Basically I had a quandary, because I thought doing a farm tour would be pretty awesome, but I also felt in today's world of iPads and iPhones

that's not very attractive to young people, so in reading through, you know, what is an allowable usage on the property, we came up with the "with accessory use", which is basically an educational tour through the farm, allowing people to see and feel and smell the farm, but not be in the way of the farmer.

Q So does the "with accessory use" have any sort of impact on the agricultural operations?

A It doesn't have any physical impact on the agricultural operation. What it does do is allow kamaaina and guests to have greater appreciation for the farmer, for the brand, to support that brand.

It also allows us, as landowner, additional revenue. When a pump goes down or a major infrastructure cost, I don't have to overly burden some of the farmers, because I have a little bit of a kitty that I can allow us to pick those types of expenses up.

Q Are there any future plans for increasing the "with accessory use", for changing it in any way?

- A No.
- Q I have no further questions.

23 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: City and county.

MR. YOUNG: Just one question.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2	ВҮ	MR.	YOUNG:
---	----	-----	--------

Q Mr. Campbell, on your property, what product are being marketed locally under what brand?

A Our main farmer is You Soukaseum.

Basically because of his advanced age, he has farmers who bring the produce to him. Then he packages and distributes because he has distribution channels. So we have him. We have Whole Farms.

And I would say that those two are the biggest brands because the remaining farmers, I don't know if it's because of their limited English, but most of what they do is they will take their produce to Mr. You, Mr. You will then pay them. Then he packages and does what he does. And he also has the transportation to deliver the produce to his distribution channels.

Q So I take it the brands are You Farms and Whole Farms?

A Yes.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Campbell. I have no more questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. APUNA:

1 Thank you for your testimony. 2 I was wondering if you could just expand 3 more on the dryland taro. What portion of the 4 property it's located and some of the history. 5 If you look up, I see that -- I call them 6 fingers, two fingers that come into the mauka side of 7 the property. In the lower finger there, and again there's the warehouse, Mr. You's warehouse. Down 8 9 inside this area is also dryland taro. Up on this 10 property here kind of goes down slopeage here, and 11 it's growing primarily in there (indicating). 12 I have also seen it all alongside here 13 (indicating). And, again, it changes quite often. 14 The taro is basically -- when I talk to Mr. 15 You, it's a seed that they got from UH 20 16 some-odd-years ago, and they grow it primarily for 17 the luau leaf. 18 Q And so it's for sell here on the island, 19 not for export? 20 Not to export, to my knowledge. Most of it 21 is sold here on the island. 22 Do you know approximately how many acres? Q 23 Α I don't know. 24 MS. APUNA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Department of

1 Agriculture.
2 MR

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. CHING: No comments, no questions.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
- 4 Commissioner Chang.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Good morning, Mr.
- 6 Campbell. Thank you for your testimony.
- I wanted to ask you some questions about
  the land court. Is it a conservation easement or ag
  easement?
  - THE WITNESS: Basically, to my knowledge, the CUP, the Conditional Use Permit which we received, the discretion is that the director can -- so the director basically said, along with the CDUP approval, we would require to put 50 percent of your property into -- it's either the longer of ten years or the life of the agribusiness.
    - COMMISSIONER CHANG: That's for your tax credit? That's for the land?
  - THE WITNESS: That's for the "with accessory use". So the agribusiness on the property.
    - COMMISSIONER CHANG: So the land court, because you -- what was -- previously Mr. Schnell discussed this land court dedication.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And, again, I don't
  25 know the legal terms, per se, I'm just going to tell

1 you what I understand.

So the CUP required us to dedicate, through deed, 50 percent of our land to active agricultural use, and that's for either ten years or the length of the agribusiness, whichever is longer.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So that was a condition of your SUP?

THE WITNESS: CUP.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: The CUP required you to record that on your deed?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So this restrictive covenant was a consequence of the "with accessory use", your CUP?

THE WITNESS: It was a consequence, but welcome consequence because it's already in agriculture and no sense to change that, pretty much a no brainer.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So the 50 percent that you have in ag under this CUP application or permit, is that the same as an IAL designation, or are there lands outside of that?

THE WITNESS: When that was requiring as a condition to me, I basically just took a map and tried to meet the condition. It wasn't based on any

kind of professional expert analysis, for example, in this process, learning about ALISH and all the different soil types, et cetera.

