1		LAND USE COMMISSION STATE OF HAWAI'I	
2			
3		Hearing held on December, 2019 Commencing at 9:35 a.m.	
4		Maui Arts & Cultural Center Haynes Meeting Room	
5		One Cameron Way, Kahului, Maui	
6			
7	AGENDA		
8	I.	Call to Order	
9	II.	Adoption of Minutes	
10	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule	
	IV.	ACTION	
11		A04-751 Maui Land & Pineapple Company Inc.(Maui, TMK 4-3-01:por. 31	
12		To Consider Petitioner Maui Ocean View LP's Motion to Amend Decision and Order dated June	
13		30, 2006 to recognize Petitioner as the successor to Maui Land & Pineapple Company,	
14		Ltd; acknowledge proposed development layout for Pulelehua; amend the 2006 D&O to allow	
15		rentals as well as sales, development of a private water treatment plant, development of a	
16		private wastewater treatment plant, amend the number of workforce housing units, and clarify	
17		that development conditions do not apply to the	
18		County of Maui Public Works Department	
19	V.	Recess	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	BEFOR	E: Jean Marie McManus, CSR #156	
25		·	
-			

2.

		_
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	JONATHAN SCHEUER, Chair NANCY CABRAL, Vice Chair	
3	EDMUND ACZON GARY OKUDA	
4	DAN GIOVANNI DAWN CHANG	
5	LEE OHIGASHI ARNOLD WONG	
6		
7	<pre>STAFF: LINDA CHOW, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General</pre>	
8	DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Officer	
9	RILEY K. HAKODA, Planner/Chief Clerk BERT SARUWATARI, Planner	
10		
11	MICHAEL HOPPER, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel MICHELE McLEAN, Planning Director	
12	ANN CUA, Planner BUDDY ALMEIDA, Housing Administrator	
13	For County of Maui Planning Department	
14	Deputy Attorney General RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, Planning Program Administrator	
15	For State Office of Planning	
16	GILBERT KEITH-AGARAN, ESQ. Takitani Agaran & Jorgensen, LLLP	
17	PAUL CHENG, Petitioner PRESCOTT CHENG, Petitioner	
18	Attorney for Petitioners	
19	MICHAEL C. CARROL, ESQ. A. BERNARD BAYS, ESQ.	
20	Bays Lung Rose & Holma Attorneys for Motion to Intervene	
21	Accorneys for Mocron co intervene	
22		
23		
24		
25		

				3
1)
2	PETITION	INDEX	PAGE	
3	A04-751 Maui Land &	<u>Pineapple</u>	6	
4	PUBLIC WITNESSES:			
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION:			
6	Van Fischer Tim Hehemann		12 16	
7	Bernie Bays		17	
8	Sharon Banaag Aris Banaag		21 24	
9	Joseph Pluta Kelly King		3 0 3 3	
10	Tiare Lawrence Dick Mayer		38 41	
	Shane Sinenci		48	
11	Rod Antone Yuki Lei Sugimura		51 54	
12	Junya Nakoa Bruce U'u		60 64	
13	Albert Perez		68 74	
14	Kathy Kaohu Kai Nishiki		78	
15	Tamara Paltin		8 4	
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha mai kakou. 2 This is the December 4th, 2019 Land Use 3 Commission meeting. 4 Our first order of business is the adoption of the November 20th through 21st, 2019 minutes. 5 6 Are there any individuals desiring to give 7 public testimony solely on the matter of the adoption of the November 20th through 21st minutes? Seeing 8 9 none. 10 Commissioners, are there any comments or corrections on the minutes? 11 12 Commissioner Cabral? 13 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I'd like to comment on 14 what an amazingly good job Mr. Riley does on getting 15 those minutes to us, and how detailed they are. I 16 read them last night because I know he was working on 17 them last night, and I had to comment on what a great job he does and I would move to accept them. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 20 Commissioner Cabral. 21 There has been praise and a motion to 22 accept. Does anybody wish to second both matters? 23 COMMISSIONER WONG: Second. 24 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion was made by

Commissioner Cabral and seconded by Commissioner

25

1 Wong. Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing

2 | none, all in favor say "aye." Is there anybody

3 opposed? If not, the motion carries and is

4 unanimously adopted.

Our next agenda item is the tentative meeting schedule.

Mr. Orodenker.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Tomorrow we will again meet on Maui for continuation of this matter, if necessary, Pulelehua if necessary, and for the C. Brewer matter.

December 17th, we will be on Kaua'i for the Hokua matter. December 18th, we will also be on Kaua'i for a continuation of that matter, if necessary.

January 8th, we will be on -- in Kona at NELHA for the U of Bencorp matter, HHFDC; and on January 9th, we will be on Oahu for the Gentry KS Waiawa matter continuation of that matter.

On January 22nd, we will be on Oahu at the Ko'olau Ballroom for the Hawaiian Memorial Park matter. We'll also be on Oahu on January 23rd for the Hawaiian Memorial Park matter at the Honolulu Airport.

The February agenda remains open as does

the March agenda. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Dan. 3 Commissioners, any there questions for our 4 Executive Officer on the tentative meeting schedule? 5 Commissioner Giovanni. COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: January -- or 6 7 pardon me, December 17 and 18 in Kaua'i, it says here it's in Kaua'i. Is that the Sheraton in Poipu or in 8 9 Kapaa? CHIEF CLERK: Coconut Beach. 10 11 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Coconut Beach. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Giovanni. 14 15 Are there other questions? Seeing none. 16 A04-751: 17 Our next agenda item is an action meeting on Docket No. A04-751 Maui Land & Pineapple Company, 18 Inc. (Maui), TMK 4-3-01, portion of lot 31 to 19 20 Consider Petitioner Maui Oceanview LP's Motion to 21 Amend the Decision and Order, dated June 30th, 2006, 22 to recognize the Petitioner as the successor to Maui 23 Land & Pineapple Company, Ltd.; acknowledge the

proposed development layout for Pulelehua; amend the

2006 Decision and Order to allow rentals as well as

24

25

```
1
     sales, development of a private water treatment
2
    plant, development of a private water -- wastewater
3
     treatment plant, to amend the number of workforce
4
    housing units, and to clarify that development
5
     conditions do not apply to the County of Maui Public
6
     Works Department.
7
               Will the parties please identify themselves
8
     for the record?
```

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Gil Keith-Agaran for the Petitioner. And with me at the table is developers representatives Paul Cheng and Preston Cheng.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

MR. HOPPER: Michael Hopper, Deputy

Corporation Counsel representing the Maui County

Department of Planning. With me are Planning

Director Michele McLean and Planner Ann Cua. We also have Housing Administrator Buddy Almeida with us today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Hopper.

MS. APUNA: Good morning, Chair and members, Dawn Apuna for the Office of Planning. Here with me today is Rodney Funakoshi.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Now, let me update the record.

On September 25 and 26, 2019, the

Commission met here at the Maui Arts and Cultural

Center on this docket and voted to defer

decisionmaking on the Motion to Amend the Decision

and Order dated June 30, 2006.

Also at that meeting, the Petitioner filed its Exhibit W, the County filed a List of Deficiencies in the Amended Decision and Order, and public testimony was received.

On October 28, 2019, the LUC issued its order deferring decisionmaking on Maui Oceanview's Motion to Amend the Decision and Order.

On November 14th through 15th, 2019, the
Commission received Petitioner's Memorandum on Legal
Issues; whether Pulelehua requires a Supplemental EIS
Supporting Good Cause to Amend the 2006 Decision and
Order, Exhibit A and Petitioner's Sixth Supplemental
Memorandum in Support of the Motion of the
Petitioner, Maui Oceanview LP, to Amend Decision and
Order; along with the following Exhibits A-3, A-4,
M-2, M-3, N-3, N-4, N-5, O-1, O-2, R-3, W, W-2, X, Y,
Z, and AA.

On November 19th, the Commission received the Office of Planning's Response to Specific issues identified by the Commission and copies of Public

1 Testimony sent to the Petitioner's address.

On November 26th, the Commission mailed the December 4th and 5th, 2019 meeting agenda notice to the Parties and to our Statewide, email and Maui mailing lists.

From November 26th through December 3rd, the Commission received and processed public testimony on this docket to the record.

On November 27th, the Commission received an untimely Notice of Intent to Intervene and a Petition to Intervene along with Exhibits 1 through 6, which were filed by Michael C. Carrol, Attorney at Law, at Bays Lung Rose & Holma on behalf of the Kahana Hui Lot Owners Group.

Mr. Carrol also submitted public testimony and Exhibits 1 through 4 in Opposition to the Motion to Amend.

On December 3rd, 2019, the Commission received Petitioner's Seventh Memorandum in Support of the Motion and the Petitioner's Response from the Kahana Hui's Motion to Intervene.

Before we take up the substance of the Motion to Amend, I believe that on the advice of counsel, we have the ability to take up the previously mentioned Motion for Intervention at this

1 time.

Commissioners, does anybody object to taking up the Motion to Intervene at this point in the proceedings?

Commissioner Wong?

COMMISSIONER WONG: I want to move to go into executive session to consult with the Board's attorney on questions and issues pertaining to the Board's powers, duties, privileges, immunities, and liabilities regarding the Motion to Intervene.

COMMISSIONER ACZON: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion has been made by Commissioner Wong and seconded by Commissioner Aczon to go into executive session to discuss with our counsel this proposed Motion to Intervene.

If not, all in favor say "aye." Is anybody opposed? The Commission will go into executive session on this matter.

Is there discussion on the motion?

(Executive session.)

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha. Again, thank you to everybody for your patience on this matter.

Because of the obvious significant public interest in this matter, the Commission wants to take

public testimony before proceeding with the procedural matters before us on the Motion to Intervene.

So I'm going to be using the following procedure. I'm going to call the names of the next two testifiers. If you're the person intending to testify, you come up to the public witness box next to our court reporter. If you're the second name, you sit in the chair behind them for the next witness.

I will swear you in. You'll affirm that you are going to tell the truth. You'll state your name and address for the record, and then you will have three minutes to testify.

And at the conclusion of that, we'll see where we are on the calendar and where we can pick up on the procedural matters.

The first two testifiers signed up to testify are Van Fischer and Tim -- sorry, I depend on your ability to write clearly. So Tim, H-e-h-e-m-a something, something.

So I'm demonstrating also, you press the button, if the button is not lit, so that it is lit, and you speak about -- if you notice how close I am to the microphone? That's about how close you need

to be to be picked up, and there's a volume knob,
which you should turn to the right.

Aloha, good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Please state your name and address for the record and then proceed.

VAN FISCHER

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

My name is Van Fischer, and I reside at 165 Ala Hoku Place, Lahaina.

I am a homeowner immediately adjacent to this project. And I thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts. I apologize for reading my testimony, but I want to make sure that I succinctly convey my thoughts.

 $\mbox{\sc I}$ am an ardent supporter of affordable housing, and $\mbox{\sc I}$ own a business that refurbishes older

houses and sells them primarily to first time home buyers. We sell only to full-time Maui residents.

We have placed 15 local working families in homes in the last five years and currently have five homes under construction. I am painfully aware of the shortage of workforce housing.

First and foremost, I did not oppose the original Pulelehua Town, and my family looked forward to the Main Street of coffee shops, stores and restaurants. The proposed changes completely eliminated the entire urban village concept that was fully vetted and approved.

I am also very concerned about the loss of affordable market rate homes for local buyers and the lack of reasonable buffers around my area given the proposed changes.

We, as adjacent owners, were never notified of these proposed changes.

When we did become aware of them, I attended both open houses and met with Mr. Cheng. He listened to my concerns about the affordability of the market rate lots, and he assured me that we had plenty of time to discuss them. He did not disclose that the deadline to make changes was November 15th and that the changes I was suggesting were never

going to make it into the final plan.

Paltin and the CPAC Chair Kai Nishiki, and we were told that it was too late to make any changes. We were stunned to learn they had been working with the developer for six weeks on their changes. These representatives on the West side never thought us -- thought to bring us into the discussions even while they were giving their consent to a 50 percent increase in lots along our south line and a commercial shop and parking lot being placed 300 feet from our homes.

When I pointed out that the market rate homes were being designed in a way that would cause most of them to likely be built out as million dollar vacation, Ms. Nishiki's response was that she could live with that. I cannot live with that.

Over the last several weeks, we have proposed many ideas that would allow the developer to make the market rate lots smaller and more geared towards working families.

We requested a copy of the agreement that was supposedly entered into between the developer and Ms. Nishiki and the other participants. She didn't respond. It was only after much consideration that

we felt we had no choice but to intervene.

In his Memorandum to Legal Issues on page 18, Mr. Cheng states that allowing him to convert the urban village of Pulelehua to a massive apartment complex will save him \$68 million in infrastructure costs. If you're going to put \$68 million back in Mr. Cheng's pocket, isn't it reasonable to ask that he design the 100 market rate lots to be geared towards working families as opposed to vacation homeowners?

It is highly unlikely that a million dollar vacation homeowner would add a HUD rate ohana and share his yard with a local family.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Three minutes, please summarize your testimony.

