1		LAND USE COMMISSION	
2		STATE OF HAWAI'I	
3		Hearing held on July 25, 2019	
4		Commencing at 9:45 a.m.	
5		Moikeha Building Conference Room 2A/2B	
6		4444 Rice Street	
7		Kaua'i, Hawai'i 96766	
8		VOLUME 1	
9	AGENDA		
10	I.	Call to Order	
11	II.	Adoption of Minutes	
12	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule	
13 14 15 16 17	IV.	ACTION A17-803 Kealia Properties LLC (Kaua'i) To Consider Acceptance of the Final Environmental Impact Statement to Petition for Land Use District Boundary Amendment to amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban Land Use District for approximately 53.4 acres of land at Kealia, Kawaihau, Puna, Island of Kaua'i, State of Hawai'i	
19 20 21	V.	DISCUSSION and ACTION (If Necessary) Consider establishment of Legislative Affairs Committee to address and respond to anticipated LUC issues and concerns for the 2020 Hawai'i State Legislative Session.	
22	VI.	Recess	
23			
24			
25	BEFOR	E: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	NANCY CABRAL, Acting Chair DAWN N.S. CHANG	
3	LEE OHIGASHI ARNOLD WONG	
4	EDMUND ACZON DAN GIOVANNI	
5	GARY OKUDA	
6	STAFF: RANDALL S. NISHIYAMA, ESQ.	
7	Deputy Attorney General	
8 9	DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Officer RILEY K. HAKODA, Planner/Chief Clerk BERT SARUWATARI, Planner	
10 11	DAWN APUNA, Deputy Attorney General AARON SETOGAWA, Planner For State Office of Planning	
12	NICKOLAS COURSON, Deputy Corporation Counsel KA'AINA HULL, Planning Director	
13	For Kaua'i County Department of Planning	
14 15	BENJAMIN MATSUBARA, ESQ. CURTIS TABATA, ESQ. For Kealia Properties LLC	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			3
1			
2	INDEX PUBLIC WITNESSES:	PAGE	
3	Elaine Valois		
4	Direct Examination Cross-Examination/Petitioner	14 16	
5	Stuart Wellington	1 17	
6	Direct Examination	17	
7	Marcus Laymon Direct Examination	20	
8	Lester Francis Gale Direct Examination	22	
9	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	23	
10	Bronson Carvalho Direct Examination	2 4	
11	Cross-Examination/Petitioner	26	
12	Kenderson Caspillo	0.7	
13	Direct Examination	27	
14	Allen Suan Direct Examination	30	
15	Jeffery Rivera	2.2	
16	Direct Examination	32	
17	Tony J. Reis Direct Examination	3 4	
18	Robert Farias Direct Examination	36	
19	Mark Baldonado	30	
20	Direct Examination	38	
21	Agnes Marti-Kini	4.1	
22	Direct Examination	41	
23	Barbara Guiliano Direct Examination	4 6	
24	Karen Gibbons	- 1	
25	Direct Examination	51	

1 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, good 2 morning. This is the July 25th, 2019 Land Use 3 Commission Meeting. The first order of business will be to 4 5 welcome our new Commissioner. I would like to 6 welcome Dan Giovani who will be our new Commissioner 7 from this Island of Kaua'i. So welcome to an 8 exciting meeting. Now, to the business. The first order of 9 10 business is the adoption of the July 10th to 11th, 11 2019 minutes. Are there any corrections or comments 12 on those? 13 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I move for approval. COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Second. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: It's been moved and 16 seconded to adopt. Any other comments from anyone? 17 That was commissioner Aczon moved, Commissioner Ohigashi seconded. 18 19 No other comments. Can I consider them 20 adopted? All in favor. "Aye". Anyone opposed? 21 Anyone abstaining? 22 All in favor is unanimous.

The next agenda item is the tentative schedule for our upcoming meetings. Executive Director Orodenker, can you please provide that?

23

24

25

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Madam Chair. 2 Our next meeting will be on August 14th on 3 Oahu. That will be primarily dedicated to our 4 biannual Commissioner training sessions. August 14th 5 and 15th at He'eia State Park. 6 August 28th will be at the Honolulu Airport 7 for the Waiawa matter and Poma'ikai IAL. On August 29th we will be having video 8 9 conference for the Barry Trust matter. 10 September 11th through 13 is the Hawai'i Conference of Planning Officials at Maui Sheraton 11 12 Beach Resort. September 11th and September 13th our 13 14 meetings are cancelled. 15 September 25th we will be on Maui for the Pulelehua matter. September 26th we will also be on 16 17 Maui for the continuation of Pulelehua and also Brewer and Makena and Kaonoulu matters. 18 19 October 9th and 10th we will be on Oahu for 20 Waimanalo Gulch hearing. 21 October 23rd and 24th also on Oahu for the 22 Hawaiian Memorial matter. We will also be having

November 13th and 14th is currently open.

November 21st we will have -- we will be on the Big

23

24

25

site visit on October 23rd.

Island for U of N Bencorp status reports. That takes
us to through November.

3 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, Mr.

EIS.

4 Orodenker. Commissioners, do you have any questions?

Hearing none, the next agenda item is the hearing and action meeting on Docket A17-803 to consider the acceptance of Kealia Properties' Final

Parties, will you please identify yourselves for the record?

MR. MATSUBARA: Chair Cabral,

Commissioners, my name is Ben Matsubara, and with

Curtis Tabata we represent the Petitioner Kealia

Properties LLC.

Behind me is the principal of Kealia

Properties LLC, Peter Nolan. And to his left is our area manager, Moana Kinimaka.

MR. COURSON: Good morning, Chair,

Commissioners, Nickolas Courson, First Deputy County

Attorney on behalf of the Kaua'i Planning Commission.

With me is Planning Director Ka'aina Hull.

MS. APUNA: Good morning, Chair and members. Deputy Attorney General Dawn Apuna on behalf of Office of Planning, and here with me today Aaron Setogawa.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.

At this time let me update the record of this docket.

On November 8th, 2017, the Commission met and granted the Petitioner's Motion to Designate the Land Use Commission as the approving agency for the Environmental Statement Under Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 343 and Authority to Prepare the Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice.

On November 13, 2017, the Land Use

Commission mailed a request to the Office of

Environmental Quality Control for publication in the

Environmental Notice with associated materials.

Also on that same day, the Commission mailed the order determining that the Land Use Commission agreed to be the Accepting Authority pursuant to Chapter 343 HRS and that the proposed action would have a significant impact upon the environment to warrant proceeding directly to the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.

From December 2017 to July 2018, the Commission received comments on the EISPN Prep Notice and the Draft EIS which are on file and a part of this record.

From February 2018 to June 2019, the

Commission exchanged correspondence with various entities regarding this docket which are on file and a part of the record.

On July 11th, 2019, the Commission received Petitioner's Final EIS.

On July 15, 2019, the Commission received the Petitioner's Subpoena documents for appearance of Michael Dahilig, and also mailed the meeting agenda notice to the Parties, Statewide and Kauai mailing list; and received Petitioner's Verification of Distribution List for FEIS from OEQC.

On July 18, 2019, the Commission received the Office of Planning's response to the Petitioner's Motion for Issuance of the Subpoena.

On July 22, 2019, the Commission received Office of Planning's comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

On July 23, 2019, the Commission received an email advising that the County would not be providing comments on the FEIS.

On July 24th, 2019, the Commission received a copy of the Department of Transportation's comments on the Petitioner's Traffic Impact Analysis Report.

From July 18th to July 24, 2019, the Commission received email public testimony which is

1 on file and a part of the record.

I will add we have also received public testimony today.

Let me now briefly describe our procedure for today on this docket.

First, I will give an opportunity to the Petitioner to comment on the Commission's policy governing reimbursement of hearing expenses.

I will then call for those individuals desiring to give public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

After completion of the public testimony, portions of the proceedings -- after completion of the public testimony portion of these proceedings, the Petitioner will make its presentation.

After the completion of the Petitioner's presentation, we will receive any comments from the County Planning Department and the State Office of Planning.

After we have received the comments from the Petitioner, the County and the State, we will then, as the Commission, conduct our deliberations.

Do I have any questions about our

1 procedures today?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No.

4 MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.

Good morning -- it says Mr. Tabata.

MR. MATSUBARA: Matsubara.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Good morning, Mr.

Matsubara, have you reviewed HAR 15-15-45.1 with regard to the reimbursement of hearing expenses?

MR. MATSUBARA: Yes, I have, and we concur and we will comply with it.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.

I wanted to make clear and add for everyone in the audience in particular that this meeting and this hearing is not an action for approval of this subdivision, or the request of the Petitioner. This is solely for the purpose of determining if the EIS meets the requirements of Chapter 343.

The Land Use Commission will be taking the action on the actual product at a later date to be determined, and at that time we will also welcome appropriate public testimony.

So at today's hearing we would like to ask that comments from the public be directed towards the

Environmental Impact Statement as opposed to the overall feeling about the Petition and request.

At this point we would like to go ahead and take public testimony. If you have not already signed up, we need you to sign up, but we do have our official package here so we are going to start naming folks coming in on a first sign-up, first-come basis.

Ne're going to limit, because of the large number of people asking to testify, we're going to limit that to two minutes per person. We would like you to come to this chair here. You will first be sworn in, and then you'll have your two minutes and someone will have a timer. And then we will ask you to conclude and leave.

So we will name several people. So the second person coming up, there's a chair behind here, if you're the next person up, please be in that chair, because we want to provide you as much time to talk as possible, as opposed to spending all the time having people moving around.

So at this point I ask our illustrious Director to go ahead.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Madam Chair.

And I apologize to everyone ahead of time if I

butcher your name. Some of the handwriting is not as

1 legible as I would like it to be.

The first testifier is Elaine Valois,

3 followed by Stuart Wellington.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony

6 | that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS. To my best knowledge, yes

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Can you please clearly state your name and address for the record and then proceed with your testimony.

ELAINE VALOIS

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My full name is Elaine
Valois, 5956 Kawai Road, Unit B in Kapaa, Hawai'i
96746.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Go ahead and proceed with your testimony.

THE WITNESS: My point of view is telescopic rather than microscopic. I'm seeing the process of profit and loss, and being absolutely immersed in endless detail, each project, one by one being dealt with, when we really need to have a more

telescopic view, because this profit and loss point of view is self-perpetuating.

Seven years from now how many other projects like this will be developed? What does it mean for the Island of Kaua'i?

I agree that the infrastructure and roads cannot handle such a massive development. Housing does not answer the desperate needs of our local population.

Your focus is in the wrong direction. The short-term gains of added revenue, instead of the long-term gains of perpetuating health, wealth and beauty of one of the ten most spectacular places on earth, and perpetuating the health, wealth and happiness of those who call Kaua'i home.

Is it not so that the State Land Commission was established to protect the land and its people from overuse, abuse, pollution and degradation of all kind? What is it that you see? Little and big overpriced housing, green grass, trees, concrete sidewalks, and children riding their bicycles on asphalt driveways.

What you may not be seeing is the massive amount of human feces that are piped out into the ocean every day. That needs to be addressed

1 | seriously and immediately.

You may not be seeing the massive amount of human -- we have not yet solved the problem yet of biodegrading human effluent in a way that is beneficial to most plants and animals. It can be done and has been done, but it is not being done here.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you please conclude?

THE WITNESS: Intelligent answers to our current problems, housing, traffic, air pollution, drug abuse, degradation of our oceans, et cetera, are out there. Let's start using them, researching them, employing them. We are not solving any of our problems with the usual profit and loss base model. Kaua'i is not the winner, just the developers and most of them don't live here.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do we have any questions of the testifier?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MATSUBARA:

Q Just a single question. You're a homeowner?

A I am a homeowner on Kaua'i. I'm a transplant. I bought a home. I did not build one.

1 We maintain our property, and we don't take jobs away 2 from anybody on the island. We're retired, so we 3 support our island with our revenues. 4 MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you. No further 5 questions. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any other questions? 7 Thank you very much. 8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Followed by Marcus 9 Laymon. 10 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the 13 14 truth? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. State your 17 name and your address clearly for the court reporter. 18 THE WITNESS: Stuart Wellington, 6696 Kipaa 19 Road, Kapaa, 96746. 20 STUART WELLINGTON 21 Was called as a public witness, was sworn to tell the 22 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 THE WITNESS: I am here in support of the 25 Commission to accept the Final EIS. It is a first

step in getting a development done, which is very important to the island and the people.

I looked through the EIS, and it's a very comprehensive and thorough EIS that took a lot of time and effort to get done.

I also did read the outreach to the community and the response to their concerns which I thought were very spot on, and very accurate to resolving some of the issues.

I think this is an opportunity for Kaua'i and the local residents to have a chance to purchase a home. This development is addressing a market of gap, mid-income housing, and I'll give you an example.

I'm a small business contractor. I have five employees. Of that five, every household has more than one family unit in the house. One of them has three. So this is an opportunity for them to purchase a home.

I know there's a lot of concern about population growth and impact on cars, but in reality this development is allowing us to just relocate population, it's not increasing the population.

And as far as impact on our infrastructure, that's why we sit here today and do these studies,

```
1
     and plan correctly.
2
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you come to your
 3
     conclusion, please?
                THE WITNESS: In conclusion, I think we can
 4
5
     not miss this opportunity for the residents of
     Kaua'i. And I think it will address one of our main
 6
7
     problems that we have, which is housing here on the
8
      island. I appreciate your time. Thank you.
9
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions?
10
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
11
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County Planning
12
      Department, any questions?
13
               MR. COURSON: No.
14
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Office of Planning?
15
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
16
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Marcus Laymon followed
17
     by Les Gale.
18
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
19
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
20
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or
21
     affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the
22
     truth?
23
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
24
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please give us your
25
     name and your address.
```

THE WITNESS: Marcus Laymon, 6185 Holohana Road, Kapaa.

MARCUS LAYMON

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I'm here on behalf of my family and friends. I am very supportive of this project. And I do hear a lot of concerns about overpopulation and how it's going to affect the economy and the ozone, everything else.

But everybody here has probably come here in a vehicle, so we're not adding more vehicles, we have vehicles on the island.

And the housing itself I think is beneficial for the locals. There's not much places that are affordable for families, and a lot of families that I know, everybody has to live with family because they can't afford to buy a seven, \$800,000 property and then build a home on it.

So I think this project is very beneficial for our community, and expansion is going to happen.

Our world is evolving. The population is growing.

More people I know have to move off the island just

```
1
     to get by.
2
               So I would like to see more locals be able
 3
     to stay here. And this is an opportunity I think for
 4
     locals to be able to stay here and live here where we
     were born and raised.
5
 6
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
7
     Any questions?
               MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you. No questions.
8
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County? State?
9
10
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
11
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
12
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
13
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Les Gale, followed by
14
     Bronson Carvalho.
15
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
16
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
17
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or
18
     affirm that the testimony you are about to give is
19
     the truth?
20
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and
22
     address for the record.
23
                THE WITNESS: Lester Francis Gale, 5956
24
     Kawaihau Road, Unit B, Kapaa, Hawai'i 96746.
```

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Proceed.

25

1 LESTER FRANCIS GALE

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My first gripe is the infrastructure that we don't have. So it's like Kealia is one of our favorite beaches to go to. Sometimes you're taking your life in your hands when you're coming out of the beach onto the highway, traffic is that bad.

And I don't think that 39 units that is affordable for the locals, I don't think it's low enough. The people here can't -- people here are working two or three jobs just to make ends meet. They can't buy the land what these guys are going to ask for. I realize what you're working now is the environmental thing. It's just not for the people, this whole project is not for the people on this island. It is not. It's for offshore people to buy.

I'm not meaning we've got ours, don't come to this island. That isn't what I'm talking about.

