STATE OF HAWAII
LAND USE COMMISSION

Meeting held on February 5, 2025 Commencing at 10:00 a.m.

Held at

Leiopapa A Kamehameha State Office Tower 235 S Beretania Street, Room 405 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. A89-651 HASEKO ('EWA) LNC. (O'AHU)

 To consider Petitioner's 2024 Motion for
 Approval of Job Credits as required by the
 Land Use Commission ("LUC") Decision and Order
 issued on October 17, 1990. Files pertaining
 to this docket are available at:

Parcel is identified as TMK No. 9-1-12:5 (por.), 6 (por.), and 23 (por.), approximately 403.008 acres located in Honouliuli, 'Ewa, O'ahu, State of Hawaii.

- III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR JANUARY 22,2025
- IV. TENTATIVE MEETING SCHEDULE
- V. STATUS REPORT BY THE LAND USE DEVELOPMENT COORDINATOR
- VI. DECISION ON COMMISSION'S POSITION REGARDING SB28

RELATING TO THE LAND USE COMMISSION. Specifies that a simple majority of affirmative votes of the members of the LUC present at a meeting and qualified to vote is required for any boundary amendment.

VII. DECISION ON COMMISSION'S POSITION REGARDING SB36

RELATING TO THE LAND USE COMMISSION. Requires the LUC to reclassify lands that are designated for urban growth under a county general plan or county development plan as being in the urban district at the request of the county.

VIII. DECISION ON COMMISSION'S POSITION REGARDING SB1079 AND HB502

RELATING TO LAND USE. Temporarily allows each county, by resolution of its county council, to petition for the redistricting of land from an agricultural district to a rural district through the LUC's declaratory ruling process. Effective 71112026. Sunsets 613012029.

IX. DECISION ON COMMISSION'S POSITION REGARDING SB11L4

RELATING TO HOUSING. Authorizes large landowners to petition the LUC to allow the development of elderly housing on portions of agricultural lands.

X. DECISION ON GOMMISSION'S POSITION REGARDING SB1157

RELATING TO LAND USE COMMISSION. Allows the counties to amend district boundaries involving land areas greater than fifteen acres without appearing before the LUC if the affected lands are included in the County General Plan or County Development Plan. Requests the LUC to update the digital state land use district maps and to encourage transit-oriented development that preserves the character of the State's rural areas.

BEFORE:

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	
3	LUC COMMISSIONERS:
4	Dan Giovanni, Chairman
5	Michael Yamane
6	Mel Kahele
7	Brian Lee
8	Ken Hayashida
9	Bruce U'u
10	Myles Miyasato (via Zoom)
11	Nancy Carr Smith
12	
13	LUC STAFF:
14	Daniel Orodenker, Executive Officer
15	Arnold Wong, Land Use Development Coordinator
16	Scott Derrickson, Chief Planner
17	Martina Segura, Planner
18	Ariana Kwan, Chief Clerk
19	Miranda Steed, Esq., Deputy Attorney General
20	
21	OFFICE OF PLANNING AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
22	("OPSD"):
23	Alison Kato, Esq., Deputy Attorney General
24	Mary Alice Evans, OPSD Director
25	Aaron Setogawa, Senior Planner

1	PARTICIPANTS CONTINUED
2	
3	DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND PERMITTING ("DPP"), CITY
4	AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU:
5	Pono Arias, Esq., Deputy Corporation Counsel
6	Dina Wong, Chief Planner
7	Franz Kraintz, Chief Planner
8	
9	A89-651 Haseko ('Ewa) Inc.:
10	Curtis Tabata, Esq.
11	Benjamin Matsubara, Esq.
12	Daniel Sandomire, Vice President of Development
13	Peter Kwan, Vice President of Site Development
14	Kelly Uwaine, In-House Counsel
15	Daniel Lum, Senior Manager of Development
16	
17	PUBLIC TESTIMONY:
18	Healani Sonoda-Pale
19	Bianca Isaki
20	Lisa Enanoria
21	Steven Lee Montgomery
22	Wayne Tanaka
23	Chuck Flaherty
24	Tara Rojas
25	

2.2

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. It is 10:00. And I'd like to call this meeting to order. Aloha mai kakou. Good morning everyone. This is the February 5, 2025, Land Use Commission meeting. This is a hybrid meeting, which is physically located at the Leiopapa A. Kamehameha State Office Tower, 235 South Beretania Street, Room 405, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. This meeting is open to the public.

Court reporting transcriptions are being done from the Zoom recording of this meeting. For all meeting participants, I'd like to stress the importance of speaking slowly, clearly, and directly into your microphone. And please, before speaking each time state your name and identify yourself for the record.

This is a hybrid meeting, and please be aware that all meeting participants are being recorded on the digital record of this Zoom meeting, which will be posted on YouTube and used for court reporting purposes. Your continued participation is your implied consent to be part of the public record of this event. If you do not wish to be part of the public record, you should exit the meeting now.

Please note that the Q&A feature on the Zoom will only be monitored for signing up for

public testimony. All other communications will not
be addressed or part of the meeting record.
Communications can also be emailed to our office at
DBEDT.luc.web@hawaii.gov
I will also share with all participants
that we'll be taking break breaks from time to time,
normally five minutes every hour. We'll also be
taking a 30 minute break for lunch today.
My name is Dan Giovanni, and I have the
pleasure to serve as the LUC chair. So we currently
have nine seated commissioners on the Commission.
Along with me today is Commissioner Michael Yamane.
He's from island of Kauai. Commissioner Mel Kahele,
Commissioner Brian Lee are from Oahu. Commissioner
Myles Miyasato is joining us by Zoom.
Mr Commissioner Miyasato, would you
please clarify where you are and that you are alone
in your room, wherever that is?
COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Yes. I'm at my
residence on the Big Island, and I am alone.
CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you so much.
Commissioner Carr Smith is from the Island of
Hawaii. Commissioner Bruce U'u is from the Island
of Maui. Commissioner Hayashida has recused himself
from the agenda item this morning, which is docket

```
-- doing with business with docket number A89-651,
 1
    and he'll join us later in the meeting.
 2.
    Commissioner Kamakea-'Ohelo was also excused today
 3
    due to a family emergency.
 4
 5
             So we have in attendance today by in
    present and by Zoom seven of the nine commissioners,
 6
 7
    and that will change from day to day -- hour to
    hour, I say. So commissioners, I'm planning a hard
 8
 9
    stop at today's meeting at 4:00. Does anybody have
10
    a need to exit the meeting before 4:00?
    Commissioner U'u.
11
             COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah. I need to leave
12
13
    at 2:00.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: 2:00, thank you.
14
15
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Chair, I -- I also
    have a scheduled meeting -- another meeting at 2:00.
16
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you.
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                   Mr. Chair, I'll be
18
19
    leaving sometime after lunch.
20
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you. So it
    looks like we'll be close to quorum level.
21
    for our business after lunch will be when these
2.2
23
    gentlemen have to depart is five people. We'll see
24
    where we stand at that time.
25
             I'm also for -- excuse me. This is a new
```

Mr. Chair.

