		1
	LAND USE COMMISSION STATE OF HAWAI'I	
	Hearing held on November 7, 2019 Commencing at 9:00 a.m.	
	Department of Transportation 650 Palapala Drive	
	Kahului, Maui, Hawaii 96732	
AGEND	DA	
VI.	Call to Order	
VII.	Continued Action (if necessary) SP19-410	
VIII.	STATUS REPORTS * A04-746 Waikapu 48 Investment LLC	
	* A04-748 Consolidated Baseyards LLC * A04-750 Spencer Homes, Inc.	
IX.	Adjournment	
BEFOR	RE: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156	

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha, good morning.

2.1

The Commission will now address the status reports.

This is the November 7th, 2019 Land Use Commission meeting.

The Commission will now address three status records scheduled on our agenda for Docket Nos. A04-746 Waikapu 408 Investments LLC, A04-748 Consolidated Baseyards, LLC, and A04-750 Spencer Homes, Inc.

During the LUC's staff's routine investigation into the absence of annual reports for these dockets, it was discovered that all three dockets had been overseen by an attorney who passed away without having a successor attorney in place to handle annual reporting and though the projects continued towards completion by working with the County, the annual reports to the LUC were not submitted. LUC staff contracted the firm that the attorney was affiliated with on Maui and was put in touch with responsible members of the Petitioners for the respective projects.

Our procedures for today will be very brief. There's nobody in the room I believe intending to testify on behalf of the of the public; correct?

1 Attorney General, are we able to 2 consolidate all three matters? 3 MS. OHARA: Yes, you may. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: How should we do 5 that? 6 MS. OHARA: Perhaps someone from staff or 7 Dan can explain the situation for each one, and you can consolidate them and consider whether you want to 8 9 close the matter as deems appropriate if all the 10 requirements have been met, then there should be no 11 purpose in getting a report. 12 A lot of these -- one of them at least has 13 been completed from 2008. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Orodenker. 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Pat, we have received 16 email from Petitioners explaining the situation. 17 Spencer has submitted a final report that says you can consider the final report. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So if we receive a 20 summary of what's been received from the staff, and 2.1 then consolidate all three, is that process 22 acceptable for the County and Office of Planning? 23 MR. HOPPER: It's within your discretion, 24 and if your AG advises okay, we wouldn't object.

MS. APUNA: We wouldn't object.

25

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: No objections from the County or the Office of Planning.

Good morning, can I ask what you both are here for?

UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: I'm here interested in the three projects that are on the list. Just understanding what the process was going to be, projects that are completely done.

MR. HOPPER: The person in yellow is a staff planner with Maui County.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So then I think we're going to revert to how we had planned to.

So the process we will go through, first, any members of the public who desire to give public testimony on any of these matters will be allowed that testimony.

At the conclusion of each, I'll seek comments and review the Petitioner's emails regarding compliance with conditions or representations for each Decision and Order that have been met and whether any concerns about the docket's status in this matter have been adequately addressed, and if any further meetings on this matter are needed.

The Commission will then consider the status report from each respective Petitioner.

The Chair will also call on County of Maui and Office of Planning for comments.

Is that procedure clear on what we are going to do?

The first status report A Lis on Docket No. A04-746 Waikapu 48 LLP.

Mr. Nunokawa has advised the Commission he is unable to appear and has submitted written status report and request.

Let me update the record in this docket.

On October 30th, 2019, the Commission mailed and emailed the November 6th and 7th meeting agenda notice to Statewide, email and Maui mailing lists.

On November 5th, the Commission received email from Scott Nunokawa, Managing Member of Wailuku 408 Investment LLC, updating the Commission on the status of this project.

Mr. Nunokawa was on the mainland and reported he would not be able to appear before us, but would review their archived files to provide evidence of compliance with LUC Conditions at a later date, and would be filing a motion to close out the file.

Is there anybody wishing to give public

testimony on this matter? Seeing none. 1

> Petitioner's Managing Member Scott Nunokawa has submitted a written status to the Commission to review and consider as his presentation. That's been made available on our website as well.

Are there any questions or comments from the County on this matter?

MR. HOPPER:

Just in general I don't know if you would require motions to sort of delete conditions or release conditions, or if this is a sufficient process.

I think on sort of your own motion you can decide that if there is evidence that they're in compliance with the conditions. I'm not sure what happens in the record, if there is a release that gets recorded or whatever else. Those are general questions and are within your procedures.