THE WITNESS: If at all possible, I would request that you ask Mr. Cheng why he's not willing to make reasonable changes that would benefit the neighbors and the community as a whole.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

And the last bit of our procedures, because of the nature of our proceedings, after you are done testifying, I give the opportunity to ask questions to the parties in this matter, the Petitioner, the

1	County and the Office of Planning.
2	Does the Petitioner have any questions?
3	MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: County?
5	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP?
7	MS. APUNA: No questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Hehemann, sorry
11	for slaughtering your name, followed by Bernie Bays.
12	THE WITNESS: My name is Tim Hehemann. I
13	live at 4260 Hine Way. I am
14	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Do you swear
15	or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the
16	truth?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Please
19	continue.
20	TIM HEHEMANN
21	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
22	Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
23	testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	THE WITNESS: We were never notified of

these changes, so I'm just going to echo what Van 1 2 just said. And we are currently negotiating with 3 Paul, and we hope to come to a resolution. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 4 5 Are there questions? 6 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No. 7 MR. HOPPER: No questions. MS. APUNA: No. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 10 Bernie Bays, followed by Sharon Banaag. 11 THE WITNESS: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, my 12 name is Bernie Bays. Mike Carrol and I are 13 attorneys, and we represent the Intervenors. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 15 Bays. Because you are actually appearing right now 16 as a public witness, I will swear you in. 17 Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth? 18 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 20 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. Please 21 continue. 22 BERNIE BAYS 23 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 24 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 25 testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: As you know, I represent ten of the 16 lot owners in the Kahana Hui project, which is surrounded by the subject project, so obviously my clients have a direct interest in this project.

We are working with the developer right now, and have had some very productive discussions with him. So we are trying to work out a solution that addresses adequately my clients' concerns.

And Mr. Cheng has been open to those discussions, and we're making substantial progress in reaching a resolution.

I would ask that the Commission defer a decision on our Motion to Intervene as long as possible to give us an opportunity today to continue those discussions and define an agreement that we think will adequately address my clients' concerns. So we would ask that you accommodate us in that way.

I would disagree that our Motion to

Intervene is untimely. I think this project and its

composition has been a moving target with the latest

agreements, so it would be difficult to determine at

which time a Motion to Intervene should have been

filed by my clients.

So I would strongly disagree that the

Motion to Intervene is untimely. And it's clear from 1 2 your own rules that a Motion to Intervene should be 3 granted if at all possible. So if we're not able to resolve this with 4 5 the developer, I would ask that the decision on this be deferred, and that we give -- be given an 6 7 opportunity to intervene in these proceedings and present the witnesses that we would have regarding 8 9 this project. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Bays. 12 Are there questions for Mr. Bays from the Petitioner? 13 14 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No. 15 MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP? 17 MS. APUNA: No questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 19 I apologize, I neglected to offer the 20 chance of the Commissioners to ask questions of the 21 witnesses of the previous two. 22 Commissioners, any questions? 23 Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Mr. Bays, just real

fast. Do you think if we give you -- if we do take a

1 lunch break, if the Chair decides, do you think that
2 will be enough time?

THE WITNESS: We have two issues. We have largely resolved one of those.

Is that correct, Mr. Cheng?

MR. CHENG: That's correct.

THE WITNESS: We have largely resolved one of those. And we're about -- with the authority I just got from my client, I think we're about halfway there on resolving the second issue. So I believe that that would be possible, but Gilbert can correct me if I am wrong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Okay. Let's just see what plays out today, and let the Chair decide.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

The intention is, we're going to go through public testimony, hear from everybody from the public who wishes to testify today. We will see where we are if we are at or reasonably near to lunch. It is my inclination to allow a normal lunch period to the parties to try and resolve these final issues.

Certainly, we don't want to snatch defeat from the jaws of victory if not possible. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Sharon Banaaq, 2 followed by Aris. 3 Aloha, good morning. 4 THE WITNESS: Aloha. Good morning, Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or 6 affirm the testimony you're about to give is the 7 truth? 8 THE WITNESS: I do. 9 SHARON BANAAG 10 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 11 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 12 testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 Good morning, Commissioners, my name is 15 Sharon Zalsos Banaag. I am a resident of 3866 Hana 16 Highway, Haiku. No affordable housing available in 17 Lahaina, so we had to move to Haiku. But I would 18 like to just give my support, and I just -- I thank 19 that the Commission supports this project. 20 You know, I come from a family who's lived 21 in Maui, born and raised, since before World War II, 22 Filipinos, and multi-generational families that had 23 to move out, move away from the island.

I am extremely excited to have heard about this project because it's affordable, but it's not

just for housing per se. It's also rental, which is -- let's be realistic, not everybody can be a homeowner, so to have something like this is a blessing to us.

In our family alone, in our property, we have three families living right now hui in Haiku because that's what's available, right? Two of those families, so four people have to travel from Haiku all the way to Lahaina -- not Lahaina, I'm sorry -- Napili and Kaanapali, every day, every day. And of course we love OT, right? Overtime. So let's say seven days a week, twice a day. So this project, it screams just a blessing to us.

I would like to thank everybody who has been a part of this. It's a long time, lots of years in the making. Thank you for the developers for even willing to meet us halfway. But from what I hear, it's more than that. Thank you. Thank you.

My families in Haiku is okay; we're okay.

We'll do that. We'll do the traveling. We'll do the driving. But we do have hundreds more that's living right now in Lahaina. Those are the ones that I am speaking for.

Because we have ten family members per rental. Honokowai, Napili, and I'm pretty sure

anyone who's anybody who's been in Lahaina can check 1 2 out what the rentals look like. They're not nice. 3 They're not nice, but they're making do because 4 there's nothing affordable. There's nothing 5 available. 6 So maybe if we can all hui together as a 7 family and buy a big lot somewhere in, I don't know, 8 Laniupoko, but we cannot -- that's not really reality, is it? 9 10 So I beg of you, please, I fully support 11 this project. I want our kids to be able to stay 12 here, and I want them to live in a nice place. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 15 Are there questions for the witness? 16 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions. 17 MR. HOPPER: No. 18 MS. APUNA: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 20 Commissioner Cabral. 21 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you for coming to 22 testify and representing your family and community. 23 My question is when you talk affordable rents -- I'm

testify and representing your family and community.

My question is when you talk affordable rents -- I'm curious. I mean, I'm from Hilo, and I handle rental properties, so what kind of rents are you paying for

24

25

1 what size of house just so I have some perspective on 2 what's going on here? 3 THE WITNESS: You know, I wish they could 4 be here. We do have several -- my husband could 5 probably help you with that one. He's going to be 6 speaking right after me, but -- so I don't want to 7 even begin to speak numbers. I don't want to say, perjure myself. 8 9 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. I wish 11 everybody who appeared before us had that same 12 sentiment. 13 Are there any other questions for the 14 witness? If not, thank you very much. 15 Aris Banaaq, followed by Joseph Pluta. 16 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Good morning. 18 Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're 19 about to give is the truth? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed. 22 ARIS BANAAG 23 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 24 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 25 testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Good morning again. My name is Aris

Banaag. I currently reside at 386 Hana Highway in

Haiku, but I'm -- I was raised in Lahaina my whole

life. I went to school there, all my friends are

still there. I have lots of family in Lahaina.

My heart is still in Lahaina. I'm a proud Lahainaluna graduate. When I went away for college, my parents had to sell the home that they bought at a really low rate at that time. When I came home, there was nothing left.

I couldn't live in Lahaina. At that time, that was back in 1996/'97. It was already high. We're looking at renting places for 18, 15 or \$1800 back then. And it was ridiculous.

I came home to nothing. I had to live with my bother in Kahului because he couldn't live in Lahaina either. I worked at Lahainaluna and Camp Third (phonetic). I was a therapist there. I was a counsellor. I worked. I drove from Kahului to Lahaina every day for eight years.

Then I moved up to Pukalani, because I had to find another place to live, and I couldn't -- I always wanted to go back home, but I couldn't. I live in Pukalani, and I drove to Lahainaluna for that

whole time until I finally decided I cannot live -work in Lahaina any more. I found a job, and I work
at the college, which I had to take some time off to
be here. But I did so because I find it important.

There's so many families that have to commute an extremely long distance. If you're driving that drive to Lahaina from Kahului, that's ridiculous. You're lucky if you make it there in one hour if there's no traffic. That's sad.

And for once I hear about a development that's going to go this far and beyond to do something to actually help the community, and now we're going to sit here and we're going to wait and we're going to talk some more. I find it frustrating as a resident. I find it frustrating for my family.

I have so much family in Lahaina that has had to moved away. I had so many friends that I graduated with, and no longer here because they can't live here. I wish they could still be here. I wish they could come back home. I wish we could have had homes then, so we could move back into because I love Lahaina.

I wish my kids right now -- I have three, four kids. I wish they could see how wonderful Lahaina is, but they cannot. We go to visit, and I

see how everything's changed and developed but we can't never live there. We can't afford it. I can't afford it.

I had to move all the way -- halfway across the island to find a place that was affordable for me and my family. And I had to do it because I had to hui with my brother, so we could afford property together. And that's the only way we could do it. And like my wife said, it's true, not everyone can afford a home, but renting one is possible. But with the rental prices now -- when I was renting homes and I was looking for homes to rent, you asked about rental the prices.

In Lahaina it was going to cost me anywhere between 1800 to 2000 just for like a one or two bedroom. I like, what the hell? How can I afford something like that?

I mean, I thought it was all of these things right now. I find -- I read through the plans what was offered. And I was like, wow, this is like a blessing. This should be a model for all housing developments from this point on.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: If you can summarize, please.

THE WITNESS: So I sit here and I

```
1
      completely support this project, and I hope, too,
2
     that everyone else here can see the benefits for the
 3
     opportunity.
 4
                Thank you.
5
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Than you very much.
 6
      If you would remain seated for a moment.
7
                Are there any questions?
8
                MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.
9
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Questions, County,
10
     OP?
11
               MR. HOPPER: No.
12
                MS. APUNA: No.
13
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
14
                Commissioner Cabral.
15
                VICE CHAIR CABRAL: So you referenced
     timeframe in '96 or so that the housing would have
16
17
     been about 1500, and then you said 15 to 1800 for a
     one or two bedroom.
18
19
                Are those the current numbers that you
20
     would be looking at, or have you not had to look --
21
     been able to look lately for housing for that kind of
22
     rent?
23
                THE WITNESS: Some of my family members who
24
      rent in Napili, Honokowai, they're renting about -- I
```

would say for my cousin has a two bedroom. He's

25

renting for about, I want to say 2200. Either 2000 or 2200 he's renting a two-bedroom apartment in Honokowai area.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay. Thank you very much for the information. That does give me some perspective. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I just want to share, too, brief reactions to your testimony.

I appreciate your taking time off. I sometimes at the beginning of our meetings with the Land Use Commission share for people who are not familiar with us. Just so you know, that there are nine possible commissioners, we have eight seated right now.

We're all volunteers as well, so we're not working and getting paid. So on the one hand, we definitely resonate with your idea of like, what, we're going to talk some more about this, you know. It's like a test. It's like we spend a lot of time talking about matters, but we do take our duties under the state constitution seriously and provide due process to all parties who come before us.

So I appreciate your being here and taking time off, and just know that we share that sentiment.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Joseph Pluta, 2 followed by Council Chair Kelly King. 3 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Good morning. 5 THE WITNESS: Do you want to swear in 6 first? 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Sure. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 8 9 you're about to give is the truth? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 JOSEPH PLUTA 12 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 13 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 14 testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: My name is Joseph Pluta. I 16 17 reside at 1612 Aina Kea Road in Wai'ikula, Lahaina, 18 Maui, and I've been here for -- in Maui for 40 years. 19 40 years ago when I first moved to Maui 20 from Honolulu, where I lived for ten years in 21 Honolulu, UH shirt still -- (indecipherable). 22 Thank you, Commissioners. I'm a volunteer, 23 too. I started the West Maui Taxpayers 40 years 24 ago -- I'm sorry, I will slow down. 25 40 years ago, I started the West Maui

Taxpayers Association as a volunteer, and I've been -- I'm still currently the president. I wasn't always all those 40 years, but I am currently the president of the West Maui Taxpayers. And I've been aware of the affordable housing crisis we've had for many, many years in West Maui.

We have had meetings with the community where we've inventoried our community and asked them what the priorities are, so our Board of Directors could follow what the communities' wishes were. And affordable housing and health care and traffic, those are the top three, and it's not changed for 40 years.

You know, it's amazing the crises
management seems to be what we're always dealing with
crisis management. And there's always -- every time
there seems to be a solution. There's always
something that comes up that creates a problem for
the implementation of what our proposed solutions.

In the 40 years that I've been following the affordable housing crisis, I haven't come across a development team that's been willing to meet with the community as I've seen what's happened with this current proposal here where people who had real concerns.

I heard some earlier testimony. Their

willingness to meet with people and make changes to
their proposals to meet the concerns and objectives
is a breath of fresh air. I think they're a
wonderful development team. They've got a lot of
experience in doing what they do, and they're a
breath of fresh air to us.

The West Maui Taxpayer Board has looked at
their project and has enthusiastically supported it

their project and has enthusiastically supported it

100 percent. We highly recommend that you -- this

Commission approve this project without delay. The

crisis is still a crisis, getting worse day by day.

The faster this thing can be approved and moved

forward the better for everyone.

I'm happy to answer any questions, but we strongly support this for many reasons. I've submitted written testimony as well.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much,
Mr. Pluta.

Are there any questions from the Petitioner?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Maui County?

MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning?

```
1
               MS. APUNA: No.
2
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
                                                      Ιf
 3
     not, thank you --
 4
                Oh, Commissioner Giovanni.
5
                COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you for your
 6
     testimony. Since we -- our last meeting here on this
7
     docket, do you feel that you've -- that the West side
8
      community has had ample opportunity to meet with
     and -- with the developer and to voice their
9
10
     concerns?
11
                THE WITNESS: Well, I believe that they
12
     have. My opinion, yes.
                COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you.
13
14
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there anything
15
      further, Commissioners?
16
                If not, thank you very much, Mr. Pluta.
17
                Kelly King followed by Tiare Lawrence.
                THE WITNESS: Aloha.
18
19
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha.
20
                Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're
21
     about to give is the truth?
22
                THE WITNESS: I do.
23
                           KELLY KING
24
     Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
25
      Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and
```

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I tried to institute this at the council level, but I was told we couldn't do that.

Anyways, I want to thank you for having this hearing today, and I'm here to speak in full support as a council member, and I think you've heard from several other council members on the project.