1	one. If someone over the age of 18 is in attendance
2	with a commissioner oh, got it. So we don't have
3	that. All right.
4	Also in attendance today are the LUC
5	Executive Officer Daniel Orodenker, LUC Land Use
6	Development Coordinator Arnold Wong, LUC Chief
7	Planner Scott Derrickson, LUC Staff Planner Martina
8	Segura, LUC Chief Clerk Ariana Kwan, and to my
9	left, LUC Deputy Attorney General Miranda Steed.
10	Again, court reporting transcriptions are being done
11	from the Zoom recording.
12	The chair is going to take one agenda item
13	out of order, and that is the adoption of minutes
14	from our meeting of January 22nd. So let us go to
15	that recognized as agenda item 3. That's the
16	adoption of minutes from our meeting of January 22,
17	2025.
18	Ms. Kwan, has there been any written
19	testimony submitted on the January 22nd minutes?
20	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
21	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Are any members of the
22	public signed up to testify in the adoption of the
23	minutes from January 22nd?
24	MS. KWAN: Seeing none in the Q&A feature,

Т	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. And none
2	present in the room have signed up?
3	MS. KWAN: None in the room, Chair.
4	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you.
5	Commissioners, are there any corrections
6	or comments on the minutes? And if not, is there a
7	motion to adopt the minutes?
8	COMMISSIONER U'U: I'll make a motion to
9	adopt the minutes.
10	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'u moves to
11	adopt the minutes. Do I have a second?
12	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Second.
13	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Second by Commissioner
14	Carr Smith. All in favor of adoption of minutes,
15	please signify by saying aye. Any opposed? None.
16	Thank you. The minutes are adopted.
17	We'll now return to the second agenda
18	item. This this order of business is pertains
19	to docket number 8 pardon me A, letter A, 89-
20	651, Haseko ('Ewa) Incorporated. This agenda item
21	is to consider the petitioner's motion for approval
22	of job credits as required by the Land Use
23	Commission D&O issued on October 17, 1990.
24	This time, I'd like the parties to
25	identify themselves for the record, starting with

Τ	petitioner.
2	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Curtis
3	Tabata. Good Morning, Chair Giovanni, Vice Chair
4	Yamane, Members of the Commission, Curtis Tabata and
5	Benjamin Matsubara for the petitioner Haseko ('Ewa)
6	Inc. Also present today from Haseko at the table
7	with me is our Vice President of Development Daniel
8	Sandomire and in-house counsel Kelly Uwaine.
9	Sitting behind us is Vice President of Site
10	Development Peter Kwan and Site Manager of
11	Development Daniel Lum.
12	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Tabata, is Mr.
13	Sandomire planning to provide any testimony today?
14	MR. TABATA: We're not planning on it,
15	Chair. He's just here as a resource, as is everyone
16	else.
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So if we come to a
18	point where we ask to ask them questions, I'll swear
19	them in at the time.
20	MR. TABATA: Yes. Thank you.
21	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much.
22	From the County?
23	MR. ARIAS: Morning, Chair. Good morning
24	good morning, Chair, and on Zoom.
25	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Very good.

	MR. ARIAS: Good morning, Chair,
2	Commissioners. Pono Arias, Deputy Corporation
3	counsel, on behalf of the City and County of
4	Honolulu Department of Planning and Permitting.
5	Dina Wong and Franz Kraintz from the Department are
6	also online.
7	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much. If
8	any of your colleagues are going to testify, I'll
9	swear them in at the time.
10	MR. ARIAS: Very well.
11	CHAIR GIOVANNI: From the OPSD.
12	MS. KATO: Good morning, Chair,
13	Commissioners. Alison Kato, Deputy Attorney General
14	for the Office of Planning and Sustainable
15	Development. Also here is Mary Alice Evans,
16	Director of OPSD, and Aaron Setogawa, planner at
17	OPSD. Thank you.
18	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Do Ms. Evans or or
19	Aaron plan to testify today?
20	MS. KATO: No, Chair.
21	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Very well.
22	So I'd like to go over the reimbursement
23	policy, Mr. Tabata. Have you reviewed HAR section
24	1515-45.1 regarding reimbursement of hearing
25	expenses?

Yes, we have, and we will 1 MR. TABATA: 2. comply. 3 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. At this time, I'd like to identify any potential disclosures and 4 5 conflicts of interest. So in accordance with HRS 84-14, commissioners are not allowed to take any 6 official action affecting a business in which they 7 or a member of the family has a financial interest. 8 9 They must therefore recuse themselves from this 10 matter if there is any benefit, monetary or otherwise, to themselves or members of their family. 11 12 Also, in accordance with the legal ethical 13 standards, commissioners should disclose any 14 relationship -- business, social, or otherwise --15 that they may have with the petitioner or its representatives or any of the other parties in this 16 17 proceeding that may give rise to the allegation of 18 bias or impropriety. 19 So I have stated earlier that Commissioner 20 Hayashida has recused themself from this agenda 21 So Commissioners, any of you have any other item. disclosures or conflicts of interest you'd like to 2.2 23 make at this time? Seeing none, we will proceed.

24

testimony that has been submitted on this matter. 1 Next, I will call for members of the public who wish 2. 3 to provide oral testimony on this matter. petitioner will then be called upon to make 4 5 presentation in support of his motion. petitioner's presentation I will -- at the 6 7 petitioner's -- after the petitioner's presentation, I will ask the county and their state to present 8 9 their comments. 10 The commissioners will have an opportunity to ask questions of the parties at the conclusion of 11 each of their presentations. I will then reopen the 12 13 floor for public testimony and additional oral 14 testimony on this matter. The parties will be given 15 an opportunity for closing arguments. Finally, the Commission will deliberate on the matter based on 16 17 the testimony and evidence presented. 18 Chair would like to remind everyone I will be calling for short breaks, normally five minutes 19 20 per hour. Does anybody have a comment or an 21 Mr. Tabata. objection to the procedure? 22 MR. TABATA: No comment or objection. 23 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. City and County of Honolulu, any objection? 24 25 MR. ARIAS: No comment or objection.

1	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Kato?
2	MS. KATO: None. Thank you.
3	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Very good. As stated on
4	today's agenda, please be informed that the relevant
5	records and documents pertaining to this matter can
6	be accessed for review on the LUC website at
7	https://luc.hawaii.gov/a89-651.
8	Public testimony. I'll now recognize
9	written public testimony submitted in this matter.
LO	Ms. Kwan, has there been any written testimony
11	submitted on this matter?
L2	MS. KWAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. We received
L3	two on February 3rd, one on February 4th, all posted
L4	on the website.
L5	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much. Ms.
L6	Kwan, is there any members of the public that wish
L7	to testify on this matter?
L8	MS. KWAN: If anyone on the Zoom would
L9	like to provide oral testimony on this item, please
20	use the Q&A feature now. Seeing none, Chair.
21	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you.
22	Mr. Tabata, will you please provide your
23	presentation?
24	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Curtis
25	Tabata for Petitioner. Haseko is here today to ask

2.

2.2

the Commission to approve 374.78 job credits. Two similar motions in 1994 and 2022 have been granted by the Commission approving 575.22 job credits. So if our motion today is approved, that will give us a total of 950 job credits, which will allow Haseko to build up to 950 hotel and hotel condominium units at Haseko's Ocean Pointe and Hoakalei project.

Haseko's Ocean Pointe and Hoakalei project is located at Ewa Beach, Oahu, and is comprised of 1,100 acres and includes residential, light industrial, commercial, retail, visitor accommodations, a recreational lagoon, golf course, district park, childcare center, and a public elementary school. I'm just providing this background information, because this -- that project is -- is the underlying matter that concerns our job credits motion.

So the Ocean Pointe and Hoakalei project is permitted to include up to 4,850 housing units, of which approximately 82 percent have been built and sold to individual homeowners. The visitor accommodation component of the project includes up to the 950 hotel and hotel condominium units that I mentioned.

Now, shifting to the job credits,

2.

2.2

condition 1 of our LUC decision and order requires that we obtain one job credit for each hotel or hotel condominium unit that we intend to build. Therefore, we need 950 job credits to build the 950 hotel units.

Now, the process for obtaining job credits starts with accrued job credits that results from these math formulas that are applied to categories that are listed in condition one that include non-tourism related construction hours, facility square footage, job training, and investments.

Okay. So these accrued job credits that we keep track of are required to be reported in Haseko's written annual reports to the LUC. Once these -- once the reported job credits -- well, reported job credits become approved job credits after the Commission provides its approval in motions like the one we are hearing today. And the approved job credits is a precondition to obtaining the building permit. So that's why we need job credits that are approved by the LUC. And so far, the Commission has approved 575.22 job credits.

