1		LAND USE COMMISSION HEARING
2		STATE OF HAWAII
3		Proceedings held on March 27, 2019
4		Natural Energy Laboratory Hawai'i Authority
5		73-987 Makako Bay Drive
6		Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740-2637
7	Commencing at 1:30 p.m.	
8		
9	AGENDA	
10	I	Call to Order
11	II.	Adoption of Minutes
12	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule
13 14	IV.	STATUS REPORT AND ACTION (IF NECESSARY) A10-788 HHFDC & Forest City - Kamakana Villages at Keahuolu (Hawai'i)
15	V.	STATUS REPORT AND ACTION (IF NECESSARY) A00-730 Lanihau Properties LLC (Hawai'i)
16	VI.	RECESS
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	BEFOR	E: JEAN MARIE MCMANUS, CSR #156
23		
24		
25		

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	COMMISSIONERS:	
3	NANCY CABRAL, ACTING CHAIRPERSON AARON MAHI, VICE CHAIR	
4	GARY OKUDA EDMUND ACZON	
5	ARNOLD WONG	
6		
7	STAFF: RANDALL S. NISHIYAMA, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	
8	DANIEL E. ORODENKER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER RILEY K. HAKODA, PLANNER/CHIEF CLERK	
9	SCOTT A.K. DERRICKSON, AICP-PLANNER	
10	DAWN APUNA, ESQ. LORENE MAKI, PLANNER OFFICE OF PLANNING, STATE OF HAWAII	
11		
12	RON KIM, ESQ. DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL DUANE KANUHA, DEPUTY PLANNING DIRECTOR	
13	COUNTY OF HAWAI'I	
14	A00-730 LANIHAU PROPERTIES LLC BENJAMIN A. KUDO, ESQ.	
15	TERRANCE MULDOON GREG GAUSE	
16	FOR KAISER PERMANENTE	
17	RILEY W. SMITH, P.E. PRESIDENT AND CEO LANIHAU PROPERTIES	
18	JEFF ZIMPFER, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SPECIALIST NP	S
19	JANETTE CHIRON Intervenor NPS	
20	LIZ CHAR, DEVELOPMENT OFFICER	
21	FOR MICHAEL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY	
22	STAN FUJIMOTO, PROJECT MANAGER DEPAK NEUPANE, DEVELOPMENT BRANCH CHIEF	
23	For HHFDC	
24	EAN MINAKAMI, DEVELOPMENT SECTION CHIEF STANFORD CARR	
25	SCD KAMAKANA, LLC	

		3
1		
2	INDEX	
3	WITNESSES:	PAGE
4	<u>A10-788</u>	5
5	JEFFREY ZIMPFER Sworn In	12
6	ELIZABETH CHAR	12
7	Sworn In	13
8	STANFORD CARR Sworn In	15
9	<u>A00-730</u>	19
10	TERRANCE MULDOON	10
11	Direct Examination/Kaiser	2 4
12	JEFF ZIMPFER Sworn in	27
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Good afternoon. 1 2 This is the March 27th, 2019 Land Use Commission 3 meeting. The first order of business will be the 4 5 adoption of the February 20-21, 2019 minutes. I ask 6 if are there any corrections or comments on these 7 minutes? If not, is there a motion to adopt the 8 minutes? 9 COMMISSIONER MAHI: I'll move. 10 COMMISSIONER ACZON: Second. 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Commissioner 12 Mahi and Commissioner Aczon moved and second. All in 13 favor? No one is opposed. Adopted. Thank you. 14 The minutes have been unanimously adopted. 15 The next agenda item is the tentative 16 meeting schedule. Executive Officer, will you please 17 provide that for us? 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Madam Chair. 19 Tomorrow we are again back here in NELHA 20 for the U of N Bencorp Motion, Petition to Revert. 21 And for the AO6-770 status report that is 22 the Shopoff matter; and for A18-805 Church Draft EIS 23 matter. 24 On April 3rd we will be at County of Maui 25 for Kihei High School. That is a Declaratory Ruling

1 request.