It's like I said, the people are working two and three jobs, so it isn't -- I don't know what the hell the solution is. I don't know if any of you do. But

```
1
      I do know, I do know from experience after 40 years
2
     in construction, developers will tell you what you
 3
     want to hear to get what they want. I'm done.
 4
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
5
     Questions?
 6
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. MATSUBARA:
7
8
                Mr. Gale, you're a homeowner on Kauai?
9
          Α
                Yes, we are.
10
               Thank you.
          Q
11
          Α
               Are you?
12
               No. I'm a homeowner on Oahu.
          Q
13
          Α
                I'm sorry.
14
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County, any
15
     questions?
               MR. COURSON: No.
16
17
                MS. APUNA: No questions.
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Next testifier.
18
19
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Bronson Carvalho
      followed by Kenderson Caspillo.
20
21
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Sir, may I swear you
22
     in?
23
                Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
24
     you're about to give is the truth?
25
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

1 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you now proceed 2 to give us your name and your address for our record? 3 BRONSON CARVALHO 4 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 5 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: Bronson Carvalho, 4650 8 9 Maihihua Road, Kapaa. 10 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. 11 THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of my 12 father. 13 Aloha, Members of the State of Hawai'i Land 14 Use Commission. 15 I'm writing to urge you to accept Kealia Properties LLC, Petitioner's Final EIS to Petition 16 17 for Land Use District Boundary Amendment to amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the 18 19 Urban Land Use District for approximately 53.4 acres 20 of land at Kealia, Kawaihau, Puna, Island of Kaua'i, 2.1 State of Hawai'i. 22 As former mayor of Kaua'i, I worked with 23 Kaua'i County Housing Agency, developers and 24 community members to make affordable housing 25 initiatives a reality. As community leaders and

public servants, we must continue to make all affordable housing initiatives, including Kealia Mauka, a reality to immediately address the housing crisis.

Years ago when I and other Kaua'i leaders expressed deep concerns about local housing, Kealia Properties responded with the expansion of Kealia Housing Tract and the development of Kealia Mauka, a residential subdivision whose goal is to address the housing shortfall on Kaua'i by providing lots that local residents can buy.

Kealia Mauka is envisioned as a "21st Century Ahupua'a", a place where local residents with a variety of income levels, first-time home buyers, and those who must buy lots below median price can come and live.

Kealia Mauka will thrive on the rich, cultural foundation of Kaua'i lifestyle. It is in close proximity to the beach, post office, coastal path, mountains and schools. Farmers and ranchers of Kealia provide jobs and activities for keiki and kupuna within the district. The area hosts four cattle ranchers, a riding arena, organic vegetable and fruit farmers whose products are offered twice a week at the Kealia Farmer's Market, and a taro lo'i.

Living in a 21st Century Ahupua'a, Kealia 1 2 Mauka residents will find more of what they need very 3 close by. 4 Furthermore, Kealia Mauka will be in an 5 area with established neighbors who by and large 6 support the project. I have spoken to them myself 7 and can vouch for this. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you come to 8 9 conclusion, please? 10 THE WITNESS: In fact, my family and I live in Kealia and will be a good neighbor to the new 11 12 residents of Kealia Mauka. 13 Many local residents have signed a petition 14 in support of this development and many more are on a 15 waiting list to buy lots there. In light of this support and in response to Kaua'i's affordable 16 17 housing crisis, I urge you to grant this Petitioner's 18 request for the Land Use District Boundary Amendment. 19 Bernard Carvalho, former mayor. 20 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions? 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. MATSUBARA: 23 As I understand it, your dad is traveling 24 so he couldn't be here today?

25 A Yes.

1	Q So you came to read a letter on his behalf?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you.
4	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County of Kaua'i?
5	State Office of Planning?
6	MR. COURSON: No questions.
7	MS. APUNA: No questions.
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Next testifier is
9	Kenderson Caspillo, followed by Jason Langfed.
10	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: He had to leave.
11	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Then the next after
12	that is Allen Suan.
13	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, sir. May
14	I swear you in?
15	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
16	you're about to give is the truth?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please give us and
19	clearly state your name and address.
20	THE WITNESS: Kenderson Caspillo, 5149
21	Annie Road, Kapaa 96746.
22	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed.
23	KENDERSON CASPILLO
24	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
25	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and

1 testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I am here in support of the project. This gives us, me and my family and friends, opportunity to build homes. I'm a farmer, I think it's a good way of life. And I hope it passes so I could buy a house for my daughter.

I like to thank Peter Nolan for giving us this opportunity.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, I'm supposed to ask you if you have any questions.

Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Since the court reporter is taking everything down, because if this case ends up being appealed, it will go to a higher court, and the higher court will only know what has taken place on the transcript.

May I ask, the Chair, if she could ask the witnesses, who we really appreciate their presence, if they will indulge us to spell out their name for

the court reporter and spell out where they live so the transcript will be clear.

And if the Hawai'i Intermediate Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court takes a look at the transcript, there will be no question about who is present and where they live.

So if it's possible for the testifiers to spell out names and places of residents.

Thank you, Chair.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I don't have a problem with that. But I have a suggestion considering our timeframe here, but maybe what I will do is take another paper where people have written rather rapidly, and instead while you're sitting there waiting to speak, ask that you clearly print out your name and address.

And then that way they will be able to read it. Does that work okay for you?

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: As long as it's okay with the court reporter.

COURT REPORTER: As long as I can read it.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Yes. Some of these you can and some of them you can't.

Riley, our staff assistant here, will go ahead, and while you're waiting, we will ask you to

1 spell your name while you're waiting. Everybody else 2 clearly spell everything out because it makes a 3 difference, and it's so hard to determine from what 4 we're looking at here. We have a lot of doctors in 5 the room, I can tell. 6 Let's go ahead and proceed. 7 Sir, may I swear you in? THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 10 affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. 14 ALLEN SUAN 15 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 16 public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: Allen, A-L-L-E-N S-U-A-N, 19 20 3460 B Maona Road, Lihue, Kaua'i. 21 I'm here to support the project. It allows 22 for a variety of families here, locals, the 23 opportunity to enjoy the diverse property 24 developments there, and gives my family at least an 25 opportunity or a chance to be part of this project.

```
1
                It's not to take away from anything, but
2
     those families that have lived with other families
 3
     allows them to obtain their own and not have to live
 4
     with parents or grandparents. Thank you.
5
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, very
6
     much.
7
               Our next person will be Jeffery Rivera.
               Do we have any questions?
8
9
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
10
               MR. COURSON: No.
11
               MS. APUNA: No.
12
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any
13
      questions? Thank you very much for your input and
14
     your patience.
15
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Jeffrey Rivera,
16
      followed by Carl Schumacher.
17
               UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Schumacher left.
18
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
19
                THE WITNESS: Sure you can swear me, if you
20
     want. No problem.
21
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Watch out. I can go
22
     there.
23
                Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
24
     you're about to give is the truth?
25
                THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
```

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please provide us for the testimony your name and your address.

THE WITNESS: Jeffery, J-E-F-F-E-R-Y, Rivera, R-I-V-E-R-A, 5568 Kawaihau Road. I've got actually two homes, also 6461 Haua'ala Road.

JEFFERY RIVERA

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I support the project. And one thing is there is affordable housing there and lot of my nephews and nieces, like ten or more left the island like in 2008 when the market crashed and stuff, and they left to buy homes in Oregon and Las Vegas, different places, because they couldn't afford it here.

For me now that economy is going well, and we are moving, we need to keep continue moving. So projects are good. You got workers put to work, carpenters, whatever, electricians, and they sell appliances, everything, would be a prosper, good for the island.

But the traffic part, it's -- I think we need to get after our state representatives, whoever,

getting the funding in. We're about 45 years behind 1 2 on that. We need to vote for good people who going to do something great. 3 4 I support it, and I just want to say one 5 thing. My personal feeling, Mauna Kea hui, shout out 6 to them. We should take the telescope money and fix 7 the roads. That will be more than enough money. We got enough telescopes already for that. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you for your 10 testimony. 11 Any questions? 12 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair. 13 MR. COURSON: No questions. 14 MS. APUNA: No questions. 15 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 16 questions? 17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Next testifier -- I cannot make out -- all I can make out of the last 18 name beginning with R, last name starts with R-E-M-I, 19 I can't make it out at all. Looks Kealia something. 20 21 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Looks like he lives 22 at 150 -- maybe 9. 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: The testifier after

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I swear you in?

that is Tom Au. After that looks like Bob Farias.

24

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Very true.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Very clearly give us your full name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Tony, T-O-N-Y, J.

Reis, R-E-I-S, 158 Kealia, Kaua'i 96751.

TONY J. REIS

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I'm here to accept the subdivision at Kealia because of the houses crisis.

Our children is just moving away. They don't have -they have place to buy but they cannot afford this
type of real estate that comes out. So they have to
move elsewhere to get a cheaper place like the
mainland or so.

So with this subdivision, the price is good. I think they can afford it. Lot of people are living with their parents, two or three families. I think they can look at this and say, oh, it's a good

1 idea.

And as far as traffic, traffic is always going to be there. I don't care what. People come from the mainland, they come here and say, oh, the traffic, okay.

If you live here, born and raised here, you want to live here, you have to get a cheap kind of housing, otherwise you're going to move.

So as far as traffic, it's common sense the traffic will always be there. Even if you move from here, okay, you minus the car that you move.

Okay, people in the household, when, like say the children, they graduate. They have to buy cars, so that car is going to be replaced with these people moving out. So that's common sense kind of stuff.

So if they come up with traffic kind of thing, I'm very sorry. I mean, they don't have any common sense or this kind of stuff. That's all. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much. Any questions?

- MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
- MR. COURSON: No questions.
- MS. APUNA: No questions.

1	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank
2	you.
3	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Tom Au, P.O. Box 696,
4	Kapaa.
5	MR. FARIAS: I think that was Tom Au before
6	me, he left.
7	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: So your name is?
8	THE WITNESS: Bob Farias.
9	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: After Mr. Farias, Mark
10	Baldonado.
11	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Sir, do you swear or
12	affirm that the testimony that you're about to give
13	us the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Go ahead
16	and proceed with your full name.
17	THE WITNESS: Robert J. Farias, 1714 Hulu
18	Road, Kapaa 96746.
19	ROBERT J. FARIAS
20	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
21	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
22	testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	THE WITNESS: I'm here in support of the
25	project. I'm a rancher here in Kaua'i. And with a

```
1
      landowner that we are dealing with right now, with
2
     the amount of property and putting a small amount of
 3
      it in housing helps the housing shortage here in
 4
     Kaua'i, and also keeps green areas green.
5
                The majority of the land will still be in
 6
      ranch land which is good for the island. And
7
     development that he is proposing will suffice some of
     the housing shortage. So I think it's a win/win
8
     situation.
9
10
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
11
     Any questions?
12
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
13
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
14
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
15
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any
16
     questions?
17
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much,
18
     sir.
19
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Mark Baldonado followed
20
     by Giovaka, 5123 --
21
                UNKNOWN SPEAKER: He left.
22
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Followed by Aunty
23
     Aggie.
24
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
25
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

1 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 2 affirm that the testimony you are about to give is 3 the truth? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Go ahead. 5 6 MARK BALDONADO 7 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 8 public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 9 testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Baldonado, 12 B-A-L-D-O-N-A-D-O. I live at 4545 Ka'ao Road, Kealia 13 96751. 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. 15 THE WITNESS: This is a statement that the 16 neighbors in my neighborhood in Ka'ao Road drafted. 17 Aloha State Land Use Commissioners. 18 We are landowners and occupants of Kealia, 19 adjacent to the proposed Kealia Mauka Homesites 20 development. We believe that our concerns have not 21 been addressed sufficiently (if at all) in the July 22 2019 Final EIS. 23 Therefore, we respectfully request you 24 reject the Final EIS for the Petition to Amend the 25 Land Use District Boundary from the Agricultural

```
1
     District into the Urban District for the 53.4-acre
2
     Kealia Mauka Homesites project.
 3
                Although we support affordable housing for
 4
     Kaua'i residents, the project as proposed does not
5
      fulfill the need for affordable housing. At this
 6
     time we request intervenor status in this process.
7
                Thank you for your consideration and your
     work and service to our community
8
9
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do we have any
10
     questions?
11
                MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair.
12
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: No questions.
13
               MS. APUNA: No.
14
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners?
15
               Commissioner Okuda.
16
               COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you.
17
                A fast question. How many of your
     neighbors, residents, signed that document?
18
19
                THE WITNESS: I believe over 30.
20
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And you looked at the
21
      signatures?
22
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And you can confirm to
24
     us that they are in fact your neighbors?
25
                THE WITNESS: Kaleya Arinaga (phonetic),
```

she is the one that collected all the signatures, and 1 2 she collected -- yeah, and she was supposed -- she 3 couldn't be here today, so I'm reading the statement 4 and the signatures that she collected. 5 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: To the best of your 6 knowledge, are those signatures of signatures of 7 people who are your neighbors? THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you. No further 10 questions. 11 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Giovani. 12 COMMISSIONER GIOVANI: Do we have a copy of 13 that letter of the signatures? 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Yes. You dropped off 15 a copy of that. That's all these signatures (indicating). 16 17 COMMISSIONER GIOVANI: Thank you. 18 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We will need to take 19 a minute. 20 If you're going to become an intervenor in 21 this case, that is a legal action that we will need 22 to -- I haven't been the Chair during one of those,

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: If I can clarify.

There is a procedure for intervention in these

so I'll need to take a minute here.

23

24

25

proceedings. You can look at our rules Chapter 15-15 1 2 on how to do that, if you are intending to become an 3 intervenor. We look forward to having your filing. 4 THE WITNESS: Thanks. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: That helped move 5 6 things along. Thank you very much then. 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Aunty Aggie followed by Barbara Guiliano. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Aloha kakou. If you don't 10 mind, I would like to ask one question of the 11 audience. 12 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I first swear you 13 in, please? 14 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 15 you are about to give is the truth? 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Now your full name 18 and address. THE WITNESS: My name is Agnes Marti-Kini, 19 20 M-A-R-T-I-K-I-N-I. And I'm the AMAC Po'o for the 21 Ko'olau District from Anahola to Namahana. 22 AGNES MARTI-KINI 23 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 24 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and

25

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Ma'am, I've been told it's not necessarily appropriate for you to ask the audience a question. That's not -- the hearing -- we're to hear what you have to say.

THE WITNESS: Can I ask you a question?

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Yes, you can ask a question.

THE WITNESS: I would like to know how many actual existing Kealia residents there are, a number.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We would only know that if we had everybody assigned. People are allowed to come here and be anonymous if they want to be.

THE WITNESS: Just in general, doesn't anybody from the county know that? Never mind. I talked to Mark when he just got off the seat. He says there's roughly 40-plus residents. He got 30 signatures, so it looks like the majority are in favor of this project. Okay.

I mean if you are a Kealia resident, then that would be important to know.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Ma'am, it would be good for you to give us your testimony. You're on a time limit, and you're using it up rapidly.

THE WITNESS: No, I'm just starting it right now, mahalo.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: No, I'm going to be fair to everybody. Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: I wrote a testimony back in 2018 on July 20th to all of you present here on this table. And I did get a response, and I did offer solutions.

I wrote five points of which they responded to each one, and I wanted to thank you for doing that.

I live in Anahola. So the impact that the traffic will have on us is a worry for our community.

Other than that, are the housing issue. If there was a guarantee that these residents would get first choice, not a lottery, but these residents getting a first choice of their pick of the lots, I would agree with that.

I'm happy that you're putting in a roundabout, if this goes through, because that will alleviate some of the traffic.

I'm happy that you will consult with the residents on putting up a barrier, a natural barrier between Kuhio Highway and the residential homes that are proposed.

1 I would like to see you also helping foot 2 the bill for that. 3 As far as cultural preservation, I believe 4 in your EIS you said that you spoke with residents 5 and consulted with them. I hope that's true. 6 The other point I made was that the County 7 and State need to work together to develop opening up that Princeville Airport to alleviate traffic to 8 9 Lihue. 10 And I'm in favor of the majority of 11 residents who want this project to happen. And I'm 12 also --13 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please conclude your 14 statement. 15 THE WITNESS: And I also am not in favor of 16 this project if it's going to put any stress on our 17 people that live there or stress on the surrounding communities north of Kealia. Mahalo. 18 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: One moment. Any 19 20 questions? 21 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 22 MR. COURSON: No. 23 MS. APUNA: None. 24 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Questions from

25

Commissioners?

```
1
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Just want
2
     clarification.
 3
                Is your testimony that the Petitioner's
 4
     responded to all your questions; is that your
5
     testimony?
 6
                THE WITNESS: No.
7
               COMMISSIONER ACZON: Did they respond to
     some of them or most of them? Because you just
8
     testified that --
9
10
                THE WITNESS: They responded to all of
11
     them, but there are a couple of them that I don't
     agree with.
12
13
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: But they responded to
14
     you?
15
                THE WITNESS: They did, a year later, by
     the way. Could have done so sooner. Mahalo.
16
17
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Ma'am,
     can you come back? One more question.
18
19
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aunty Aggie, mahalo
20
      for your testimony.
21
               You said that you are the AMAC?
22
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: So 'Ahu Moku Advisory
24
     Council Po'o, so do you come from the ahupua'a of
25
     Kealia?
```

1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	COMMISSIONER CHANG: But you represent that
3	particular area?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, Ko'olau District.
5	COMMISSIONER CHANG: So are you here as the
6	AMAC representative?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER CHANG: As the AMAC
9	representative, did you receive the Cultural Impact
10	Assessment for this project?
11	THE WITNESS: I did not.
12	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.
13	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any other questions?
14	Thank you, ma'am.
15	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Barbara Guiliano
16	followed by Ross Brun.
17	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
18	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
19	you are about to give is the truth?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please give us your
22	full name and your address.
23	THE WITNESS: Barbara Guiliano, 4840 Pelehu
24	Road, Kapaa.
25	BARBARA GUILIANO

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Store up to the Kawaihau turn-off is a little under two miles. I travel that most every day. On most days it takes me 15 minutes. This project is going to allow 235 more houses, let's say conservative, two cars per house. That's conservative. My neighbors across the street have six cars, so who knows how many more cars it's going to put in that corridor.