So that was just a random, this is the 50 percent. So some of it, as looking at this is outside of it, and some of it is in it.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So although -- so your Petition right now is to put in approximately the 230 acres into IAL, but you also have some other lands that are outside the IAL that have also this restrictive agricultural covenant.

So even if it's not designated IAL, your CUP restricts that use to ag?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know where -- about how much is outside of the IAL designation?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how much, but I will tell you, to my knowledge, what my recollection, as long as we stay at 50 percent threshold, that boundary with CUP can be moved.

I would imagine that that would require us to do some kind of filing with DPP, but I have no interest in doing that. I'm just trying to meet the criteria here.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I guess I am making an

assumption, might be a wrong assumption, that when 1 2 you filed your CUP petition, you actually had to 3 attach a map to it? 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And the map showed the 6 50 percent that you were designating? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And that map had to be 9 recorded with the Land Board? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So any change in that 12 map, you would have to also file petition with the 13 land court to do that? 14 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yeah. 15 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I guess what I'm 16 trying to establish is that while you are coming in 17 with IAL designation for 230 acres that are 18 committing to ag, that there may be some additional 19 land outside of the 230 acres that you're also 20 committing to ag under that CUP? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Now, I'm going to ask 23 you, there's some land up at the top that's not 24 within -- appears to be on this map in agricultural

25

cultivation.

Could you tell me why that's not part of your IAL designation?

THE WITNESS: When I sat with the experts and we tried to meet the criterion that was given to us, and we had met that criterion up to that point and stopped. And so I'm just trying to meet that criteria.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I appreciate your honesty on that.

So you try -- so the acreage that you selected was based upon meeting the criteria, 50 percent plus?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, obviously we talked about the front portion a little earlier. So my farmer who's there right now, he's complained to me because of the soil quality. It's very sandy, and a little bit too high of a salt content. And so that severely limits his ability to what crops he can grow. So obviously that's not IAL, right?

And so we have tried to include the portion to which you refer does have somewhat of a slopeage, it does go up and it connects to the two knolls that are quite high, the middle finger, this finger here and this top finger here (indicating) are very sloped, quite high.

```
1
                So, again, we put in there what I feel is
2
     the most important ag lands up to meeting the
3
     criterion.
 4
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: One final question.
5
                The growing of the taro, that's done by Mr.
6
     You?
7
                THE WITNESS: Yeah. So Mr. You has farmers
8
     who farm with him, and so, yes, it's grown on the
9
     areas that is allocated to him, yes. I have also
10
      seen it on -- one of the farmers, another farmer,
     Laotian name, so maybe we shouldn't spell it.
11
12
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much for
     answering my questions.
13
14
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
15
     Commissioner Aczon.
16
               COMMISSIONER ACZON: Good morning, Mr.
17
     Campbell.
18
                THE WITNESS: Good morning.
19
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: You mentioned there is
20
     no relation with Campbell Estate?
21
                THE WITNESS: No, sir.
22
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Any relations with
23
     Campbell Soup?
24
                THE WITNESS: My kids wish.
25
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Anyway, the total
```

```
acres, 455-plus acres Petitioner owns?
1
2
                THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
 3
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: You mentioned almost
 4
     all of those are ag use.
5
                THE WITNESS: Uh-huh, yes.
6
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: So any further plans
7
     or any future plans on coming back to us asking for
     dba boundary amendment?
8
9
                THE WITNESS: No dba boundary amendment,
10
     no.
11
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Any other plans
12
     besides agriculture on the other portion?
13
                THE WITNESS: No.
14
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: As you know, DPP
15
     recommended to the City Council for the full portion
     of land to be IAL; is that correct?
16
17
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
18
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Just kind of since
19
      you're committed to that, why not do the whole thing?
20
                So I guess my question is, what harm would
21
     it be if the entire parcel becomes IAL, what would be
22
     harm to the Petitioner?
23
                THE WITNESS: Well, I feel as landowner we
24
     know the land the best, and so we want to, through
25
      this program -- oh, I don't know who's program this
```

```
is -- the voluntary dedication, whose it is.
1
2
                MR. MORSE: Statute.
 3
                THE WITNESS: Statute gave us, so it allows
 4
     us to have somewhat of a say in our own land. And I
 5
      feel like that's something we appreciate. So we want
 6
     to have the ability to have a say in what is
7
     designated.
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: I really appreciate
8
9
      your commitment with farmers. So you're fully
10
      committed and not going to change anything, keep it
     farmed land?
11
12
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
13
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Thank you.
14
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner
15
     Ohigashi.
16
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: The market -- my
17
     question is more of an administrative question.
18
     Assuming we give IAL designation to this parcel, is
19
     the Decision and Order recorded with the land court,
20
     Mr. Orodenker?
21
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Could you repeat the
22
     question?
23
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I wanted to know if
24
     the D&O, if we approve this, would be recorded with
```

the land court, or do we require it to be recorded

1 | with the land court?