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

- 2 April 23rd and 24th at Hawaiian Memorial 3 Park for their FEIS acceptance.
- May 8, 2019, we will be again be on Maui

 for Ka'ono'ulu Ranch evidentiary hearing, and perhaps

 Emmanuel Lutheran.
- May 22nd, 2019 is set aside for adoption of any orders that may arise from these matters.
 - May 23rd is to be determined and ask the Commissioners to hold these dates open.
- June 5th we will be Poma'ikai Partners LLC

 12 IAL site visit.
 - And June 26th and 27, we will be on the North Shore for IAL DR19-66 which is Poma'ikai Partners IAL submission.
 - On July 10th and 11th, we have the Waiawa matter, and that will be in Honolulu.
 - The rest of the schedule is up in the air.

 As a matter of fact, the whole schedule is always up
 in the air, so just keep the dates. Thank you.
- 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.
- 22 A10-788
 - The next agenda item is the status report on Docket A10-788 HHFDC & Forest City Kamakana
 Villages at Keahuolu, Hawai'i, a Petition to Amend

- 1 | the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into
- 2 | the Urban Land Use District Boundaries for certain
- 3 lands that situate at Keahuolu, North Kona;
- 4 | consisting of approximately 271.837 acres, Tax Map
- 5 Key No. (3)7-4-021:027, portions of 24, and portions
- 6 of 25, portions of 26 and portions of 27.
- 7 Will the parties please identify themselves
- 8 for the record?
- 9 MR. FUJIMOTO: Good afternoon, Madam
- 10 | Chairman, Commissioners. My name is Stan Fujimoto,
- 11 | project manager with Hawai'i Housing Finance and
- 12 Development Corporation.
- With me today is Depak Neupane, Development
- Branch Chief; and Dean Minakami, he's our Development
- 15 | Section Chief.
- MR. CARR: Good morning, Commissioners. My
- 17 name if Stanford Carr with SCD Kamakana LLC successor
- 18 | to Forest City on the master development of the
- 19 | Villages at Kamakana.
- 20 And off to my left here is Cory Hiraga from
- 21 Stanford Carr Development.
- MR. FUJIMOTO: We understand the National
- 23 | Park Service is also here as well as Michael's
- 24 organization.
- 25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.

1 Let us update the record in this docket.

At its May 23, 2018 meeting, the Commission received -- I'm sorry. Let me introduce everyone else, please. My apologies.

MR. KIM: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

Deputy Corporation Counsel Ron Kim with County of

Hawaii, and with me is Deputy Director of the

Planning Department Duane Kanuha.

MS. APUNA: Good afternoon, Vice Chair and members of the Commission. Deputy Attorney General Dawn Apuna on behalf of the Office of Planning. Here with me today is Lorene Maki.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. My apologies for skipping you earlier.

Back to our update of this docket.

At its May 23, 2018 meeting, the Commission received status reports on the Docket Nos. A00-738 and A10-788 regarding NPS' complaints of noncompliance with conditions.

On January 29th, 2019, the Commission staff received notice to update the mail addresses to correspond to personnel changes at the Hawai'i National Park Service.

On March 18, 2019, a Land Use Commission meeting agenda notice for the March 27-28, 2019

meeting was sent to all parties and the statewide and Hawai'i mailing lists.

All members of the public, please be reminded that the Commission will not be considering the merits of A10-788 Petition; rather the Commission is interested in learning about the current state of the activities relating to this docket, including compliance with conditions.

Please, let us go over our procedures for this docket.

First, those individuals desiring to provide public testimony for the Commission's consideration will be asked to identify themselves and will be called in the order to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

Given the number of people that will be testifying, we might ask that we be limited to three minutes of testimony per person.

At the conclusion of our public testimony, the Chair would like the National Park Service to provide its presentation first to better understand whether its -- the complaints and concerns in this matter have been adequately addressed since the last status update, and whether any further meetings on this matter are needed.

The Chair will then call for status report 1 2 from the Petitioner. The Chair will then call on 3 County of Hawaii and then finally the Chair will call 4 on the Office of Planning. I will now accept public testimony. 5 6 there any individuals? 7 Commissioner Aczon. 8 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I want to make disclosure. 9 10 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER ACZON: I've known Mr. 11 12 Stanford Carr for maybe over 25 years during my 13 involvement with Building Industry Association of 14 Hawai'i. But who doesn't know Stanford Carr? 15 But anyway, we haven't, like what the 16 Commissioner Okuda said, we haven't bought each other 17 lunch, so I think I'm going to be okay, and I'm prepared to be fair and balanced with my decision. 18 19 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. Any 20 other disclosures at this time? 21 Does anyone here object? Anyone of the 22 public, Petitioner, Office of Planning, Hawaii County 23 Object? National Parks? Anyone have any problem 24 with that disclosure? Okay.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, same thing as

Commissioner Aczon, I know Mr. Carr from his TV commercials, and also as a friend through the Building Industry Association. And he also didn't buy me lunch yet. I have complaints about that maybe sometimes, but not really.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you, Commissioner Wong.