So what makes Kaua'i special is the aloha spirit. I have to admit I'm losing the aloha spirit and it's bothersome to me. By the time that I have driven that 15-minute route, and I turn off to go up Kawaihau Road to go to my home, most oftentimes I'm irritated, aggravated, no aloha spirit.

Two more short things I want to say. I have not seen any price list for what these homes are going to sell for. Everybody, everyone keeps saying affordable housing. How do we know? Has it been disclosed how much these homes and lots are going to go for?

Lastly, everyone who has testified in favor

of the proposed project, I'm going to make a guess that 90 percent of them are making a profit off of this project.

Thank you for your time.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.

Commissioners? No questions.

It's been about an hour that we have been taking testimony, so we are going to do that from time to time, take a break, that will give the Commissioners time to use the facilities, what have you.

At this time we will take a ten-minute break. So come back at five minutes to 11:00. Thank you very much.

(Recess taken.)

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We want to proceed.

And I'm going to ask, in order to save time, we want to hear the testimony and not spend too much time on trying to get people in the hot seat, so we are going to call down a couple more names and you can be ready to come up and come forward. So the party that we

1 | would like to ask for you is --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Ross Brun followed by Jerrold Sagucio. After that Karen Gibbens, followed by Penny Prior.

So just for your information, for everyone present, all of us Commissioners here are volunteers. So we do have a real job. We don't get paid. For your information we are volunteers. We're giving up our work time. And as you can see, when the Executive Officer spoke, those are the only things we are taking vacation to come here, so just wanted you to keep that in mind.

Second thing. I just wanted to ask the Executive Officer for today, we are going through an EIS -- FEIS process. So from what I gather from our rules and regulations, we can either approve it, disapprove it, or do nothing.

If we do nothing, because of the time limit, the FEIS will be approved. So I just wanted to reaffirm with the Executive Officer so everyone knows, if we don't finish today, it's going to be approved. So I want to keep everyone in mind on that. That's the rules. That's state law.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We have today scheduled

```
1
      for this matter. We only have so many days to either
2
     approve or deny the EIS, otherwise it automatically
3
     becomes -- or it is automatically approved.
 4
                Since we do not have any other days on the
5
      schedule for this, if we do not complete this hearing
 6
     today, and do not render a decision, then
7
      Commissioner Wong is correct, the EIS will be
     automatically approved under the rules and under the
8
9
     law as contained in Chapter 343.
10
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceeding along.
11
     Jerrold --
12
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: -- Sagucio, followed by
     Karen Gibbons, followed by Julie Black Caspillo.
13
14
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
15
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or
17
     affirm that the testimony that you are about to give
      is the truth?
18
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
19
20
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed with your
21
     name and address.
22
                THE WITNESS: Karen, K-A-R-E-N, Gibbons,
23
     G-I-B-B-O-N-S, 2382 Kamole, K-A-M-O-L-E, Road, Kealia
```

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please proceed.

24

25

96751.

1 KAREN GIBBONS

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I have been a resident of
Kaua'i now for 26 years. I've been a homeowner,
resident for 19 years on Kamole Road in Kealia. And
I am once again presenting my concerns.

I want to thank the Commissioners for allowing me to actually have this opportunity and this voice.

I am not in support of this project. I'm not in support of changing the boundary from Ag to Urban.

I am really hoping that you do not approve the Final EIS. I have read it, and it does not appear to be complete. This project does not appear also to satisfy the HRS Chapter 205-3 affordable housing. As was mentioned earlier, there is no price yet listed for these lots.

At our meeting Saturday night it was with many, many issues brought up, the answer to the expense of roads and roundabouts and sewers. They kept saying it would fall back on the developer,

which they then said which, of course, would be folded back into the price of the lot.

If this ag land is allowed to be developed,

I believe it will set a precedent for the owner to

apply for another EIS. He has 19,900-plus acres yet

to go. This is like the first step in the door.

I think it's ludicrous to think that one access road, Kealia Road, would be safe for an additional approximately 800 more residents. One road, if there was an accident on Kealia Road, I have no idea how emergency services could be rendered to the people mauka.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I thank you very much for this time and this offer.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.

Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair.

MR. COURSON: No.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any questions? Thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Next testifier Penny Prior, but we have had a request, I believe, for someone to go out of order.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 1 2 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 3 that you are about to give is the truth? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please clearly state your name and your address. 6 7 THE WITNESS: My name is Noa Mau-Espirito, and my address is Royal Patent 6020 Land Commission 8 9 Award 3561 from the Great Mahele. 10 NOA MAU-ESPIRITO 11 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 12 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as followed: 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 THE WITNESS: I would like to ask, right 16 now you guys under oath? The County, are you guys 17 under oath? ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: This is not a 18 19 platform for you to ask us questions. There are 20 times when that would probably be appropriate, but 2.1 that is not case here. We're here to hear what you 22 have to say. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 I would like to say that this entire 25 proceeding by the County to do with this

Environmental Impact Statement is unconstitutional under Article 1, Section 8, and Article 6, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution.

So with that being said, this EIS proceedings is illegal and does not determine if whether or not this project can be done or not legally.

As I said, this whole undertaking is, again, unconstitutional under Article 1, Section 8, and Article 6, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution.

And I will ask that you guys comply with those laws.

And that there is also -- there is a title issue in the Kealia Ahupua'a. The entire Kealia Ahupua'a is awarded to Miriam Kekauohohi under the Great Mahele.

The third, that's a major title issue and defect on the property, I would say right now, and it will be exposed soon. So I just wanted to say that.

Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank you very much.

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Penny Prior followed by 2 Julie Black Caspillo, followed by Naia Nicole Blagg. 3 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you for your 6 patience there. 7 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please give us you full name and address. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Penny Prior, P-R-I-O-R, with 13 an I. My address 4704 Hauaala Road, Kapaa. 14 PENNY PRIOR 15 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 16 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 THE WITNESS: So I've been a resident on 20 the island for 30 years, and we have had a lot of 21 changes here, and it doesn't feel like it's going in 22 a very harmonious direction both with -- especially 23 with the land use. 24 I totally understand the idea of needing 25 more affordable housing. I am definitely for that,

but I'm not sure this project will end up that way for the residents of Kaua'i.

I'm also concerned about the traffic and the bottleneck. I live in North Kapaa and I experience it every day. Like some people becoming so frustrated, it's becoming a little more dangerous on the road down there.

I also hear that the actual residents of Kealia, there's a pretty large percentage, are not into this project. I haven't spoken with any of the residents, but I'm very concerned about that.

Of course, environmentally one of my biggest concerns is always for the land, for the cleanliness for runoff. My understanding is there's going to be more runoff at Kealia. So I'm just not feeling confident from the things I've heard.

And, yeah, I'm just being a resident here,
I just love this land a lot, and I hope that it's
going to be taken care of in a good way.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much. Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No question, Chair.

MR. COURSON: No.

MS. APUNA: No.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any

1 questions? Thank you very much, ma'am. 2 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you, 3 thanks for the opportunity. 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Julie Black Caspillo, 5 followed by Naia Nicole Blagg, followed by Sean 6 Hoouka Asquith. 7 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 8 THE WITNESS: Please. 9 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 10 affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth? 11 12 THE WITNESS: I do. 13 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please proceed with 14 your full name and address. 15 THE WITNESS: My name is Julie Black Caspillo, 6798 Kawaihau Road, Kapaa, Hawai'i. 16 17 JULIE BLACK CASPILLO Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 18 19 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 20 testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 THE WITNESS: I have given a packet of 23 information, so if you have it, everyone you should 2.4 have it. 25 So I'm a real estate broker since 1984 on

the Island of Kaua'i, 22 years. I own my own company. I am not currently speaking or representing -- I'm only representing myself, not any current or past boards or commission I have been currently a member of.

My position is that I oppose the upzoning.

Why do I oppose the upzoning? Number one, I wish I could submit the whole Kaua'i General Plan update, but its over 584 pages. I have submitted a hard copy of some pages.

So if you look at the General Plan, which hours of work, years of work, I think, went into this. I went to one of the discussions at All States Gym in Kapaa where I have a picture of that. That was in 2016.

There is a map there. The map shows that there is no urban zoning in Kealia. This is not on the General Plan. All these people who are speaking for it, why did they not submit when it was at the appropriate time?

Number two, if you look at the General Plan on page 86, Goal 7, there's a lot that they want to do to relieve traffic. This is a big problem.

Why is it such a big problem, especially in this area? Because there is no public middle or high

school on the North Shore. All that North Shore people drive to Kapaa, passing Kealia to bring their kids to school. It's really a horrendous traffic jam.

And bringing approximately -- I estimate

700 more vehicles to that corridor, which is already

jam packed at the current time, is not -
environmentally is just going to be a disaster I feel

like.

Kealia is a rural area. I know for the Commissioners off island, it's hard to see what we are talking about. So in here I have the homesites. The gentleman who spoke before, Baldonado, he said he has 30 signatures. Well, that's only 36 homesites. I don't know if you think 30 signatures is nothing. Well, when you only have 36 homesites, it's not that much.

I personally would be all for affordable homes similar to the two Kealia rural tracks now, that would be 36, you know, that's all they were going to do. 235 homesites I feel that there will be no guarantee that they will be sold at affordable range.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: The water quality,

1 environmental quality, I've been told the sewerline 2 is going to run down Ka'ao Road along the highway 3 across from Kealia Beach. Currently our water 4 quality is great. This area flooded during the April 5 rains. We have had hurricanes. Kaua'i is a disaster 6 area for natural disasters. We get them. We can 7 have a tidal wave. The sewerline would break. It 8 would be a disaster. Please disapprove. 9 So thank you for letting me testify. In 10 summary, if the property is not in alignment with the 11 Kaua'i General Plan goals, it's a rural area. 12 Traffic and water, environmental concerns are huge. 13 Our community should not be an investment 14 strategy for those looking to make money off our 15 aina. Thank you. 16 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions? 17 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 18 MR. COURSON: No questions. 19 MS. APUNA: No questions. 20 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 2.1 questions? Thank you very much. 22 One Commissioner has a question. 23 Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Could you recite for me where in the General Plan that you're referring to

24

25

1 the provision in the General Plan? 2 THE WITNESS: I handed out hard copies. I 3 handed out ten required. 4 COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'll get it. Thank 5 you. 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Next testifier is Naia 7 Nicole Blagg, followed by Sean Asquith, followed by 8 Kamuela L. Pa. Sean, step forward. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Is that Sean waiting 9 10 there? Kamuela? 11 Can you go ahead and let me swear you in. 12 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 13 that you are about to give is the truth? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Give us your full 16 name and your address. 17 THE WITNESS: Aloha. My name is Naia Nicole Ikeke Kawahine Hoomanawa Nui Blagg-Noblisse. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: You need to slow 20 down. The lady to your left is having to type all 21 this. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's my full name. 23 1429 A Kanepoohui Road. 24 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed with your

25

testimony.

1 NAIA NICOLE BLAGG-NOBLISSE

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the

Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: So it's me and my friend Sean is reading the same thing. So I'm going to start by:

All applicants to this project have a requirement under the law to protect these access rights.

For the landowner, our rights to this area are clearly stated on the title to the property as "ua koe ke kuleana o na kanaka".

For the State and County, they have an affirmative duty to protect our rights in this process under the Public Trust Doctrine, as does this Commission.

For these reasons, we ask the Commission to not accept the Final EIS for this project today but require the applicants to work with us to incorporate this impact on our rights.

We believe the impact on our rights can be mitigated, but to assure this we ask to be accepted as intervenors in this process.

Attached to this letter are the names,

```
contact and signatures of the native Hawaiian hunters
1
2
     who are asking to be intervenors. We have also
 3
     attached some documents that support our position and
 4
     request.
5
               Mahalo. I have some papers for you guys.
 6
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Are there any
7
     questions from the Petitioner?
8
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
9
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
10
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
11
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners,
12
     questions? Commissioner Chang.
13
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Naia.
14
                You say that -- what are your cultural
15
     practices that you believe this project may be
16
      impacting?
17
                THE WITNESS: So this whole paper is about
      like for our hunting, hunting to feed our family and
18
19
     our friends around us, and to help our hui grow.
20
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: So are you saying that
21
     this project will impact your access to hunting?
22
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are there other kinds
24
     of practices that this project may interfere with?
25
                THE WITNESS: Like in order to get -- okay,
```

```
1
      so see if -- cause we hunt and stuff, if the animal
2
     goes inside there, and like we're supposed to ask
 3
     permission because that's respectful, and so -- but
 4
      like, if it like -- if they say we can't go in there,
5
      then like it's kind of like not okay, because like
 6
     the animal can be injured, it can be in there and
7
     just wasted.
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Has your family always
8
9
     hunted in that area?
10
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: What is the family
12
     name?
13
                THE WITNESS: Family is Blagg-Noblisse.
14
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Is there anything else
15
     besides hunting that you guys access the property
      for?
16
17
                THE WITNESS: Spiritual stuff too.
18
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mahalo.
19
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
20
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: The next testifier is
21
      Sean Asquith followed by Kamuela L. Pa, followed by
22
     Kopono Kapanui.
23
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much
24
      for being timely with the line-up here.
25
                Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
```

that you're about to give is the truth? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please provide us 4 your full name and address. 5 THE WITNESS: Sean Hoouka Asquith. 6 address is 4654 Haua'ala Road, Kapaa. 7 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. SEAN HOOUKA ASQUITH 8 9 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 10 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 THE WITNESS: I wanted to add on with what -- we are native Hawaiian tenants of Kealia, and 14 15 adjoining ahupua'as. 16 We hunt pig with dog and knife to feed our 17 families and friends. We hunt in the open undeveloped lands from Kapaa to Anahola. We cause no 18 19 harm in the exercise of this traditional and 20 customary gathering right. 21 The area we hunt in includes the 22 undeveloped 50 acres which the project is proposed. 23 As such, we have a constitutionally 24 protected right to continue to access this area for

this purpose. According to the courts, it is

25

1 undeniable that the proposed development would 2 extinguish this right forever. 3 In both the Cultural Impact Assessment and 4 the larger EIS, the applicants have failed to even 5 identify, much less mitigate for, the loss of our 6 right to access this area. 7 Thank you. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, Sean. 8 9 questions from the Petitioner? 10 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 11 MR. COURSON: No questions. 12 MS. APUNA: No questions. 13 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 14 questions? 15 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Sean. 16 Do you hunt in that area? You use that 17 area to access? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are there other things 20 that you do, other kinds of practices that you do to 21 that land? 22 THE WITNESS: We farm taro. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Anything else? 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Has your family,

1 ancestors before you, have they always used this area 2 to hunt or farm taro? 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you -- did anybody 4 5 contact you about this project before? 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much for 8 your testimony. 9 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. 10 Kamuela, and then Kapono, and then Brian 11 Dougherty. 12 May I swear you in? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 15 affirm that the testimony that you are about to give is the truth? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 18 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Yes? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can we get your name? 21 THE WITNESS: Kamuela Leialiki Ke Koa 22 Laulii Malia Lioa Lele Kui Lua Pa. 23 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Did you write that 24 down in full like you just said it? Did you right it 25 down on the paper?

THE WITNESS: No, I just write it. 1 2 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Can you 3 give us your address? 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know my address. But 5 I live in Anahola. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I just want to ask. 7 Are you 18 years old yet? 8 THE WITNESS: No. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I don't have a 9 10 problem with that. I just wanted to clarify, but we 11 welcome everyone's testimony here. Go ahead and give 12 us your testimony. 13 KAMUELA L. PA 14 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 15 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 THE WITNESS: I live in Anahola in a house 19 with about 15 other people. I am lucky now because 20 before I spent much of my life living in a car. 21 I haven't really read the whole EIS stuff, 22 because it's too big for me. But I went to a meeting 23 the other night and learned a lot.

What I learned is that this is not too much

different than Hawaiian Homes. The State system that

24

25

- 1 is supposed to provide housing for me, has failed me.
- 2 And this private land system is now going to fail me.
- 3 When I hear these numbers, I'm pretty sure that I
- 4 | will never have a house of my own here on Kauai.
- 5 But that's okay. I am a survivor. And my
- 6 king never promised me a free house anyway. What he
- 7 did promise me is that I could survive from the land.
- 8 And you guys are part of the system that is supposed
- 9 to make sure that promise is kept.
- I need to be able to hunt, fish and farm
- land to do that. I understand that these people want
- 12 to sell houses and make money. That's okay, because
- 13 I would like to make money too.
- But maybe before we sell houses to people
- we don't know, we should make sure the promises are
- 16 kept to the people we do know. And I know those
- 17 promises are not addressed in the EIS.
- 18 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
- 19 Any questions from the Petitioner?
- MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair.
- MR. COURSON: No questions.
- MS. APUNA: No questions.
- 23 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners.
- 24 Commissioner Chang.
- 25 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Kamuela.

```
1
                THE WITNESS: Aloha.
2
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you live on
3
     homestead land, do you know?
               THE WITNESS: I think so.
 4
5
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Does your family, do
 6
     you hunt or gather in this land at Kealia?
7
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: What kinds of things
8
9
     do you farm?
10
               THE WITNESS: I farm kalo.
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: What do you farm?
11
12
               THE WITNESS: Kalo.
13
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Has your family always
     farmed in that land at Kealia?
14
15
               THE WITNESS: Yeah. And we have a farm in
16
     Anahola too.
17
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: And you do kalo in
     Anahola too?
18
19
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
20
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Anything else you do
21
     on that land?
22
                THE WITNESS: No, not really, but, yeah, I
23
     farm over there.
24
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: I want to thank you
25
     very much, Kamuela, for your testimony. You were
```

- 1 very articulate. Mahalo.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you, aunty.
- 3 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: One more question.
- 4 Mr. Okuda.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 5 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Kamuela, I would like
 6 to, as we say, agree with what Commissioner Chang
 7 said. My late father-in-law used to farm kalo. I
 8 know it's very, very hard work, and that's the reason
 - But the most important thing I would like to say is thank you for coming to testify here. You know, community decision-making works only if everybody comes and tells people what they really think.

why he joined the army when World War II broke out.