Today, we are asking the Commission to approve the remaining 374.78 job credits which are based upon 2,372.3 investment related job credits

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that were reported for years 2022 and 2023. reported job credits are derived from an investment of \$118,615,000 in a startup business called Wai Kai Commercial Development, LLC, which was used to develop the commercial center called Wai Kai. Wai Kai is a new concept for a commercial center that is anchored by the Wai Kai Wave, the first stationary deep water surf wave in Hawaii that caters to all skill levels with adjustable wave settings. Built around the Wai Kai Wave are restaurants, retail lagoon activities, and 80,000 square feet of community gathering space for festivals, farmers markets, and food trucks. 14 In conclusion, this annual process of reporting accrued job credits based on actual construction hours, built facilities, and contributions and investments, together with the motions to approve job credits has been an over 30 year journey for Haseko. With this final piece of the puzzle, Haseko hopes to complete its vision for

We would like to thank the Hawaii Regional Council of Carpenters, the Pacific Resource Partnership, and Ewa Beach resident Mitchell Tynanes for their written testimony in support of

Ocean Pointe and Hoakalei.

1	our motion. And thank you to the LUC staff, the
2	Office of Planning and Sustainable Development, and
3	the Department of Planning and Permitting for
4	reviewing and recommending approval of our motion,
5	and to the Land Use Commission for taking the time
6	to consider our request.
7	That concludes my presentation.
8	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.
9	Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Tabata at this
10	time? Seeing none. Okay. We'll move on to OPSD.
11	MS. KATO: Thank you. Alison Kato, the
12	Office of Planning and Sustainable Development
13	supports approval of petitioner's motion for
14	approval of job credits. And you know, the
15	situation was already described by petitioner, so I
16	won't go over it again. But after review of
17	petitioner's motion and supporting documentation and
18	meeting with petitioner to discuss the same, OPSD is
19	satisfied with petitioner's representations and
20	calculation of job credits.
21	And OPSD finds that petitioner has
22	complied with all of the requirements and
23	restrictions set out in the Commission's D&O for
24	approval of the requested 374.78 job credits. Based

on this, OPSD recommends approval and note that if

we just want to note that if approved condition
one of the 1990 D&O as amended will be fully
satisfied and petitioner will be able to begin
construction of up to 950 units. We're available
for any questions. Thank you.
CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Ms. Kato.
Commissioners, any questions for OPSD?
Seeing none, thank you. City and County of
Honolulu, do you have any comment or presentation to
make at this time?
MR. ARIAS: Thank you, Chair. Pono Arias.
No presentation. The City stands on the written
comments it provided and has no objections to the
LUC granting this motion. Thank you.
CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much.
Commissioners, any questions or comments for City
and County of Honolulu? Commissioner U'u.
COMMISSIONER U'U: Good morning. And I
just wanted to make mention that I'm from Maui. So
when we we this is a great example of a good
developer. So I watched the video of the last
meetings that you guys did. I I've listened to
the testimony. I've read through almost everything
that was given to me.
And you know, for me at the time and place

```
where I'm -- I am on Maui, I always feel like we got
 1
    to -- we have -- we should have the ability to pick
 2.
 3
    and hand pick good developers that reflect --
    reflective of when people have the bad developer
 4
 5
    concept. You guys are completely the opposite of
 6
    that.
 7
             I just wanted to make that note. You guys
    are a great example of a good developer, and at
 8
 9
    times that's missing. So I applaud you for your
10
              I thank you for being patient. I've never
    efforts.
    seen a condition -- a condition number 1 in my life
11
    until I was here. And I applaud -- applaud your
12
13
    efforts.
14
             I would love to make a motion to approve,
15
    if --
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: It's on a little bit
16
17
    early, but I will give you the opportunity. I will
    give you the opportunity --
18
19
             COMMISSIONER U'U: Okay.
                                       Thank you.
20
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: -- to make it at the
    appropriate time. But I will add my personal
21
    comment. Commissioner U'u, I -- I remember the
2.2
23
    hearing the last time we heard them on job credits.
24
    And it was an incredible attendance by community
25
    people who live in that area and who had benefited
```

1	from this development and who spoke so favorably of
2	the of the work that had been done by Haseko. So
3	I echo Commissioner U'u's comments. Thank you.
4	Okay. At this time, I'd like to call on
5	the staff, the LUC staff who have reviewed the
6	the petition and the motion and for their
7	recommendation to Commission on this. So Ms.
8	Segura, do you have something?
9	MS. SEGURA: Hi. This is Martina Segura
10	for the record. I prepared the staff report and got
11	it approved for submittal on January 30, 2025. So
12	that's when it was posted to the Land Use Commission
13	website for public review.
14	After review of the motion and the
15	exhibits, staff suggests approval of the of the
16	motion in front of us. And that's for the request
17	for 374.78 startup job credits.
18	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Ms. Segura.
19	Commissioners, any question for staff?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I had one quick
21	question, please, for whoever.
22	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr Smith.
23	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Can you just
24	clarify for me? It's a lot of numbers involved
25	here. With approval of these credits, does that

```
completely satisfy condition 1?
 1
             MS. SEGURA: Yes. So according to
 2.
 3
    condition 1, there's a total of 950 job credits
    needed to begin development of the hotel portion of
 4
 5
    the project.
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
 6
                                       Thank you.
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Tabata, you concur
    with that?
 8
 9
             MR. TABATA: Yes, sir. I concur.
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: This is -- so in other
    words, this is the last time you're coming to the
11
12
    Commission to ask for approval of job credits?
13
             MR. TABATA: Well, I always want to
14
    return, Chair. But I -- I believe this is it.
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: For this purpose.
             MS. KWAN: I think so.
16
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Great. Thank you.
    All right. So commissioner, any questions for any
18
19
    of the parties? If not, I'll return to public
20
    testimony one more time. Ms. Kwan, do a second
    round of public testimony? Are any members of the
21
2.2
    public wish to provide new additional testimony at
23
    this time?
24
             MS. KWAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. We have
    Healani Sonoda-Pale on the Zoom, who would like to
25
```

```
give oral testimony. I'll promote her now.
 1
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Let me know
    when she's there.
             MS. KWAN: Healani, can you give me a mic
 4
 5
    check?
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Yeah.
                                      Hi.
 6
                                           Aloha.
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Pale, could you
    please state your name and address for the record,
 8
 9
    and then I'll swear you in.
10
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Sure. My name is
11
    Healani Sonoda-Pale. My address is 6119 Kawekiu
    Place, Honolulu, Hawaii 96821.
12
13
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much. Do
    you swear the testimony you're about to give will be
14
15
    the truth?
16
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Yeah.
                                      To my
17
    understanding, it is. Yes, it's public knowledge.
18
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: But whatever you're going
19
    to testify to, in your mind, it's the truth? Yes.
20
    So please proceed.
21
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Yes.
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: You have two minutes.
23
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Okay. So I -- aloha.
24
    I'm actually testifying on behalf of Ka Lahui
25
    Hawaii, which is a native initiative for self-
```

2.

determination and self-governance. We are in strong opposition to granting job credits or financial incentives to Haseko.

We do not believe that we should reward corporations that have repeatedly harmed our environment, violated cultural protections, and misled the community. Haseko has a long history of failing to uphold environmental responsibility. The most glaring is the -- the abandoned promise to the Hoakalei Marina and the landlocked lagoon.

But I want to focus, since I only have two minutes, on the desecration of Native Hawaiian burials. Haseko's disregard for cultural heritage is another reason they should not be rewarded with job credits. In 2007, during excavations of over 60 Native Hawaiian burials -- that's 60 Native Hawaiian kupuna -- were disturbed on land where their resort and residential development was being built.

This caused immense pain to the Kanaka
Maoli community as the remains of the ancestors were
treated as obstacles, rather than sacred artifacts
deserving of respect. The treatment of these
remains violated traditional Hawaiian values, raised
serious concerns about whether proper consultation
with cultural experts had taken place before

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

construction.

Instead of prioritizing cultural preservation, Haseko's development has repeatedly forced the community to fight for protection of their ancestors after damage has -- was already This pattern of disregard shows that they are not responsible stewards of our land.

Our taxpayer fund should not reward bad corporate behavior. Granting job credits to Haseko would be sending the wrong message that corporations can damage the environment, desecrate cultural sites, and be rewarded with taxpayer-funded benefits. Haseko time and time again has demonstrated -- and this is over decades of their interactions with the Ewa community -- that that -their priority is profit, not environmental sustainability, cultural respect, or long-term community well-being.

If the state is to grant job credits, they should be reserved for companies that uphold ethical development, cultural integrity, and environmental responsibility, not corporations with a history of deception and harm. And just -- and that's my final thing on -- on Haseko.