Does anyone present have any problems with that disclosure, that lack of relationship with no lunch? Mr. Gary Okuda, yes.

at the last hearing on this matter, I have -- my office and myself personally has represented Hirai Realty, Incorporated, which is the Hirai family corporation. Craig Hirai, who is the executive director of the Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation may have an interest with respect to Hirai Realty or the matters that I dealt with Hirai Realty. But I do not believe that will affect anything I do in this matter.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.

Does anyone have any problems with that disclosure?

This reminds me, at the last hearing I also had a disclosure that I had previously had

1 involvement with public housing that may or may not 2 have involved HHFDC. And so anyway, I certainly 3 don't have any confusion, because I can barely 4 remember it, but I've been 40 years doing this, so I 5 know I've had dealings with HHFDC in the past. 6 Does anyone have any problems with my lack 7 of memory? Thank you, and I got no lunches. 8 Let's go onto public testimony. I 9 understand no one has signed up to have any public 10 testimony. Is that correct? 11 Anyone that's failed to sign up that would 12 like to sign up? Then we will proceed onward. 13 Before the Petitioner, we were going to look at -- National Park is going to be first, or it 14 15 says Petitioner here, but earlier -- can I ask the 16 National Park if they would like to come forward and 17 be sworn in? 18 MR. ZIMPFER: I don't have a presentation. 19 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Would you like 20 to come forward and we can --21 May I swear you in? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, you may. 23 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Do you swear or 24 affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the 25 truth?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very 3 much. Go ahead and proceed. 4 JEFFREY ZIMPFER 5 Was called and sworn to tell the truth, was examined 6 and testified as follows: 7 MR. ZIMPFER: I don't have any presentation prepared. I've been in communication with Cory 8 Hiraga a bit about deadlines and stuff, but I don't 9 10 really have anything to present. Will Ms. Char come up later? But I'm not 11 12 even sure if that's -- I believe they put in the 13 filters that they said they were going to put in, and 14 so as far as that goes, we're okay. 15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very 16 I quess you can be dismissed, and we will look 17 to the Petitioner at this time, and whatever order 18 you, the Petitioners, you seem to be a group. 19 Okay, I'm sorry. Ms. Char. 20 Let me swear you in first. So you're here 21 speaking for the Petitioner? 22 MS. CHAR: Not exactly. We developed a 23 portion of the property that's known as Kamakana

25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Let me have you

affordable rental housing.

- 1 | introduce yourself with your full name.
- THE WITNESS: My name is Elizabeth
- 3 | Elizabeth Char, VP of Development at Michael's
- 4 organization.
- 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: May I swear you
- 6 | in, please?
- 7 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
- 8 that you are about to give is the truth?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 10 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. Go
- 11 ahead and proceed.
- 12 ELIZABETH CHAR
- Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
- 14 Michael's organization, was sworn to tell the truth,
- was examined and testified as follows:
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Chair
- 17 and Commissioners.
- We are here to see if we can get a
- 19 determination from the Land Use Commission to state
- 20 that our project has met the Condition 13 of the LUC
- 21 Docket A10-788, that we have been in discussion with
- 22 the National Park Service for a little over a year,
- year-and-a-half.
- We were informed that while the NPS, and I
- 25 | believe we are in agreement that the conditions have

been met, that determination can only be made by the 1 2 Commission. 3 We would like a ruling, something to that effect. Thank you. 4 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: As we proceed, 6 we will find out. Thank you. 7 Sorry, any questions? Commissioner Wong. COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, this is for the 8 National Park Service? 9 10 Just wanted to reconfirm that the condition 11 that was stated is -- you are satisfied with the 12 filter? 13 MR. ZIMPFER: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER WONG: So you are -- the 15 National Park Services is satisfied that it has been fulfilled? 16 17 MR. ZIMPFER: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER WONG: That's all I need to 19 know. Thank you. 20 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Any other 21 questions of our speaker now, or the prior speaker? 22 Thank you very much. 23 Let's proceed with our Petitioner at the

Thank you, Mr. Carr. May I swear you in?

table, so give us your presentation at this time.