- We all don't have to agree, but a good decision is never made if people aren't willing to come here, even though sometimes it might be little bit scary and tell government officials, like us, volunteers or not, you tell people what you really think and what's good for the community.
- 21 So thank you very much for coming here.
- THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Mahalo, you guys. Thank you for having me.
- 24 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We should all be so
 25 well spoken and clea,, Dan. It's an inside joke. So

1 I'm saying this young man spoke more clearer than our 2 executive officer. 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Kapono, followed by 4 Sharla Kalauawa. 5 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 6 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 7 you are about to give is the truth? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your full name 10 and your address. 11 THE WITNESS: Kapono Kapanui. No ka 12 makouokana mai au, no ka puau wainau, no kapau o ka 13 ua. (Phonetic) 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: And state your 15 address. 16 THE WITNESS: That was my address. 17 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Go ahead and give us 18 your testimony, please. 19 KAPONO KAPANUI 20 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 21 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 22 testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 THE WITNESS: Aloha mai kakou. (Hawaiian 25 spoken.)

My name is Kapono Kapanui. I'm a Hawaiian language teacher at Kaua'i High School, and I'm here today strongly opposing this building of these houses.

I know you guys cannot answer questions, so

I'm just tossing it out there. Maybe you can think

about it, sleep on it.

But it was asked before. If just for a day our king and queen would visit all the islands and saw everything, how would they feel about the changes of our land?

The land is in great, great danger now.

Kealia is where the akua Hiiaki ka polio Pele had her first meal on Kaua'i when she was sent by her sister Pele to fetch her lover Lohiau Ipo from Haena. In Kealia she ate luau harvested from the lo'i patches Kamuela was talking about.

The question was posed if your ancestors planted kalo on this land. Kalo was planted from long, long ago. I noticed that this building over here is called Moikeha Building.

Moikeha's son, Kila, when he went to

Tahiti, he actually boasted about the kalo that came

out of Kealia. It was the biggest kalo in the world.

It was the stickiest, most ulika kalo in the world.

It was the best.

If Hi'iaka were to travel here to Kealia, would she be able to recognize this land she once traversed on? Or would she ask where is she? Where is the kalo that once grew here? Where is the pigs that once ran through this aina?

The word "aina" not only means land, it means that which feeds. As the keiki were talking before, who are all under 18, those three, all keiki, that is where they get their food. That is how they feed their family. That is how they feed their friends.

With these houses, where do they go? The fish are already running out of the sea. There's not as much kalo. There's not as much food as there was before.

What about the grandchildren? What about their grandchildren? What about their grandchildren? What are they going to have? Not much if we continue our trend.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: In summary, (Hawaiian) the responsibility has been left with the Kanaka. Our gathering rights are a protected public trust right, and you have an affirmative duty to protect these

```
1
      rights. As the great Isreal Kamakawiwio'ole once
2
      said, malama the kuleana for the keikis. E'o.
3
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.
 4
      Petitioner, do you have any questions?
5
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair.
 6
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
7
               MS. APUNA: No.
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any
8
9
     questions? Commissioner Chang.
10
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Kamuela.
11
                THE WITNESS: Kapono.
12
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Kapono, excuse me.
13
     Kala mai.
14
                Kapono, are you from Kealia?
15
                THE WITNESS: Actually from the west side
16
     of Kaua'i, but I see this once they start Kealia,
17
     then they move over, move over, and soon enough the
     whole Kaua'i is one big hotel.
18
19
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know of any --
20
      I know what we heard earlier was hunting and planting
21
     of taro. Are you aware of any other practices?
22
                THE WITNESS: Just being on the sacred aina
23
      is a practice in itself. To feel the wind. A
24
      certain wind blows there that doesn't blow anywhere
25
      else. A certain rain falls there that doesn't fall
```

anywhere else. And our kupuna named these winds and named these rains, because they had a connection with them.

To experience these things is to walk in the Hawaiian way. And to build these houses, and to cut us off, and to put up fences, to not let us access these winds, these rains, the aina, the kulaiwi, the bones of our ancestors are under there.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mahalo.

THE WITNESS: Mahalo.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Brian Dougherty, followed by Sharla Kalauawa, followed by Justin Teves. After Justin, Kenneth Johnson.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?

Do you swear and affirm that the testimony
you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Sharla, S-H-A-R-L-A, Kalauawa K-A-L-A-U-A-W-A. I live at 2442 Kamole Road, Kealia.

I thank you for allowing me to participate after that chicken skin testimony. I'm kind of nervous.

SHARLA KALAUAWA

2.4

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNES: What I wanted to say, I actually turned in a letter to you with -- and I don't need to go over that. What I did want to say, though, for those kids that do hunt, thank you so much, because now my side of Kealia doesn't have a pig problem. Thank you.

We used to have it, but they have been keeping it under control. If a subdivision is built, they will need to get the permission of all those homeowners to enter their property, so they're using knifes and dogs, and most homeowners will not.

But their cultural practice is to feed families by hunting and farming and it should be taken into consideration.

I do oppose this development only because I did not get clarification on the street. Kealia Road going up to Ka'aina Road is a very narrow and winding road where they saying they're going to extend the roads to two 10-foot lanes and sidewalks. I don't believe there is sufficient room. I don't think

they're going to do extension sidewalks, and they already have certain areas have walls. If they cut into that, then what is going to happen to the property on the back of those retaining walls even if they put new walls up?

My other concern is I work for the post office. And I know Kealia Post Office does not have ample space for additional 200 or 235 boxes.

The contract owner of the post office from Kealia is Lorie Macadamion, (phonetic) who has not been contacted by anyone from here. The only thing she had was a lady come down from Honolulu to measure her office and the dilapidated old store, or island school, whichever way you have it.

And she cannot afford, if they put a new building up, to have her rent raised. If she gives that contract up, that means Kealia people will lose their identity. That is my biggest concern of the post office.

I thank you all for your time and all your service to the community. And just like you, I have a job that I need to go to also.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No.

1 MS. APUNA: No. 2 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? 3 you very much. 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Justin Teves, followed 5 by Kenneth Johnson, followed by David Dinner. 6 THE WITNESS: Hi, my name is Justin Teves, 7 6185 Olohena Road. 8 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 9 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 10 you are about to give is the truth? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Proceed. 13 JUSTIN TEVES Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 14 15 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: I was born and raised on the 18 island. I've seen many changes. I am for the Kealia 19 20 project because I have a lot of family members that 21 can't afford a lot of the houses on the island. 22 I also hunt and fish. I raise farm, and --23 I mean, our economy is growing and, I mean, the only 24 thing we can do is to say we don't want more cars on 25 the island is having people to stop having kids. And

1 that's just not going to happen. 2 So we need more houses. Unfortunately, 3 we're not like the mainland where we have abundance 4 of land. We're limited to what we have. 5 And for the local people to say, okay, we 6 have to move off the island, to me it's messed up. 7 And I mean like I said, I've had access to the land 8 Kealia. I go hunting on the west side. I've been 9 Wailua area, Kapaa area, and I've seen -- we're not 10 going to stop populating the island with humans. So 11 we can't limit the housing because we're growing. 12 That's all I have to say. 13 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much. 14 Any questions? 15 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair. 16 MR. COURSON: No questions. 17 MS. APUNA: No question. 18 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank 19 you very much. 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Kenneth Johnson. 21 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, please.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

23

24

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is, to the best of my knowledge.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Kenneth Johnson, 4544 Ka'ao Road. My family, my wife Rosa, she was supposed to talk soon, but she doesn't need to. You can knock her off.

We're soley against this plan. With some of the situations that have been coming up, there's little time to go through everything to understand the main proposal.

Some of the things we do -- concerned about is any project that is built in that area does need its own separate entrance from the island, not using the Kealia Road from the bottom of the hill.

As someone pointed out, we will probably end up losing our post office. Where do we go from there? To Anahola or to Kapaa to get their mail or packages. If they rebuild, she will not be able to afford to stay there.

In reference to one part where they are talking about building 4.32-acre lot for a park and also the water, stormwater, right there that is where

my house backs up to.

My main concern is where does all this water go? There's a small creek next to us for all the runoff. Even with heavy rain that fills up and actually flows over the street. How will this feel to handle all the extra runoff with all the asphalt the street put in? Has that even been taken into consideration? I'm sure it has to be, but I'm bringing it up now so we don't forget about it.

They keep talking about all this affordable income housing. They have very little room to build for all this stuff. We don't understand how first time buyers can actually buy a lot and get a loan for a lot and loan for a home.

Who's going to build it for them? Bring in family to help build the home, and how long does it take? What kind of precedence do we have to assure that everything is built the way you want it?

What is the grand view of what Kealia is supposed to turn into? What is it supposed to be when we all grow up, and when our kids take over and live here?

With this park we are curious about who would take care of it? Who is in charge of it? Is there a homeowner's association? Is the park and rec

```
going to take over and make sure it's secure and
1
2
     safe, the upkeep is good.
 3
                Do they have security for this type of
 4
     endeavor? Is the police department going to be
     around to check, make sure there is no homelessness
5
 6
     living in the park, or using the park late at night?
7
     Who would be in charge, and who's been in contact
     with this part of the situation?
8
9
                That is most of the highlights that we're
     concerned about.
10
11
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
12
     Questions from the Petitioner?
13
                MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
14
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
15
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
16
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners,
17
     questions? Thank you for the input.
18
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: David Dinner followed
19
     by Rosa Teves.
20
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I think that was
21
     her -- Rosa is not going to testify.
22
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Followed by Gabriela
23
      Taylor.
```

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, sir, for your patience.

Can I swear you in?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Your name and 6 address.

THE WITNESS: David Dinner, address 3007
Kaohe Road, Kilauea.

DAVID DINNER

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I would like to thank you all for coming all the way from Honolulu, which is a lot to give, and thanks so much for your time. I really appreciate it.

And I would also like to swear that I wasn't paid to give this testimony; and I wonder how many others might fit into that category and how many are profiting from their testimony and the results of their testimony. Because I think that's one of the main motivating factors here. And that's a big factor.

But I think I came here really to testify

against the development. And I'm going to switch my testimony and withdraw that in order to, as required, to comment on the EIS which in my view anticipates a lot of the problems that are going to be coming up if this development goes forward. And that is a great concern.

It does anticipate them, but the mitigations are vague and really meaningless. They give no assurances that any of these problems are going to be actually mitigated. The traffic, the affordable housing problem, nobody in their right mind would believe that the people who testify that they want to buy a piece of property there actually are going to be able to.

I mean that will never be affordable to their level of income or to mine, for that matter.

I've rented in Kilauea for 15 years in the same house, so I think I have some understanding of the affordable and difficulty in renting and buying homes on this island.

This is a major problem that this development is not going to solve. The noise abatement problem is not going to be solved. The loss of agricultural land on our island is in perpetuity, that is not going to change, and only

getting undermined more and more. 1 2 These are real problems that this 3 development speaks right into the face of and is 4 never going to resolve any of those mitigations. 5 That's my testimony and I really appreciate the 6 opportunity. 7 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Some of us came all the way from Hilo for this hearing as well as from 8 9 Maui. 10 THE WITNESS: I didn't realize. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do we have any 11 12 questions from Petitioner? MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair. 13 14 MR. COURSON: No. 15 MS. APUNA: No questions. 16 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 17 questions? You're good to go. 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Gabriela Taylor, 19 followed by Larry Graff, followed by Lonnie Sykos. 20 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioners. 21 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I swear you in? 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. 23 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear that the 24 testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Gabriela Taylor, 1010 B Kealoha Road, Kapaa.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Go ahead and proceed.

GABRIELA TAYLOR

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I would like to address the traffic impact. I'm against this project for several reasons. The developer stresses that this Kealia Mauka site was designated for residential community development going back to the 1984 General Plan.

And now this is a quote from the developer:

Any associations that the 2018 GPU required earmarking this area as it changed from previous drafts are unfounded as a potential for development was deferred as the County's spacial policy for close to 35 years.

Well, I say, wake up, developer. This is 2019. Kapaa traffic is totally over the top, and adding another 300 cars will likely create even more

crippling gridlock than we have now.

2.1

Kuhio Highway would have either a stoplight or a roundabout at corner of Kealia Road, across from the main entrance to Kealia Beach. There is only one entrance/exit to the proposed subdivision.

With traffic traveling fast downhill on Kuhio Highway, this is an unlikely and potentially dangerous spot for either of these proposals.

Please remember that there already is an approved roundabout slated for Kuhio Highway at Mailehuna Road, a short distance from the one proposed for Kealia Mauka.

The recent General Plan update has emphasized the need to restrict development to Kauai's Urban Center (Lihue) as a measure to decrease traffic and sprawl in other areas.

Kealia Mauka Subdivision, if approved, would significantly increase the bumper to bumper traffic we are experiencing now, plus that traffic generated from two already approved resorts slated to be built in the Wailua corridor.

The proposed highway widening promised by the State from the bypass to the traffic light at Kuamoo Road would not even solve the congestion we have now.

Affordable, according to the Final EIS, out of the 235 lots for sale, only 36 would fall into the County "affordable" category. The other 199 lots would be sold at prevailing market prices. This is made clear in the Final EIS.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I would like to say
Kealia Mauka subdivision would have a wastewater
pumping station near Kuhio Highway. This has not
been approved by County Department of Public Works.

In addition, the sewer main would need to cross Kapaa Stream, sewage pipe would be mounted to the side of the stream bridge before connecting to existing sewer manhole in front of the fire station just north of Kapaa Town.

Judging by the putrid odor and recent sewage spill, do we want to risk polluting Kealia Beach or add more sewage?

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: You need to summarize.

THE WITNESS: I've been here on island for 48 years, and in closing I would like to say, do not upzone this property for the subdivision Kealia Kai. Please leave it in Ag zoning.

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

1	MR. COURSON: No questions.
2	MS. APUNA: No questions.
3	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any
4	questions?
5	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Larry Graff, Lonnie
6	Sykos, followed by Rayne Regush.
7	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Appreciate you guys
8	being ready and having your name written out.
9	Couldn't I swear you in?
10	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
11	that you are about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and
14	address.
15	THE WITNESS: Larry Graff, G-R-A-F-F. My
16	address is 4111 Kilauea Road, Kilauea.
17	LARRY GRAFF
18	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
19	public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
20	testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	THE WITNESS: I am the executive director
23	of Neighborhood Housing Community Development
24	Corporation doing business as Permanently Affordable
25	Living Kaua'i.

Today we are here to lend our support for approval of this EIS Petition. We have been in communication with developer's representatives and we believe their goals and intent are to provide as many truly affordable home as possible for the residents of Kaua'i.

Kaua'i needs approximately 5,000 new affordable homes over the next five years to address the crisis of severe lack of affordable housing. This includes all types of affordability, from gap housing for our teachers and our nurses, to deeply affordable housing for our farm workers and our service workers.

Accepting this EIS would be a significant step towards being a part of the solution to Kaua'i's affordable housing crisis.

As I sit here and I listen to my neighbors, my friends, and my ohana who are in favor and opposed to this project, we want to say that this project has opportunities and discretion approval moving forward for their input and their concerns to be heard.

We encourage this. We want to be a part of it as well.

I would like to state also that I am not paid to be here today. Neighborhood housing,

Permanently Affordable Living Kaua'i, is not a part of this project. We support -- our mission is to provide homes and sustainable living solutions within reach, restoring hope for the people of Kaua'i. We think that there's a great possibility to do that, as many of the people have testified here today, to buy a home, to achieve that goal.

There was a child here who said that there was no promise for them to have a home. We want to create that promise. We want to restore that hope.

In addition, we also want to support ag-based housing. We would like to see an ag-based housing component in addition to this, to support a vibrant community, and a vibrant community plan. And we think that there's an opportunity for this moving forward. One project will support the others.

Therefore, we are pleased to provide our support for the acceptance of the Final EIS component of the Kilauea Properties LLC subdivision application.