I'm not one of the council members that supports affordable housing at all cost. I like appropriate affordable housing, and I see that, you know, reviewing the changes that have been -- have happened in this project, I really want to thank the developer for working with the community. I don't think there's a -- I mean, I have worked with other developers in South Maui, and we worked on some projects for the very needy, the 60 percent and lower, so there are developers out there that are willing to meet with the community and willing to work around the communities' need and even change their projects.

And I've had that happen with a couple of the projects that I worked on with developers in South Maui, which is my district, so I appreciate the

fact that Mr. Cheng was willing to go back to the drawing board and fashion something that community could be supportive of.

I understand, because we get this at the council level all the time, that people that live in the direct neighborhood always have concerns, and because that's never going to change, but this is something that is beneficial to the entire community.

It's got the desirability of affordable housing that we always talk about infill and density. The numbers are going to help with our needs. We talk about this need of 12,500 or more units in the next five years.

And personally I believe that if we can do projects like this that are large enough, they're going to impact the existing rental rates and existing prices and hopefully everything will become more affordable. So I don't think we have to necessarily build our way through the entire 12,000 units, but we need projects like this.

I wanted to share with you the 118 rental units at Kaiwahine Village that I've been working with Doug Bigley on. When we did the lottery, the management company talked to a manager of one of the apartment buildings where a lot of the lottery

winners actually came out of. And she said, it looks like I'm going to have to lower my rents because now I'm competing against these projects. And that was music to my ears.

And I think that's what is going to happen with this project is we're going to see with the inventory and the pricing, we're going to see other rentals dropping to heed that, but the affordability, you know, it's going to take awhile.

But we have projects in Central Maui on the books, and this project is going to help greatly with that.

So I also wanted to thank you, because, you know, I have a lot of background and interest in what's happening with wastewater recycling and all that, and I think that's really important and I like the fact that rooftop solar is going to go on all of the units. So I'll end there.

Actually hadn't planned to testify in person, but I was really busy yesterday and didn't get my testimony in writing, so thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much for being here.

Are there questions?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.

1 MR. HOPPER: No questions, Chair. 2 MS. APUNA: No. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 4 Commissioner Cabral. 5 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I'd like to thank you 6 for coming because -- and coming in person. We get 7 written testimony preferably prior to sitting here and trying to read it, but it's nice to hear from 8 9 someone as involved as you are with obviously a lot 10 of knowledge and concern of what's going on, that --11 that at your level that you understand and appreciate 12 the -- what's going to happen and in support of this 13 in a really positive way, so I appreciate that. 14 Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 17 Commissioner Cabral. 18 Commissioner Giovanni. 19 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: I want to echo what 20 Commissioner Cabral said about the relevance and 21 importance of your coming forward so we could hear 22 you personally, and specifically to thank you for the 23 context.

You know, affordable housing is an issue on all of our islands, and, you know, we go from island

to island, and to hear it locally from people who are trying to work the problem on you're island is so important, so thank you for coming.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

Nothing further, Commissioners? If not,

Tiare Lawrence, followed by Dick Mayer.

Aloha, good morning.

THE WITNESS: Hello, Commissioners, my name is Tiare Lawrence, born and raised girl --

I solemnly swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth.

TIARE LAWRENCE

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha, Commissioners, my name is Tiare Lawrence, born and raised Lahaina girl.

Lahaina graduate in 2000. I'm one of the many that long to move back home. I'm going to be honest. I come frustrated today because of that -- the classic NIMBY attitude that we see too often on our islands by people who recently moved here who have no generational connection to this aina.

You know, the Intervenors are simply concerned for their own welfare and interest before those of our working class. The Intervenors are clearly concerned excessively or exclusively for their own interest seeking or concentrating on one's own advantage, pleasure or well-being without regard for others, and it's simply a selfish act.

I hope the developer does not accommodate these NIMBYs at Kahana Hui subdivision. I'm going to be straight-up honest. We all know they want to protect their use and privacy.

A project in this area has been on the books for 15 years. We are in a critical housing crisis. In 2015, 80 percent of the homes were sold to out-of-state home buyers. Let that process for a little bit.

This project will allow people like myself to move back home. I lost my mother last week. And over the course of that week, the whole family got together and spent a whole week together. And I -- you know, I just -- it felt so good to be around family every day, you know. And that's how we grew up, but so much of us live on the other side now because we can't afford to live on that side. You can -- you can't find a two bedroom -- a decent

two-bedroom home for under \$2500. 1 2 Kala mai. This project will set a 3 precedent on how projects should move forward, and I 4 commend the developer for seeking community 5 engagement and seeking out community leaders from the 6 west side and throughout Maui for their input and 7 making the necessary changes to really truly address our critical housing need on that side of the island. 8 9 This project was, you know -- I stated 10 that, but the state's job is not to protect rich 11 homeowners' views and privacy. 12 I humbly request the Land Use Commission to 13 stand strong behind the working-class families of 14 Maui and support this project. Please do not defer 15 this matter. We have waited for too long for truly affordable rental and for sale lots. Mahalo nui. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Ms. 17 Lawrence. 18 19 Questions for the witness from the 20 Petitioner? 21 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, chair.

22 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: County?

23

24

25

MR. HOPPER: No.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP?

MS. APUNA: No.

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
2	Commissioner Chang.
3	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mahalo, I'm sorry to
4	hear of your mother's passing. Thank you for being
5	here.
6	It took a lot of courage to come here and
7	say what you did, so mahalo for being here, thank
8	you.
9	THE WITNESS: Mahalo.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further,
11	Commissioners?
12	Thank you very much.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Dick Mayer followed
15	by Council Member Shane Sinenci.
16	Good morning.
17	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
18	you're about to give is the truth?
19	THE WITNESS: I do.
20	DICK MAYER
21	Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the
22	Public, was sworn to tell the truth and testified as
23	follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	THE WITNESS: My name is Dick Mayer. I

live on 1111 Lower Kimo Drive in Kula.

I first want to say a little bit of background for the Commissioners who don't know me.

I was formerly member of the Maui Planning

Commission. I was also the Vice Chair of the General Plan Advisory Committee that put together the overall Maui Island Plan which looked at the West side, all the areas of Maui, to make its growth boundaries, et cetera.

So I've had a lot of experience in these kind of issues, and I could mention other things as well.

I'm very pleased that the developer and Kai Nishiki sat down and -- as well as other people -- sat down together to put this agreement forth. I was one of the people at the last meeting that you had who raised a number of objections and concerns.

They sat down, and they went through those concerns and issues and have come together now with an excellent agreement. No agreement will ever satisfy either side completely a hundred percent, but they compromised. They worked together, and I think they'll come up with an excellent agreement.

I'm going to ask that the Commission, when they issue a Decision and Order on this issue, make

sure that that agreement is incorporated into their Decision and Order, that they make provision that it will be protected as an agreement. If the developer, for example, were to sell the property or transfer ownership at some point, a long-term agreement for many years that it be incorporated in there so that the homeowners and the community will have the protections that that agreement entails.

I would hope that the housing department of the County of Maui would also endorse this agreement, so they will have a vested stake in enforcing it. I would like to also see the Commission really publicly thank these people for getting together and doing this -- making this kind of agreement. It's not often that we get two sides on an issue like this to really sit down and do some heavy negotiating with lawyers and other people, accountants, what have you, to come up with agreement like this, and it sets a precedent for us on the island for all future developments, and I would hope that you would urge developers to do this.

The person representing the community, Kai Nishiki, is not just a resident of West Maui, she was elected by the committee that's now putting together the West Maui Community Plan to be their Chair. So

this was not a small individual who's doing it, but somebody who is a leader in the community and I think represents the best interest of the community.

One last thing about the project and why I think it's -- it should be endorsed as such. This is a project north of Kaanapali. Many of the people who work in Kaanapali, many thousands, in order of five to 10,000 people, commute every day from Central Maui to West Maui along that highway that most of you know goes through Olowalu and over the Pali. That highway is crowded, it's dangerous, et cetera.

This project is north of Kaanapali and consequently the people working -- living and working in these affordable houses as well as in some of the market houses will be going south towards Kaanapali to work, and that will greatly reduce traffic on the Olowalu highway.

So in other words, it's in the prime location for the housing project especially for workers in West Maui, and I think that should be taken into account when you make your Decision and Order.

 $\label{eq:thm:constraints} \mbox{Thank you very much for your time and best}$ wishes on your decision.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Mayer.

Are there questions for the witness from the Petitioner?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: County?

MR. HOPPER: Nope.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP?

MS. APUNA: No.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

If not, Mr. Mayer, since you are long experienced with these kinds of matters, do you have any thoughts about the timeliness of the Petition to Intervene?

THE WITNESS: I think if anything this is going to reduce the impacts on that community. The previous -- as it stands now, the original Decision and Order had a housing project that went all the way around, not only next to their project, but above it all the way over and across the project.

This is consolidating the development into a more affordable infrastructure situation and less I think of an impact than would have been allowed if the developer had just taken the original 2006 Decision and Order. So I would urge you to reject the intervention.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Mayer.

Is there anything further for this witness?

Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Chair.

To follow up on the Chair's question, Mr.

Mayer, regarding intervention, one of the earlier

witnesses, the Intervenor's Attorney, Mr. Bays, made

certain statements about timeliness of intervention.

One of the things that we as a Commission might have to consider is whether or not the Intervenors should have brought this Petition to get involved in this case a lot earlier than later, and some might argue that, hey, we didn't know what was going on.

Do you have any comment about whether -and maybe you don't have a comment on that -- but
whether or not the Intervenors should have known
something was going on, and should have brought this
thing forth earlier so that if they really wanted a
seat at the table, they would have a seat at the
table when Ms. Nishiki and other members of the
community were attempting to work things out?

THE WITNESS: My understanding is that the person who spoke first is a realtor who has spoken in favor of affordable housing in West Maui representing one of the developers for the South side of Lahaina,

and it is somebody who should be very aware of land issues.

This matter has been before the Commission now for several months. It was, I think, well-known in the community that this was going on, the articles in the Maui News about it.

I don't know the specific rule, whether property owners adjacent to it, that would be your rules, I don't know your rules in terms of notifying every single resident or landowner in the area whether that's something that you require for an amendment to a Decision and Order. That was something that would decide whether an intervenor had any standing or not, I would think, but that's my only amateur position on that.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further,

Commissioners? If not, thank you very much, Mr.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Council member Shane Sinenci followed by

Rod Antone -- this is like a who's who of Maui today.

Aloha.

THE WITNESS: Aloha mai kakou.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or

Mayer.

affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

4 SHANE SINENCI

2.1

2.4

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Thank you for being here today. I'll read a little bit of my testimony that I sent in.

I understand the critical need for affordable housing for our people. Pulelehua is a rare project supported by housing advocates, environmental organizations, community leaders and local residents.

Community leaders and the developer have worked hard to make the project better than the original 2006 proposal. The requested amendments provide 300 affordable rental units, 400 additional market rental units, and increases the total housing units from 882 to 1000.

Thousands of condos and apartments have been lost to vacation rentals in this area. Many homes on the West side have been purchased by offshore residents driving up prices and creating

1 resistance to affordable housing projects.

The Pulelehua housing project will allow local people the opportunity to move back to West Maui as you've previously heard. The project's location is close to some of the largest employers of Maui, including resorts in Kaanapali, Honokowai, Kahana and Kapalua.

Affordable housing brings more workers into the area, and that benefits everyone. This project promotes the beneficial use of multi mode of transportation by being close to the jobs. Many residents will have the option to bike, walk, carpool or take the bus. It will also alleviate traffic and daily commutes to the other side and from workers living on this part of the island.

As the new council member, we've worked really hard to promote the 30-year affordable clause in a lot of the housing projects. Part of us being in this housing crisis has been the ten-year affordable clause where a lot of markets will flip after ten years and back into the market. So I'm here to support the project. Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

Are there questions for the witness from the Petitioner?

1 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, Chair. 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Maui County? 3 MR. HOPER: No. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP? 5 MS. APUNA: No. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 7 Commissioner Giovanni. 8 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you, council 9 member, for coming forward and testifying today, 10 appreciate it. 11 In your testimony you used the phrase: 12 This project is better than the 2006 proposal. This 13 Commission has learned through its previous hearings 14 on this matter that that original 2006 was infeasible 15 for certain reasons. It would never be built as it 16 was originally configured. 17 Is that your view that this is a better 18 project because it will be built or -- and because it 19 provides a 30-year clause? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. You know, looking 21 over this, the recent, the new design, I believe by 22 just having -- you know, for the developer, we've 23 seen a lot of times where the developer will, I 24 guess, dangle the market just to get the affordable

25

portions built.

So I think with these additions it pencils 1 2 out, and it still keeps the affordability, as you 3 said, in a 30-year clause. 4 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there further 6 questions for the witness? If not, thank you very 7 much for being here. Rod Antone, followed by Yuki Lei Sugimura, 8 9 which means I think we also have a council quorum in 10 addition to the LUC quorum. I'm not quite sure. 11 Aloha, Rod. 12 THE WITNESS: Aloha. 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or 14 affirm the testimony you're about to give is the 15 truth? 16 THE WITNESS: For the next three minutes, 17 yes. 18 ROD ANTONE 19 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 20 Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 21 testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 THE WITNESS: My name is Rod Antone. I'm 24 the Executive Director of the Maui Hotel Lodging 25 Association.

Obviously, we're here because we have a lot of hotel workers who work in West Maui. And, you know, like Aris was saying, they commute -- people commute from Central Maui, sometimes from Up Country, I mean, people drive all the way from Kula to go to work.

So if our workers can have the opportunity to live and work on the same side on West Maui, I think that's an opportunity that can't be passed up.