1 You've previously given your name. So, Jean, are you 2 clear with the name? 3 COURT REPORTER: Yes. 4 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 5 affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth? 6 7 THE WITNESS: I do. ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. 8 9 Proceed. 10 STANFORD CARR Was called as a witness by and on behalf of Kamakana 11 12 Villages, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 13 and testified as follows: MR. CARR: Good afternoon Vice Chair 14 15 Cabral. My name is Stanford Carr. We are 16 collaborating with HHFDC as the successor to Forest 17 City to be the master developer for Kamakana. We have since reviewed all of the conditions of the 18 19 docket, and are collaborating with HHFDC to revisit 20 the designs of the master plan. 21 I brought with us the original master plan 22 approved and introduced by Forest City is here off to

In our opinion, the design was not conducive to the topography of the 273 acres. We

the right being held by Cory Hiraga.

23

24

since regrouped with our team and designers and engineers, and we've created this draft interim concept with respect to the bubble site plans, where we would locate the different variable land uses, the multi-family, single family, neighborhood, commercial, park, schools, as well as identifying the existing preservation sites due to archaeological historical sites.

We are now having our engineers look at the phasing, quantify the order of magnitude of the off-site and on-site infrastructure, including identifying a master plan of our wet and dry utilities of sewer, water, drainage, power, roadways.

We will then be developing a phasing plan and working with the HHFDC and generating the budgets and cash flows and economic feasibility of this project.

During the course of this process it will flush out if we need to make any modifications to the docket, and if such, we will be coming back in to make such modifications before this body.

We initially, looking at the land uses, we have eliminated some of the roadways. So we need to consult with both State Department of Transportation as well as the County of Hawaii with respect to the

various agencies, and provide consultation with each 1 2 of them to get their feedback and comments with 3 respect to where we are today, and what are the 4 concerns in the interim as we plan and move forward with our designs. 5 6 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. 7 Questions from my Commissioners? Thank you very much. 8 9 So, Mr. Fujimoto, do you have anything in 10 addition you want to say? 11 MR. FUJIMOTO: No. 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: So the 13 Petitioner is finished with their presentation. 14 move onto Deputy Corporation Counsel, Mr. Ronald Kim. 15 MR. KIM: Thank you, Vice Chair. The 16 County has no comments on the status report. 17 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Office of 18 Planning, Ms. Apuna. 19 MS. APUNA: Office of Planning doesn't have 20 any comments. 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very 22 much. 23 Commissioners, do you have any final 24 questions or comments at this time? Commissioners, 25 what is the status -- this is a status report.

are not required to take any action at this time. If no action is taken, the requirements of a continued annual status report will remain.

And it appears that the National Park

Service's concerns have been addressed. So if

there's no further discussion, we're going to have a

small break. Commissioner Mahi.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: A motion has to be made, right?

acting Chairperson Cabral: From my understanding, a motion is not necessary at this time because this is a status report not requiring it, but I'm certain that the Petitioner, they're going to be making changes. We will see them again. I think our biggest concern here, because this came up last year, because National Park Service was concerned.

So based on your prior testimony, you're satisfied?

MR. ZIMPFER: We're satisfied with the Michael Group's way of meeting the condition, and I would encourage Stanford Carr's group to use the methods that are outlined in the conditions of Finding of Fact, Conclusion of Law, Decision and Order to stick with those, because I believe it was considerably more expensive for them to go in and

retrofit than it would have been to put in the
green -- some of the things that were lined out in
the conditions.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Jean, did you get all that?

COURT REPORTER: Yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very much for your comments. Can we conclude this portion? This portion of our show is concluded. Thank you very much.

(Recess taken.)

Thank you for returning from the break. I would like to proceed with our meeting today.

A00 - 730

The next agenda item is to receive a continued status report, and taking the appropriate action on Docket A00-730, Lanihau Properties LLC, Hawai'i, a Petition to amend the Conservation Land Use District Boundary into Urban Land Use District for approximately 336.984 acres at Honokohau, North Kona, Hawai'i, Tax Map Key No. 7-4-08, portions of 13 and 7-4-08:30.

Will the parties please identify themselves for the record?