We all know about their development at Wai

```
That lagoon that was created is actually --
 1
    Kai.
 2.
   has actually -- is part of the damage that they've
 3
    done to our -- our water table. They've actually
    cracked the water table, and that is unacceptable to
 4
 5
         They were instrumental in destroying multiple
    cultural sites in that area in One'ula. And they
 6
    are -- have not been responsible when it comes to
 7
    protecting that is what -- what is most sacred to us
 8
 9
    as Kanaka Maoli.
10
             Thank you.
11
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you very much for
12
    your testimony and -- and for you taking time to
13
    come to us today.
             So commissioners, any -- well, let me go
14
15
    to petitioner first. Do you have any comments or
    questions on this testimony?
16
17
                          No questions, Chair.
             MR. TABATA:
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: City and County of
18
19
    Honolulu, do you have any comments or questions for
20
    this witness?
             MR. ARIAS: Hi. Pono Arias.
21
                                           No comments
2.2
    or questions.
23
                              Thank you. OPSD, any
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
24
    comments or questions for this witness?
             MS. KATO: Alison Kato. No questions.
25
```

1 Thank you. 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. 3 Commissioners, any comments or questions for this witness? Seeing none, thank you very much for being 4 5 -- again, for being here today. Ms. Kwan, is there anybody else that have 6 signed up to testify? 7 MS. KWAN: If anyone on the Zoom would 8 9 like to testify, please use the O&A feature now. Ι 10 see Bianca Isaki raising her hand. I'm assuming it's for testimony. I'll promote her now. 11 12 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. 13 MS. ISAKI: Good morning. I hope it's My camera's not working very well today. I'd 14 15 just like to testify on the first item on the Haseko job credits because I understand --16 17 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah, one second. MS. KWAN: One second. 18 19 CHAIR GIOVANNI: One second. 20 MS. ISAKI: Oh, sorry. 21 So Ms. Isaki, please CHAIR GIOVANNI: 2.2 state your name and affiliation and address for the 23 Then I'll swear you in, and then you can record. 24 testify. 25 MS. ISAKI: Oh, thank you. Sorry. Bianca

2.2

Isaki. I'm testifying as an individual. I live in Kaneohe. My address is 46379 Kumoo Loop.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: So Ms. Isaki, do you

swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth?

MS. ISAKI: Yes.

MR. ARIAS: So please proceed. This is on the agenda item for Haseko only, so please proceed. You have two minutes.

MS. ISAKI: Yeah. Thank you. And I'll be brief. I want to echo everything that the former -- or the last testifier presented. Also, I noticed that condition 1C says that the petitioner may receive one job credit for each \$50,000 invested in a startup of non-tourism related businesses. And then they invested, I guess, over \$118,000 in Wai Kai, and they're asking for 2372.3 job credits for that.

But I -- I mean, Wai Kai is, like, perhaps locals also go there. But I'll just note that like the introductory rate is \$200 for 45 minutes. I -- I believe a lot of tourists do go there, and I think that it's splitting hairs to say it is or is not a tourist -- or that it is -- I think it is a tourist -- a tourist accommodation.

```
So I don't think that they should have
 1
 2.
    those job credits and that the job credits that
 3
    they're asking should not -- or they should -- their
    motion to say that they have met all of their job
 4
 5
    credits should not be granted. Thank you.
 6
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Ms. Isaki.
 7
             Petitioner, any questions or comments for
    this witness?
 8
 9
             MR. TABATA: No questions.
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: City and County of
11
    Honolulu, any comments or questions for Ms. Isaki?
12
             MR. ARIAS: Hi. Pono Arias. No comments
13
    or questions.
14
                             Thank you. OPSD, any
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
15
    comments or questions for this witness?
             MS. KATO: Alison Kato. No -- no
16
17
    comments. Thank you.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners, any
18
    comments or questions for this witness? Seeing
19
20
    none, thank you, Ms. Isaki, for taking the time
21
    today.
2.2
             MS. ISAKI:
                         Thank you.
23
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Kwan, is there anyone
24
    else?
25
             MS. KWAN: We have one more on the Zoom.
```

```
1
    Her name is Lisa E. I will promote her now.
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you.
 3
             MS. KWAN: Lisa, can you turn on your
    camera and give us a mic check?
 4
             MS. ENANORIA: Hi, everyone. Can you hear
 5
 6
   me?
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Good morning. We do hear
          So Lisa, can you state your full name, your
 8
    you.
 9
    address, and then I'll swear you in to testify?
10
             MS. ENANORIA: Actually, my full name is
    Lisa Enanoria Wirdlechner. I actually work for
11
12
    Haseko as their community representative. So I just
13
    was -- wanted to make myself available to kind of
    clarify some of the information that was given
14
15
    regarding some of the burials and --
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: One second. One second.
16
17
             MS. ENANORIA:
                            Sorry.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Before you go -- before
18
19
    you go any farther, do you swear the testimony
20
    you're about to give will be the truth?
             MS. ENANORIA: Yes.
21
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Then please proceed.
23
    have two minutes.
24
             MS. ENANORIA: Hi.
                                 So some of the
25
    information that I -- I heard was not exactly what
```

2.

2.2

occurred. We -- there was, I believe, one burial that was found on the shoreline on state land. That was back in the early 2000s. And we did follow protocol by contacting SHPD, and we were able to eventually work with them and work with Hoakalei Culture Foundation.

We wanted to make sure that there is a place for that iwi to be re-interred and follow the proper procedures. And there is a spot that is in one of our preservations areas that we had the iwi returned with the help of Hoakalei Culture Foundation, and that area is maintained.

There was also another burial that was found that was on our property, not on state land. And we decided to keep that burial in place. And we — we actually redesigned the plan so that that burial would be safe and never disturbed again. We actually — I think it was in one of our Wai Kai trail plans. So that's some of the information regarding burials that occurred on our site.

We also do work with Hoakalei Culture

Foundation to kind of promote the Native Hawaiian

culture. They have programs that they do in -- that

they actually do in the Hoakalei Culture Foundation

-- during preservation, sorry. One of them is

1	Kauhale Preserve that they actually invite kids from
2	Kamehameha schools and the local Boys and Girls
3	Clubhouse and other partners that they may have in
4	the community.
5	And we have always deferred to them
6	regarding a lot of the cultural aspects regarding in
7	our project. So I just wanted to sign on and
8	clarify those. If you guys have any questions, I'm
9	here and available.
10	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. So that was a
11	little that was more a part of your petitioner's
12	representation.
13	MS. ENANORIA: Yes, sorry.
14	CHAIR GIOVANNI: But I do welcome the
15	comments. So Mr. Tabata, do you want to add
16	anything to this, what the witness said on behalf of
17	a Haseko?
18	MR. TABATA: No. We have no questions for
19	the witness. Thank you.
20	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. City and County,
21	any questions for the witness?
22	MR. ARIAS: Hi. Pono Arias. No questions
23	or comments.
24	CHAIR GIOVANNI: OPSD, comments or
25	questions?

```
1
             MS. KATO: Alison Kato. No questions or
 2.
               Thank you.
    comments.
 3
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners?
    Mr. Yamane.
 4
 5
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: I have a question
    for the petitioner.
 6
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Go ahead.
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                   MR. Tabata, with the
 8
 9
    -- like, if the Land Use grants this order, what's
10
    -- what does that enable your client to do, and is
    there any time frame on what will happen next with
11
12
    the granting of this motion?
13
             MR. TABATA: What it does is it qualifies
14
    us to seek building permits for the hotels.
15
    wise, you know, right now Haseko is in the process
    of marketing the resort property, and they're
16
17
    looking for a partner with a hotel or hotels. And
18
    we don't have a -- there's no time frame. We're at
19
    that stage yet in the beginning.
20
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay. Thank you.
    No more questions, Mr. Chair.
21
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. So Ms. Kwan,
23
    is there anybody else who signed up for public
24
    testimony?
25
             MS. KWAN: Seeing none in the Q&A feature,
```

Chair.

2.

2.2

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. So now, we'll proceed to closing arguments. So petitioner, based on what you've heard with public testimony and comments from commissioners, do you have any final closing statements you'd like to make?

MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Curtis

Tabata for petitioner. The only additional remarks

I would like to make is that we believe Wai Kai is

non-tourism related. We have not built our hotels

yet. Wai Kai received its certificate of occupancy

back in 2023. It's been in operation, and I'm told

it's -- they're getting good patronage. It's busy.

We believe they are -- they are mostly local residents. Of course, tourists are welcome too. But on that basis we believe that it qualifies -- Wai Kai qualifies as a non-tourism related investment. Thank you.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Tabata?

Can you -- Mr. Tabata, can you state again for us what you have already completed in terms of housing on this project?

MR. TABATA: Right. Approximately 82 percent of 4,850 residential units have been built

Т	and sold. So there's about 12 percent more to go.
2	CHAIR GIOVANNI: And what's the plans for
3	those?
4	MR. TABATA: We're in the process of
5	completing them. I'm not sure if we have a specific
6	time frame involved, but it's in process, I believe.
7	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Is construction ongoing?
8	MR. TABATA: Yes, it is ongoing.
9	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you.
10	Commissioners, anything further for the petitioner?
11	City and County of Honolulu, any closing
12	statement?
13	MR. ARIAS: Hi. Pono Arias. Nothing to
14	add. Thank you for the for your time.
15	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. OPSD,
16	anything to add?
17	MS. KATO: Alison Kato. No further
18	comments. Thank you.
19	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So we'll now go
20	into deliberations. The Commission will conduct
21	formal deliberations whether to grant or deny the
22	motion for job credits.
23	I would note for the parties that the
24	public and the public that during the
25	commissioners' deliberations, I will not entertain

```
any additional input from the parties or the public,
 1
    unless those individuals or entities are
 2.
 3
    specifically requested to do so by the chair.
    called upon, I would ask that they limit their
 4
 5
    comments to the question that has been asked.
             So Commissioners, let me confirm that each
 6
 7
    of you have reviewed the record and are prepared to
    deliberate on the subject docket. After I call your
 8
 9
    name, would you please signify that either aye or
10
    nay, that you are prepared to deliberate?
    Commissioner Yamane.
11
12
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                    Aye.
13
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr Smith?
14
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yes, I am.
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.
16
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                 Yes.
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Miyasato.
18
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO:
                                     Aye.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Kahele.
20
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE:
                                    Yes.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'u.
21
2.2
             COMMISSIONER U'U:
                                Aye.
23
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: And the chair is also
24
    prepared to deliberate on this matter.
25
             Commissioners, the chairs will entertain a
```

```
motion that the LUC grant or denies this motion, the
 1
 2.
   petitioner's motion for approval of job credits as
    required by the Land Use Commission decision and
    order October 17, 2000.
 4
 5
             Mr. U'u, please make your motion, if you'd
    like to do so, and the reasons for it.
 6
 7
             COMMISSIONER U'U: You know, I -- I'd
    rather turn them over to someone from Oahu, if you
 8
 9
    don't mind, to make the motion --
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Okay.
             COMMISSIONER U'U: -- if they're in.
11
                                                    Ι
12
    just feel it's more appropriate.
13
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay.
14
             COMMISSIONER U'U: But I do support.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So do I have a motion?
15
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I will make the
16
17
    motion.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Go ahead, Commissioner
18
19
    Lee.
20
             COMMISSIONER LEE: I move that we approve
    the job credits as required by the Land Use
21
    Commission decision order issued on October 17th as
2.2
23
    requested by the petitioner.
24
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Do I have a
25
    second motion from Commissioner Lee?
```

I'll second it, second. 1 COMMISSIONER U'U: 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Second by Commissioner 3 Commissioner Lee, would you speak in -- why you support the motion? 4 5 COMMISSIONER LEE: I don't have much to I think the petitioner complied with the terms 6 7 of the agreement, and so I approve. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Very good. Commissioner 8 9 U'u, we heard you earlier. Do you want to add 10 anything? COMMISSIONER U'U: I'd just like to add 11 what I mentioned earlier. Impressive, I think at 12 13 this time in the stages of what developers we need to lean on and depend on, I think you guys set I 14 15 great example. Mahalo. 16 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. 17 Commissioners, any further questions or comments? 18 Ms. Carr Smith. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you, 20 Chair. I just wondered if you guys would be open to adding to the motion just so everything's in it from 21 2.2 the start, and that is to authorize the chairperson 23 to execute the order necessary to effectuate the 24 Commission's decision --25 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: subject to
2	review and approval of the AG's office.
3	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Commissioner.
4	Commissioner Lee, would you be welcome that friendly
5	amendment?
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: I do, Chair.
7	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'u, do you
8	second that friendly amendment to authorize the
9	chair to execute?
LO	COMMISSIONER U'U: Yes, aye.
11	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So all in favor of
L2	the friendly amendment, signify by saying aye?
L3	THE COMMISSION: Aye.
L4	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So now we have a
15	motion to approve the motion put forth by the
L6	petitioner and to authorize the chair to execute if
L7	we so move it forward.
18	So Mr. Orodenker, please poll the
L9	commissioners.
20	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
21	motion is to approve the job credits as requested by
22	the petitioner and authorize the chair to execute
23	the decision in order. Commissioner Lee.
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Aye.
25	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner U'u.

1	COMMISSIONER U'U: Aye.
2	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Yamane.
3	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Aye.
4	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Miyasato.
5	COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Aye.
6	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Kahele.
7	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Aye.
8	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Carr Smith.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Aye.
10	MR. ORODENKER: Chair Giovanni.
11	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Aye.
12	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
13	motion passes unanimously.
14	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. And thank you
15	all for participating at this time. Mr. Tabata?
16	MR. TABATA: Thank you. Chair, would you
17	do you want the petitioner to submit an order for
18	LUC staff's consideration?
19	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yes, I would. That would
20	be great.
21	MR. ORODENKER: That would be very
22	helpful. Thank you.
23	CHAIR GIOVANNI: So you are all excused.
24	MR. TABATA: Thank you very much.
25	CHAIR GIOVANNI: I'm going to take one

```
more agenda item before we take a short break, and
 1
 2.
    that is the presentation of our meeting schedule.
 3
    This is item 4 on our agenda. So Mr. Orodenker,
    please present the schedule.
 4
 5
             MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
    Tomorrow, February 6th, if needed, we will be back
 6
 7
    here again to talk about the legislative updates.
    On February 19th, we will be on Maui at the Maui
 8
 9
    Arts and Cultural Center to do A07-773 Emmanuel
10
    Lutheran status report. On March 19th, we have a
11
    status report on the -- on the Toyama S-special
12
    permit, which will be in Hilo. On the 20th, we will
13
    be in Kona for the Bencorp status report. And that
14
    is everything we have currently agendized, Mr.
15
    Chair.
16
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Okay. Thank you.
17
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I have a
18
    question.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.
20
             COMMISSIONER LEE: I'm aware that there
    are conferences that are not on the calendar that
21
2.2
    the commissioners and staff go to. So can that be
23
    made -- that information be made available to
24
    commissioners in the future?
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Certainly. So you're
```

talking about conference like the HPCO conference 1 2. that we attended. 3 COMMISSIONER LEE: I mean out of state ones, because we know -- we all know about the local 4 5 one, but we're not all made aware of the out of state conferences. And if there's good information 6 7 that can be shared, I'm sure, you know, we would all like to know, you know, whatever we can from these 8 valuable conferences. 9 10 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So the chair would 11 like to ask the staff when it presents its regular 12 meeting schedule at our meeting if you have 13 identified any conferences, out of state 14 conferences, that will be attended by staff or 15 commissioners, just put them on the record at that 16 time. 17 MR. ORODENKER: We will do so, Mr. Chair. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Are there any pending 18 19 currently? 20 MR. ORODENKER: Let me see. I believe that the APA conference is coming up and the ULI 21 2.2 Spring conference is coming up. We also have the 23 ESRI GIS conference and the -- in August, and we'll 24 be sending at least one person to that. 25 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So at our meeting

```
on February 19th, please just give us a summary of
 1
 2.
    what the plans are. That'd be great.
 3
                             Thank you, Mr. Chair.
             MR. ORODENKER:
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Yamane.
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Just I -- I let
 6
 7
    staff know, but I won't be available on March 19 and
    20.
         Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: And also the meetings
10
    that were tentatively scheduled for March 5 and 6
    will not occur. Those have been identified at a
11
    previous meeting. So we have nothing on March 5 and
12
13
    6; is that correct?
             MR. ORODENKER: Currently we do not, Mr.
14
15
    Chair.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. I'm not available
16
17
    for those.
             MR. ORODENKER: Thank you.
18
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So nothing -- so
20
    we -- February 19 -- we have tomorrow, February
21
    19th, and then we have March -- the latter part of
2.2
    March. Ms. Carr Smith, did you have something you
23
    wanted to say?
24
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I did.
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Please.
```