We like the fact that it's a mix of rentals and, you know, affordable housing, that no short-term rentals will be allowed. And also I'd like to point out because there is a lot of people in this room that haven't always been on the same side of issues, and we have all come together and stand together in support of this project. And I know some of you from the neighbor islands, but, I mean, that -- Maui has been divided for a while, and it's a pleasure to be in the room with these people and stand for -- stand on the same side for once, and kudos to project consultant Lahela Aiwohi for bringing us altogether on that.

And that's my testimony. Oh, also questions about how much housing cost on Maui. I used to do research for the mayor's office, and a

```
good rule of thumb is 1000 per bedroom at least.
1
2
     That is in the most affordable area in Kahului.
                                                        Ιf
     you want to go to more desirable areas in Haiku,
 3
 4
     Kihei, and all that, it goes up drastically.
5
                VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you very much.
 6
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. You still
7
     have a minute and ten seconds of honesty from you, so
8
     are there questions from the Petitioner?
9
                MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.
10
               MR. HOPPER: No.
11
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP?
12
               MS. APUNA: No.
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, this
13
14
     is our chance.
15
                THE WITNESS: As soon as I walk out the
16
     door, I start lying again.
17
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I'm only quoting you
             This has nothing to do with your previous
18
     back.
19
     career in retail, right?
20
                Okay. Thank you very much.
21
                THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Our next witness is
23
     Council Member Yuki Lei Sugimura followed by Junya
2.4
     Nakoa.
25
                THE WITNESS: Thank you for allowing me to
```

be here. My name is Yuki Lei Sugimura. 1 I'm a 2 council member. I live Up Country. I live at 4243 3 Lower Kula Road, Kula, Hawai'i. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I'm just going to --5 THE WITNESS: Oh, I promise. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or 7 affirm the testimony you're going to give is the 8 truth? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I wish we could do that at 12 council, also. 13 YUKI LEI SUGIMURA 14 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: So I am here in support of this project. And I want to commend you for getting the community together. And I've been reading about this in the Maui News and keeping abreast of it over the years.

Originally I was disheartened when Pulelehua could not be built, for whatever reason, and for you to purchase it and bring it back to life,

I appreciate it. And for you to work with the community and -- I heard about this because Tamara Paltin who I work with, a council member. She called me one afternoon very excited and, you know, was kind of giving me the rundown, and I was excited to hear of the parties coming together.

So thank you for allowing that. And thank you, Kai Nishiki, Tamara, Lahela, and it is a breath of fresh air for all the parties to come together.

Oftentimes in council we hear from the other side saying I wanted this, but I wasn't given the opportunity to, or the NIMBYs, whatever it could be.

I want you to know that the Hawai'i

Community Foundation, Micah Kane, came and had a

meeting with the community recently and said that

Maui County has the worst housing project in the

State of Hawai'i, and they are focusing on our

problem and doing their effort to try to help the

housing projects come to life again or to encourage

more housing for our working families.

It is a huge problem. And when I heard

Micah Kane say that, I realized that our problem is

probably a lot deeper and bigger than what we hear of

in the council, and I appreciate the Land Use

Commissioners here, especially Lee Ohigashi, who's from Maui, to spend your time to decipher all of this and make the best decision for the working families of Maui County or for Maui.

We have a lot of -- as you know, our industry, our economic base is the visitor industry. And South Maui and West Maui has the brunt of it.

And the workers do travel as far as you've been hearing today. I can tell, because my house in Kula, my neighbor works in Lahaina, and I can hear his engine revving up very early in the morning. It is very real.

I appreciate also some of the things that we wish for in the council, and a lot of things that we fund are the watershed projects. And I appreciate your supporting the hui watershed project because it's something that I think all of us care about which is water and making sure that you know water is life.

The other thing that, as you know, has been a hot issue for us in Maui County are the injection well issue, which south -- the West Maui injection wells happen to be where the supreme court decision was. And I hope that -- I'm not sure what your decisions are, but I hope you can use some of the

1 reuse water --2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Three minutes. 3 THE WITNESS: -- for your project. So we 4 appreciate you. 5 But thank you very much for allowing me to 6 do this, and I'm open for questions. 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. Are there questions for the witness? 8 9 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Maui County? 11 MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair. MS. APUNA: No. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? Commissioner Cabral, followed by 14 15 Commissioner Ohigashi. 16 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I want to thank you 17 very much, obviously, all the council members for 18 coming in and participating in this. 19 And because I am from the Big Island and I 20 work in housing, in a private sense, I manage a lot 2.1 of properties. 22 I want to make a couple of comments that 23 you as council people are very powerful in the sense 24 that it -- I really recommend at our level in -- on

the Big Island that we look at every issue in our

25

building code. Is it really necessary? Yeah, in a perfect world you'd have sprinklers, you'll have this, you'll have that, but how many of our houses in Hilo area, those wonderful little single-wall redwood homes that everybody built 50, 60 years ago, that are not able to be built today because they're not going to meet all the building codes.

So is it really necessary that all of these things be part of what you're required to do?

Because it's all a matter of dollars, right? That's why the developer has to have super rich houses that they have to -- he or she has to sell in order to afford the ability to build affordable housing. It's because you got to have that mix; otherwise, you can't build anything.

So I really would recommend everybody that's at the table to not just look at how many more ways we can get affordable housing built with federal monies and all these different things, and developers giving up and compromising and all these things, is to really look at your building codes and all of the regulations that you tag onto everybody. Are they really necessary? Is that what we're going to do because if -- that's what I would recommend to help the big picture. Thank you very much.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 2 Commissioner Cabral. 3 What you just pointed out to me is that I 4 just realized my coffee mug has more walls than my 5 house does actually. Because I have a double wall 6 mug and a single wall house. 7 Commissioner Ohigashi. COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: It's not a 8 question; it's more like a disclosure. I have to 9 10 disclose now that Councilman Sugimura called me out, and said she had -- I was in high school with her. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We will allow 13 additional three minutes for stories about 14 Commissioner Ohigashi. 15 THE WITNESS: I have a lot. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Six minutes. Is that 17 sufficient? COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: She has far evolved 18 19 from our status -- my status, well, in high school, 20 and -- but that won't affect my decision. 21 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Thank you. 22 And just for the record, disclosures are 23 not necessary on witnesses, but we appreciate the disclosure. 2.4

Are there any further questions for Ms.

25

Sugimura?

If not, our next signed up witness is Junya Nakoa. And are there any other individuals desiring to provide public testimony, please proceed to the witness -- the next in line box in some order.

THE WITNESS: How's it? Junya Nakoa for Napilihau, right by this project where the thing going be built.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Do you swear or affirm --

THE WITNESS: I swear I going to tell the truth.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

JUNYA NAKOA

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: What you call, yeah, you know all the stories about that couple that they live Lahaina, went to school Lahaina, now they got to live way up. Sorry about that. That's screwed up. I see Tiare -- to Lahaina, strong but she got to live with this type. No can afford over there.

And then talk, responding to the lady's --

- 1 the thing about how much cost for that, it's crazy.
- 2 I just moved my cousin to Honokowai because they
- 3 going to rent for there \$2,700 for two bedroom. I
- 4 seen the bugger; they're both crazy. But, yeah, so
- 5 it's nuts.
- 6 What you call -- what else -- oh, yeah,
- 7 yeah. Yeah, this developer, the guy right here. He
- 8 had plenty meetings. You know, had one a couple
- 9 years ago at the Hyatt. I went attend. He had two
- 10 | in Lahaina, you know, for the community to come. So
- 11 this guy give us an opportunity to come talk story.
- 12 So the guys that like intervene, that weird whatever,
- 13 they had a chance to go, because I knew about it.
- 14 You know what I mean?
- So I could have gone for that thing. I
- went to the Hyatt one. So for this project, they
- 17 | screwed up. You know what I mean?
- 18 Sorry, but one other testimonial --
- 19 | testimony guys they talk. He wasn't rude. We try to
- 20 | push one Workforce Housing Development not too long
- 21 ago, the guy, the one grumbling about this project.
- 22 | No slow down this project, brother, you know what I
- 23 | mean? Because we need the houses, and this project
- 24 is, sorry, but bad ass. It is awesome. It's what
- 25 we've been doing.

I've been working on trying to get

workforce housing for like 20-plus years. Sorry,

brother, the County guys, they got to deal with me

every time, Michele, this Cua lady, the Commissioner.

The brah behind here, there, he had to deal with me,

too, because I bother these guys every time about the

affordable workforce housing, and this developer is

doing what we like, you know, so it's bad ass. What

else?

Oh, yeah, the big one is injection wells.

Who -- I call the mayor about taking the injection wells to supreme court. I made the guy come to

Lahaina and have a meeting about the injection wells that we don't like them. So for him saying, he's going to do use them and then going to use the -- sorry -- the dude (phonetic) water for the water place, that's awesome. And that's what we should be doing, that's the kanaka way. That's the right way to do it.

Shuck, sorry. Yeah, brother, this project, I support planning project, and I go against plenty projects, like the Antone guy, Rob Antone. He knows this project -- and sorry, I'm going to throw him underneath the bus too. Lahela, she bring us all guys together, you know, the two sides of them,

```
because I was the guy always on the outside, all the
1
2
     time.
 3
                So Kai Nishiki, you deal with her, you
 4
      strong bugger, you. She going get on it, but I
5
      respect Kai for what she did and this project, so
 6
     this bugger good.
7
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Mahalo.
               Are there questions for the witness?
8
9
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.
10
               MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair.
11
               MS. APUNA: No.
12
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
13
               Mahalo nui.
14
                THE WITNESS: I was ready for questions.
15
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So our next two
16
     witnesses are, Bruce U'u I believe, followed by
17
     Albert Perez. Kala mai if I mispronounced your name.
18
                THE WITNESS: Close enough, U'u.
19
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: U'u.
                THE WITNESS: Close.
20
21
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Do you swear or
22
     affirm the testimony you're about to give us is the
23
     truth?
24
                THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
25
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Please state
```

1 your name and address for the record and proceed.

2 BRUCE U'U

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the

Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My name is Bruce U'u, also known as Ulu. I live at 249 Kapaa Street, Paia, Maui.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. I came in just to listen to Mr. Cheng's proposal maybe just validate -- I work under the Hawai'i Carpenters Union, so I -- you know, we the guys trying to build the homes for members to qualify.

And so we never got to discuss about anything that the union can do. But what I can tell you this is, I always be a proponent of affordable homes. So even if you get the differences you have, the part is when you understand and when you been here, I would say generational, you understand the feeling or the need of homes.

I went to the same workshop with Yuki Lei when they said 52 percent of all homes are bought

from out of state. And I'm a former planning commissioner. So as I sit on the commission, I hear people talking. The difference always was the have and have nots.

And that's the difference you have here at times. So when you generational, you understand. You're not looking for yourself. I'm looking for down the line, like what the people talking here, what we need in perpetuity is housing to sustain the life that we need, because 48 percent of the people are thinking of moving.

And when you hear the higher ups come up there, or the people with money just so happen I'm on my phone, thank God did this. The houses that the people are opposing this project is valued at 2 million, 1.3, the have and have nots.

And to have them have a say on delaying something that was due generational is an insult to me also. Oh, wait, because -- we see this every day looking out our kids, multi-generational family homes, and we're going to wait for people own houses two million with eight bedrooms, there are more problems.

Their problem is different from our generational problem. They don't feel the effects.

```
1
     They look; they view. And for have pause because
2
     this is so important to them. How important is it?
 3
     We part of the statistics that telling us we live in
 4
     the most crowded homes in the state. Maui has the
5
     biggest problem. They're part of the problem. They
 6
     came, they saw, they part of the 52 percent, and now
7
     they're saying, wait. We've been waiting for years,
8
     brah.
9
                So even though I not going to agree with
10
     this guy all the way, so far I'm a proponent of the
11
     affordable housing, and that includes this project.
12
                Thank you.
13
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mahalo, Mr. U'u.
14
                Questions for the witness from the
15
     Petitioner?
16
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.
17
               MR. HOPPER: No.
18
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP?
19
               MS. APUNA: No.
20
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
21
               Commissioner Aczon.
22
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: There's a question
24
      for you from Commissioner Aczon.
25
                COMMISSIONER ACZON: Mr. Chair, it's not a
```

question. Full disclosure. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. 3 COMMISSIONER ACZON: I didn't know that Mr. 4 U'u was going to testify. Mr. U'u works for the 5 Hawai'i Carpenters Union, and I work for Hawai'i 6 Carpenters Apprenticeship and Training Fund. 7 Although we're separate entity, I don't 8 belong to the union, but we kind of work together on some issues. But I can assure you that I can be 9 10 impartial for the--11 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Fair and impartial. 12 COMMISSIONER ACZON: -- fair and impartial 13 for this docket. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 15 Commissioner Aczon. Thank you, Mr. U'u. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Albert Perez is our 19 next testifier. 20 Is anybody else wishing to provide public 21 testimony on this matter? 22 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Chair. Good 23 morning, Commissioners. 24 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Good morning. Please

speak right into the microphone, Mr. Perez.

25

Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

ALBERT PEREZ

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I'm Albert Perez. My
address 55 North Church Street in Wailuku, and I'm
the Executive Director of the Maui Tomorrow
Foundation. Maui Tomorrow Foundation helps shape
planning policies and projects that meet Maui's need
for truly affordable housing and adequate
infrastructures, and which work to supply, provide a
clean healthful environment for local residents and
their descendents to enjoy.

We are happy to support those projects, which honor our community plans, meaningfully engage the local community and incorporate the concerns of the community.

Unfortunately, the 201H law has been used to get around good planning laws that protect the quality of life on Maui. And although truly affordable housing is a top priority for Maui

Tomorrow, we don't support those that end run the planning process and ignore community plans. The tendency has been for developers to acquire land in the ag zone because it's cheap, and they can make more of a profit there.