MR. KUDO: Counsel for the party of Kaiser

- 1 Permanente, Ben Kudo. With me is Executive Director
- 2 Terry Muldoon, and project manager, Greg Gause.
- 3 MR. SMITH: Good afternoon. Riley Smith,
- 4 President of Lanihau Properties.
- 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you, Mr.
- 6 Smith. County, please.
- 7 MR. KIM: Good afternoon, Deputy
- 8 Corporation Counsel Ron Kim representing the County
- 9 of Hawaii. With me is Deputy Planning Director Duane
- 10 Kanuha.
- 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. And
- 12 | the Office of Planning.
- MS. APUNA: Good afternoon. Deputy
- 14 Attorney General Dawn Apuna on behalf of Office of
- 15 | Planning. Here with me is Lorene Maki.
- ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very
- 17 much.
- Let me at this point update the record in
- 19 this docket.
- On May 23rd, 2018, the Commission received
- 21 a status report on Docket No. A00-738 and A10-788
- 22 regarding National Park Service's complaints of
- 23 | noncompliance with conditions.
- On January 29, 2019, the Commission staff
- 25 received notice to update the mail addresses to

correspond to personnel changes of the National Park Service.

On March 18, 2019, an LUC meeting agenda notice for the March 27-28, 2019 meeting was sent to the Parties and the Statewide, and Hawai'i mailing lists.

For the members of the public, please be reminded that the Commission will not be considering the merits of A00-730 Petition; rather the Commission is interested in learning what the current state of activities of the Petitioner related to this docket are, including as they pertain to the National Park Service's assertion that there has been noncompliance with conditions of approval.

Let me go over our procedure for this docket.

First, those individuals desiring to provide public testimony for the Commission's consideration may be asked to identify themselves and will be called in the order -- in that order to our witness box, where they will be sworn in to provide their testimony.

 $\label{eq:definition} \mbox{Depending on the number of individuals,}$ there may be a time limit.

At the conclusion of the public testimony,

the Chair would like the National Park Service to provide its presentation first to better understand whether its complaints and concerns in this matter have been adequately addressed since the last status update, and whether any further meetings on this matter are needed.

After questioning the National Park

Service, the Chair will next call on the Petitioner
to respond to this, and to provide its status update
on this matter.

After the questioning the Petitioner, the Chair will call on the County of Hawaii, then on the Office of Planning.

After that the Chair will entertain its final questions and comments.

The Chair would also like to note that from time to time it may be calling for a short break.

Are there any questions at this time about our procedures, Attorney Kudo?

MR. KUDO: Just a suggestion. It might be helpful for Kaiser Permanente to make our presentation, since it's our wastewater treatment plant that is in question, then go to Dr. Zimpfer and National Park Service in relation to what we have done.

1 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Is that 2 acceptable to National Park Service? 3 MR. ZIMPFER: Yes. 4 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Is that 5 acceptable to my fellow Commissioners? Okay. 6 Thank you very much. I'll go ahead and ask 7 the Petitioner to please make their presentation. MR. KUDO: We are not the Petitioner, we're 8 actually a landowner in the Petitioner's business 9 10 park. Riley Smith is representing the actual 11 Petitioner, which is Lanihau Properties LLC that 12 obtained a D&O from this particular Commission in 13 2003, and in particular in question is Condition of 14 the D&O 1(c) that requires certain standards be met 15 by the wastewater treatment plant in removing 16 phosphorous and nitrogen. 17 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Can I ask the 18 Petitioner, Mr. Riley Smith, that Attorney Kudo go 19 ahead and proceed with his presentation? 20 MR. SMITH: Yes. 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very 22 much. Proceed. 23 Are there any public witnesses? I cheated, 24 I looked on the paper. No one signed up. Then,

please, my apologies for skipping procedures.

Mr. Kudo. I would like to turn it over to 1 2 Terrance Muldoon and Greg Gause to explain two parts. 3 The first is to explain to you what we did 4 since the May Land Use Commission hearing last year 5 to remediate and modify the wastewater treatment 6 plant; and the second part is to give you what the 7 sampling data has indicated since last year to this 8 year. 9 We wanted to take enough data over several 10 months to make sure that we stabilized the operation 11 of the plant. And with that, I'll turn it over to 12 Mr. Muldoon and Mr. Gause. ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: I need to swear 13 14 you in first. 15 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 16 that you are about to give is the truth? 17 THE WITNESS: I do. 18 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Proceed. 19 TERRANCE MULDOON 20 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of Kaiser 21 Permanente, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined 22 and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: Thank you, very much. 24 From the time that we were notified that we 25

were not in compliance with the effluent discharge requirements, we engaged engineering support to help us work through issues, and determined that we should take two steps basically.