2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Yes.

question. Would that designation conflict with the existing land court filings that is required by the city? And would that create -- I'm not sure the term for it -- the Petitioner would know -- would that create a problem in terms of -- I'm just questioning that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: At this time, staff doesn't have an answer for that.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I was just curious.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

Mr. Campbell, I have a few questions for you. Thank you again for taking us on the site visit, appreciate it very much.

The questions I have for you relate to the portion of the Petition submitted to us which includes the landowner letter of authorization you have signed on behalf of the landowner that you're authorized to submit this Petition; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: And if we grant this Petition, one of the conditions would be that we -- you would be held to the landowner's representation

that you would not be using the provision of the IAL statute that allows you to convert some of your land in this process to urban use.

2.1

Do you understand that as well?

THE WITNESS: I thought that wasn't available any more, but okay.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: You should ask your counsel about that. That's the representation that's been made in the Petition.

Because of those points, can you please explain your property interest and other owners of the property, because I understand you are not a full owner of the property.

THE WITNESS: No. I currently -- we purchased the property in a partnership. My partner passed away three years ago, so now I work with his two children, and the executor of that trust is co-manager with me.

But as co-manager in the LLC, I have full rights to sign and to do anything in relation to the land. So from a management level, I'm an equal, and the reason for that is I'm here. I actually know what is going on.

And it was like that with the older gentleman earlier, but more so now because they're

not here. And so anything that I tell them, they -I mean they may have an opinion, but...

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So is the documentation of your authority to bind all owners regardless of percentage of what percentage you own, versus what other owners may own in the property? Is documentation of that authority in our report?

THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

My second question regards development plans. You've repeatedly testified in response to Commission's questions that you have no future plans to develop the property.

Have you ever previously pursued urbanization of this property?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Could you please explain?

THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely. Just for some background. I attended Kahuku High School and I went to school on the mainland. And I actually went to law school and decided against being a lawyer. No offense to lawyers.

But in the process of that, I was quite educated when it came to development. And so one of

the concerns that I have had and continue to have is the lack of housing for my peers. And so one of the things that I'm very passionate about was how do we fix this issue, because a lot of my peers no longer live here. They have moved to the mainland.

So when this piece of property became available, my entire intent was to provide affordable housing. This was 12 years ago when the 201(g) was in place, which is the statute that allowed us to develop if we did have affordable market.

So I pursued that for about three years with my partner. In the pursuit of that process, I became very aware of how difficult that is to do in Hawai'i.

(Mr. Orodenker leaves.)

Additionally, Hawai'i Reserves,

Incorporated, to the south La'ie, and they actually
asked us to back off, to not pursue housing. And so
we did.

And during the course of that I have discovered that you can provide housing to our local people, but what is more important is providing them with jobs so that they can afford housing. So I have gained a new passion.

I currently employ 30 full-time and 60

part-time employees at my agribusiness, and I do pay them above average what you can get out there on the North Shore.

 $\label{thm:constraints} \mbox{We have a tremendously successful business} \\ \mbox{that I'm very proud of.}$ 

So my passion now is to provide jobs to our local people because it does no good providing housing if they can't afford to buy the house.

yes, it was with that intent. However, with experience and time comes wisdom, and I am a little wiser today. I do not want to take on the behemoth that is trying to rezone an agricultural piece of property. I do want to continue building an economic engine for my community.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

I'm going to note right now for the record that our executive officer, Daniel Orodenker, had to return to town in front of the legislature. So staff member Bert Saruwatari will be serving as executive officer from this point on today.

Anything further, Commissioner Chang?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Just one question.