Unfortunately, that increases the value of the ag land and makes it harder for little farmers to stay in business. So we are encouraging the County Council to respect our community plans and respect our farmers.

This project on the other hand, like the Waikapu Country Town project, has followed the process. We appreciate that. We also appreciate that the Pulelehua project has been willing to work with the community, and we've been part of that process, led of course by Kai Nishiki, who has done an amazing job here. We've had an opportunity to provide input and help shape the project's design and the affordable housing mix.

Initially, the Maui Land & Pine project was going to provide 450 affordable homes. And then Mr. Cheng's initial proposal was to go down to 280. And now it's up to 500. So that's a good thing and we are glad Mr. Cheng is willing to work with the community.

1 We do have a couple of concerns. Well, one 2 concern. The County's best management practices for 3 drainage are inadequate, and we saw that in the 4 Mahana Estates Development in Kapalua. They followed 5 the County's best management practices, and it caused 6 some of the worst runoff in recent Maui history. 7 We urge Mr. Cheng to consult with a local expert on practices that can reduce the risk. 8 9 MR. CHENG: I have. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. He already did, so 11 that's wonderful. 12 I just want to say that we appreciate the 13 hard work that the community advocates led by Ms. 14 Nishiki, have invested in this settlement agreement 15 that will be presented to the State Land Use 16 Commission. It attempts to address the major 17 concerns raised by the community, revises earlier plans and provides a substantial number of rental and 18 19 fee simple homes in the affordable price range. 20 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Three minutes. 21 THE WITNESS: Mahalo. 22 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: It's like you've done 23 this before. Thank you, Mr. Perez. 24 Any questions for the witness? 25 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No questions.

```
1
                MR. HOPPER: No.
2
               MS. APUNA: No.
 3
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
 4
                Commission Ohigashi.
5
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm not sure. You
 6
      support the settlement agreement and the project?
7
                THE WITNESS: Yes, we do.
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: That's Maui
8
9
      Tomorrow?
10
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Thank you.
12
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Okuda.
13
                COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
      Thank you, Mr. Perez.
15
                I have a question that goes to some of the
     testimony by the earlier witnesses about timeliness
16
17
     of the Petition to Intervene.
                One of the arguments that are made and can
18
19
     be made is that, gee, we want to intervene now
20
     because we really didn't know what was going on
21
      regarding this, that there was not adequate notice or
22
      knowledge in the community that this project was
23
     taking place.
24
                I mean, do you have any comment regarding
25
     that that, you know, for example, the intervenors
```

might not have been placed on notice or have gotten 1 2 news through the grapevine or otherwise that 3 something was going on here? 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not an expert on your 5 intervention rules, but I do know that Ms. Nishiki 6 reached out to many other groups besides us, and I do 7 know that this has been your schedule. And so if they had any concerns, this 8 9 project was right next to their homes apparently, 10 they could have been more involved, and they could 11 have requested intervention earlier. 12 And then if they didn't have any concerns, 13 then they didn't have to bring them forth. That's 14 sort of my lay take on the whole issue. 15 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Okuda. 17 18 Commissioner Ohigashi. 19 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Were you involved 20 in the various public hearings or public meetings 21 that with the developer? 22 THE WITNESS: I was not. 23 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Do you know how

THE WITNESS: How many public meetings?

24

25

many there were?

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. 3 think there were a couple, but I'm not sure. 4 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: You're not sure. Okay, thank you. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there any other 7 questions for Mr. Perez? If not, we have one more 8 witness in the chair. 9 Is there anybody else desiring to give 10 public testimony in this matter? If not -- Ms. 11 Nishiki, were you raising? After, okay. 12 Is there anybody other than the person who 13 is approaching the witness box and Ms. Nishiki 14 desiring to give public testimony? 15 Okay. One more, Council Member Paltin. 16 My intention is to close public testimony 17 on this matter after everybody who's in the room right now has had a chance to give public testimony. 18 19 Aloha. Do you swear or affirm the 20 testimony you're about to give is the truth? 21 THE WITNESS: I do. 22 I have some handouts. There are nine 23 copies, and I have the tenth here that I'll leave 24 after I testify. 25 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. So please state your name and address for the record and proceed.

KATHY KAOHU

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha. My name is Kathy

Kaohu, and I live and work in Wailuku. I am

testifying this morning in my own personal individual

capacity.

I'm kanaka, and I'm a NIMBY. I'm a NIMBY, which stands for "not in my backyard", because I consider all of Maui my backyard, and my ohana's backyard. I've lived and worked in some capacity in every district of this island over the years, so, yeah, my backyard.

I personally don't care for development or tourism, because my view is, it's done for the sake of profits or inventory for realtors. Most often what's been built over the years have been for out-of-state investors and speculation. Because of the laws imposed on us by the occupying nation, our hands are tied with our housing -- with us being able to house our own first.

So under the label of nondiscrimination, the units still go to the highest bidder.

2.1

I'd like to add some information to the record and transmit some of the background associated with some of the testimony that I pulled from your website, and that's what I handed out.

One testifier. Sorry, I'm so nervous.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We haven't lost a witness, ever. You should be fine.

THE WITNESS: One testifier -- and I'm looking for the testimony -- a Mr. Moffett, states in his testimony that he's on the list for this, for a home in this project, and has been on the list for ten years now, but a real property tax search shows that this individual already owns five pieces of property in West Maui. When is enough enough?

I'm looking at another testimony from an
Ellen Geary, concerned about -- sorry --

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: It's okay, but we have your written now, so maybe if you give us the sort of core of ideas you want us to understand.

THE WITNESS: A lot of the testifying -testimonies coming in, these folks aren't full-time
residents here. They have multiple properties.
They're renting them out as short-term vacation

rentals and they've got their piece of the pie, so 1 2 why does this particular project bother them so much? 3 And that's kind of all I wanted to bring to 4 the table. They're not even full-time residents 5 here. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mahalo. 7 THE WITNESS: In closing, I believe that the checklist that everyone left the last LUC meeting 8 9 with has been accomplished and satisfied, and a 10 decision should be made today as planned. 11 I do support the project, and there will be 12 another opportunity at the Planning Commission level, 13 I believe, to -- for public, more public input. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there questions 17 from the Petitioner? 18 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, Chair. 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Maui County? 20 MR. HOPPER: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP? 22 MS. APUNA: No. 23 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 24 Commissioner Giovanni. 25 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you for

coming forward today. It's not always easy to do.

So I take to heart your comment about all of Maui being your backyard. I'd like to hear from you in your own words, because you seem to be very careful about what would be pono for Maui, is this project, as it's currently configured, having gone through the last couple of months of redesign, that it fits your personal standard for what the type of thing that makes sense for Maui?

THE WITNESS: It does in the sense that a lot of the community is -- have all the opposing sides that are usually seen in developments are all on the same page. So I would respect that. That's a huge one. And if they're satisfied with it, then I support.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Giovanni.

Any other questions from the Commissioners?

If not, just checking with our court reporter. I

know we've been going for an hour and ten minutes,

but are we okay to try and wrap up the testimony?

COURT REPORTER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you.

Thank you very much for your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Our next testifier is 1 2 Kai Nishiki. Is there anybody else -- oh, followed 3 by Council Omember Paltin. Is there anybody else 4 other than those individuals who wishes to give public testimony on this matter? 5 6 Going once, going twice. If not, I intend 7 Ms. Paltin will be the last testifier on this 8 docket -- on our agenda today. 9 Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're 10 about to give is the truth? 11 THE WITNESS: I do. 12 KAI NISHIKI 13 Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and 14 15 testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 THE WITNESS: Aloha, Chair, aloha, 18 Commissioners. Mahalo for your service. And I know 19 it's volunteer, so I know that it takes a lot to 20 review all the documents and fly over here, and so I 21 want to respect your time and thank you very, very 22 much. 23 When I first appeared before the

Commission, as you know, I didn't support this

project. And over time, Mr. Cheng and I have been

24

25

working on a settlement agreement that really reflects many hours spent with our community and hearing our concerns. And he has been very responsive.

I told him of the tragedy of so many of our ohana having to move away to the continent and just out of West Maui because things are so expensive. I told him about our high cost of living, the prices of homes on West Maui, the prices of rentals in West Maui. That our highway is falling -- our only highway is falling into the ocean. That we don't have beach access. That developers in the past have come in and not respected our community and just basically did what they wanted.

And he looked at me with tears in his eyes and said, I don't want to be that person. I want to be different. I want to contribute to the community. And from that point forward, he was so responsive to every issue that we brought forward.

And he has donated money to a trust, \$1.6 million trust, that he will have no control over at all, but will provide down payment for those who wish to buy an affordable home not even in his project, anywhere in West Maui. He is giving this money to anyone who wants to buy an affordable home

even on Hawaiian Homelands. So he has really looked at our community and said how can I help?

I can provide affordable housing. I can provide affordable rentals.

These homes and apartments will be close to work, close to jobs, close to shopping so that people don't have to rely on their cars and contribute to our huge traffic problem that we have on the West side. He is donating money to facilitate moving our only highway that comes into West Maui, and it's falling into the ocean. He's donating a significant sum to help West Maui residents have access to the shoreline. He's making commitments that he won't contribute to the wastewater issue in West Maui. He is going to be reusing as much water as he creates back to the project.

And I just want to say I am really sad to see people like the Intervenor moving here and buying a home and then trying to block our own residents from that same opportunity. It hurts my heart. And it hurts our community. And it fractures our community when people come here, and they act like that. So it's shameful and I really got to say shame on you for doing that. Our community has come together.

1 We have never come together in this manner 2 for a project like this. So I just want to ask you, 3 please, deny Intervenor status. There has been ample 4 opportunity in our community for our community to be 5 engaged in this process. 6 There was a meeting two years ago. It' a 7 very public process. Things are in the Maui News, the Lahaina News. This process here is incredibly 8 9 public. Everyone has an opportunity. 10 So I don't think that there's any validity 11 to his claim. 12 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Ms. 13 Nishiki. 14 Other questions for the witness from the 15 Petitioner? 16 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, Chair. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Maui County? 18 MR. HOPPER: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: OP? 20 MS. APUNA: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 22 Commissioner Ohigashi. 23 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Since the last 24 hearing that we have had, how many community meetings 25 has there been, if you know?

THE WITNESS: There has been at least two broad public meetings as well as numerous like talk stories within our community, as well as numerous meetings with community members and community associations.

There is the West Maui Taxpayers

Association, West Maui Community Association, West

Maui Preservation Association, so he has done a

really, really good job in reaching out to the

community.

And also all of the meetings were live-streamed on social media, and we know everyone gets their news from social media now, so there has been outreach pretty much in every way that people get their news from.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Would you say that you've attended most of the meetings?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I attended almost all of them, even as far as back as two years ago when he had a meeting.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral followed by Commissioner Chang.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you again for attending again and again.

So am I correct in understanding what you 1 2 stated is that you would have previously -- you 3 opposed the development. But due to these 4 negotiations and ability of the developed Petitioner 5 to want to work with the community, you are now here 6 supporting this development? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Chang? 10 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much, 11 Ms. Nishiki. I remember you sitting there last 12 couple months ago opposing this project. 13 Let me ask you: Are you familiar with the 14 Petition Kahana Hui Lot Owners? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. In fact, I 16 previously lived in a home in that neighborhood. 17 COMMISSIONER CHANG: And it seems like 18 you've been very active in engaging the community to 19 participate with these discussions with the owner. 20 Did you reach out to Kahana Hui Lot Owners to participate in these open meetings? 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: I did not reach out to him 23 directly, but he was in attendance at the meeting in 24 -- two years ago, and I believe both of the community

meetings held in West Maui. And I also spoke with

25

him privately, and he conveyed to me many reasons of why this development would impact him personally.

And at the end of our conversation, he had said, you know, I really struggle to find any reasons that would impact the community negatively. That my reasons are mostly self-serving. And I said, well, I'm glad that you can acknowledge that, that it's basically about not wanting to have neighbors when you previously did not.

But I told him, you know, when we live in community, that it is important to understand that when you live in community, that we have to make decisions with the community's best interest, and that sometimes we have to deal with things that are, you know, a little uncomfortable.

Yeah, maybe I don't want to have a neighbor, but because this benefits our community in such a great way, that perhaps that he should re-evaluate his position on the project.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So when you say "he," is it -- who do you -- who are you referring to? Is it just one individual or are there -- because apparently there are numerous owners in this hui.

THE WITNESS: Yes. So Van Fischer and Tim Hehemann are the ones that I met with. And they are

the two that are most vocal about the project. I'm not so sure if the rest of the owners have as large concerns as they do, but it just seems that the concerns that they raise are very self-serving and a bit outlandish.

I mean, I did hear from Van telling me that he was concerned that someone might steal his children off of the bike path, and I just thought that he's really reaching to find reasons to oppose the project, when it's all about just not wanting to have neighbors which is a little shameful.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So were these -- you said the meetings were live-streamed. Have there been articles in your local newspaper about this project?

THE WITNESS: Yes, there have been several articles in the Maui News and I believe even in the Lahaina News. Yeah, there's been a lot of media coverage on the project from the very beginning.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: I guess what I'm trying to do is establish that the Intervenors, the potential Intervenors have had opportunities to know about the project.

So did Mr. Cheng publish in the paper when he was going to have these meetings? How did the

community find out about these meetings you -because you participated in most of them.

THE WITNESS: I believe they published in

various newspapers, on the website, on social media, and there was a large community outreach just within our community so that, you know, people could spread the word that we made sure that most of the leaders within the community knew what was going on.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Anything further, Commissioner Chang?

COMMISSIONER CHANG: No. Just one last question.

This Kahana Hui Lot Owners, is that ag? Do you know if that's ag zoned? You apparently lived in that area.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: What kind of agriculture are they doing?