I don't -- I think the County was aware of these dockets and didn't have comments for me to provide you on problems with proceeding that way. So that's the only comment I think I have for all three items.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

Mr. Orodenker, do you want to share a

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

little bit about the process that the Commission might go through?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: I should start off by saying our rules don't cover what to do when our projects are completed in this type of situation. I think that -- keep in mind when our rules were drafted in the '70s and '80s they weren't thinking of where we are now.

Obviously, when a project has been completed, there has been substantial commencement and we don't have jurisdiction over commencement at this point.

One of the things we can do is work with the Petitioners to get certification from the County that all the conditions have been met, or the various agencies that all of the conditions have been met, then we can issue a letter to the Petitioner saying we don't have any more jurisdiction on this matter, as far as we are concerned the docket is closed.

Absent some form of formal motion, I think that's the best way to go.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: What about the removal of or notice on title?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Those would be subject to whatever the title companies were going to require

for release.

2.1

MR. HOPPER: Essentially the Commission is saying we no longer need annual report for this, but the conditions will remain. But if someone wants to, for whatever reasons, remove from title they have to file a motion and have them --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: If you want to do it all at once, someone would have to file a motion with us for removal of the conditions from title.

The other way that it could be handled is that we can pass that issue over to the County, because you have enforcement at this point anyway.

MR. HOPPER: We would have enforcement, but actually, if no one is asking for that now, I shouldn't -- maybe just for now, if the Commission takes that action, the conditions will still be in compliance on the title of the land.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: If I may, I think it would be prudent on our part to have some formal recordation or release of the conditions because the title company will pick it up as a continued encumbrance.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We can't release without a motion and showing good cause technically.

So the best we can do without a rule change is issue a letter saying that.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Since I deal in real estate and in properties, this comes up a lot in my real world and these property owners somehow get in touch with me because I manage the property or involved with it early on, and they're always in panic because they're trying to change ownership.

That is the length of us doing anything -- would never accommodate what they would need and that would be an unfortunate situation for everyone.

So I really recommend that maybe we try and have the Land Use staff come up with some kind of recommended letter, you know, that goes back to the developer, the Petitioner, their attorney, whoever, and says from what we understand having dealt with the County, you're complete. We recommend you do the following.

And tell them what to do so we can deal with it in some kind of timely matter. Otherwise, it's going to come back to us at some point, but it's always been in some disarray or panic at some time.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: The way I read Mr.

Nunokawa's letter, he would be submitting directly to

our staff specific statements as to whether or not

it's been -- as to whether or not the permit

conditions have been met and the project has been

essentially completed.

Therefore, I think that we can just set this matter as a separate agenda item at a further date to receive those reports, final reports and just act upon them at that time.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Ohigashi, for the recommendation.

Mr. Hopper, you wanted to say something more?

MR. HOPPER: In other cases the County deals with this because we have County -- the County does deal with the situation occasionally because we do record conditions on properties, and so we generally have a release document that's actually filed. So if you look on time, someone will see release of conditions was mailed.

Just as a suggestion, any procedure that is in compliance with your rules is fine. If the County is going to continue to be an enforcement agency, just curious if there is still encumbrances, so if

property is sold, individual lots will have conditions on title, fine. In the future someone may want to have that released.

4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. 5 Hopper.

Is there any further questions for the County?

I would observe, just not speaking specifically about this docket, but occasionally we will put in conditions that would actually continue to run with the development even after the vast majority of the conditions have been satisfied, so that it really will needs, on some level, be case by case based on conditions placed on the docket.

Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: On the actual conditions there is a process, just Condition No. 19 release of conditions, but it's made upon motion. So it's incumbent upon the Applicant or Petitioner to file a motion. So it is in there but that appears to be the process that we've laid out.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: And we can discuss this more when we go into deliberation on this matter.

Is there anything from the Office of

Planning?

MS. APUNA: No comments.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So, Commissioners, are there any further comments or questions on this matter? This is a status report. We are not required to take action, but we may.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: My recommendation was that we don't take action, that we just get their offering for updated status report; and if they're requesting a release, our staff would be able to guide them to filing the proper motion.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: For release?

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: For release.