Looking at the map, my understanding when we did the site visit was those areas that are like

```
1
      in little boxes, those are -- there is a subdivision
2
     because they were for the solar farms, so -- the
 3
     wind.
                THE WITNESS: Wind farm, those are part of
 4
5
     CPR.
 6
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Because you're so
7
     close to the 50 percent, are those little boxes, are
     they included in your 50.6 percent or are they
8
     excluded?
9
10
                THE WITNESS: They're included, because
     wind farms are a bonafide agricultural use as well.
11
12
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Okay.
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further,
13
14
     Commissioners? Commissioner Cabral.
15
                VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I understand that all
16
     of your 230 acres that's going into the IAL is in
17
      farming, but didn't you have some ranching land in
     use also? Some land in ranching or cattle anything
18
19
     up there too, or all just farm?
20
                THE WITNESS: All just farming. We have
21
     never had any ranching or grazing.
22
                VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Upper lands might work
23
     for that. Thank you.
24
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further,
```

25

Commissioners?

1 Any redirect, Mr. Morse. 2 MR. MORSE: None, Chair, thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Morse, what more 4 do you have? 5 MR. MORSE: Petitioner rests. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: City and County, you 7 started to indicate when you first commented. Do you have anything that you want to add at this time? 8 9 MR. YOUNG: Nothing to our Position 10 Statement. Just like to inform the Commission where 11 12 the county is in reference to the IAL projects. 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please go ahead. 14 MR. YOUNG: The Agricultural Task Force had 15 their second meeting on this proposal, the report 16 that was submitted to City Council by DPP on the 17 31st, and they did vote to move the project forward to City Council with some incentives that they 18 19 suggested. And I have a list of them here. 20 And also in response to Island Palm's 21 request to remove some lands as it's being partially 22 owned by the U.S. government, and in partnership with 23 Island Palms that those lands removed from

consideration for IAL, and that was also moved

forward along with that recommendation to the City

24

1 Council. 2 And tomorrow will be the first meeting by 3 the committee on zoning and housing, and zoning to 4 discuss the city's report on IAL, and that would be 5 at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow. Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 7 Are there questions for the city and county by the Petitioner? 8 9 MR. MORSE: Just one quick question. 10 Mr. Young, are you aware whether or not 11 those meetings involving the Agricultural Development 12 Task Force, are you aware of whether or not they 13 discussed this Petition or this particular piece of property at all? 14 15 MR. YOUNG: I did not attend the first one, 16 but the second one, no, they did not discuss this. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. Office of 18 Planning? 19 MS. APUNA: No questions. 20 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Department of 21 Agriculture? 22 MR. CHING: No questions.

25 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you for being

Commissioner Chang.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

23

here. Appreciate you being here to testify.

You said that you've come up with some incentives.

MR. YOUNG: Yes, not the department, but the Agricultural Task Force who has met on the 31st, and were available to getting this list. And I could hand this into the Commission, if you desire.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Has those lists of incentives been made available to the Petitioner?

MR. YOUNG: Not to my knowledge.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'm trying to understand procedurally there's this matter before the LUC right now to put in this 50.6 percent into IAL. And that would preclude the city from putting any additional lands into IAL.

Would it also exclude them in taking advantage of any of those incentives should they proceed?

MR. YOUNG: I don't think they will be excluded provided the incentives are adopted by the Land Use Commission. These incentives are quite broad. They still need to be discussed with the agencies that would be affected, and I think tomorrow would be a time that hopefully these agencies would be able to provide some comment or position on it.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: But they wouldn't be precluded should those incentives pass? The Petitioner or anyone with IAL land designation would not be precluded from enjoying those incentives if they so chose?

MR. YOUNG: I think, based on what I see here -- of course, this is still in the very broad form. One of it has to do with listing city services and grants and programs in priority for IAL owners. However, my understanding is that those beneficial areas have to be a non-profit.

So assuming Mr. Campbell's operation somehow qualifies, I don't see any of these proposed incentives being excluded from their being taken advantage of.

aren't limited to only those landowners who participate in a city process? Those who have gone through LUC would still be able to take advantage those incentives?

MR. YOUNG: Yes, that would be the case, assuming the council moves ahead and doesn't, you know, finally specify exactly what these incentives are to be in exclusion of previous IAL designation or the Petition by the Petitioner.

1 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

3 Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Just trying to follow up on Commissioner Chang's questions.

I'm going to give you a hypothetical,
assuming that -- first question I have would -actually, you're saying that the Land Use Commission
would have to adopt these incentives? It would be
part of your IAL designation?