THE WITNESS: I know that there is a nursery in the area, and also I think one of the Intervenors has a landscaping business. And I think that our community fully supports ag activities and has no issue at all supporting those continuing.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there anything

1 further, Commissioners? 2 Ms. Nishiki, approximately how many hours 3 since the last meeting of the Land Use Commission on 4 this matter have you spent working on this? 5 THE WITNESS: We speak daily, and it's 6 probably -- so every single day I've probably spent a 7 few hours. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So roughly just --8 THE WITNESS: Hundreds of hours. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Are you compensated whatsoever for this work? 11 12 THE WITNESS: No. I am a community service 13 -- I do all of my work purely --CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: You're not 14 15 compensated for it? THE WITNESS: -- through community service. 16 17 I'm not compensated in any way. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 19 Anything further, Commissioners? 20 Thank you very much, Ms. Nishiki. 21 Our final testifier is Council Member 22 Tamara Paltin. 23 At the conclusion of council member's 24 testimony and questioning, if any, by the parties and 25 the Commissioners, we will go into a lunch recess.

I'll announce the amount of time. And during that time, we are hopeful that the parties -- remaining parties will come to agreement.

Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Please.

TAMARA PALTIN

Was called as a witness by and on behalf of the Public, was sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Aloha, mai kakou.

Commissioners, I want to thank you so much for all your volunteer efforts and for returning to Maui to hear from our community. I realize that you guys are all volunteers, and having taken office this year, I can understand the struggles a little bit better than I could have one year ago in making decisions on any matter regarding land use.

I testified in opposition to this project in September, and the primary reason was because of the low number of affordability compared to what the previous plan had offered. And respecting the job of what it is, and I did meet with the two Intervenors

1 and I can talk about it if you have questions.

But I wanted to focus on, you know, the parameters that we have to work within as decisionmakers. And it wasn't a brand new project that came to our community, it was the 2006 project amended now to what it is.

And, you know, I did read through a lot of the opposition testimony, and they said that they liked the original plan and they had opportunity to input in that.

From my recollection of the original plan, it was created over a two-day sherritt, and it was created over 14 years ago.

Our needs have changed drastically in the last 14 years, and there's professionals in the area of urbanism that say that we need a mixture of houses. We need affordable rental. We need market value rentals. We need multi-family homes. We need single-family homes. We need all of this, and that's what's being provided.

The community meetings were well attended. There were about 60 to 80 people at each one. Folks came up to me, and they said that they only heard about it because of posts that I do for my council member page on social media.

The main thing is you heard about it and attended. You know, it doesn't matter how you heard about it. But if you heard about it and attended. That's good, right? You don't need to have a personal invitation.

2.1

What I wanted to speak about is from my knowledge of what the 2006 plan is. It's way more dense around the Kahana Hui Subdivision in the 2006 version. This version that's before us today is much less dense and single story. So if you're making the decision whether or not to amend what was originally presented and you're taking into account the Intervenors, the 2006 version is much worse regarding their concerns.

So I mean we as decisionmakers don't have the ability or the parameters to just say anything. We need to work within the parameters what we're given.

And so, you know, based on what was presented in September, it was significantly different than 2006. To me this is significantly similar but better.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much, council member.

1 Are there any questions from the 2 Petitioner? MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, Chair. 3 4 MR. HOPPER: No, Chair. 5 MS. APUNA: No, Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 7 Commissioner Giovanni. COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: I recall from your 8 9 previous testimony that one of your primary concerns 10 was that the percentage of units that would be 11 affordable was drastically low, and now you've gone 12 back to the drawing board, and there's a new plan. 13 How do you feel about the current plan? 14 THE WITNESS: I think the percentage was 15 hit and then some, because of the additional 16 offerings, the 1.6 housing fund for down payments. 17 And in learning about planning and professional planners and how they do it, you know, community 18 cohesiveness is really important. 19 20 One of the best things I like about it is 2.1 there's no opportunity for short-term rental. 22 There's not like any gated subdivision or gated 23 houses. If there is any kind of disaster, it's going 24 to be all people that live there as a community 25 helping each other.

You know, I'm sure they would even help
their neighbors in neighboring subdivisions, and, you
know, I have had constituents reach out to me and I
live in Napili. And they're like, aren't you
concerned about you're going to be driving through
that traffic every day with a thousand extra homes?

And, you know, we're tasked with creating homes for our people here which is in dire need in West Maui.

Talk about what rentals cost. One of my daughter's classmates for hula rents a two bedroom on Kaanapali Hillside for five grand. And, you know, people will say, well, that's Kaanapali Hillside, what do you expect? There's nothing else available.

There's not like a big range of things to choose from. You've got your high end and you've got your tiny little apartment and you got to try find something, or drive two hours every day.

When I first met with Mr. Cheng, I said, you know, we could go head to head and cause a big divide in the community, but that's not what I want and so I hope that we can work together.

And I kind of just let Mr. Cheng and Ms. Nishiki go at it, and I'm really thankful for their diligence and their persevering.

When I met with the Intervenors, what Mr.

Fischer had -- I met with Mr. Hehemann and Mr.

Fischer in my office. And what Mr. Fischer said that the only way that he knew about the September Land

Use Commission was also from my posting. I got some fans on social media. And he said that he thought that the hearing was in Oahu because State Land Use

2.1

Commission, but no.

We're in the age of internet. And as a developer, and now somebody that hired a lawyer, I kind of found it amazing with the intensity of how against the project he was that he wouldn't have sent an email in September, you know, or done some research at that time.

There are a lot of people that I know who submitted testimony in opposition. And I was frankly surprised because I feel that some of them are actually testifying against their own best interest, and I'm not sure that they were told all the benefits and the renegotiated deal that has been put forth.

I wonder if they had just seen my September posting and are going off of that.

And maybe these Kahana Hui folks are drumming up support from all of their, you know, co-workers in real estate, or people that they paddle

with because it doesn't make sense the testimony that I read in opposition.

You know, I don't -- I have voted against projects before where it looked as though there were concerns from people that don't want it in their backyard. And the reason that I have voted that way is never because I support people that don't want people living next to them, the reason I vote against projects is because I have real concerns about water. I have real concerns about these types of things.

The people that brought up concerns about fire, if you look at the recent fire that we had in this exact area, which I don't know the cause, but it started above the airport kind of underneath the power lines, so something like that, but the fire came right up to the houses. And what we've heard from the fire safety, Mr. Haki (phonetic), for our County is that less dry brush is less chance of fire. And so these neighborhoods that are neighboring it are surrounded by dry brush right now.

And with his commitment to reuse

100 percent of the wastewater that they create, he's

going to landscape the area so the houses won't be

surrounded by dry brush as they are right now. And I

think that's a big benefit to our County Fire
Department and the neighbors.

2.1

I wish I would have brought a picture of how the fire looked and what the surrounding areas are, but you can see. You know, the fire came right up, in fact, to Kahana Hui Lot Owners, to Mahinahina, and so forth, so I'm not sure the concerns that I read about fire, like what is being done for fire protection. Was that a significant response to your question?

11 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there anything
12 further, Commissioners?

Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just want to be clear. You said in September you met with Mr. Fischer and Mr. Hehemann?

THE WITNESS: I don't think it was September. I think it was November.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: November.

THE WITNESS: And it was in response to a text that he -- Mr. Fischer had sent to my personal cell phone saying that he wanted to meet them. He sent it on the weekend, and I offered to meet the following Monday because we had a cancellation in our committee meeting schedule, so I had an opening.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So I was wondering, when you mentioned September, I was curious whether that -- I think in your testimony or your statement --

THE WITNESS: This meeting that we had or you folks had in September, I was opposed to the project.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And then I don't think I met with Mr. Fischer till last -- late November, because he had showed meet the layout that was preferable to him. And I remembered in your guys' September meeting that you had said that, you know, how in September you were receiving documents up until the last day, and it wasn't easy to read, and that you had set a deadline for November 15th to receive documents for it.

And so that's when I let Mr. Fischer and Mr. Hehemann know that, you know, yeah, this is an interesting proposal, but I don't think that Mr. Cheng will be able to change his plans because you guys requested all documents in by November 15th.

And at that point, he became pretty upset.

And, you know, I was a little bit surprised with how much that they didn't want these houses to

be next to them, that they're coming in so late in the game. You know, it's not like any of this was a secret.

My original feeling about the 2006 Maui
Land & Pine was it was never really intended to be
built. It was just to get the Kapalua Mauka portion.
It was the affordable requirement for Kapalua Mauka.
And they had just used it, kind of pimped out their
workers to come testify for Pulelehua so that they
could ask Kapalua Mauka and say, we're going to meet
our affordable requirement at Pulelehua. Don't worry
about that. Just pass it because you already passed
Kapalua Mauka, so we need to put the affordable
somewhere, so you got to pass Pulelehua, too.

And then shortly thereafter, they closed up shop. Everyone got unemployed, and nobody really talked about Pulelehua again. So I'm not usually a proponent of development at all cost, but we need housing and the ones that are on the books should be moved forward.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.

If there is nothing further? No.

Thank you very much, council member.

Public testimony on this matter is now closed. It is 11:57 a.m., and we are going to break

- 1 until 1:15 p.m. We're going to go from 1:15 till
- 2 3:00. We cannot go beyond 3:00 p.m. today.
- If we're not through by 3:00, we will reconvene on this matter tomorrow morning. So we're going to go into recess until 1:15.
- 6 (Noon recess taken.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Good afternoon,
 8 aloha. We are back on the record after the lunch
 9 break. It is 1:21 p.m.
- I believe the Petitioner has something to say.
- MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Yes, thank you, Mr.

 Chair, and thank you for the indulgence of the

 Commission.
 - We appreciate the opportunity you gave us to try to work something out. I think we basically have an agreement on principle, but we want to make sure that the language is what -- where we want it to be.
 - So if possible, if the Commission has, you know, other business they could do, and allow us a half an hour just to take a look at the language, and we can come back.
- But I think we have an agreement in principle to dispose of the intervention.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: And if it's possible, 1 2 can I call up counsel for the Intervenor to just 3 affirm that on the record? Mr. Bays. 4 MR. BAYS: Mr. Chair, we're very close to 5 an agreement. If we have just a little more time, I'd like to make sure there are no misunderstandings. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: The Commission doesn't have any work at the Commission that we can 8 9 do. Certainly, we all have our 500 unanswered emails 10 that we could attend to in a half hour, but I don't 11 want to do an undue convenience to anybody, so I'm 12 going to check first with the parties, the other 13 parties, the County and Office of Planning if they are agreeable to that as well as my fellow 14 15 Commissioners. 16 Maui County? 17 MR. HOPPER: We would not object, Chair. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning? 19 MS. APUNA: OP would not object either. 20 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Commissioners? 21 Commissioner Wong. 22 COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, as you see the 23 agenda for the second day --24 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: 25 COMMISSIONER WONG: -- could we move it up

while they try and work on the details?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So I appreciate this, yes. We have a status report tomorrow regarding a C. Brewer related docket. However, it been delayed for two reasons, one is the way the agenda is listed for that docket, that we have to hear that tomorrow; and secondly, I do not see that party here.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Oh, I'm talking about the election of the Second Vice Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Oh. Mr. Wong, you're now pointing out that I did not carefully read the entire agenda. Thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Certainly.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Yeah, we could actually take a vote to move that up in the agenda to move off of this item, take that matter up during the next half hour, but we would need a motion to do it. Hold on.

Yes, Commissioner Cabral?

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I see also though that we have the Maui Land & Pineapple on the agenda to reconvene tomorrow morning with that if necessary.

Should we hear our Petitioner testimony today of our people who have come to testify or present information to us, and then allow for any changes in

the -- with the agreement between the perspective

Intervenors and the Petitioner to take place -- be
announced tomorrow?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you,

Commissioner Cabral. And I appreciate the attempt to

be as efficient as possible with our time; however,

because the Petition to Intervene presents certain

issues that would determine the flow of any future

proceedings that might occur. So to be straight, if

for instance, they don't come to an agreement, and

then we take up the Petition to Intervene, and we

grant the Petition to Intervene, we will deprive the

Intervenor of the chance to participate as an

Intervenor in the Petitioner's presentations.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Plus, I think they need to actually -- can't be in two places at one time. They need to work on the language over the next half hour. So...

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Chang.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: The question I have is assuming that the Petitioner and the Intervenors work out some language, is both the County and OP going to be given an opportunity to review the language prior

```
to it being presented to the Commission?
1
2
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Petitioner?
 3
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: As we're structuring
 4
     this agreement, it doesn't concern issues that would
5
     concern either Office of Planning or the County.
 6
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: In your
7
     determination?
8
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Yes.
9
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So, is this --
10
               Commissioner Ohigashi.
                COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So is it my
11
12
     understanding then that the settlement that you're
13
     working on would only be involving your client and
14
     Mr. Bays' client, and it would be an agreement
15
     between the two of you solely?
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Yes.
16
17
               COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay.
18
                COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: I have a similar --
19
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner
20
     Giovanni.
21
                COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: And furthermore,
22
     would it have any effect on the agreement that you've
23
     reached with Ms. Nishiki?
24
               MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No.
25
                COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Thank you.
```

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Chang?

respect, I understand that the Petitioners may feel like it has no impact to the other parties, but I am concerned that they're not given an opportunity to review it and they can make their own determination that there's no -- that it has no impact on them.

My concern is, if there's an agreement between the Petitioner and the Intervenor, and then it comes back to the Commission and either the County, Kai Nishiki or OP have some issues, then where does that put us?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Ohigashi.

is -- in my feeble way of asking the question the first time, is that this agreement would only be enforceable between the two of you and not -- the County wouldn't be able to enforce it or County wouldn't be able to say who's wrong or even to state OP wouldn't be able to say it was wrong or even the Land Use Commission would be saying. It would be merely a contractural agreement between the two parties.