One was to increase or provide carbon injection into the wastewater treatment system with the intent to remove more nitrogen from the waste stream.

We were also advised by engineers to inject metal salts into the waste stream to help us to remove phosphorous.

In addition to that, we also provided a phosphorous filter at the end point in the process. And we have a process flow diagram which we provided to you where you can see that we have injection points for metal salts and for carbon. And then at the end of the waste stream, we have phosphorous filtration.

So we have added a number of components to try to bring the waste stream as close as we can to the requirements for the Decision and Order.

I can say -- and we shared with the National Park Service the results of this. We did work through some start-up issues that we had. We had some fluctuations over the last year which we

shared with National Park Service, but we are getting through that, getting the plant optimized and running.

And over the past three months, I will say that on average we are above the requirements that are in the Decision and Order. We do have some drift that is occurring in a couple of places. And in this case, the blue line is phosphorous removal. The requirement is 80 percent.

The orange line is nitrogen removal, and requirement on that is 90 percent, and so sort of place it.

So I think we're getting very, very close to being there all the time, and on average we are there over the last period of time.

I don't know if there's anything else I can share.

MR. KUDO: I would just like to add that during from May to the present, that we have had what I would consider to be a very good working relationship with the National Park Service. We met with them at least a couple of times and exchanged emails with regard to our efforts to stabilize the sampling data from the plant as we tweak the system with regard to the various chemicals that are needed.

1	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.
2	Does the National Park Service have any
3	comments at this time?
4	MR. ZIMPFER: No. I'm just pleased with
5	the progress. Like he said, we have been meeting and
6	e-mailing. They came over a couple months ago, and
7	it looks really encouraging. As long as they
8	continue to meet the mark and we get their reports,
9	we're happy.
10	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.
11	Can I just say that:
12	Do you swear and affirm that the testimony
13	you've been giving is the truth?
14	MR. ZIMPFER: Yes, it is.
15	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you.
16	JEFF ZIMPFER
17	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
18	National Parks Service, was sworn to tell the truth.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Commissioners,
20	any questions? Commissioner Wong.
21	COMMISSIONER WONG: So for Kaiser, you have
22	met, or you are meeting the requirements of the
23	phosphorous that the National Park Service
24	MR. KUDO: We have met, or exceeded in some
25	instances, requirements for removal of phosphorous.

```
1
                COMMISSIONER WONG: And National Park
2
      Service, you agree with that point, and you're okay
3
     with that, correct?
 4
               MR. ZIMPFER: Yes, as long it continues.
      It started out with some fluctuations, but we need to
5
 6
     see it for a few more months. But it's looking
7
      really good, as long as we get these reports and
8
     they're meeting the mark.
               COMMISSIONER WONG: So the conditions have
9
10
     been met?
11
               MR. ZIMPFER: Yes.
12
               ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Any other
     Commissioners? Commissioner Okuda.
13
14
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, just to clear
15
     the record. I know Dr. Zimpfer has been testifying,
16
      can you formally identify his name just so the record
17
     is really clear?
18
               ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Absolutely.
19
     National Park Service can you identify your name,
20
     please?
21
               MR. ZIMPFER: My name is Jeff Zimpfer.
22
               ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: And you've been
23
     with us before. Thank you. Good to see you again.
24
     And your associate.
25
               MR. ZIMPFER: This is Janette Chiron.
```

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very much for coming.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Just so we have some

context of Dr. Zimpfer's testimony, can the doctor be asked to briefly give us his education and experience, background just so there is some kind of context as to what he just testified to the actions that have been taken and the results?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Mr. Zimpfer, can you come on up to the hot seat for us, so Jean gets an easier time getting the record and written transcript.

THE WITNESS: Education, Bachelor's in landscape architecture; Master's degree in forestry, and Ph.D. in natural resources and environmental sciences.

I've worked with plants and soils and nutrients for the last 25 years or so. And I've been with the park service since -- here in Kona since July of 2011.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you very much. Any other questions of the National Park Service or about any questions?

Commissioner Mahi.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: For Kaiser, I was

wondering, all the tests that you've been doing so
far and the results you've been receiving, has the
capacity of the system that you've already started,

4 has that increased, or has it been pretty stable?