1	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you. Just
2	on the topic of conferences, I know that I've
3	other boards and commissions that I've been on, when
4	people go to conferences, it's typical that they
5	come back to the board or commission and report what
6	they've learned, anything that may affect that board
7	or commission. So I also wanted to ask that that be
8	a part of the process.
9	CHAIR GIOVANNI: I'm good with that. But
10	let me ask staff to just provide a written summary
11	rather than agenda if staff attends.
12	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13	Pursuant to DBEDT policy, we have to submit a fairly
14	significant summary of what we've we've learned.
15	And I will make those available to the
16	commissioners.
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Commissioner
18	Lee.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. You
20	know, if there are important matters that come that
21	might need to be scheduled on March 5th and 6th, I
22	urge you to consider having your vice chair take
23	over if you are not available.
24	CHAIR GIOVANNI: I there's nothing on
25	the agenda.

Τ	COMMISSIONER LEE: I sent a request.
2	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. Okay. It is now
3	10:50. I'm going to take a going to take a 10
4	minute break, and we'll be back at 11:00 to continue
5	with our agenda.
6	(Recess taken from 10:50 to 11:00 a.m.)
7	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. We're going back
8	on the record. It's 11:00, and we've completed our
9	first four agenda items. I'd also like the record
10	to show that we are now joined by Commissioner
11	Hayashida from Oahu. Thank you.
12	So the next agenda item is a status report
13	by the Land Use Development coordinator. So Ms.
14	Kwan, has there been any public testimony, written
15	public testimony submitted on this matter?
16	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Has been anybody signed
18	up to provide oral testimony on this matter?
19	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So as soon as
21	Commissioner U'u seats, we'll all be in our
22	positions, and I can call on Mr. Wong. Please
23	proceed with your update.
24	MR. WONG: Thank you Chair and thank you
25	commissioners for allowing me the time to provide an

2.

2.2

update on my work. Again, I'll keep this information at a high level due to the fact that this body is quasi-judicial, and any information that may come to you in the near future, I don't want you to be excluded from proceedings due to exparte communications. So that's -- I just wanted to say it's a high level.

To date, after communication with the owners, developers, and/or their representatives, several projects have started to get back on track. I am happy to announce that we have been informed by that by the end of this fiscal year, 2025, so that will end June 30th, we will have started to break ground on approximately 1,000 units throughout the state of Hawaii.

This includes obtaining construction

permits for -- sorry -- got to use my old -- this is

old term, my terminology from when I used to work

somewhere -- grubbing, which means groundbreaking

and digging up the dirt to vertical construction,

meaning they start the build process. This includes

400 units on Maui, 250 units on Oahu, and

approximately 500 units on Kauai.

Big Island, we're still working on it,

Chair -- Commissioners Carr Smith. There has been

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some issues, such as infrastructure that I brought up previously and the cost factors and change of ownership. So we're still working on those. just FYI, we haven't forgotten the Big Island or Island of Hawaii.

Additionally, we're continually contacting and meeting with existing dockets and looking at other projects. This is done by looking at the county projects, the listing that was given -provided to me by the Commission. And I want to thank them because I didn't know about these permits and projects that are listed by counties. So thank you for giving me that opportunity to even look at that, to marry it up with our own dockets.

Also looking at GCA's list of permits and also building industry magazines, so they just brought out a new magazine saying what construction projects are ongoing and see if they are dealing with our dockets and marrying it up. That's taking a little time, but it is being worked on. So I just wanted to thank you on that.

If -- and that's about it for now. there's any questions, I'm here to answer them. Thank you.

> CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Wong.

Commissioners, questions for Mr. Wong? Commissioner 1 2. Lee. 3 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. Wong, how many of those projects that you mentioned 4 5 would you say was a result of your intervention? 6 MR. WONG: I'm going to say all. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER LEE: MR. WONG: And to expound on that, we have 8 9 couple projects that are from the same developer 10 that has contacted us because they have other projects in the pipeline that have problems. 11 12 COMMISSIONER LEE: Can you give us just an 13 example of some of the things that you did that 14 helped unblock some of this? You know, you don't 15 have to go into detail, but you know, you talked to 16 the -- the permit department or you -- you had got 17 people to meet and resolve things, you know, 18 something like that. 19 MR. WONG: So working with Mr. Orodenker 20 and asking for his assistance, we looked at, let's say, for example, those issues with road work 21 2.2 issues, you know, DOT. So we contacted DOT and, you 23 know, got that roadblock done, you know, cleared 24 out. Another was, for example, HI-EMA. This is a 25 -- just a basic example where the developer, you

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
know, said how -- where they're going to put the
units, the housing units and all that, but they
forget the condition about putting that HI-EMA
siren.
         And so HI-EMA says: Wait, wait, where are
you going to put our siren? And then it somehow
they go like this, where it's -- one says: You go
here. No, we cannot go there. And so that's when
we meet with HI-EMA and the developer to work out
the details and get that passed. So that's one of
the projects that has been done too.
         COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Wong.
Thank you, Chair.
         COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Chair, I've got a
question.
         CHAIR GIOVANNI: One second. Just on this
HI-EMA.
        So in this example, the -- the development
could not go forward without a HI-EMA approval?
         MR. WONG: Well, in the conditions itself,
if you see that the Land Use Commission has said
that they have to meet with HI-EMA and, if needed,
either put a siren or pay for a siren or give land.
         MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair --
         CHAIR GIOVANNI: Please.
         MR. ORODENKER: -- if I may, it was
```

1	actually a little more complex than that. It's not
2	that the developers don't recognize that they have
3	to do something for HI-EMA, because it's in the
4	decision and order. But sometimes HI-EMA doesn't
5	respond to their notifications that this is where
6	they want to put it, you know. And then there's
7	silence from HI-EMA because HI-EMA is not designed
8	to respond to those type of things.
9	And then there's recurring issues with
10	several of the projects over, well, are we
11	dedicating the land to HI-EMA, or are we just giving
12	them a license or I mean, HI-EMA
13	CHAIR GIOVANNI: For the siren?
14	MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, for the siren. HI-
15	EMA's not set up to handle those types of issues.
16	So we usually we've been getting involved in
17	negotiating settlements or agreements with regard to
18	those type of things.
19	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Kahele.
20	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Thank you, Chair.
21	So Mr. Wong, how long have these these projects
22	been sitting on the shelf?
23	MR. WONG: Somewhere from 1970s. So we've
24	when it first started, I didn't know what to
25	start. I'm going to tell you the truth. So I would

23

24

25

1	started from when we first did DBAs and move
2	forward. But thankfully, I had Mr. Orodenker and
3	the Commission to say: Hey, let's speed it up.
4	Let's look for the start to see the low-hanging
5	fruit that is almost ready to be built. And that's
6	how we started I mean, got the ball moving.
7	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Thank you.
8	MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. If if I can
9	expound on that a little bit. And this is one of
10	the reasons that Arnold is here. Before the late
11	'80s, early '90s, we did not put time frames on the
12	development of projects. There it was left to
13	the developer to just do it whenever they felt like
14	it. And so now we have a bunch of projects that
15	were approved in the '70s and '80s that have just
16	been languishing, and there's there's nothing
17	that we can do because we didn't put a time frame on
18	them.
19	So those projects very often languish for
20	reasons that are a little more difficult to handle.
21	There may be financing. The banks may have taken

So those projects very often languish for reasons that are a little more difficult to handle. There may be financing. The banks may have taken them back or whatever, and those are more difficult to navigate through. So we made the decision to attack projects that were just tied up for bureaucratic reasons, so to speak, and to attack

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those first so that we could have as much of an impact as quickly as possible. Thank you. Mr. Yamane. CHAIR GIOVANNI: COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Mr. Wong, you mentioned your first statement about the thousand units as of fiscal year 2025 in June will at least start grading, grubbing, breaking ground vertical. Yes, Commissioner. MR. WONG: COMMISSIONER YAMANE: And then Mr. Lee mentioned, is this because of you? Was that -- are you talking about the thousand units, or was that just a report? MR. WONG: The thousand units, sir. Because, you know, there's other units out there that we have no say on. For example, let's say HHFDC or, you know, these small 10 -- 10 acres that we don't touch at all or even in, you know -- in house land -- local landfills that we cannot touch, because it's already urbanized. Land Use never even touch them. So these are thousand that Land Use has touched. COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay. And then what -- can you say where the 500 are in Kauai? Or they're in -- I mean if they're in construction, are

they still going to be in front of the Land Use?