Because, you know, this area I kind of remember it, I think it's fully developed, is that right? And nobody has asked for a release until now. And I agree with the Chair, because I forgot the project name in Kona that I think you're recused from anyway, but we still have conditions that are being brought up to this day. So I think that staff will be more able to review those conditions and determine what has been met and what is necessary.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, other thoughts or comments? Are we fine with the recommendation from Commissioner Ohigashi to have a

status report, then the direct staff to work with, or
the staff will work with the Petitioner for the
Petitioner to file an appropriate motion before us?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Should they ask for -because I think pursuant to the condition, I don't
think the Commission can unilaterally make a motion.
Looks like they have to make the motion.

remain on title and even if some of those conditions might look onerous just on its face, my experience has been that that doesn't necessarily stop a closing, because it depends what the title company's underwriters are looking for, and they view things on a case by case basis.

And I've seen transactions close even where you would think at first blush a motion should be filed, because the underwriters receives sufficient information to satisfy the underwriter that the title insurance company is really not going to face risk or additional risk in closing the transaction.

So I agree, since a lot of this is these things are on a case by case basis, and I agree with the comments that we have to be careful to not inadvertently release important conditions that were intended to run with the land.

We should, number one, leave it to the 1 2 discretion of the staff to give guidance to whoever 3 inquires, but there should be a set procedure if 4 there will be a release of a condition in more cases 5 than not. 6 I think it should be done by some kind of 7 motion so there is something clearly on the record. But I agree with the suggestion that Mr. Ohigashi has 8 9 made. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Okuda. 11 12 Commissioner Ohigashi. COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm just happy to 13 14 hear Gary Okuda agree twice in a row. 15 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there anything 16 further on this docket? 17 AO4-748 CONSOLIDATED BASEYARDS LLC If not, our next status report A04-748, 18 19 Consolidated Baseyards LLC. 20 We still have the County and Office of 21 Planning with us. Let me update the record. 22 On October 30th, 2019, the Commission 23 mailed and emailed the November 6-7, 2019 LUC meeting 24 agenda notice to the Statewide, email and Maui 25 mailing lists.

1 On November 6 the Commission received 2 written correspondence from the Petitioner's 3 representative providing status report and notice of 4 nonappearance, and that the Petitioner's entity no 5 longer exists. 6 Is there anybody wishing to give public 7 testimony on this docket? Seeing none. No one is here on behalf -- no one else is 8 here on behalf of the Petitioner. 9 10 I will note that he was in the room briefly 11 yesterday, I think checking to see what the status 12 was of our progress on the agenda. 13 So the Petitioner has not appeared. 14 there comments on the report from the County? 15 MR. HOPPER: Just the same as in the last 16 docket. We acknowledge that there may be some conditions in some of these that were intended to 17 permanently run with the land. That's all. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. 20 Hopper. Any questions for the County? 2.1 Office of Planning. 22 MS. APUNA: No comment. 23 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, any 24 questions? 25 Commissioner Ohigashi.

commissioner ohigashi: And, again, if the entity is not there and nobody seems to be interested in filing a motion to remove conditions, I think they should be informed, or at least if there is a representative that we can inform by letter that these conditions are on the property, that they will remain on the property until such time that you intend to remove them. And I don't know if there's a formal closing of any docket being done, because I'm not familiar with any closing that any kind of case can technically exist, because I've seen them revised many times.

2.1

However, I think for that purpose, so long as the conditions are on, that is incentive enough for any petitioner to come and make a motion to try and remove them. So I think we just would defer this until we move on.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Ohigashi.

Further comments on this docket? If not, no action will be taken. And I think the staff has the inclination of the Commission to be in touch with the Petitioner.

A04-750 SPENCER HOMES, INC. (Maui)
Our final status report A04-750 Spencer

1 Homes Inc. (Maui).

Petitioner's representative, Doug Spencer, submitted a written status report via email from the continent and will not be appearing.

This email was made available for the Commission, County and OP to review and consider.

This email was made a part of the record.

To update the record, the Commission mailed and emailed the agenda meeting notice for this meeting to the Statewide, email and Maui mailing lists.

Is there anybody in the public wishing to testify on this agenda item?

If not, the Petitioner has provided a written status report and requested that the Commission close this file.

Are there comments from the Commissioners at this point before we hear from the County and OP, or questions for our staff?

Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I would just echo

Commissioner Ohigashi's previous recommendations on

the other two, is that I don't think we should leave

it up to the staff to work with the Petitioner as to

filing a motion rather than us taking any action.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. Building on Commissioner's Chang question, Mr. Orodenker, is the email request that we close this matter a sufficient basis for a motion, or do we need more from the Petitioner?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We would need to contact the Petitioner. Staff will contact the Petitioner and tell us what the actual status of the situation is and work with the Petitioner to close out, if that's what their desire is, for lack of a better word for disposition.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Orodenker.