MR. YOUNG: I cannot speak to that because this is being recommended by a task force to the City Council. So whatever the City Council does could or may not be what you anticipated.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Assuming the LUC does not have to, and this is totally a city proposal for incentives on any kind of IAL proposal, would that exclude the Petitioner from increasing the amount of IAL lands based upon these incentives?

In other words, could the Petitioner expand or amend his Petition to -- in the future, to provide additional lands in exchange for these incentives?

MR. YOUNG: I couldn't speculate on the outcome of these proposed incentives, but I don't think the department would oppose Petitioner's

expansion of lands proposed by IAL beyond what is 1 2 being petitioned today. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Just one moment. 4 Mr. Campbell, you can feel free to leave the witness box, if you want to. 5 6 Sorry, Commissioner Ohigashi. 7 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: If you had your license, you would have known that. 8 9 So the purpose of bringing up these 10 incentives to the Commission at this point in time is 11 for what purpose, since it hasn't been adopted, the 12 policy hasn't been set, what purpose? 13 MR. YOUNG: My additional comment to it was 14 just to update the Commission to the process that's 15 going on at the city at this time. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Aczon. COMMISSIONER ACZON: Just to clarify, the 17 Island Palms issue that you mentioned has nothing to 18 19 do with this Petition, correct, Island Palms? 20 MR. YOUNG: That's correct. Totally 21 different piece of property. 22 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, any 23 other questions for the City and County of Honolulu? 24 Let me just clarify. Thank you for being 25 here.

1 The city is not taking the position that 2 there's anything inaccurate or flawed in the current 3 Petition in front of us, correct? 4 MR. YOUNG: Yes. The department does not 5 have anything to correct on what is being presented. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 7 Office of Planning, are you ready to proceed? 8 9 MS. APUNA: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please go ahead. 11 MS. APUNA: Office of Planning calls Rodney 12 Funakoshi to testify. 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha, Rodney. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 14 15 you're about to give is the truth? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed. 18 RODNEY FUNAKOSHI 19 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the State 20 Office of Planning, was sworn to tell the truth, was 2.1 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. APUNA: 2.4 Can you please provide your position and 25 your professional background?

A Rodney Funakoshi, Administrator with Land Use Division of the State of Hawai'i, Office of Planning.

Q Can you please summarize the state's position on this Petition?

A I'll be fairly brief.

The Office of Planning appreciates

Malaekahana Hui West's voluntary participation in the

IAL designation process which will help to realize

government sustainability and food security for the

State of Hawaii.

Based on our review of the Petition, OP recommends that the Land Use Commission approve all 230.33 acres in the Petition Area as IAL.

Essentially, the Petitioner finds seven of the eight criteria, and we have, in our submitted report, kind of explained all of our rationale for that. And so basically too this has been covered in the hearing today, so I won't go over the criteria.

Certain of the areas are considered less productive agricultural lands, but we are -- we do agree that the entire area does represent a critical mass, and for land stewardship types of concerns, that is appropriate to designate the entire area.

We would like to include in our

```
1
      recommendation that a condition be imposed waiving
2
     any and all rights to credits under HRS 205-45(h) as
3
      represented by the Petitioner.
 4
                So that concludes my testimony.
5
                MS. APUNA: I have no further questions for
6
     Mr. Funakoshi. I do have a statement, but --
7
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We will go through
     questioning of Mr. Funakoshi, then you can proceed
8
     with that.
9
10
                Does Petitioner have any questions for OP's
     witness?
11
12
               MR. MORSE: None.
13
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: City and county?
14
               MR. YOUNG: None.
15
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Department of
     Agriculture?
16
17
                MR. CHING: No questions.
18
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
19
     You're done. Thank you, Mr. Funakoshi, and you may
20
     proceed.
21
                MS. APUNA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
                I'd just like to reiterate that the
23
     Commission's withholding or a stay of a decision
     based on DPP's recommendation would run counter to
24
25
      the IAL process.
```

Running parallel to each other, the county process is completely separate from, though simultaneous with the voluntary landowner petition process, while neither intersects or interferes with the other until one wins the race by receiving final IAL designation by this Commission.

the Commission to decide this Petition, stating,
"Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, land
use commission may grant declaratory orders pursuant
to this section before the commission receives from
any county a map delineating recommended important
agricultural lands."

All that this Commission is empowered to do today is to evaluate the qualifications of the Petition land for designation as IAL. It may either approve the entire or portion of the Petition Area, or it may deny the Petition in its entirety. The IAL statute and rules do not authorize the LUC to withhold a decision on a voluntary IAL petition until the county's maps are received.