```
1
             MR. WONG:
                        No.
 2.
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: So can you speak
 3
    more detail for them then.
             MR. WONG: So for example, one on Kauai
 4
 5
    that's -- is Waihee Ridge, and that's -- sorry, I'm
    not a Kauai person, but you know where Safeway
 6
 7
    Costco is?
             MR. YEMANE: Yeah.
 8
 9
             MR. WONG: Above that highway, there's a
10
    parcel there that has a school or church.
11
    right next door. And it's going to be 100 percent
    affordable.
12
13
                                 Thanks. And what does
             MR. YEMANE: Okay.
14
    GCA stand for?
15
             MR. WONG: General Contractors
16
    Association, sorry.
17
             MR. YEMANE: Thank you. No more
18
    questions, Mr. Chair.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners.
20
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Chair.
21
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr Smith.
2.2
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Thank you.
23
    wanted to know what GCA was, as well. And then you
    also mentioned a -- a document that has all the
24
25
    permits listed per county?
```

MR. WONG: Yeah. What happened was
there's a list in the county that shows all these
projects that they're they're working on and
in the permit process and/or what they've been, you
know, like planning and permitting. What I'm doing
is try to cross-reference those and see where it
matches with our land use projects.
COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: So you're
picking land use projects or you're picking
projects that did have time frames
MR. WONG: Well, some of them are
COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: and you're
checking to see whether they're within their time
frames? Is that is that how you're picking these
particular projects?
MR. WONG: Yes. And then some of them
we're doing just because for example, we hear it
in the news, they're going to it's in the news
for something. So we say: Hey, let's look into
this project to make sure if it's a LUC or non-LUC.
MR. ORODENKER: Okay. So before Arnold
starts to work on a project, we discuss it. And
what Arnold has actually done is taken projects that
from those lists that are projects that we have
approved And once we've we've distilled those

2.

2.2

out, then it -- it's not so much that we're looking for projects with time frames. We're looking for projects that we can't explain why they're not moving.

And then Arnold then goes and talks to the developers to find out whether there's something that we can help them with. We don't -- we're not looking for projects that are violated their time frames and to bring them back here for, you know, scoldings. We're looking for projects that might be held up for a year or two beyond -- beyond what you'd expect just because of things like HI-EMA or DOT or things like that. We're just trying to break log jams.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: And can you speak more to what did you run into on Hawaii Island that made it so that nothing was able to be produced there that -- at this time?

MR. WONG: One -- one project that -- that is interesting and I'm still trying to find out something is -- there's one that's change of ownership three times. And I'm still trying to find out who's that final owner. So I'm -- you know, have to go through DCCA and all that and see who's the owners, you know. So it -- it's not like it's

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

going to be their Google, you have to, you know, search down. So that's one thing. And another thing is cost of infrastructure. Like everyone else talks about, infrastructure is what has been going up like crazy. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee. COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. the executive officer, maybe it's not the primary activity of this, but when you do find projects that are behind and, you know, would that show up on their status reports if they do them? MR. ORODENKER: Theoretically, yes. mean, if we get a status report that basically says we haven't done anything -- and this is actually not Arnold's area, this is Martina's area -- and the deadlines are coming up, you know, we -- we usually schedule them for a status review so that the Commission can decide how they want to handle it. COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thanks. If -- if projects are behind and, you know -- and you find that out as a byproduct of Arnold's activity, you know, it would be good to follow up on that as your course of business. MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. That -- that's a regular course of business for us, and that's why we

schedule status reports is when we find one of those 1 2. projects that's just not making any headway and not 3 meeting its deadlines to find out whether they 4 intend to meet them so this Commission can decide 5 whether or not they want to continue to cut them slack or whatever. 6 Okay. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER LEE: CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. I just have 8 9 one, I don't know if it's a rhetorical question or 10 whatever, but it -- my sense is that when we have a decision and order for a project, we -- we learn 11 that the enforcement of those conditions in large 12 13 part is that of the county. So I just want to 14 clarify that you're not doing enforcement, you're 15 doing facilitation --MR. WONG: 16 Yes. CHAIR GIOVANNI: -- which is different. 17 That is correct. 18 MR. WONG: 19 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So you're -- so you're 20 not looking for -- for or where they have either 21 violated or in some way ignored a condition. 2.2 trying to find out -- and I'm putting words in your 23 mouth, but are you trying to find out what you can 24 do to facilitate so that they can move forward, 25 which is different than enforcement? Is that