Please approve not only the current request, but also look to go beyond that for a bigger vision that includes more ag-related housing and comprehensive community development for the people who live and work on those ag lands. You can make a

1 vital difference in creating a sustainable and vibrant future for Kaua'i. Mahalo. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Any 4 questions from the Petitioner? 5 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions, Chair. 6 MR. COURSON: No questions. 7 MS. APUNA: No questions. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? 8 Commissioner Okuda. 9 10 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Chair. 11 Did you read the EIS, the Final Draft EIS? 12 THE WITNESS: I received an executive 13 summary from our vice president of it. I did not 14 read the whole thing. 15 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: I know it's a lot of 16 pages, and I tried to go through it myself. We all 17 recognize, I think, that at this stage we're not here to vote in favor or against the project. 18 19 The question is whether or not the EIS 20 meets the requirements of law, which in plain English 21 is: Does it give us enough information to make a 22 decision in weighing the advantages and disadvantages

of the project? For example, with respect to

what we're looking at.

environmental issues. And so that's kind of like

23

2.4

Did you, in the executive summary or anything like that, evaluate whether or not you believe there's enough information in the Final EIS for us, as a Commission, to make a determination whether or not this claim of affordability can actually be implemented under what the developer is presenting, or there isn't enough information, or you just don't know?

THE WITNESS: Well, I think in the executive summary this is our issue, so we did specifically look at that. And then -- so there's two forms of affordability that we're looking on the site.

One is for the covenant of affordability of 36-units, and that affordability is codified by County ordinance.

In addition, there is this what we call market rate housing. And then the lot sizes, and the projections of the developer are that this would be, market rate would fall somewhere close between 140/160, maybe 180 percent area median income.

And two teachers who have been working for a few years on Kaua'i, as a combined income, fall into this, what we call, gap housing.

So the lot size -- so the developer didn't

come in and say we can build multi-million dollar mansions here. They're building houses that are available on a smaller lot. And the market assessment of that is that cost of the smaller nature of the lot sizes will de facto fall into what we call the gap housing category.

Our teachers, nurses, many of our professional workers here, many of the people in this room would fall into that income category.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, if I can just ask a fast follow-up question.

The reason why I'm asking that question about detail, sufficient detail in the EIS, as far as I read what the Applicant or the Petitioner is submitting, these are to be unimproved lots.

So if it is an unimproved lot, oftentimes you have to first go in for construction financing, which is oftentimes more difficult, because then you have to arrange what we call take-out financing after that. And the process itself doesn't really lend sometimes to a teacher or -- and I'm married to a teacher -- you know, going in and getting these things.

So my question really is, do you believe there is enough detail so we as a Commission can make

an informed decision on whether or not this representation of affordability is really something we can rely on in making our decision, or we just don't have enough information here?

THE WITNESS: I have ten years in working for a local jurisdiction as government employee addressing these issues, plus-30 years of affordable housing development.

I believe that the issue before the LUC in dealing with affordability is restricted to the covenants of affordability required by law.

The market rate units will, by their lot size, theoretically, become available for those people for the gap housing.

The question that you proposed to me was is that sufficient information for the Land Use Commission to approve this EIS? And in regards to the legal requirements of providing affordability the answer is yes.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We're going to continue.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Lonnie Sykos, followed by Rayne Regush, followed by Bruce Laymon.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I swear you in?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
that you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and

address.

THE WITNESS: May name is Lonnie,

L-O-N-N-I-E, Sykos, S-Y-K-O-S. 310 Kamokila Road,

K-A-M-O-K-I-L-A, Kapaa 96746.

LONNIE SYKOS

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I have no comment about the project itself. I'm here solely to talk about the EIS. So I have not read the final version of it, but I've been following this.

And so through the entire process of this attempt to create this subdivision, there has never been adequate disclosure nor detail of how we're going to mitigate the problems that are clearly presented within the EIS.

And so in specific is traffic. And then there's a whole host of issues in traffic. The impact on the surrounding areas, as well as the

responsibility of creating the subdivision that only has a single road of access.

So there's like this whole host of issues. The sewage route. The question of the sewage treatment plant is right on the ocean. And so if we have a storm surge, or a tsunami, we are going to lose the sewage treatment plant that this thing is supposed to feed into.

And so what happens if we lose the sewage treatment plant? What happens to their sewage?

Where I live, I've got cesspools. That's like the same problem is the sewage going directly into the ocean.

My point in this being there's no detail of how we're going to mitigate everything that's going to occur. And it doesn't adequately address why it is that our General Plan wants us to promote housing in Lihue and close to Lihue, and this is the exact suburban sprawl, I guess, that our General Plan is trying to lead us away from.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you summarize, please?

THE WITNESS: And so my summarization, I think there's inadequate detail of how to address all of the issues that this subdivision brings up.

1	Thank you.
2	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
3	MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
4	MR. COURSON: No questions.
5	MS. APUNA: No questions.
6	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners,
7	questions? Thank you.
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Rayne Regush, followed
9	by Bruce Laymon, followed by Dan Freund.
10	THE WITNESS: Aloha, Commissioners. My
11	name is Rayne, R-A-Y-N-E. Last name, Regush,
12	R-E-G-U-S-H. Address 5591 Kaapuni Road.
13	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: May I swear you in?
14	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
15	that you are about to give is the truth?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.
18	RAYNE REGUSH
19	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
20	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
21	testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	THE WITNESS: Aloha, Commissioners.
24	Welcome to Kaua'i. This is a rare event to have you
25	on island. And your task is challenging.

And it might appear that this FEIS has crossed the T's and dotted the I's, but you would be mistaken.

However, I do appreciate the Applicant's gratitude to us for the extensive testimony that we provided on the Draft EIS, but I believe this document still falls short.

And as Chairperson of the Wailua-Kapaa
Neighborhood Association, and as the Sierra Club
Executive Committee Co-Chair, and also as a past
Kealia resident for eight years, I urge the
Commission to reject the Final EIS based on the
significant impacts to the Kealia Community, based on
unanswered questions and some inaccurate conclusions.

This proposal falls short in its intent to help satisfy the County's need for housing. It is not, quote/unquote, affordable, although it claims to be aimed at local families. These lots will be sold at market value, and redistricting this Ag land to Urban District will not provide the affordable housing we need.

So I briefly wanted to also address the public comment timeframe, and that although this document may be fulfilling the letter of the law, it fails to fulfill the real intent of the law. And

that is the citizen participation, because the OEQC just published this FEIS notice just two days before today's hearing. So that provides little time for community review and comment.

2.1

Another concern is the issue related to lack of transparency. Several things went terribly wrong with this proposal, and from the outset there was no buy-in from the residents of historic Kealia Town Tract. And from 2016 until December 2017 community dialogue was little to none.

When the EISPN deadline for comments was approaching, Kealia residents received a preliminary letter dated September 6, 2017, which I call a teaser letter which cited a municipal sewer system which the county may allow existing residents to tie into, a community garden, a possible charter school, and homesites affordable to Kaua'i residents and working families. But this may not be the case.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I need you to summarize, please.

THE WITNESS: May I ask the Commissioners for an opportunity to come back to conclude our testimony, because two of our board members were not able to attend today, and I would read the remaining four minutes for each of them?

1 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: At this point we want 2 to proceed, because I'm trying to be fair and 3 equitable to everybody. And then we can take that up 4 possibly -- I don't know what the rules and 5 regulations are. I would have to ask for that. So 6 at this point I'm going to say, no, but we will 7 reconsider. 8 THE WITNESS: Was the two minutes at the 9 Commission's discretion, or was that in the rules 10 that testifiers only get two minutes? 11 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Right now you've been 12 over three-and-a-half minutes. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm grateful for that. 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I don't know that --15 part of the rules allow for us to set the time limits. 16 17 THE WITNESS: So hopefully if there aren't 18 too many other testifiers, you might extend us that 19 courtesy? 20 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We can take that 21 under consideration. 22 Ouestions? 23 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 24 MR. COURSON: No questions. 25 MS. APUNA: No questions.

1 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Madam Chair. 2 If there is written testimony, if you have 3 written testimony, you can submit that if you are not 4 able to give full testimony. THE WITNESS: What is the deadline for 5 6 submitting testimony? Must it be today? 7 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Well, we took it up until minutes ago. I mean, we were taking --8 THE WITNESS: I realize that. Is there a 9 10 seven-day deadline or --11 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: No, because at this 12 point our clock is ticking to make a decision one way 13 or the other. But understand, we are not hearing the 14 actual approval of the Petition at this time. We are 15 only looking to receive the EIS if it fulfills the requirements of the law. So our window of discretion 16 17 is very narrow. 18 THE WITNESS: So you're missing the next 19 four minutes of testimony that addresses that. 20 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We do need to move 21 on. 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Bruce Laymon followed 23 by Dan Freund. 24 THE WITNESS: Bruce Laymon, L-A-Y-M-O-N,

25

2110 Kaneka Street, Lihue.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Go ahead.

BRUCE LAYMON

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I would like to first thank everyone, all the Commissioners for volunteering your time and coming here. Welcome, Mr. Giovani, and for volunteering your time to serve our island. I really appreciate that.

I'm here representing five generations of my family. We grew up in Kilauea. My mom used to catch a cattle truck to go to school, and she used to be there at Kealia. And I went to school right up on the hill, so I'm really familiar with this area. And we call it our stomping ground. Hunt and fish and do a lot of things there.

I know the testimony should be kept to the EIS, and I think the previous person that gave testimony said a very important thing that this EIS

meets the requirements of the law. And I got a chance to look at it.

But I like to say something, and I'm sincere about this, that Peter Nolan and his assistant, I've watched this carefully, and I've been following this very carefully from the start of this and agreeing to meet with the administration here.

And that was the previous mayor, Bernard Carvalho.

And then willingness to meet with all the agencies and the community.

I could say that there was a sincere attempt to achieve a win/win situation. And I've spoken to Mr. Nolan and Moana, and I believe them when they say that they're going to provide something, as you heard many of the local people say that, something that we can afford.

My son testified here earlier. And he lives at home. And I've another son that lives there and they're in their 30s. They know they can't afford the land. My son asked me, pop, are we going to be able to afford this land?

That is the question I posed to the owner. They said, yes. It's targeted for us. He wants to see that happen.

So I thank you, Mr. Nolan, in taking the

time to meet with the community. A lot of young ones 1 2 that testified here are the reasons why they're 3 growing taro because Mr. Nolan is allowing it on his 4 land, and he doesn't shoo anybody off the land. 5 ranchers that are there are local people that live in 6 the area, still using the land. 7 So I just want to say that I'm in favor of 8 this, and I hope -- I know this is the early 9 process -- that you would approve this, so that 10 there's more time for public testimony and input. 11 But as the previous person said, this EIS does meet 12 the requirements of the law, and I had a chance to look at it, and they address all the concerns 13 14 everyone has had, and at least listen to people. 15 So thank you for your time. 16 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much. 17 Questions from the Petitioner? 18 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 19 MR. COURSON: No questions. 20 MS. APUNA: No questions. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? 21 22 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha. You mentioned 23 community meetings. How many meetings did they have?

THE WITNESS: They just had recently, had one down at Kealia. And I actually met with some of

24

the homeowners that live on the top, because my
brother-in-law, and I have family that live up there.

I notice that there was an interest, because I had my brother-in-law who lives on -- they own land there. Asked me if their son could be able to afford that. And I said, yes, they would. The reason I know that was they took time to meet with me. And he's taken the time to meet with a lot of the local people there.

One of the earlier testimony here was from a rancher. There's a Kealia roping arena there, and the kids get a chance to go to rope there, and high school rodeo is there. Mr. Nolan is encouraging that and allowing that.

So he's met with the roping community down there. As far as the amount of meetings that he's held, I'm not fully aware of that, but I know there has been.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: When you say like the roping or the ranching, current ranching, when the landowner bought the property, were those activities on the property?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: As well as the taro?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you know whether this project, what he's proposing to do, would it impact the existing roping activities and the taro?

THE WITNESS: To be honest, I believe his words when he said that it's not going to impact, because it's in an area that is pasture right now, kind of an area that the public doesn't go there.

You don't see people go there. Mainly they're walking in the road, and even if people hunt, it's in the other areas, because close to the highway.

I actually like where they're putting it because the majority of the property will be left in open area. And so it's not going to impact any of the activities.

In fact, Mr. Nolan has said that he wants to improve on the roping arena and perhaps get it to a state of the art, because he wants to promote that kind of activity, keiki, high school rodeo. But they get nothing, no funds for that. So my brother-in-law who testified earlier, he runs the arena there and allows all the kids to come here.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you so much. I appreciate your testimony.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. We're going to go ahead and proceed with Dan, because he's

1 lined up. Then we are going to take a couple minute 2 break, because our court reporter needs a break. 3 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 4 you're about to give is the truth? 5 THE WITNESS: I do. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and 7 address. THE WITNESS: Dan Freund, F-R-E-U-N-D, 5609 8 9 A Honua, H-O-N-U-A, Road in Kapaa. ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Proceed. 10 11 DAN FREUND 12 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 13 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 14 testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 I'm a homeowner, since the question has 18 been asked. And I'm going to refer to a couple of 19 exhibits attached to the written document I passed 20 off today with yellow highlighting. 21 I'll do an off-topic comment that I'm in 22 favor of more housing for Kaua'i. We need it, but we 23 need it in a smart place, not just anyplace. And the

problem, as you heard today from many other speakers,

is that Kapaa-Wailua corridor where cars simply do

24

25

not move during the business day, make it difficult for emergency vehicles, unpleasant for residents and visitors and the source of CO₂ emissions, which I didn't read the whole EIS, but I didn't think it addressed additional CO₂ from automobiles that are going to be stuck on that corridor, even more so with the construction of this project where it is on the north side of that corridor instead of the south side, closer to Lihue.

I heard someone, a couple speakers before talk about the lack of specificity, and I want to point to one in particular in the limited time I have.

The document says that, proposed roadway projects, such as the KAPAA BYPASS EXTENSION, all caps, will provide some mitigation.

So I was wondering about this proposed
Kapaa bypass extension. The EIS doesn't say who's
proposing it. Doesn't say where it will run.
Doesn't tell us when it will be built.

So I went to Google, and you'll see in my document the results of my searches. There were two unique hits, one is for the bypass that's on the south side of the project, and was built a decade ago; and the other one is for the EIS statement.

So this statement is not sufficient to the 1 2 extent that it relies on a bypass extension that 3 evidently the drafter of the EIS drempt up. And I 4 would say at least for that reason, in addition to 5 the lack of information about CO, mitigation in 6 addition to, I'll throw in there as well, we are 7 going to build a berme or a fence. We don't know which one. We're not going to tell you what quality 8 9 fence in terms of the visual ability. 10 Those are just three of the items that make this insufficient. 11 12 Thank you for your time. 13 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 14 MR. COURSON: No questions. 15 MS. APUNA: No questions. 16 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 17 questions? We're going to take a five-minute break and 18 19 come back and try and finish the next about 12 or 15 20 people who have signed up to testify. At that point we will take lunch break. 21 22 (Recess taken.) 23 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Back in session at 24 12:30.

So I'm going to take Lokelani. Can I swear

25

1 you in?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and 6 address.

THE WITNESS: Lokelani Mahuiki, and I live on 5032 Kaiulua Road.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Go ahead.

LOKELANI MAHUIKI

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha everyone. My name is Lokelani Mahuiki, and I'll be speaking on behalf of myself and my coworker Torilyn Kaulan Farias-Asai who couldn't make it with us this morning.

After we carefully read through the EIS and did some research on our own, it wasn't long before we found an issue in regards to the land title for the Petition Area.

We have provided you with an attached timeline of the known landowners and the title exchanges, as well as the information that we felt

was important from 1848 through 1933 when Makee Plantation was completely taken over by Lihue Plantation.

Page one through one, paragraph three of the EIS only addresses as far as back as Lihue Plantation having the title or deeds to this land. However, if you start at the beginning of our timeline, you will see Miriam Kekauonohi was granted the land for the Kealia Ahupua'a in 1848. She passed away in 1851. Her husband Levi Haalelea gets married to Andarderia in 1858 which extinguishes any interest in Kakauonohi's land.

On or about 1876 to 1877 there was a reference to Ernest Krul purchasing the land in Kealia for \$200 from Kalakaua, however, it is unknown where or when Kalakaua obtained the land and from who.

If there is no clear exchange of the land title or deed is the land really Kealia LLC's to sell or develop?