The Commission should not thwart the efforts of the Petitioner to come forth voluntarily to designate its lands as IAL, in favor of the city's desire to designate more or different lands than the

1 Petitioner is offering. Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 3 Are there any further questions for the 4 Office of Planning? 5 MR. MORSE: None from Petitioner. 6 MR. YOUNG: None. 7 MR. CHING: No questions. 8 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 9 Department of Agriculture. 10 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: State your name and 14 please continue. 15 NOA CHING Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 16 17 Department of Agriculture, was sworn to tell the 18 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 THE WITNESS: Noa Ching, Land Use Planner 21 for Hawai'i Department of Agriculture. 22 Department of Agriculture stands on its 23 written comments in support of the entire Petition Area of 230 acres. 2.4 25 In our support we note in the Petition Area

1 evidence of existing agriculture uses LSB in soil 2 rating and adequate irrigation. 3 Happy to answer any questions. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 5 questions from the Petitioner for Department of 6 Agriculture? 7 MR. MORSE: No question. MR. YOUNG: No questions. 8 9 MS. APUNA: No questions. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? Commissioner Cabral. 11 12 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I would like to thank 13 you for your very clear, concise, understandable 14 statement. 15 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. That's 16 fine. 17 We are just at about another 50-minute 18 mark. Do you have any final statements that you wanted to make, Petitioner? 19 20 MR. MORSE: It occurs to me -- again, thank 21 you all for being here and hearing us out. It occurs 22 to me that it may be a bit unorthodox, but given your 23 question, Chair Scheuer, that regarding the 24 documentation supporting the control that Mr.

Campbell has over the property, we would be happy to

revisit that and offer more testimony in support, if 1 2 the Commission is interested. Otherwise we can rest. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I am personally -- if 4 this can be done briefly. I'm personally interested in that, because in other matters in front of the 5 6 Commission, we have had significant questions 7 regarding whether the people appearing before us actually had authority to bind the landowner. So who 8 9 did you want? 10 MR. MORSE: We would like to recall Aaron 11 Campbell for follow-up questions. 12 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed. 13 You're still under oath, Mr. Campbell. 14 AARON CAMPBELL 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORSE: 16 17 Regarding this Petition, what was the content of your conversation with your partners 18 19 Malaekahana Hui West, LLC? 20 I made them aware of what was happening. 21 And after counsel -- with counsel, they agreed with 22 our plan to move forward regarding this Petition. 23 And the documentation says that I am a co-manager 24 with those rights that bind the LLC.

But also they have given written and verbal

- 1 support for this Petition.
- 2 Q Did your law firm prepare a memo and
- documentation regarding what this process would
- 4 entail?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q Was that memo circulated to both yourself
- 7 and your partners?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q As you said earlier, but just to clarify,
- 10 you received written confirmation from your partners
- 11 | that they wanted you to proceed with this process?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Despite whether you had that authorization
- or not, does your position as the manager of
- Malaekahana Hui West, LLC, grant you the sole
- authority to pursue these sorts of action?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And to bind the land according to the
- 19 restrictive covenants?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q As well as to bind it via this petition
- 22 process?
- 23 A Yes.
- MR. MORSE: Thank you. No further
- 25 questions.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Last round. 2 questions for Mr. Campbell from the county? 3 MR. YOUNG: No questions. 4 MS. APUNA: No. 5 MR. CHING: No questions. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 6 7 Commissioner Chang. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mr. Campbell, in light 8 9 of Mr. Young's testimony that the city is proceeding 10 more timely with the IAL designation, including 11 potential incentives, and I realize you may not have 12 seen those incentives, is it still your position that 13 you want to proceed with this Petition, or did you 14 want to consult with your counsel about this city 15 process? 16 THE WITNESS: Proceed. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further, 18 Commissioners? 19 We can do one of two things. I don't have 20 a sense from this Commission whether we want to whip 2.1 through in the next ten or 15 minutes, or take 22 action. Do we want to proceed? 23 Commissioners, what is your desire? Commissioner Aczon. 24 25 COMMISSIONER ACZON: Mr. Chair, I would

- 1 like to make a motion at this time. 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please go ahead and 3 make the motion. 4 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I move that the Land 5 Use Commission approve the Petitioner's request to 6 designate Important Agricultural Lands for 7 approximately 230.33 acres of Malaekahana, Laie, O'ahu, Hawai'i identified by TMK Nos. 5-6-006:018 8 9 (por.) with the following conditions: 10 Number one, Petitioner shall comply with representations made to the Commission with respect 11 12 to not claiming any credits described in HRS 13 205-45(h) with respect to the Petition Area. 14 And secondly, within seven days of the 15 issuance of Commission's Decision and Order, Petitioner shall record with the Bureau of 16 17 Conveyances. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion has been 18 19 made. Is there a second? 20 VICE CHAIR MAHI: Second. 21 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Now seconded by
  - Commissioners, is there discussion?