It doesn't affect the actual settlement

agreement that you have with Ms. Nishiki; is that right?

2.4

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. So from what I see, it is not our business, necessarily, to know what is in that agreement so long as it does -- it doesn't entrench -- so long as you keep your agreement with Ms. Nishiki and to follow the conditions outlined by the Commission; is that right?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: That's right.

at this point that Office of Planning and the County have not objected to taking a half hour for the parties to come to a decision. They have not waived any or state -- any statements on the record regarding their interest, if any, in the decision.

There are questions that are coming up from the Commissioners which really have to do with I believe the Commission's powers, duties, privileges, liabilities and immunities as it might relate to this matter, and so I would be willing to entertain a motion to go into executive session for an executive session to last approximately one half hour to discuss this matter.

Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: So moved. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion has been 3 made by Commissioner Cabral. 4 COMMISSIONER WONG: Second. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Brief recess. 5 6 (Recess.) 7 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We are back on the record. There is a motion in front of us to go into 8 executive session to consult with the Board's 9 10 powers -- Board's attorney on our powers, privileges, 11 duties, liabilities and immunities as they relate to 12 an agreement between -- a potential agreement between 13 the potential Intervenor and the Petitioner. 14 Is there any further discussion on the 15 motion? If not, all if favor say "aye." Is there 16 anybody opposed? Seeing none, the Commission will go 17 into executive session. 18 If we leave executive session, we will not 19 reconvene before 2:00 o'clock in open session. 20 (Executive session and recess.) CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Good afternoon. 21 22 are back on the record. 23 Mr. Keith-Agaran? 24 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 I'm pleased to say that we were able to reach an

1 agreement with the Intervenors.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Mr. Bays? The parties who Petitioned to Intervene, to be correct.

My partner, Mike Carrol, and I represent the

Intervenors, and I'm happy to also report that we
were able to reach a settlement agreement with the

Petitioner. And based upon that agreement, we would
withdraw our Petition to Intervene. Thank you.

MR. BAYS: Yes. My name is Bernie Bays.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Thank you very much.

So the Petition to Intervene has been withdrawn. Does the County or Office of Planning want to say anything on this matter?

MR. HOPPER: I think if there's going to be changes to the site plan or other things because of this agreement, you know, we would still reserve the ability to review that with the Commission and provide comments.

But as far as the actual agreement, we don't feel the need to review and approve the actual agreement at this time. I think that's generally practiced. But to get that on record, I think that's our view of the proceedings.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Office of

1 Planning?

2 MS. APUNA: We don't have any other
3 comments, but we concur with the County as far as we
4 don't need to review the agreement.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. So does it change the site plan or anything that we're going to be acting on as the Commission?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Well, you haven't accepted the changes from the agreement with the community.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: We are now going to proceed on it.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: So it doesn't change that agreement or the site plan that is before you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Great. Okay.

So having dispensed with the Petition to Intervene because it was withdrawn, we can now actually proceed onto the main case.

Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, just a question about time for today. What time are we going to be recessing?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So, again, as I announced earlier, we're planning to recess by 3:00 o'clock. So we have already dispensed with

- public testimony on all these matters, and so we are at the point in the proceedings where Mr.
- 3 Keith-Agaran will start to present his case.

Do you have a sense of what you might be able to productively do in the next 50 minutes or so?

I'm not saying that you have to complete everything in the next 50 minutes, because this is on the agenda for tomorrow, but we have at least 50 minutes available to us to meet.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I can provide at least an update with my client on what agreements he has with the community, and that will probably give you a sense of whether or not the Commissioners have questions about the agreement.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Then how would you proceed with your case tomorrow from there?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I believe that's -- that was it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay. Okay.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: So during the deferral period, as described by some of the testifiers, my client did have an opportunity to meet with members of the community, and they've entered into an agreement that I think was submitted this week. It was finally signed, and it was submitted as an

attachment to our latest filing.

Generally, what the agreement does is it adds 100 units of multi-family apartments for sale to workforce buyers. It also makes a number of multi-family rentals, 300, rather than 280. The 125 Kapalua Mauka units are still within that total 300.

There will be covenants and deed restrictions for Pulelehua to ban short-term rentals or bed and breakfast operations.

Workforce housing renters within Pulelehua will be exempted from paying homeowner association fees. Three of the single-family residential lots will be offered for sale at the cost of developing the lots to a nonprofit organization involved in constructing and maintaining affordable housing, either a land trust or Habitat for Humanity, one of those types of entities.

The single-family lots, 99 single-family lots and the largest state lot, while they will all have the right to build an accessory dwelling unit, there'll be a deed restriction requiring that rental to third-party nonrelatives to the homeowner in perpetuity will be limited to workforce rental rents.

With regard to the school development, Maui
Oceanview is committed to meeting with local

developers who have built schools for the State of

Hawai'i to explore alternatives for financing

constructing the school. I think they were thinking

along the lines of whatever Dowling did in South

Maui, Tim Dowling.

At least one of the pocket parks will include pet friendly features, and Maui Oceanview will establish a fenced dog park by the time Pulelehua is 50 percent built out, or I think 400 units are occupied, I think was the intent.

The development will follow smart growth principles. And Maui Oceanview also will be contributing funds to create a source to fund down payment loans for eligible purchasers to buy workforce housing units.

And if the Commission has questions for my client, and I believe one of our consultants who helped with the community engagement is also here and can answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Are there questions for the Petitioner from the County?

MR. HOPPER: No.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: From the Office of Planning?

MS. APUNA: No questions.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?
2 Commissioner Ohigashi.

Exhibit BB Agreement, I was reading over the enforcement sections. Does your client have any objections to adopting or incorporating the settlement agreement into the Decision and Order that the Land Use Commission may issue in this case?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No.

say that is, it seems as though that the parties have some exclusive remedies that they can take, for instance, like they have to go to the -- it has to be determined by the second circuit court in this matter. There are specific performance agreements that can be -- the question is that by putting it in the D&O, the County and -- the County may be able to implement the terms and conditions of that.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: My clients have no objection. I don't know how the County or State would feel about it.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I don't know if they would even implement it, but put in the D&O that is the mechanism that the County can use to enforce the terms of this agreement.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: My client has no objection to including it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Wong?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Can I hear what the

County would say about that?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Yes.

MR. HOPPER: I thank you, Mr. Chair.

We would have concerns with that, because both with the Land Use Commission incorporating in the D&O and with the County having to enforce it.

Through private agreement, parties can agree to things that if it's imposed by the government may not be legal, frankly. Because if you're looking at nexus and rough proportionality of the conditions, every single one of them would have to meet those requirements in order to be imposed as a valid condition. For example, \$100,000 payment to be made to an organization in the agreement. The County wouldn't be enforcing that. We would consider that to be a private agreement between the parties.

If there's particular aspects of the agreement that deal with the site plan and other things that you would want to incorporate as a

specific stand-alone condition, we can certainly look at those. Right now, in fact, the housing agreement between the County and the landowner is being discussed with the Department of Housing and Human Concerns and the County, and certain things like providing excess numbers of units from what is required, perhaps changing the income distribution to be more favorable to lower income levels, is something that can be done.

There are other things such as hiring a private group of consultants to advise and choose affordable housing buyers, and other things may not be something the County can implement in its ordinances and may be things that would not be appropriate for Land Use Commission conditions.

And so the County is dealing with this with the affordable housing agreement right now recognizing that it's great to have an agreement between parties.

And if that agreement is going to be enforced by those parties, that's great. But the County coming onto enforce the terms of a private agreement that it did not sign, didn't review before signing, and may not be able to enforce certain sections of it effectively, we would have a concern

with just broadly saying this whole agreement's going to be adopted as a condition.

And in the draft conditions that we have right now are affordable unit numbers, income breakdowns as we believe in most the recent D&O. We have basically a statement that there will be an affordable housing agreement entered into in accordance with the County's workforce housing ordinance, which the County is bound by, but we would have a concern with just blanketly attaching that agreement and saying, okay, County, you enforce this agreement between the two parties now.

So we would have a concern with that as a broad issue. Not to mention, you may want to discuss with your AG if all the terms of that agreement are appropriate land use conditions that you would place on a project in general.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners?

Commissioner Ohigashi.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So essentially what you're saying is that if we put it as a condition and you believe that you can't agree, or it's not enforceable, you probably won't enforce it, but I understand that. That's not the question.

The question is really you have the

opportunity to enforce it. And if you don't decide to enforce it because of whatever reason you choose, that's not our Commission's decision. Wouldn't it be your decision to -- we're not -- we are -- we put it in the D&O because they agreed to it, and they've agreed to it to be a condition. It seems to me that they don't have any objection to it. A person who would be concerned about picking it up or persons who would be concerned about it would be the Applicant or the Petitioner who is willing to be bound by it.

And the question just turns, is that if we put it in there, you understand that the only persons that can enforce it would be the County, may enforce it may be the County as well as the two parties.

That's my only question.

If you decide to take the position that you don't want to enforce anything in that agreement, that is the County's position. I can't do anything about that. Am I correct? So it's your prerogative.

My question essentially is that, yes, I understand your -- well, maybe it's not a question, maybe it's a comment. I understand your position that you don't want to enforce it, and that's fine with me. But they've agreed to the -- those conditions being part of the D&O. And it would

appear to me that if it is part of the D&O, that they
should understand that we are not going to be able to
enforce it. But because it's a D&O, the County has
the opportunity to enforce it also.

MR. HOPPER: The County I don't think gets
to pick and choose which conditions it enforces. And
what we're saying is that we believe it would be
illegal to enforce portions of this agreement. I
don't think we would have the legal authority to do
that.

And so rather than not consider that at this point, I think that's important to look at it.

It is common to have agreements between private parties.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I understand your position.

MR. HOPPER: Okay. Well, I think -COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I understand your
position. I understand your position.

MR. HOPPER: Yeah, it would be unenforceable is our position.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I was trying to write them down, and I see we do have them here also, the paperwork presented today on some of these

conditions. And the one where you are indicating that you're waiving the ability for the homeowners association to collect future association dues from these -- the units designed for affordable multi-family residential rental units and accessory dwelling units on the property, I'm -- and because your accessory dwelling units would be restricted to --

MR. CHENG: The lots.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: -- the lower rent as well as the multi-family. Obviously, you're having that be low rent. And when you're talking low rents and affordability, you're basing that on County levels?

Are you getting financing through HUD

Housing and Urban Development to build your

low-income housing, or are you doing that all your

own?

MR. CHENG: It hasn't been decided yet.

There could be a HUD component, a HUD financing component to the affordable units. We're just going to look at it -- I do business with both, you know, that's -- and that, yes, I have no trouble whatever you all decide, however you want to enforce it. I plan to honor every word of this, and so however

makes you all happy, is okay with me.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I am concerned about your homeowners association or exemption from certain groups, because I manage a lot of those, so the reality of my world is that you're going to -- unless you have like a master association and then sub-associations that are responsible for their own clean up of their common areas, otherwise your market rent properties are going to be potentially burdened by constantly mowing the lawn of the exterior of your affordable properties.

And I'm just concerned about the potential inequities about that increasing burden of cost over time. So I just would recommend you kind of look at how you structure that. Because you can have a master association that they would not have to pay into, and then each division would have their own division that they would pay into for their own lawn care and their own rubbish pick up, and their own —depending on what's being paid for, I'm just saying, you know, and you're going to continue to — who's going to maintain ownership of the rental units?

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: You, okay. So you the owner don't have to pay the fees because the tenants

Me.

MR. CHENG:

don't usually, in residential, pay the homeowners
fees for that.

MR. CHENG: That's right. So we as landlord will just maintain the whole place. In the HUD formula, as you are familiar with the AMI groups and rent levels and all that?

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Yes.

MR. CHENG: If you read into the bowels of it, the affordable renter cannot pay more rent than what HUD allows you to pay for your income group.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Correct.

MR. CHENG: You cannot stick little surcharges onto people. There's -- especially utilities and HOA fees.

So I agree to set the rent no higher than what HUD allows in any given year for Maui County for any given particular income group that we're dealing with.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Okay. Thank you.

One of the things, too, I'm aware is you need to be cautious that once you involve federal money, then potentially residents in the federal housing system anywhere else in the United States can come be on your waiting list and role up and suddenly, you know, I'm in New York City. It's cold

this winter. I think Maui looks nice. 1 2 And so you want to be real --3 MR. CHENG: Careful. 4 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: -- wise --5 MR. CHENG: Okay. 6 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: -- on how you have your 7 tenant waiting list or how you solicit for your people to have a long, long list. 8 9 So work with your housing providers or 10 whoever is going to be your contract person on making 11 sure you try and -- I don't know. The federal 12 government has a million rules, yeah. 13 MR. CHENG: Yeah. 14 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: So just be real careful 15 there, but --16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Can you confine your 17 comments towards the docket that's in front of us? 18 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Yes. Well, it is a 19 condition he has in here. I'm concerned that it's 20 going to become one that's not going to be wise or 21 enforced in the future, so thank you. 22 MR. CHENG: Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners? 24 Commissioner Chang. 25 COMMISSIONER CHANG: Mr. Cheng, I really

applaud you for working with the community. I mean,
we were here September, and many of these -- many of
the community members provided public comments
against the project, and we instructed you to go back
and you genuinely -- and you did, you genuinely
engaged, and you came up with this, from my
perspective, awesome settlement agreement with them.

But maybe this is a question for your counsel.

I guess I, like Commissioner Ohigashi, I am

-- how do we make this settlement agreement a

condition where it is really an agreement between

parties, one that lacks enforcement? I mean, the

agreement is with -- between -- it's between Maui

Oceanview and on one hand and the West Maui

Preservation Association. It is not between any

regulatory agency, but is a private agreement. Who

enforces this?

And how is -- I mean, these are wonderful conditions, so I am just perplexed at how LUC makes this a condition and who enforces those conditions.