Nowadays everyone just goes as far back as the plantation and forgets to analyze everything that comes before that because no one wants to do the work. We feel that this is something that needs to be looked into more and included in this EIS because

```
1
     there are missing pieces in the story of this place.
2
               With that being said, we ask that you do
 3
     not approve this Final Environmental Impact Statement
 4
     until this has been cleared up, after all we are the
      future of Hawai'i, so it will affect us in making a
 5
 6
     decision to make purchases or not.
7
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
     Petitioner, questions?
8
9
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
10
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
11
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
12
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners?
     Commissioner Chang.
13
14
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Lokelani.
15
                Did you have a chance to look at their
16
     title report?
17
                THE WITNESS: Yes. But it was only talking
     about Lihue Plantation and nothing before it.
18
19
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Are you like a
20
     genealogist? Do you do work doing genealogy?
21
                THE WITNESS: No, I just graduated from
22
     high school.
23
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.
24
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.
25
                EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Greg Bataluco, followed
```

1	by Bree Boerner, followed by Alfred Alesna, followed
2	by Rebecca
3	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Rebecca is not here.
4	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Followed by Clayton
5	Arinaga.
6	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: This is Bree.
7	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
8	you're about to give is the truth?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and
11	address.
12	THE WITNESS: My name is Bree Boerner, and
13	I live 4646 Ka'ao Road in Kealia.
14	BREE BOERNER
15	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
16	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
17	testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: My house is on the corner,
20	like the very first house. So if you add in the
21	Kealia Road is the only entrance to this subdivision,
22	I'm going to be breathing all of that traffic.
23	And it already like now the paved, it
24	already attracts a ton of attention because of
25	farmers market, they don't know where they're going.

They come up, turn around in my driveway. So it's useless traffic, along with you're going to add in five to 700 more cars.

So it's kind of stressful to think that, like, my house is consistently like dusty because of constant cars coming up and down.

And there is no time limit. People are going to leave at 6:00 and come back at 3:00 or 4:00. It's going to be traffic nonstop, so noise included.

We already have an issue like people living and using the monument road up Kealia Road further from our housing district, which brings in like drug addicts, homeless people.

So that attracts more attention in the negative direction, like as far as environmentally too, because they just drop cars off. They drop off trash. They drop off things that they don't want.

Or they move into the bushes and they don't take anything out of the bushes, and it's like left either to the people who develop or people who maintain like County or State maintaining. And all they do is mow the yard.

They don't take out trash. They don't take out cars. So there's just more trash, negative behavior, and also like with more like drug activity.

1 | That's just more people.

And in a park where the park is going to be behind our house, like who's to say that people aren't partying at the park so there is noise, constant noise. And because we are going to have noise barrier from the highway, what about all of us in the neighborhood who have the constant noise of cars and loud radios and people yelling, you know?

Just -- to me it doesn't make sense to add in more houses.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can you summarize, please?

THE WITNESS: I feel like it's not a good decision. I don't think environmentally it's a good decision. And with dirt and dust and trash, just more negative trash. I don't agree with it.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.

Any questions from the Petitioner?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. COURSON: No questions.

MS. APUNA: No questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Alfred Alesna.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or

affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and 5 your address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Alfred Alesna. I live at 4646 Ka'ao Road, Kealia.

ALFRED ALESNA

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I never get the chance to read everything, I mean nothing at all, because I never had any letters, anything. But I just want to say my peace that I'm for the housing and all that.

But all these guys who's testified about it's good and all that, but they don't go through what I have to go through. I have to fight off druggies that come to my house. I have ladies that crying in my yard. Then I got to go find whoever that lady belong to.

I get people coming into my house, because people fighting each other and they running into my house for get shelter, because I live right at the

corner. And that's the kind of stuff I going through.

Now, if you put 200-something more houses, you can imagine what I got to go through because the cops cannot handle them up there already. In their property at the Spaulding Monument, they're burning rubber, they're doing donuts. Cops cannot even control that.

You go alongside the road, going up monument, you get cars parked doing drug activity.

Now, my house is at the corner where that road you guys talk, where it's going go through.

They're going to come to my house, and I got to protect my family because -- and they are high on drugs. And I'm one small guy. I can't fight one big guy like that. I going to have to shoot it.

But anyway, another thing too, the water pressure. I'm at the corner house, my water pressure is under the regular pressure. I cannot even run my tankless water heater. I talked to Aqua Engineers, they told me that they trying to get the County to take over the water tank, but the County no like take over the water tank because of the -- I don't know if you call the regulator that does the pressure.

So I have to go return my tank and go get

```
120
     one regular tank heater, because I couldn't put that,
1
2
     because when you turn on the hot water and you put on
 3
     the cold water, the, whatchamacallit, the thing that
 4
      ignites, the pilot, it runs on pressure, and it shuts
5
     down.
 6
                So if you guys going to tie into water
7
     tank, it's not going to work. Already if I washing
     clothes, I cannot jump in the shower. You know, and
8
9
      it's just my da kine. And I never did get any notice
10
     or whatever. So I never read nothing.
11
                You can ask me questions. I just tell you
12
     what I think.
13
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.
14
                Are you neighbors with the prior testifier?
15
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my wife.
16
     Really, really close.
17
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any questions from
     the Petitioner?
18
19
                MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
20
                MR. COURSON: No questions.
21
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
```

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Clayton Arinaga,

23 you very much.

22

24

25

followed by Lianne Kobayashi, followed by Albert

1 Banach.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Clayton Arinaga, 4627 Ka'ao Road, 96751.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.

CLAYTON ARINAGA

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Let me preface by first saying thank you for all in attendance. It's been a long tedious process. I just want to add that I'll try to focus specifically on the EIS statement and not my own personal opinions about anything else.

I think there's some shortfalls and shortcomings on this EIS statement as it relates to the traffic description, specifically the roadway.

I know there is remark or some kind of reference to Mr. Kidani saying that it's going to

cause somewhere in the neighborhood of \$10 million to do the projected improvement and necessary requirements to make the roadway the minimum that they describe, which is ten-foot roadway, shoulders, plus another access on the side.

What it fails to do though is -- and I asked the County last year -- if this is the only roadway access into our area, why are you allowing all of this traffic to come up Kealia Road? More specifically, because the culvert or the bridge on the bottom right by where Adam has his farm, there's no weight restrictions or limitations or designation. And every bridge on this island should have something on it.

We contacted the County Public Works

Engineering, asked them if they could provide us with

some data on that and on the three culverts that

intersect Kealia Road, they don't have any records of
that.

So my question to the developer would be:

How can you allow the 75,000-pound truck, which is a

fully loaded cement truck, to travel over Kealia Road

if you want to get to your development? I don't

think that's a possibility, especially at the bridge.

The second thing is, we brought up to the

presenters prior meeting them, that we had -- or I had concerns about the Kumu Camp area, specifically that it harbored or storage of hazardous chemicals by the plantation in the past.

I know it was the site where they stored their fertilizers, Round Up and the rest of the things that they were using. And I know the fields in that area where they plan to have their subdivision was sprayed regularly by the plantation.

So my concern would be, although they said that they tested the soil, there is no reference here that says it's free from pesticides or any other kind of chemicals.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I have you summarize?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

The last point would be this recreational area and detention basin that they want to utilize 4.3 acres, adjacent to the Rosa's property down there. It's going to catch all the pollutants that's in the soil above them and transfer it all the way down there.

So my question, it's got to be addressed now before anything is developed up there.

So for those reasons specifically I would

1 reject this EIS because it doesn't go into full 2 details to make a decision of this magnitude. 3 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 4 MR. COURSON: No questions. 5 MS. APUNA: No questions. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, 7 questions? EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Lianne Kobayashi 8 9 followed by Albert Banac. 10 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or 11 affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the 12 truth? 13 THE WITNESS: I do, yes. 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and 15 address. 16 THE WITNESS: Lianne Kobayashi. I live at 17 4626 Ka'ao Road in Kealia. 18 LIANNE KOBAYASHI 19 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 20 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follow: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you for all of your 24 time. I know everybody's time is precious. Thank 25 you very much.

I would like to read, it's -- between 2016
and 2018 the General Plan Update was underway.

and 2018 the General Plan Update was underway.

During the same time, the County was negotiating with

Kealia Mauka Homesites. But according to some of the

most diligent civil-minded residents who

painstakingly participated in the update process, the

Kealia project was never mentioned at public

presentations, or at County Council hearing on the

General Plan update.

The fact that Kealia Mauka was omitted from the General Plan demonstrates a lack of transparency. And the project was not grounded in a community-based process from its inception.

Had Kealia residents like us been afforded petition opportunities for early input, the project might have garnered some support. It might have been designed with appropriate density consistent with the Kealia contract neighborhood to preserve the rural ambiance and community character.

Also the project is inconsistent with the General Plan recommendation. The 2018 General Plan Update has no references or narrative about expanding density adjacent to the Kealia contract.

General Plan 2000 calls for new growth in and around the Waipouli-Kapaa Urban Center as the

1 lands in Anahola, not in rural Kealia.

2.1

2.4

The plan clearly states residential expansion in outlaying areas designated agriculture is specifically discouraged.

Appendix A in the FEIS, which is the County Planning letter, explains that Kealia was earmarked for greater residential community development in the 1984 General Plan.

This is unsubstantiated, and basically interpretation, no citations or references are provided as proof. Similarly, assertions in Appendix A that residential expansion mauka is spatially consistent for the past 35 years is also questionable.

Here is the 1982 General Plan Update.

In Chapter 3 of the 1982 plan titled Growth Resource Management Parameters, there is a ten-year growth allocation by community chart. And Kealia is omitted from that of the 20 communities.

 $\ensuremath{\textsc{I}}$ would like to address urban sprawl and impact of the FEIS alternatives.

Increasing density in this rural community miles away from the core would be considered sprawl.

The County has not kept up with needed infrastructure improvements, and therefore, it is critical to

regulate the space and development in the east Kaua'i corridor.

To be clear, we support affordable housing efforts, but this proposal is not within the FEIS. Please assess this FEIS by its negative cumulative affect. The location of development; the timing of development; and the amount of development are all inappropriate.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: In conclusion, we urge the Commission to reject the FEIS. There are still significant unanswered questions. This project exemplifies sprawl which requires commuting to jobs, schools, shopping and other needs through a congested traffic corridor.

The General Plan recommends Urban infill placing higher density development near jobs and services as a preferred means to achieving housing solutions, not upzoning ag land.

Granting this entitlement to redistrict this land will allow the owner to (indecipherable) -- 2000 agricultural acres with no benefits to the existing Kealia residents such as us.

Residents will suffer from this impact of high density proposals. Thank you.

1 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Petitioner, any 2 questions? 3 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 4 MR. COURSON: No questions. 5 MS. APUNA: No questions. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? 7 Commissioner Chang. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you, Ms. 8 9 Kobayashi. 10 When was the first time you heard about 11 this Kealia Mauka plan? 12 THE WITNESS: It was last year, 2018, when 13 they came out with this first meeting, when they 14 first came out with it. They're saying, okay, we'll 15 invite your community to come in, since it's -- it was almost kind of like an afterthought that they did 16 17 it. Since you are a part of this program, we'll 18 invite you guys to come and see what we're doing. 19 It wasn't, okay, we will have a public 20 meeting to say, okay, you guys can come in, and you 21 guys can give your input. No, it wasn't that way. 22 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Were you aware -- was 23 that the only meeting that you know that they held? 24 THE WITNESS: They held the second meeting 25 which was recently. That was the only two meetings.

1	COMMISSIONER CHANG: So one in 2018?
2	THE WITNESS: And just last week Saturday.
3	COMMISSIONER CHANG: When does the County
4	hold their General Plan meetings?
5	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
6	COMMISSIONER CHANG: I'll ask the County.
7	Thank you.
8	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Okuda.
9	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Ms. Kobayashi, are you
10	related to Joe Kobayashi?
11	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
12	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Okay. I just wanted
13	to see okay, thank you.
14	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Albert Banac, Mark
15	Baldwin, Anne Walton, Andy Bushnell.
16	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or
17	affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the
18	truth?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Albert
20	Banac, B-A-N-A-C. I live at 5790 Kaehulua Road,
21	Kapaa.
22	ALBERT BANAC
23	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
24	public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
25	testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I strongly agree with this proceedings going on with this building and everything like that.

I now have three new grandchildren, three-year old, two-year old, and two-week old, just born.

My daughter lives with me with her boyfriend. He's a local boy. We have been here about 30 years. Affordable housing is something that I would like to be able to get for them, since I don't think I'm going to be here that much longer now that I'm getting older and stuff like that.

It's really hard on Kaua'i. I've been at this house for 16 years, and my landlord just gave me a notice saying that I have to find another place, and it's really hard right now. I've got kids and a dog. Affordable housing -- this one being able to afford it on everything that I have and stuff like that.

The gentleman was talking about drugs and people going up there and doing that. If you have neighborhood watch, you have people there. They're not going to go there. They're going to go to a place where there is hardly anybody, if they have

```
nowhere else to go. But if you have people traveling
1
2
     and being there, going to stop them from going there.
3
                If they come by my house, I'm going to say
 4
     you can't do that here. So I can't understand why
     you would want something like this on Kaua'i.
5
 6
                I mean, we are trying to stop from
7
     developing, but you have to have affordable housing.
8
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Questions
9
     from the Petitioner?
10
               MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.
11
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
12
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
13
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners? Thank
14
     you.
15
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Mark Baldwin, Anne
16
     Walton and Andy Bushnell.
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or
17
18
     affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the
19
     truth?
20
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: State your name and
22
     address.
23
                THE WITNESS: Anne Walton, A-N-N-E,
24
     W-A-L-T-O-N. 444 A Puuopae Road, P-U-U-O-P-A-E,
25
     Kapaa.
```

1 ANNE WALTON

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the

Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Welcome to all of you to Kaua'i. Thank you for coming here today.

I'm going to speak specifically to the FEIS, and the first is Kaua'i's 2018 General Plan did not set the stage for Kealia Mauka Homesites. I'm someone who closely followed the Kaua'i General Plan Update process for a two-and-a-half period, including attending every public meeting, Council Meeting, and Planning Commission meeting.

I can honestly say that I don't remember any mention of Kealia Mauka nor the intention to rezone and develop this area.

I have attached to my testimony two pieces of evidence from the General Plan to substantiate this. You can see in your handout. First of all, Table 2-2, major designation changes by planning district.

And then another on the goals and priorities for East Kaua'i. There's no mention of this area at all in either of those pieces.

These are components that the General Plan even mentions the possibility. It is extremely disingenuous for the former Planning Director to refer to the 1984 General Plan as a placeholder for the upzoning of this area, particularly when that placeholder was not carried over into the 2018 General Plan.

Additionally, what was stated in 1984, 2000 or any other time in the past is not just by association necessarily relevant in 2019.

Please do not use this as a justification for moving forward with the proposed boundary amendment as it has been misrepresented.

Point No. 2. Determining the profile potential residents of Kealia Mauka. The FEIS states that the majority of future Kealia Mauka residents are expected to be current residents, therefore, there will be a negligible increase in County population. Close quote.

Additionally, it is stated an estimated 700 persons are expected to reside in Kealia Mauka subdivision after full buildout and occupancy. Of these, 658 are currently living on Kaua'i, and 42 will be nonresident second home buyers, close quote.

This is a pretty bold statement without any

clear rational for the numbers. Even if that is the desired situation, how can you put controls on who can purchase land and who can't?

Also keep in mind that the current residential sales trend, as stated in our 2018

General Plan, is that 40 percent of residential sales on this island are either to mainlanders or foreigners. The sales pattern has also led to a very high vacancy rate, meaning a house that is largely unoccupied for a good portion of the year, as in a second home or investment property, only further contributing to our affordable housing crisis.

Also concerning future residents, the

Kealia Mauka Homesites developer wants to develop 234

residential lots with 36 lots priced as workforce

housing. This would then satisfy requirements of

Ordinance 860.

As stated in the FEIS, quote: "The final requirement will identify the number of lots and the price levels for the workforce housing requirements and will be included in the subdivision or zoning conditions. Lot prices will depend on federally established income levels at the time." Close quotes.

There are many variables that apply to this

equation, and they could very well change over the 7-year buildout timeframe. This includes home buyers on income often based on multiple jobs which is not accounted for by the feds, interest rates, cost of living rates in the amount of a down payment.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: Yes. So this will not meet the needs of workforce housing.

The other -- my other two concerns are mitigation of traffic and the cumulative impact study did not account for the fact that there are actually right now a slated additional 1356 single homes and 872 resort units for the Wailua-Kapaa corridor.

And then I also speak to the wastewater and infrastructure issues and how it's misguided and what they're specifically analyzing and addressing there.

So final analysis is that the major components of the Kealia Mauka FEIS analysis have been generalized and do not provide sufficient justification to warrant the upzoning 53 acres of agricultural land to residential.

Please ask the consultants to complete the analysis in the areas identified above before making decision on the most suitable alternative.

Thank you for taking time.

1	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you.
2	Petitioner, questions?
3	MR. MATSUBARA: No.
4	MR. COURSON: No.
5	MS. APUNA: No.
6	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any
7	comments?
8	Andy Bushnell. That would be our last
9	testifier.
10	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
11	you're about to give is the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	My name is Andy Bushnell, and I live at
14	6510 Olohena Road, Kapaa.
15	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please proceed.
16	ANDY BUSHNELL
17	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
18	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
19	testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	THE WITNESS: I wanted to talk a little bit
22	more about traffic. I think that the EIS is
23	insufficient in dealing with the broader picture of
24	traffic. It deals with the traffic in the vicinity
25	of the development. It mentions the extension of the

bypass road, which is really a very minor change ultimately, but it doesn't deal with the potential for huge numbers of additional cars being on the road because of the addition of new resort development in Waipouli where there are presently two hotels in the process of -- well, they're not being built yet, because apparently, although they were on the way, the developers ran into funding issues. I don't know for sure they did, but they stopped and we assumed it was because they had problems with funding.