    Commissioner Ohigashi.

Commissioner Mahi.

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just have a

1 question.

Since we know it's land courted land, would it be filed in land court or filed in the bureau?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Would it be filed in land court or Bureau of Conveyances is your question.

I'm going to turn to our attorney general.

MR. MORSE: Petitioner is more than happy
to file it in whatever appropriate land bureau is
required to ensure that the order and ruling lines up
with the actual deed wherever it's filed.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Does that address your concern, Commissioner Ohigashi, regarding the motions?

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So it would be my understanding is that the motion is that Petitioner shall record the Decision and Order with the applicable --

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: With the Bureau of Conveyances or land court as may be applicable.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Aczon.

COMMISSIONER ACZON: So if it changed that at this time, would the other petitions that we approve would change because the previous petitions we have put the conditions under Bureau of

## Conveyances?

2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Land is recorded typically either the regular system or land court. There's a whole lot of historical reasons. Often they can be recorded in the land court and in a regular system through the Bureau of Conveyances. So I think by having the language that it be the applicable, because I would imagine that this -- because it sounds like most of it's in regular system, but some might be in land court. Because of the agriculture designation previously obtained, I suspect it will be both systems.

So I think we can let the government figure that out, just make it as applicable, and I would probably not -- the other properties -- unless it had come up that they had done something with the land court in recording some portion of their land.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Cabral.

Let me say this. As the Chair right now, I would like to move to have any substantive comments on the Petition itself, but not to ignore procedural, as was indicated by Commissioner Cabral.

What came up in our proceedings today was

that there was recording with the land court related to Special Use Permit minor on this property, some documents, and there was some questions about unique to this Petition whether or not this recordation would have some relationship to that.

I believe that the language that has been clarified, that it shall be recorded with the Bureau of Conveyances or land court as may be applicable, adequately addresses it in this matter.

And we can also ask our deputy attorney general to further brief us on this general issue at a future time.

Are there any substantive discussion points on this Petition and the motion before us?

Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I might suggest to the Petitioner that this may be an opportunity to remove your connection to land court, if this is no longer pertinent.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I'm sorry, the conversation is among the Commissioners right now over the motion before us.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Nothing, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: No offense,

Commissioner.

2.1

1	Any other discussion, Commissioners?									
2	Hearing none. Mr. Saruwatari, please poll									
3	the Commissioners.									
4	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: The motion is									
5	two sections. One is recordation of Decision and									
6	Order; and second condition being related to not									
7	claiming any credits.									
8	Commissioner Aczon?									
9	COMMISSIONER ACZON: Yes.									
10	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner									
11	Mahi?									
12	VICE CHAIR MAHI: Aye.									
13	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner									
14	Ohigashi?									
15	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Aye.									
16	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner									
17	Cabral?									
18	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Yes.									
19	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner									
20	Chang?									
21	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.									
22	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Chair Scheuer?									
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aye.									
24	ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Motion passes									
25	unanimously.									

1		CHAIRP	ERSON	SCHEU	ER:	Thank	you,	very	much.	
2	T	There	is no	furth	er bu	sines	s, an	d we	are	
3	adjourned.									
4	(	(The p	roceed	lings	adjou	rned	at 11	:58 a	.m.)	
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1 CERTIFICATE STATE OF HAWAII 2 ) SS. COUNTY OF HONOLULU 3 4 I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify: 5 That on February 6, 2019, at 10:00 a.m., the 6 proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in 7 machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to 8 typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing 9 represents, to the best of my ability, a true and 10 correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing 11 matter. 12 I further certify that I am not of counsel for 13 any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested 14 in the outcome of the cause named in this caption. 15 Dated this 6th day of February, 2019, in 16 Honolulu, Hawaii. 17 18 19 /s/ Jean Marie JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156 20 21 22 23 24 25