Mr. Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: It would appear that from the email that they don't have any financial interest in the property, however, they're still named parties. So if any individual landowner has a problem in conveying title, it would appear that they bear the responsibility of removing it. So I think that's the proper method.

However, they should be informed that the conditions still stand and they bear the burden of trying to remove it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are we ready to move

1 on to the County? County.

MR. HOPPER: Same comments as before. I do believe that, yeah, there is not necessarily impediment to title with Land Use Commission condition. I think there is lots of property in large subdivisions that have LUC conditions on them, Kealani, my house still has them there.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Motion to enforce -MR. HOPPER: You're going to make me build
a park? Only you.

I think in general, you know, that's something that you'll see and, you know, I don't know if the Commission has the power in its own motion to bring this -- better to have a landowner in cases where the landowner may have transferred ownership, might get more complicated, but whatever process feels appropriate, and if it does look like these docket conditions, or at least annual report conditions can be released, that's something that seems to make sense to do, if the effort to look into these was to clean up the docket.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Other questions for the County?

Do I have a second on my motion to enforce?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Second.

```
1
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: The Chair cannot
2
     make motions.
 3
               VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Procedurally safe --
 4
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning?
5
               MS. APUNA: No comments.
 6
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, what
7
      is your pleasure?
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Just not take
8
9
     action.
10
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Can I just ask Mr.
     Orodenker, is staff going to be looking at all of the
11
12
     pending matters? I just don't want us to be
13
     criticized for picking and choosing.
14
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: We are looking at
15
     everything.
16
               COMMISSIONER CHANG: Very good.
17
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Would it be
18
     required to place these future type of actions on the
19
     agenda?
20
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: It depends on the
21
      situation. This was the first time we came across
22
     this type of a situation.
23
               COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Usually you would
24
     receive a final report? Just asking.
25
               EXECUTIVE OFFICER: A number of different
```

things that are happening. Some developers over the years, as soon as they're done with the project and moved on, they will file a motion, a change in ownership and/or Motion to Dismiss conditions, to alleviate the conditions.

This is part of what we have been doing to try and clean up our records. This is why it's starting to come before the Commissioners. Our hope is to develop a system whereby we don't have to bring every matter to every one of these to the Commissioners, whereby we can work with the landowners to come to some resolution, issue some kind of letter that resolves whatever issues that they have with regard to both title and releasing them from further obligation.

We have situations where the project is

100 percent built out, and technically the developer
who was the petitioner doesn't even have an interest
in the property any more other than the fact that it
got some obligations to the people they sold to that
might hold them on the hook for something.

So basically this is a work in progress.

We have got to figure out a system that works for both petitioners and for the Land Use Commission on how to basically take these things off the book.

We will give them all to the County, that sounds fair.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, I would view what the staff is doing in this regard simply as an accommodation or trying to have responsive government to the community. At least for me, I would like to make a statement that I don't believe these actions should be considered to create an independent duty on behalf of the State of Hawai'i or any of the Counties or Land Use Commission or it's staff to have to basically independently have a duty to follow up with landowners.

If there's conditions that a landowner believes should be released, it's the landowner's responsibility to bring the appropriate motion if an a motion is required, or to take the appropriate action to get a clear release of conditions, or to do whatever is necessary regarding entitlement.

So in my view, this is simply an accommodation to try to help people in business, landowners, to do things efficiently, but it doesn't create an independent duty upon any government agency. That's just my statement.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you,

1 Commissioner Okuda. 2 Is there anything further on this agenda 3 item? If not we have made it through all our agenda 4 items. 5 Any further business for this Commission to 6 consider? If not, I will ask that our next meetings 7 on November 20th and 21st will be in remote locations 8 and have heavy agendas, and ask that, Mr. Orodenker, 9 LUC staff can make necessary arrangements for our 10 working lunch. 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Well, given the way 12 this Commission eats --13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I'll take that to be 14 a yes. 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: That being said, 17 there is no further business and this meeting is adjourned. 18 19 (The proceedings adjourned at 9:20 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)) SS.
3	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on November 7, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 7th day of November, 2019, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	S/s Jean Marie JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
20	oblin minib memmoo, con #150
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	