And there was also the Coco Palms

Development. There also is another development, the name of which I have forgotten. But it's located in the vicinity of the Kapaa middle school, and it's a substantial residential development that is likely to come before you folks within the next few months, or at least within the next year or so. And it is located probably in a better place relative to Kapaa because it's in walking distance of the town.

I won't say it's necessarily a better development, because the prices may well be more expensive than the ones that are in this, in the one that we're considering today.

But the result of all of that additional development means that traffic in Kapaa is going to

get tremendously worse. And even if some of that in Waipouli, at the other end of Kapaa, if you get on that road in the morning and come into town, you might -- well, as I come down from Wailua Homesteads, I'm sometimes waiting a half a mile back from the highway, finally get on the highway and say, okay, I can zip into Lihue. And I head on the highway, past the golf course, and then I come bam, stop, because the cars all backing up from Lihue or from Hanamaulu.

And we did talk -- we had Ray McCormick of Department of Transportation come to speak to our Wailua-Kapaa Neighborhood Association several years ago.

First of all he said we were going to have the beginning of widening the highway past Coco Palms within the year. We haven't gotten it yet. That was like three years or four years ago.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please summarize.

THE WITNESS: I'm almost finished here.

The point is that they said that any significant way to take care of the traffic would be to build a major bypass. We said, well, when is that likely to happen? And they said never. They didn't say quite never. They said it's going to cost at a minimum a 100-and-something-million dollars.

1 So you can't tell it's happening any time 2 soon. I don't expect it in my lifetime. 3 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 4 MR. COURSON: No questions. 5 MS. APUNA: No. 6 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners, any 7 questions? Do we have anyone else, in an effort to be 8 9 open? Anyone that has not testified that wants to 10 testify? At this point we are concluding our area of 11 public testimony, and I need to look if we are going 12 to try to take any kind of a lunch break or power 13 through. 14 Mr. Executive Director, we are going to 15 take a break for 45 minutes. Be back here at 1:50. 16 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Does that mean -- are 17 we going to conclude today? Or are we going to --ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Can I ask the 18 19 Petitioner, how long is your presentation planned 20 for? MR. MATSUBARA: Approximately two hours. 21 22 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: So we come back at --23 COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, I think 24 our flights are at 4:00 something, so we should be at 25 the airport by 3:00.

1 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Can we push through?

2 COMMISSIONER WONG: I cannot. I have other

3 meetings.

5

4 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'll be gone.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We are on the record

6 for discussion.

7 MR. MATSUBARA: We will try to reduce --

8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Madam Chair, after

9 consultation with the Commissioners and with our

10 Chief Clerk, this matter, the time period for making

11 this matter is August 12. We have tentatively

discussed holding an additional meeting on August 8th

13 to complete this matter.

14 COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, can I make

15 | a statement?

For the people out there, because of this

17 issue, we heard all the public testimony at this

18 | point in time. Again, I said, if we leave this and

19 | don't make a decision and don't come back, it's

20 automatically accepted. Because of all the public

21 testimonies, a lot of the Commissioners have

22 questions for the Petitioner, and if we don't do it

23 | in a very correct way, again, we won't be able to

24 vote on this.

25

So I would like to come back and have a

discussion on this and hear the Petitioner and question them on the EIS. So if we don't do that, and come back, then it's going to be automatically approved.

But we heard your statements and we would like to ask them questions.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: If I may, we have one witness who has been subpoenaed for today, so a suggestion that we break for lunch, come back, hear testimony from Mr. Dahilig, who is subpoenaed to testify today, and then see where we're at.

respect to everybody that is here, that rather than taking a lunch break, can't we just continue to go as long as we can knowing we are going to lose quorum?

We're going to have to leave at 3:00 o'clock, that's 2:00 o'clock and one more hour. I just think we should respect the people who are here and go as far as we can.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Our court reporter needs a break at some point in time because she has to be thinking all the time, so what we would need to do -- I don't know if there's food close by or anything. I'm on a diet and not eating, so water and coffee are good, so I'm okay.

What is the pleasure of my fellow

Commissioners? I'm fine to keep going. Jean, how

much of a break do you need?

COURT REPORTER: You know, I can just get a donut or something from here.

 $\label{eq:acting chair cabral: I have an energy bar} % \begin{center} \end{center} % \begin{ce$

What we are going to do is take a short recess for ten minutes, so be back at 1:20, that's less than ten minutes, and then we're going to continue.

(Discussion held off the record.)

(Noon recess.)

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you for our imperfections. Thank you for coming together again. We're reconvening and I'll call it back together. And our court reporter is ready, and I think people have had enough to eat or drink to be alert now.

What we're going to do is, we did subpoena the former Planning Director Michael Dahilig to come and testify. So we would like to have him, since the subpoena was to appear today. Take care of that order of business, if that's acceptable. Then we probably will see what our timeline is if Petitioner would do any of the presentation today. We're going

1 to reconvene on August 8th at 9:30. August 8th in 2 this room or somewhere --3 CHIEF CLERK: Undetermined. 4 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: To be determined. 5 Petitioner, since you would otherwise be 6 next on our agenda today, are you okay with that 7 change in our agenda? MR. MATSUBARA: Yes. Let me make a brief 8 9 statement. 10 Mike Dahilig was subpoenaed, and he's here, 11 but we wanted to call a public witness who testified 12 earlier to clarify basically the location on the 13 property of some pictures that were earlier referenced so there is no confusion. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Why not. Let's go ahead and do that. If you would call back the public 16 17 testifier and clarify that since we are only just 18 concluded out public testimony section. MR. TABATA: So Bruce Laymon, would you 19 20 come forward. 21 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I would like to 22 remind you that you've been sworn in already, so that 23 is still in effect and you will tell the truth.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. Go ahead,

THE WITNESS: Yes.

24

1 Petitioner. 2 BRUCE LAYMON 3 Was recalled as a witness by and on behalf of the 4 Petitioner, was previously sworn to tell the truth, 5 was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. TABATA: 8 Mr. Laymon, thank you for your prior 9 testimony as a public witness, and thank you for 10 agreeing to be called as our witness, Petitioner's first witness. 11 12 During the public testimony there was 13 testimony by some of the folks from Kealia that said 14 they do hunting and kalo farming on the property. 15 Do you recall that? 16 Α Yes. 17 Are you familiar with where the kalo is Q 18 grown? 19 Yes, I am. Α 20 Q Are you familiar where the hunting takes 21 place? 22 Yes. Α 23 I'm going to go up there and be your

pointer, because I do not have a laser pointer here.

So please ask me to point where the kalo is located

24

25

1 after I do that.

After I do that, please ask me to point where the hunting takes place.

Prior to this, you told me and showed me where those activities take place on the map; correct?

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Madam Chair, would it be possible for the witness to go up there and show us, since he would be the one directly -- if he could borrow your microphone.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: We just need him on a microphone, so the recording and court reporter can transcribe this.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if you have the map or EIS, another one better than that in the booklet, because you can see the breadth and length of the land. That's kind of like half of the property.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, if you look at the EIS, looks like Figure 1-3.

THE WITNESS: I think it's page 28, 29.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: In light of the fact that's what's up here, can you go ahead and refer to it in that area.

THE WITNESS: So your first question?

- 1 Q (By Mr. Tabata): First question.
- 2 Please point out where our Petition Area 3 is, the 53 acres?
- A This area shaded in black, that's adjacent to the existing housing (indicating).
 - Q Thank you.

And could you on the same map, could you please point out where kalo is being grown in the area?

A Right now there's a road -- for those of you that don't live here -- a main road that runs up through here. And so there's an arena here where roping takes place, and then in the back there, there's taro growing in the lowland. And the property is elevated, so it's that portion in the lowlands down in this area around here (indicating). That's where the kalo is.

Q Thank you.

Could you also point out for the Commissioners where hunting takes place, pig hunting to be specific?

A If you're not familiar with pig hunting, the pigs run all over the place, but if you're a hunter, you know that it takes place in the mauka areas and in the forest. And most of the land Mr.

Nolan owns was in sugar. And when it went out of sugar, the plantation needed people to come in there, to keep their taxes down, they wanted to keep it in ag.

So easiest thing was to get ranchers and farmers. So they divided up a plot so that nice grazing land was all the flat, where all the sugar was. So most of the hunting took place in the valley and the forest, because the pigs hide in --

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Could I ask you to either turn around, or put the mic in your other hand so the public could better see that?

THE WITNESS: So in the dark shaded areas that's typically where you're going to find the pigs, and they're hiding in there. And because right now it's used for cattle throughout the property. At night the pigs do roam around.

But this particular area here (indicating) doesn't affect any access or any of the hunting at all. In fact, I can tell you this because I hunt there myself, and I've hunted there since I was a young boy. This area behind the housing is not conducive to hunting. We didn't want our dogs, we didn't want to hunt with guns in this area. Not to say that pigs down don't go in there, pigs go in the

1 | yard. Run across the highway.

But generally the hunting takes place in the forest and up in the mauka area as far as the hunting.

And the reason I know about the kalo, and I really appreciate Commissioner Chang's question about that, because it's a big concern.

My brother and Stuart Wellington started the taro. I built the first lo'i down there, which all those kids now enjoy. And there was a different owner at the time. Mr. Nolan is allowing all that. He's encouraging that, and I appreciate that, including all of the activities for the kids related to the rodeo arena.

Nothing is -- this thing doesn't impact the kalo or hunting at all, and that's a fact.

Q (By Mr. Tabata): Real quick. Thank you so much for pointing out the map for us.

For the record, the kalo therefore does not -- is not being cultivated in the 53-acre Petition Area; correct?

A I don't know if it ever was in history ever. I know that, at least a century there hasn't been kalo there. It wouldn't be a conducive area to be plant taro. You need water.

```
And traditionally hunting does not take
1
2
     place in the Petition Area, correct?
3
               No, no, not at all, uh-uh.
 4
               MR. TABATA: No more questions. Thank you,
5
     Mr. Laymon.
 6
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County, questions?
7
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Office of Planning,
8
9
     any questions?
10
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners,
11
12
     questions at this time?
13
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Is this part of the
14
     record, this map? I just want to be sure it's
15
     identified.
16
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Yes, it is already in
17
     the EIS.
               MR. TABATA: It is in the EIS. I believe
18
19
     the project is the map in the EIS.
20
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I think that one is.
21
     There's a couple of them in the EIS.
22
                COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, so I quess
23
     the figure on the board right now is Figure 1-3, the
24
     one that also the witness just stated -- oh, sorry,
25
     that's 1-2, and the one he was trying to talk about
```

1 was 1-3.

2 MR. MATSUBARA: Both of them are in the EIS 3 on page 1-8 and 1-9.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any other questions from the Commission? Thank you very much, sir.

THE WITNESS: Congratulations on your son.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I just met this gentleman. He told me his son is a cowboy champion, and I had to brag, because my son is a rodeo champion also. But I will not let my love for rodeo affect my judgment. Thank you.

Moving right along. We have our witness that we subpoenaed Michael Dahilig. Can you come to the witness stand. Thank you.

Can I swear you in?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I will.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Please state your full name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Michael Dahilig.

My business address is 4444 Rice Street, Lihue,

25 Hawai'i 96766.

1	MICHAEL DAHILIG
2	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
3	Petitioner, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined
4	and testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Questions?
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. TABATA:
9	Q Mike, you're here today because you're
10	under subpoena?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And in connection with this project, you
13	wrote two letters as the Director of the Planning
14	Department; correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And are you familiar with those letters?
17	A I am familiar with those letters.
18	Q Thank you.
19	Could you please describe for us the
20	context and content of those letters?
21	A Under Section 7-1.7 of the Kaua'i County
22	Code, the Director for the Planning for the County of
23	Kaua'i is responsible for making interpretations for
24	proposed land use actions as to whether they are
25	consistent or inconsistent with the duly adopted

General Plan that is required under Chapter Section 46-4.

And during that time, previous to this hearing, I was contacted on a number of occasions by representatives from the Petitioner to see whether the proposed land use action would be consistent with the General Plan as required under, I guess, the Chapter 205 land use requirements and whatever administrative rules that follow for that.

I was formally asked to make a determination under the code back in, I believe, 2016, and then subsequent to that as part of the Draft EIS process.

And in both of those scenarios, I had, under the authority of the code, taken a look at not only the textual language in the General Plan, but the spatial maps that lay out the land use development pattern for the overall County, and made determinations that I felt were consistent in reading the text and the maps together, and found that the proposed development would be consistent with County policy as under the 2000 General Plan, and then subsequent to that, the 2018 General Plan that was recently adopted.

So when I was first asked to make this

evaluation, it was premised on the 2000 General Plan, and then in March of 2018 a new General Plan was passed. In both of those scenarios, both General Plans carry the spatial designation for this area in both versions of the maps. And in further research, this area was generally designated for some degree of expansion for residential community going back all the way to 1984.

So there was 1984 amendment to the General Plan, and that also included some degree of expansion for this Kealia area.

In my most recent letter, which I believe was in September of 2018, I had reconfirmed that the base off of the 2018 General Plan that was adopted a few months prior by the County Council, that nothing had changed with respect to the County's Land Use Map policy.

What had changed had been a lot more emphasis on concerns about coastal development and sea level rise, and how development along the shoreline could raise some issue with respect to the land use development pattern.

And so it was noted in my determination that the mauka situation of the overall development seemed to be aligned more tightly with that new

```
1
     textural directive in the 2018 General Plan.
2
                So that was noted as part of that
 3
      subsequent evaluation, because my prior determination
 4
     had been premised on the 2000 General Plan.
5
                That's all I can elaborate on.
 6
          0
                Thank you. I have no further questions.
7
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County Planning
     Department, any questions of our witness?
8
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
9
10
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Office of Planning?
11
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
12
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioners,
     questions? Commissioner Chang.
13
14
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Aloha, Mike. Nice to
15
      see you.
16
                THE WITNESS: Aloha.
17
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: You actually conducted
     the public meetings for the 2018 amendment to the
18
19
     General Plan?
20
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: In your opinion -- let
22
     me ask you this question first.
23
                During those public meetings, was the
24
     Kealia Mauka Homesites proposed development ever
25
     discussed during the public hearing process for the
```

2018 General Plan amendments?

THE WITNESS: To my recollection, not specifically. But we did receive a number of comments from the meetings specifically in that area concerning housing and the desire to not want gentlemen farm development.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: In your opinion, if
the Kealia Mauka Homesites proposed development had
been disclosed and discussed publicly during the
general planning process, your general planning
process, and the community had an opportunity to
discuss that in relationship to the General Plan, do
you believe that the General Plan would have -- what
impact, if any, would it have had to the General Plan
if you can speculate?

THE WITNESS: Philosophically when we went into the General Plan process, we started under the premise that everything was fair game. So all presumptions and policies in the 2000 General Plan were available for the public to make any comments on regardless of whether it was for or against it.

And in particular, the very first public charrette that we had on this particular -- on the General Plan where we laid out a draft and provided posted notes and mark-up pens and whatnot, nothing

seemed to indicate that this particular area that had been in the 2000 plan was up for discussion with respect to a reduction in the spatial designation.

So, for example, when we had the spatial designation in the 2000 plan that had areas next to the resort area near the airport, that was areas that the public seemed to focus on with respect to taking those things off the map.

so what tended to drive the changes in the maps were not necessarily anything that was directed by our department, rather things that came through the public process that seemed to gather, you know, some degree of, I guess, interest.

So I forget the area, but right next to

Kaua'i Beach Resort, Nukolii, that was an area that

was taken off the map at public suggestion. There

was other areas out on the west side that were taken

out at the public suggestion. This did not get, to

my recollection, anything from the public saying that

the resort community designation should be taken off.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: In your opinion, the fact that the mauka -- Kealia Mauka Homesites was not publicly discussed during your 2018 General Plan public hearings, do you think it may have led the community to believe that that wasn't going to be a

development, so there really was no reason for them to come out and raise those issues during the general planning public hearing process?

THE WITNESS: I would say that it's -- it could have been, it could not have, but I think the reality was everything was on the table. So what areas that were not developed were highlighted as areas that are open for change, and we did not limit any process throughout the General Plan discussion to say that certain areas were off limits for discussion.

So as comments and, you know, public testimony throughout the forming process seemed to coalesce around certain areas. This particular area did not garner any attention from the public as being something that, based off of the 2000 maps, needed to be changed going into the 2018 development.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Do you think the public -- it didn't garner any attention because they may not have known about the proposed development at Kealia?

THE WITNESS: I think that's a subjective question, because the responsibility to pay particular attention to certain areas around the island, we did not want to taint the public process

by highlighting certain areas as being controversial.