MR. MULDOON: It has been very stable. So it hasn't increased at all. Our well water consumption is about a 1000 gallons a day. It's held pretty study at that.

This facility was designed to be LEED Gold, and water conservation was one of the big things that was a part of that. That's how the wetlands came into play that Mr. Zimpfer mentioned earlier.

But we haven't seen any very significant changes in consumption at this point.

COMMISSIONER MAHI: I remember when we met back in May we talked about what would happen when you have a larger amount of what you call clients that might be moving here or being participants in all the various developments which are starting to occur. Example, we just talked about in our last session.

How do you see that occurring in terms of what are you going to do to handle increased capacity?

MR. MULDOON: Right now, most of the use

capacity is on fix or count facility, so certainly there is a small increase that comes with additional use, that is certainly true. But it's not that significant compared to what is there.

What really makes a difference is when we're going to need to expand on the site to meet increased demand and have additional footprint. When that occurs, I mean, we're hopeful that the county has sanitary sewer at that point so we can make connection to that. That's the ultimate end result for all of us, right? We really need to get out of handling this the way we do.

But in the interim, we would have to make choices that range, and we considered all of these -- none of them are ideal. One, put in a larger plant that conforms with the requirements of the Decision and Order. That would be quite expensive, but probably is doable.

The second option would be to bump and transport waste to treatment plant. That's got its own issues environmentally, and road use and other things, but it is a possible solution, though a costly one.

Then the last one is, can we get physical connection to sanitary waste treatment that allows us

1 to then handle the waste in that fashion.

So those are the three things that we thought about and would consider. There may be others that come up from an engineering perspective.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Any additional questions from our Commissioners at this time?

Proceeding right along. Can I go ahead and ask County of Hawaii, do you have any input or questions of our Petitioner or National Park while they're at the hot seat?

MR. KIM: No questions or comments from the county, thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Office of Planning?

MS. APUNA: No questions or comments from the Office of Planning.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Commissioners, any other comments based on their comments? Thank you very much. The Commissioners have no additional comments. Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So going back again to National Park Service one more time. So because you are satisfied at this time and hopefully in the future with Kaiser and the wastewater issue, we don't need to see you any more, that should be okay. Do

you believe we need to have another meeting in six months or a year on this issue?

MR. ZIMPFER: I don't think so. I don't know how we formally wrap it up, but as long as -- I guess I would have to leave it to the Land Use Commission staff too.

Assuming we get reports every month, and they're on the money like they are now, I see no further issues.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So can I take it that if the status report coming into our office, we note and everyone is clear on what is being sent in and reviewed it, and we don't hear anything from anyone, that that should suffice for you?

MR. ZIMPFER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WONG: And we shouldn't have any more meetings for now on this issue?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: This is a status report for the Commissioners. We are not required to take any action at this time. If no action is taken, the requirements of a continued annual status report still remains.

And since National Park Service is currently satisfied, I think they understand that in

the event that if the reports come in and the readings are not appropriate, then they can come back to this body as previously done.

So at this point, based on the comments by the Intervenor and the Petitioner, the Chair will proceed at this point and take no action with the knowledge that National Park Service is aware that they can come back to this body.

Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Hopefully the National Park Service, instead of coming to us, if there is an anomaly or anything, check first with Kaiser and try to work the details out first so we don't need to have these --

MR. ZIMPFER: Correct, right.

MR. KUDO: And I think from our side, if we notice an anomaly we will contact Dr. Zimpfer and tell him if there was a reason for it, mechanical breakdown or something else that occurred on the property.

MR. ZIMPFER: Absolutely.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: I recommend good communication may solve all of this.

Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, I think what's

1 happened here is a real demonstration of cooperation, 2 collaboration, people working together to move the 3 community forward. So I agree with Commissioner Wong 4 that there's really no need for us at this point in 5 time. Everyone has their rights and remedies if 6 things are needed, but it really doesn't seem like 7 right now that further government intervention is 8 necessary. 9 So I think this is really positive and a 10 good example for everyone, especially for us here. 11 So thank you very much. 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CABRAL: Thank you. we will take a recess, five-minute recess. Thank you 13 14 very much. 15 I would like to clarify our recess will be until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. 16 17 (The proceedings were adjourned at 2:30 18 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on March 27, 2019, at 1:30 p.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 27th day of March, 2019, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	