Again, it's the same questions that

Commissioner Ohigashi made, but how did you foresee
this thing implemented and executed?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: The way we structured -- we've structured it in the proposed D&O that the

parties are still discussing is that the changes in the number of units and how those units are going to be distributed, as well as items that we know are allowed under the workforce housing ordinance, will be included in the D&O and in the housing agreement.

There are other items which may need additional approvals, whether it's from the County Council by resolution to consider. But there are certain items that are clearly just between my client and certain private parties, including donations to certain groups, and any enforcement of those items would have to be between himself and those groups.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: But yet you're making it a condition of the agreement. I have no doubt your client has been extremely genuine and forthright with us, and I'm hoping he would -- and he's committed that he's not going to -- he's going to standby these.

But if for some reason something happens, what are the assurances that these provisions will be implemented, and who enforces them? Is it Maui Preservation or you're looking at --

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Well, they are the other party. I mean, that's the way the agreements work. They would need to enforce items that he has not

1 fulfilled.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: So have you separated those items that are enforceable by government agency versus those items that are subject to the private arrangement between Maui Preservation and Maui Oceanview?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I believe in the D&O we tried to do that, but there are certain things that we included in the D&O that are basically statements of his intentions to do. I mean, basically the donations, for example, for the studies to move the highway, the donations for the fund for down payments. That's mentioned in there, and I assume that that's just a statement of what he intends to do.

COMMISSIONER CHANG: And I'm assuming that those intentions form the basis of the settlement agreement as well. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Chang.

Commissioner Okuda.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Mr. Keith-Agaran, don't agree with me if you disagree, but tell me what you think about this.

The settlement agreement names West Maui

Preservation Association, Incorporated, as one of the parties, correct?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: And so the corporation which is basically community members would have the right to step in and enforce this agreement if for some reason it's not carried out or followed, correct?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: That's the general way these agreements would work.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes. And I know we sometimes look towards government as being the party that's going to protect us all. But would you -- would it be a mischaracterization if I were to suggest a description that what this situation has shown here is that it really wasn't the government or any of us here at the Land Use Commission that protected the community or led to this agreement, it was basically community members, is that a fair statement? By community members, I also include the Cheng family.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I think that you pushed it, the Commission pushed it because of what you asked for during the deferral period, but I would think that generally, yes, that's a correct

assumption.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yeah, because it was like-minded people, and when I say "like minded," I mean like-minded people who cared about the community from all facets who came together, did hard things to basically work that out.

So the reason for my question is basically this, there really isn't anything really necessarily structurally wrong or erroneous to maybe for looking down the road for potential enforcement, and frankly, right now, if I had my cracked crystal ball, I don't foresee that your clients, you know, wouldn't perform, so maybe we're talking about a theoretical esoteric stuff that would never happen.

But there's nothing really structurally wrong or even policy wrong or even factually wrong given what happened here, where maybe this is the way to really protect the community and enforce this agreement. Meaning, instead of relying on us, on government to keep an eye on what's going on, we rely on the people on all sides who worked together to put this agreement together.

And, basically, if something can't be worked out or there's a breach, we rely on the West Maui Preservation Association, Incorporated, which I

assume, you know, will have continuing members going
into the future and maybe this is something we -- I

mean, do you see anything necessarily wrong by maybe

continuing to leave our trust in the community?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, I think in response to Commissioner Ohigashi's question he asked whether we had any objection to attaching this to the D&O, and we don't. If you decide not to, that's the Commission's choice.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner

Giovanni.

COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: So on this point, my reading of the settlement agreement paragraph 27 and 28, you anticipate the potential for disagreements, potentially even defaults, and it encourages both parties to work together to resolve them.

And in the case that they can't resolve them, it does have a dispute resolution process that could lead to the second court -- circuit court of Hawai'i, so I think it's there. And I think that's in my view preferable than trying to go back to some government agency for enforcement of an agreement such as this.

Having said that, I would like to find a way that this agreement, this settlement agreement is part of our record and our decision. Whether or not it's explicitly enforceable or not, it's a particularly relevant document that influences our decisionmaking.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you,
Commissioner Giovanni.

Commissioners, if I may ask the Petitioner, one of the five items that this Commission requested the parties work on in our last September meeting was work towards a stipulated D&O among the parties.

Can you advise us to the progress on that?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I have provided another

draft to both State and to the County, and I think

we're still trying to work through the language. So

we haven't reached agreement yet, but I believe on

some of the major conditions, I think we have

agreement on that. Right?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER:} \mbox{ The County and OP can}$ respond.

MR. HOPPER: Yes, that's an accurate understanding, because, I mean, these meetings, community meetings took place I think in early November, 15th was the deadline. The D&O was a

moving target for awhile, and so we're still continuing to work with the Petitioner on it, and I think we have most of the, you know, key condition language now.

We've provided some comments from additional agencies, and I think we're working toward a D&O.

We would also note that after the Commission makes its decision, it's not uncommon to further -- have further discussions on the precise language of the D&O depending on what conditions you may impose.

So, you know, we would think that would happen after approval potentially, but that's where the status is right now. I think we've made significant progress though.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Office of Planning?

MS. APUNA: Yes. I think that we did

receive different drafts of the proposed D&O

throughout the deferral period including up until

this last week, or this past week. The State hasn't

been able to necessarily allow other agencies to

review the draft because of the timing. We do agree

on certain conditions, but we have not be been able

to stipulate yet as well.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner 2 Ohigashi. 3 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So within the D&O, 4 stipulated D&O, does it contain the relevant portions 5 of the settlement agreement? 6 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: When you say, 7 Commissioner, just to be clear, when you say 8 "relevant," in other words, the stipulated D&O has --9 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Let me put it this 10 way. Let me rephrase the question. 11 Would it have those items that Deputy 12 Corporation Counsel here indicated that are supported 13 by the record and are not -- aren't supported, and 14 there is a nexus, too? 15 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: If I understand what 16 you're asking, I believe the D&O includes the changes 17 in terms of the number of units for additional workforce for sale and for rent. It includes -- it 18 19 will include the conditions related to some of the 20 development issues including the enclosed pet park, 2.1 for example. 22 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So what I'm trying 23 to get at is that, you know, in order to avoid the

problems that Deputy Corporation Counsel has

indicated, does -- would the D&O, the proposed D&O or

24

25

proposed stipulated D&O that you guys have been
working on, would that include all the necessary

portions of the settlement agreement thereby negating
any need for us to incorporate the terms of the

settlement agreement?

2.1

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I think generally, yes, but some of the settlement needs to be incorporated into the housing agreement, and I think it's in the housing agreement language that --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm just talking about the stipulated D&O. I'm just worried about that right now.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Well, okay. It should be. But, again, just to be clear, the housing agreement has some areas where I think the Corporation Counsel has concerns about, that there's language that is proposed to implement some of the things in the settlement agreement that raise questions about the ability of the County to either agree or to enforce them.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And if they don't agree and enforce them, does that negate the terms of any kind of development?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No. My understanding is that the -- it's understood that we would try our

were going to be subject to making sure that they were -- they could be legally done.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Going back then, is the proposed stipulated order -- I'm trying to get a mechanism to satisfy Mr. Okuda --

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: Well, I'll give an example. In the settlement agree, there's discussion about using manage-appreciation to set prices in perpetuity in the future. That is something that we would need to have the County agree to.

My understanding is in the housing ordinance, it's not the usual process for setting sales prices, resale of units that have been purchased. That's something that will need approval either through the housing department or through the County Council.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Assuming that, for example, does your settlement agreement, that specific case, lay out any mechanism to resolve the issue if you cannot get the County to approve?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: You know, either going to court or -- but it doesn't stop us from trying to get the counsel to agree that this is something that the County would allow in this instance.

1 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I understand,

2 because that terminates the agreement?

3 MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No. I mean --

is, Corporation Counsel says, we don't want to be part of this agreement, or we don't want to be bound by this agreement because we feel there are several things that are -- that we cannot enforce it and make it illegal. Okay? But there's certain things in that agreement that requires the County to -- for you to reach an agreement with the County.

And what I'm getting at is, is that we're just substituting one long process for additional long processes. In other words, the agreement has set up a situation where we have problems in getting -- going through hoops, jumping through the hoops to get the approval and would have to go to council.

So the main concern I have is that we want to adopt as much as we can of the settlement agreement and to speed up the process. And if you're telling me this is the only way we have to go, then I understand that.

So I'm going to go back to my original question. I'm sorry, I'm just going to go back to my

original question.

Can you incorporate within a proposed stipulated D&O all the necessary -- as much as possible, in terms and conditions of the settlement agreement, that way negating our need to refer to it in any kind of motion?

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Does the Petitioner or the parties want a few minutes recess to discuss this? Are you --

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, I think we're fine. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Okay.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I believe that the approach really is that the things that will be included in the D&O are things that we know are clearly something that is legal within the housing ordinance and the rest of the County requirements. The other items will need to be privately enforced between parties.

and I can't speak for everybody here, would be for the parties to work out what exactly -- take from the settlement agreement and put it and what exactly do you leave out. And then you at least tell us what you're doing so we can make a proper determination in that fashion. And that's all I think that all of us

want. All of us want to make sure that the terms that is necessary in the D&O that are in the settlement agreement be included.

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No. That's understood, and I think that's what I tried to do with the D&O. The additional items that would require additional approvals by the County and the County Council, for example, that's something that I proposed in the proposed amendment housing agreement.

And once the County tells me whether or not we can do that, or whether it's going to be just left to either private enforcement or some other mechanism.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So my last question then would be: Does that hold up -- should that holdup the issuance of a D&O at this time?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: I don't believe so because I think the intent is --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: -- or we can have stipulation?

MR. KEITH-AGARAN: No, I don't think so, because I think it's understood that we just need to reach an amended housing agreement. If that amended housing agreement doesn't include some of these items, because legally they cannot be included, then

they will -- you know, the amended housing agreement won't have those provisions.

MR. CHENG: I'm just going to give you one example. I appreciate what you're saying and totally understand.

For example, like there is agreement in there for to us contribute some monies to several organizations to support their cause like the highway sinking and all that. The County doesn't have such a rule. That's what he meant by if the County finds it illegal because they cannot force citizens to contribute to a highway study, but it's a private agreement that I'm happy to agree to and have agreed to and fully negotiated.

That's what the difficulty, I think -- you know, so our approach has been that to the extent that the County doesn't have such a law or rule or you all don't have such a law or a rule, then it's a private matter and it's fully agreed to, and is resolvable by lawsuits as addressed in 27 and 28. That's the approach.

So to the extent that -- like for what he was saying, we are going far above the current County minimum for affordable which is 25 percent as I said last time. Now, we're 50 percent. Well, they can't

force people to do it because 25 percent is the law.

But the fact that we are agreed to it, they're happy
to say that. I think that's what he meant as well.

If that makes any sense.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Let me put my neck out. I don't want to cut off any of my fellow Commissioners from speaking, but let me put my neck out and kind of summarize where I think we are right now.

We're in a good place, right? We are way better off than we were in September through the hard work of all of the parties, the developer and the community members. The prime directive -- sorry for the Star Trek reference -- but the prime directive from the Commission in September was for meaningful engagement with the community, and that clearly has been done.

So while it feels a little awkward at this point, and we know we have to adjourn by no later than 3:00, we're just trying to figure out how to properly pass a motion to adopt the D&O that is legal from the perspective of the Land Use Commission, legal from the perspective of the County, and honors the spirit of the various agreements that has been reached by the Petitioner and various community

members.

So that's where we're at. I have a sense, due to the timing and the particular legal issues that have arisen, that we're not necessarily going to make it across that finish line by 3:00 o'clock today.

We do have this on the agenda for tomorrow, and I believe it might be possible, given that we're adjourning fairly early, that the parties might spend a little bit of time together after we bang the gavel today, work out any further clarity that might be possible on what the stipulated D&O might look like, what things will remain outstanding and perhaps even some suggestions on how this Commission might pass a meaningful motion to address that and put all of this into effect as far as it concerns things within our kuleana.

Is that a fair statement of where we are, my fellow Commissioners?

Mr. Hopper.

MR. HOPPER: Just a quick statement. I don't want to create an impression that on the issues relative to the settlement agreement have been incorporated into the draft D&O that we've looked at that we have problems with them. In fact, the draft

D&O conditions that we've read I don't think we have any issues with the legality of those conditions that I can think of.

It doesn't incorporate all of the terms of the agreement or the agreement in total. So if we're going with whatever we've looked at so far from the proposed stipulations, we don't have, I don't think, outstanding objections on that matter.

If there's going to be something to add in additional terms from the agreement to add a condition, we would want to review those.

But as far as what's been proposed by the Petitioner, I don't want to create an impression that it has a bunch of things in the proposed stipulated D&O that are objectionable because they're parts of the agreement that we can't enforce.

It's just the discussion of adding the whole agreement as a blanket thing would change what the parties have been reviewing thus far.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr.

Hopper. I at least did not understand that to

be what you are -- I agree with your statement. I

did not --

MR. HOPPER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: -- perceive that you

were trying to state that you had problems with the existing stipulated motions.

What I believe would be helpful for this

Commission is to agree there are other things that

still have to be moved into the stipulated D&O to

have some sort of sense of that that as we go through

conclusion of the Petitioner's remarks tomorrow, any

presentation by the County and any presentation by

OP, that we will fully vet those and then be able to

craft a meaningful resolution, if indeed we are going

to take action on this tomorrow.

Is that good? Okay. With that then, I'm going to recess our hearing, and we will reconvene in this meeting room tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.

(The proceedings recessed at 2:50 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE STATE OF HAWAII)
2) SS. COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
3	
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on December 4, 2019, at 9:30 a.m., the
6	proceedings contained herein was taken down by me in
7	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 4th day of December, 2019, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
10	