We wanted to get the tenor of the community, see where there was interest in change in the land use maps, and this was not an area that I recall as having the outcry that an area like Nukolii had when we were making adjustments to the land use map.

Ultimately, as part of the process, we laid out a schedule of all the land use map changes in the General plan, and made it very clear to the public what areas were being taken out or put into different categories.

So that was also laid out to the whole public with all Land Use Map changes across the whole island as part of the Commission's and the Council's process once they started going through the adoption phrases of the General Plan.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Let me ask you this question.

When did you first hear about this Kealia Mauka project?

THE WITNESS: There was something rattling around, I would say, prior to 2016. In terms of the scope and size or what was, you know, in process, it was only pretty much just conversational, nothing

1 formally submitted.

So we don't take any official stand until we're asked to make a determination under Section 7.1.7 under the code.

So any due diligence process we get a number of landowners or perspective land purchasers asking what can we do with this? What can we do with this or not? And we treated these folks the same.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Good afternoon, sir.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER WONG: I'm going to go off script, even though we're just talking about the EIS itself.

Going back to 2018 plan. Now, in the 2018 plan, did it talk in general in terms of the need, how many houses were needed for the island?

THE WITNESS: Yes. The 2018 General Plan lays out a target of 9,000 housing units needed by the year 2035, presuming a one percent growth rate in the population.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So then during this 2018 planning, the charrette, you did talk about the housing needs and where it should be, shouldn't be;

is that correct?

2.1

THE WITNESS: We first started off these processes by informing the public about the statistics. So, you know, presuming that we don't force everybody on island to have abortions, and that we, you know, do those types of unconstitutional things like preventing people from flying in, we pretty much have to lay out, you know, your bedroom activities are creating one percent growth every year.

And that seemed to be what was carried through as the driver in a lot of the land use discussions amongst the community, because one of the things that seemed to come up as a very hot topic of public concern was, okay, where do we put our kids? How do they find them jobs? Those types of things.

So we took the data first, and always, without judgment, tried to push data and have the public make a determination what that meant for them and then provide us feedback.

So getting to the particular housing unit question really came as part of the balancing act when we got questions about traffic; when we got questions about sea level rise; when we got questions about whether a sewer infrastructure can happen.

This was an islandwide conversation, not limited to the Petitioner's particular area. And what seemed to be our sense, and was endorsed by the Council through their process, was that housing seemed to be of paramount concern to the overall population.

That's why it was given a high degree of priority within the overall text of the plan.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Going back to your statement about one percent growth.

In terms of the one percent growth there is going to be a need for housing, but that also means there will be an increase traffic problem.

So how would that taking care of was talked through, or what happened?

THE WITNESS: We were very careful in the text of the plan to say that, in recognition of whether constitutional mandates to look at nexus and proportionality with exactions and so forth, that the particulars of some of these things had to be fretted out through a quasi-judicial process as required by the law, which is about -- may start here.

So general philosophical items regarding keeping nodes where development nodes are, keeping static the map without expanding residential

community areas, and looking at infill were what was emphasized.

But what we also got back from the Council was some discussion and discourse about the fact that not everybody wants to live in an apartment.

So the balancing tended to be that residential community areas, like this particular area, were left alone rather than looked at as areas for pulling the map designation out.

So the plan calls for these areas to be considered in some degree antiquated, given some of the theories surrounding infill development, mixed use and so on and so forth.

But there was a desire to have those areas that didn't seem to garner community issue to remain as-is and see whether that would carry through the process. So there was no expansion of this particular area.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Prior to your testimony, we had public testimony, and some of the individuals stated that they have three, six people in their houses. And they're looking at some of them saying three to six families -- sorry, that they want to get them out pretty much.

THE WITNESS: My parents wanted the same

too.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So the issue was, in the General Plan, as you state, there was need for housing by 2035 of 9,000, approximately. And some of them don't want to live in apartments, don't want high-rises; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So would this EIS going forward with six to 7000 help alleviate that 9,000?

THE WITNESS: 7000 --

COMMISSIONER WONG: Square foot lots.

THE WITNESS: Right now the average lot size for a development under code is I believe 8000. My mind is starting to escape me already with specifics of Chapter 8.

One of the concerns we did raise was whether or not the larger product tends to actually create a land basis cost that is unattainable for your average medium family given the AMI data at the time.

So like with any development, there's two metrics, right, either the cost of the vertical or the cost of the land. So if the smaller the lot the more obtainable the house would be for AMI 100, 120 families.

So that tended to be, in discussion, to some consternation with the developer, because there was a desire to have 10,000 square foot lots. And those things are quite valuable.

And if the desire was to look at the area for affordable housing, one of our comments to them was, well, you have to look at lot sizes being one of the drivers accelerated cost here, so the higher cost.

So we think it's a step in the right direction. At the time, it was hard for us to make any judgment whether or not one product like that goes on the market, whether or not it would float lower than what you would see as your average unit throughout the County of similar type and size.

What we looked at from a comp standpoint was what was happening with the Hooluana development in Lihue which is right down the road here and the average lot size again was smaller than what you would normally see, partly because of the way density was calculated and so on and so forth.

But it did bring the land basis and the vertical basis down enough that you saw 100 percent of those units sell out to local families at a single-family product type.

So we know that there is the ability for
those on the island to be able to carry the debt
necessary for a single family product on smaller lot
sizes. But whether that would transpose to that with

6 in this particular area, it's only speculative.

COMMISSIONER WONG: So I'm going to -- we may not see -- we're going to continue this meeting again on August 8th, but we may not see you again, so I'm want to ask the question now.

a particular condition or particular development type

Some of the public witnesses stated that this development would bring outside owners coming in, mainland people or whoever.

Do you foresee that?

THE WITNESS: There's always the opportunity for it, because of the things like the federal right to travel and so on, so forth. One of the questions that we have always had was when they built Hooluana, whether the County's condition that it be offered to Kaua'i's residents first was in that realm of constitutionality. I'm not going to -- hold the judgement here. I'll leave it to the attorneys whether or not someone from the mainland would like to sue, that's up to them.

But providing that opportunity to have it

offered first to Kaua'i residents at a land and vertical cost basis that was at least attainable was very, very well received by the market.

So, again, from all reports, 100 percent of those units that were in that development that were single family on smaller lots got bought up by Kaua'i residents.

So those are things that, again, where regulatory mechanism to do so. And I don't think it would be appropriate for me to advocate to the Commission whether or not something like that would make sense here, but it was something that our previous Council at the County decided to institute with the developer's consent, and it worked.

But it has to be a product that actually is attainable. So to make it right for the developer, developer does have to look at trying to pair the smaller lot size with the house and make things a little tighter to be able to have a local family carry the debt for it.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Thank you. No other questions.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: One of the concerns

raised is the public testimony was that this was a toe in the door situation where the developer comes in and asks for 53 acres; and next six months from now asks for 300 acres or 500 acres.

I'm concerned about what the limits of your letter is. Is the limits of your letter in 2018 limited only to the 53 acres, and any kind of subsequent analysis would have to occur later on?

Or is it a situation where it provides an open end? And the reason why I ask that is to be clear, we support -- you say in a letter -- to be clear, we support efforts to pursue entitlement for future development within the 2035 planning horizon.

My question is really, does this letter offer an opportunity for a toe in the door to realize the 2035 future development based upon your statement that we need about 9,000?

THE WITNESS: Let me preface this first again that I'm under subpoena, and I'm not speaking on behalf the County of Kaua'i by saying this, even though I'm an officer in another realm. So I would like to --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I want you to interpret your letter.

THE WITNESS: -- so I'm just providing all

1 the disclaimers that my lawyer is making me give.

And again, a lot of that is going to be relied on, on

3 the future Planning Director to also take my words,

4 and whether or not he has to live with his

5 forefathers on this.

That being said, I will say this, that we just looked at it at face value. When the proposal came in, it was for an area of 50-somewhat acres, and that was it.

The science of interpreting the General Plan consistency with the proposal is not a clear rubric or a clear science. But what we do know is that the textural factors have to be taken into account with the spatial factors. And I would find it hard to swallow if, let's say, if the proposal were to start really expanding spatially beyond what was the general size of the mauka to makai situation in the spatial designation.

So I would not say that this area would have to bear the burden of all 9,000 units. I think that would be irresponsible. There is a number of other areas that have been designated around the island for absorbing some of that 9,000 deficit in the housing inventory that is needed.

So this was really looked at as almost a

1 boutique type of proposal versus something that 2 transcended or was indicative of the overall picture. 3 It really was one line in the water where many lines 4 are needed. 5 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So it was just 6 limited to your proposal, that's what you're trying 7 to sav? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Any future proposal 10 comes from this area or this property, or anything 11 else, would have to take the same factors that you 12 took into effect when you made a determination on 13 this? I mean, not you, but the future Planning 14 Director? 15 THE WITNESS: That would be my expectation 16 of the future Planning Director, but it was not my 17 intent with the particular two letters that the size 18 and scope of the project extended beyond what was in 19 front of me at that time. 20 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And by good graces 21 we have him here to be able to talk to him about 22 that, right? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Thank you.

24

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Ms.

Chairperson.

Again, good to see you again, Mr. Dahilig. Congratulations.

Just so that the record is clear about your background and experience, I'll leave out the high school part, but --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: That's the most important part.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: -- can you give us a very short summary of your education and experience so that we can place your testimony in context?

THE WITNESS: I graduated from the University of Hawai'i at Manoa, school of Ocean, Science and Technology with a degree in geology and geophysics with a Bachelor with Honors in 2003.

I received a juris doctor with certification in Environmental Law from the William S. Richardson School of Law in 2006. And I received a Master's in Urban and Regional Planning from the University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Department of Urban and Regional Planning in 2011.

I worked in the private sector for PBR

Hawaii & Associates prior to joining Judge Randal

Valenciano's chambers as his clerk here at the Fifth

Circle Court. I served for approximately two years as Deputy County Attorney responsible for the Planning and Public Works Departments, upon which time I was appointed in 2010 as Director of Planning and served in that capacity until 2018, when I was asked to serve as Derek Kawakami's Managing Director.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Dahilig, you used the word, and I might be paraphrasing, that certain interpretations don't really rely on a clear rubric.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: What do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: In some cases where you're evaluating land use entitlements, you're required to check boxes, and that is for use of public transparency as well as judgment by decisionmakers.

In this particular case, all that is said in the County Code is that the Director of Planning is entitled to -- is the interpreter of the General Plan when it comes to consistency.

So when I look throughout the plan as it was adopted by the County Council, there are many disclaimers both in the land use policy area as well as the textural policy area that neither are regulatory; and rather they are guiding, and that

they are meant to inform decisionmakers as they go
through the zoning and permitting processes.

So that essentially, in my words, doesn't provide a clear rubric as to what value is placed on any particular policy element one over the other.

It is a bit of a totality of the circumstances-type of interpretation that comes into play.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And so when you are using this totality of the circumstances interpretation, you're looking at the General Plan as a whole; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: You not only look at the spatial maps, but you look at the text, you look at other documents related to the General Plan to reach whatever interpretation you're reaching; correct?

THE WITNESS: Right.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And, you know, having known you, but I disclosed I think except for one lunch maybe over ten years ago with our friend Dr. Jan Javenar, which I believe I paid for that lunch, we don't socialize for like that; right?

THE WITNESS: No, we do not.

1 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: That's correct.

Getting back to the letter that you wrote, you took into account all these different factors and documents in reaching your interpretation; correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

you, had come to a different interpretation based on looking at not only the maps, but the text of the General Plan and, you know, taking other evidence into account, that person wouldn't necessarily be automatically wrong; would you agree with that?

THE WITNESS: I would 100 percent agree with that statement.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: So in other words, this is not a case where we have the traffic light and the traffic light is red and, hey, if you say the traffic light which was red was green, you're totally wrong; this is a case where reasonable people may come to a different reasonable conclusion about what the interpretation should be, and that reasonable conclusion might be different than the letter that you wrote; correct?

THE WITNESS: I would agree with, I guess, this caveat, Commissioner, that those types of evaluations, in as much as they were part of my

responsibility under the County Code, are left inherently for that quasi-judicial process down the line.

As I understand, again, the question before the Commission is whether the EIS is sufficient enough to be accepted by the body in terms of disclosures, and to create an informed process that the Commissioners, as well as the public, can then engage in that discourse that you're describing.

My role in this has been one small sliver of that overall disclosure process, which was really limited to the General Plan consistency. So things that relate to traffic, things that relate to cultural concerns, in as much as they were values throughout the plan, where things started to flow to the top, were elements that gain related to the conflicting housing demand with the spatial policy. That's where my weighing analysis ultimately sorted out.

So it's not to give the impression that my value judgment should be subplanted for others in the community that may feel that certain area of the plan may be more important. But given the data that was driving my analysis, it seemed to me that what I knew and where my words were going to be used, that its

place in the EIS disclosure process was where I was intending to contribute my analysis for.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And you know from prior hearings, at least I personally really value your input, because I do recognize your vast amount of qualifications, both educational experience.

The reason why I was asking these questions is I think we recognize that accepting the Final EIS is not an acceptance of the project itself, or the request for a boundary amendment. It's whether or not the EIS has enough information in there so, as the Supreme Court said we must do, we can balance the advantages and disadvantages of what is being asked for.

And the reason why I was asking these questions, because if, in fact, reasonable people might disagree or have different opinions about the interpretation of whether this proposed subdivision or development is consistent with the plan, then, you know, we really got to see what the plan really says.

So that is just a background, but I thank you very much for your testimony.

Again, congratulations on the promotion.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Commissioner Giovani.

COMMISSIONER GIOVANI: Hi, Michael. I just want to follow up on Commissioner Ohigashi's question.

My interpretation of your answers to him was that this letter, the two letters that you wrote specifically with respect to the 53-acre parcel and the development that was proposed by the Petitioner.

And then if the same landowner came back for a second, or another development in that area, they could not rely on these two letters, they would have to start the process over or get a second opinion; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: My intent was never to have it snowball into something broader than what was proposed as part of the 343 process.

ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, Commissioner Giovani, your first statement.

Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you, Mike.

Commissioner Okuda and the other Commissioners, I appreciate the questions they asked you.

I just -- based upon Commissioner Okuda's questions to you, is it fair to say that your opinion, your intention in the two letters you wrote, when you talked about your experience, your role as

the Director and the values that you were looking at, that those letters were not intended to opine on the adequacy of the infrastructure, water, sewer, traffic, wastewater; that your opinion, or those two letters were really focused on, for lack of a better word, kind of the kuleana that you were looking under as the Planning Director, but not looking at saying it is consistent with the General Plan with respect to all of these other infrastructures; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I wanted to be very clear about that. And you look at the second to last paragraph before the phone number. I state:

We believe the State Land Use Commission and County regulatory processes provide the structure and opportunity to confirm, refute and/or mitigate any specific concerns members of the public may raise regarding impacts.

So it was not to provide, again, that judgment that the EIS provides a dispositive call on where things have to go. It's rather that the letter was meant to be informative as part of the overall spectrum of information that is required in order to have a sufficient disclosure document.

And that this particular did differ from my

```
previous letter which was an actual determination.
1
2
     This aligns with that previous call, and in effect
 3
     updates the read based off of the new General Plan
 4
      that had been adopted in between the last letter and
5
     this one.
 6
                COMMISSIONER CHANG: Very good. Thank you.
7
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Any other comments
      from Commissioners?
8
9
                Do we have any questions, any more
10
     questions from the Petitioner?
11
                MR. TABATA: No more questions.
                                                 Thank you.
12
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: County?
13
               MR. COURSON: No questions.
14
               ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Office of Planning?
15
               MS. APUNA: No questions.
16
                ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: I guess we can
17
     dismiss our witness. Thank you very much for coming.
      Come any time. Don't require a formal invitation.
18
19
                At this time, since it is 2:45, and it's my
20
     understanding that if all things work out with us
2.1
     obtaining a place to meet, and we're able to get all
22
      the airfares put together and all of the various
23
      things that it takes for this lovely little body to
24
     move everywhere we go as well as the Office of
```

Planning and the Petitioner -- you're not my problem.

25

1 So anyway we will adjourn at this time, and 2 we will reconvene on the same agenda, continue this 3 agenda on August 8th, which is a Thursday, at this 4 time we assume it will be at 9:30. But an official 5 notification will go out, so always know to check the 6 Land Use Commission website for the details and the 7 agenda for a meeting. 8 So at this point in time, unless there is 9 anyone else to make a statement, including staff to 10 correct me, I'm going to adjourn this meeting and go 11 to the airport. 12 MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. We will see you on the 8th. 13 14 ACTING CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you. At that 15 time Petitioner will start and do your presentation. 16 We are in recess. 17 (The proceedings were recessed at 2:45 18 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on July 25, 2019, at 9:45 a.m ., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 25th day of July, 2019, in Honolulu,
16	Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	<u>/s/ Jean Marie McManus</u> JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
20	OHM PMMI PERMOO, CON #150
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	