```
1
    correct?
 2.
             MR. WONG: That is correct, sir. And
 3
    we're -- we're trying to be the assistance, not a --
    a policeman, but someone to say: Hey, let us help
 4
 5
         Let's assist and facilitate.
 6
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: I got a comment.
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Kahele.
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: 55 years this
 8
 9
    project's been out there on the shelf, and -- and
10
    how many other projects that we have out there
    that's -- they're sitting in a cabinet, so on a
11
12
    shelf, that potentially has an opportunity to move
13
    forward and finally, you know, hopefully bring to
14
    closure?
15
             MR. WONG: Commissioner, that's a good
    question. Right now, we've looked -- there's
16
17
    approximately 40- to 65,000 units, in general, that
    hasn't been built throughout the years. And we're
18
19
    just -- you know, it's like a big iceberg. We're
20
    just trying to chip away and get as much as we can
    just for the people of Hawaii.
21
2.2
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Thank you, Arnold.
23
    Good job.
24
             MR. WONG: Thank you, Chair --
    Commissioner. Sorry.
25
```

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee. 1 2. COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. 3 Arnold, since you're providing such a valuable 4 service to these developers, we hope you don't get 5 hired away. And I know you can't get paid a finder's fee, but, you know, maybe that's some way 6 7 of encouraging them. And I don't know why these guys aren't doing this themselves. But, you know, 8 9 if you're doing all this, then it sounds like a 10 valuable service. Thank you, Commissioner. 11 MR. WONG: And it -- to me, it's -- it's a helpful service that --12 13 it's for the people of Hawaii. It's for my sons and our grandkids so -- and our friends. 14 So it's a worthwhile endeavor. 15 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So I have a question that 16 17 follows on what Commissioner Lee brought up. So in 18 general, not -- don't speak to any specific 19 developer, but in general, if a developer is 20 delayed, you're -- you're unclogging the log jams, right, to get a project going forward? What -- what 21 2.2 does the -- why aren't the developers trying to 23 unclog their own projects? What are you finding 24 that causes a developer to just put it on the shelf 25 instead of trying to work a solution to the problem?

2.

2.2

MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, if I can -- if I can speak to that, having been a consultant for developers, is that developers very often take a shotgun approach. They put five projects out there and hope that one of them is going to move. If a project gets delayed and the other projects are moving, they just walk away from the one and start to work on the other one. They always take the path of least resistance.

And one of the reasons that we felt Arnold was important is because Arnold creates a path of least resistance so that developers will look back in a project and say: Oh, that problem's gone, why don't we just build it, instead of focusing on the other projects that they're working on.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. Okay.

Commissioner Carr Smith.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you. Just one last question. Arnold's job is obviously different than the rest of the staff. And so I'm just curious how -- what's the plan forward? What -- what are your goals, and how do you -- at what point are you going to have figured out any -- how to -- to assist any of these developers? And then what's next? What -- what else do you plan to do?

Well, because of my age, I -- I 1 MR. WONG: 2 was hoping this will be my last job and retire and 3 have fun golfing. The other thing is --COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Not the answer I 4 5 was looking for. 6 MR. ORODENKER: I can answer that, 7 Commissioner. There's a lot of work to do. I mean, as we mentioned, there's 65,000 units out there. 8 Ιt will take years for us to get -- move through all of 9 10 those projects and make a determination on whether or not we can be of any value to the developer. 11 And as Arnold has stated, he is -- he's 12 13 not the youngest guy in the whole world. But once we've completed that analysis and we've determined 14 15 that there's nothing else that we can do, then we'll 16 probably let the position go. But that's going to 17 be years. I mean, we've got a lot of projects that 18 aren't moving. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Sorry. I lied. 20 One more. And it's not necessarily for Arnold, but I'm starting to understand what everybody does here. 21 2.2 So am I to understand that Martina does the status 23 reports and annual filings as well as staff reports? 24 Or it's not that simple, but it -- I think it's good

25

for us --

1 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, no. 2. COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: -- to know who 3 does what. MR. ORODENKER: Right now, we're all doing 4 5 everything, except for Arnold, because we're one planner short. So Martina is doing two jobs. 6 7 Ariana is doing two jobs. Scott's doing two jobs. You know, we -- we spread out the workload so that 8 9 the planner who has the most time can handle the 10 staff reports. And Martina was originally tasked with 11 looking at the annual report, so they kind of 12 13 default to her. But she's also doing planner 5 14 work, and we've temporarily assigned her into the planner 5 position. But we are short a planner, so 15 we're -- we're just kind of -- trying to handle the 16 17 workload as best we can. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you. 18 19 you, Mr. Wong. 20 MR. WONG: Thank you, Chair. Thank you. Commissioners. 21 2.2 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Hang on a second, we 23 might have more public testimony. Ms. Kwan, is 24 there anybody who wants to testify here, this? 25 MS. KWAN: Seeing none for this agenda

1 item. 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So thank you, Mr. 3 Wong. 4 Thank you. MR. WONG: 5 CHAIR GIOVANNI: And thank you for the work you do. 6 MR. WONG: 7 Thank you. CHAIR GIOVANNI: So the next agenda items, 8 9 first of 14, has to do with proposed bills before 10 the 2025 legislature. So I'm going to go back half a step to our last meeting in which we decided upon 11 12 how we were going to proceed with testimony from the 13 staff and the commission in this current legislative 14 session. 15 So -- so this is the way I'd like to proceed, but I would welcome any comments or 16 17 questions on it. So we have 14 separate agenda 18 items, and we'll take them one at a time. the first one, we're going to spend a little more 19 20 time because I'm going to do two things. First of all, the executive staff has received a memo from 21 2.2 DBEDT director guiding them on testimony. 23 So I want -- just in terms of full 24 disclosure, I'd like the Commission to be fully 25 informed of what that direction is. And so I'll be

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asking the executive director to read that memo for the record for all commissioners, and commissioners could ask questions about it. But that memo will apply to, not only the next agenda item, but all the next 14 agenda items.

And then secondly, I'd like to just review for everybody what -- what our decision and order was -- what our decision was at our last meeting. So Commissioner Miyasato had moved to adopt the Office of Information Practices Sunshine Law options to address the legislative issues and measures.

And we chose what we refer to as option 1A, which was a delegation to the staff and the executive officer to prepare and provide testimony on behalf of the Commission, with the caveat that the staff does not have to take a position but provide comments in regards to the -- how the bill affects the Commission, unless the commission adopts a specific position on a specific bill.

Commissioner Hayashida seconded the motion, and the motion passed with six ayes and three nays.

So in effect, we will be reviewing. is the process that I want to go forward with on a bill-by-bill basis. So first of all, the -- the staff identified a number of bills for our

2.

2.2

consideration, and some of the commissioners added to that list and so that's why we ended up with 14 separate bills that perhaps have some relevance to the Land Use Commission that are active in the current legislature.

For each of these, we will ask if there's any public testimony on these bills. Secondly, we will ask staff to provide a brief summary of the bill for the Commission and their recommendations regarding whether there's concerns or whether they recommend that we provide any testimony or that we take any specific position. After we understand where the staff is coming from, the Commission can then decide whether it wants to take a position or not.

If it takes no position, I think that means, the way I interpret it, that we're going to provide no testimony. So if we take a position, an executive officer can prepare testimony consistent with that and present it on our behalf. If we do not take a position, there'll be no testimony. And as you'll hear from the directive from the DBEDT director, we will only consider when we do testify offering comments or offering support. We will not be offering opposition to -- to two bills.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
So before we go forward with the reading
of the -- those two -- that memo, does anybody have
any questions on the process that I just described?
Commissioner Lee.
         COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair.
                                               So
just to clarify, the directive is not to offer
opposition on any bills?
         CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                          Right.
         COMMISSIONER LEE:
                            Okay.
                                   Thank you.
         CHAIR GIOVANNI: I think we'll hear more
about that. Okay.
         MS. KWAN:
                    Testing you.
         CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                          Testing me.
                                       Okay.
this is agenda item regarding the 2025 legislative
session and proposed bills. So I will also be
taking -- if there is public testimony, it's going
to be on a bill-by-bill basis. I don't want to take
testimony on the first bill that might apply to
something we're going to consider even tomorrow.
Right, Ms. Kwan? So when we ask for public
testimony, it'll be specific to a bill that's before
us, right?
         So the first one is a decision by the
Commission's position regarding --
         MS. KWAN:
                    Chair, do we want to recognize
```

written testimony on all of them first? 1 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yep. Well, no, not all 3 of them. One by one. 4 MS. KWAN: The written one. 5 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So we'll cross that bridge as -- I'll show you how I'm doing. 6 So the first order of business on this 7 legislative session has to do with our position on 8 9 Senate Bill 28. Okay. So now I will recognize if 10 there's any written testimony on Senate Bill 28. Then I'll ask for public testimony. Then I'll ask 11 12 for staff to summarize. Then I'll ask for 13 commissioners' comments. Then we'll open the door 14 for public comment again. And then finally, the 15 Commission will make a motion or not for a position on that bill. 16 17 MS. KWAN: For written testimony, I'm 18 going to need a recess to review it all because all 19 the bills are different testimony, and I got to 20 tally up. 21 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. Mr. Chair, I -- I 2.2 would suggest with regard to the written testimony, after having reviewed it all, that we just recognize 23 24 it because most of the written testimony covers more 25 than one bill.

1 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. And it's all been published on the website? 2. 3 MR. ORODENKER: Yes, it has. 4 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So we'll take the written testimony as an aggregate. Commissioners, 5 are you okay with that? Commissioner Lee. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I'm kind of confused because in other -- in past meetings -- and 8 9 by the way, thank you for providing these last 10 minute testimonies. Whenever possible, we should get as many -- much testimony as possible. 11 I know in the past though, if it was one day before, you --12 13 you didn't even have time to check them. glad you're providing them. So in the future, if we 14 15 can have as much testimony, even if late, as 16 possible. 17 But I don't understand -- I mean, the written testimony is written testimony. We just 18 19 read it, you know, if we can. If we can't, we 20 can't. But I don't know why we have to have staff summarize it. 21 2.2 CHAIR GIOVANNI: No, we're not asking 23 staff to summarize it. They're going to summarize their position on the matter. The written -- I'm 24 25 just asking if there is written testimony.

```
1
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                Right. But that's why
 2.
    I'm glad you clarified that, because it sounded like
 3
    staff was being asked to --
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Summarize written?
 4
 5
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                Yeah.
 6
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: No.
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thanks.
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So if there's written
 8
 9
    testimony that's been received, I want to verify
10
    it's been -- been posted so we can read it, if we
11
    still choose. That's consistent, right? And I'm
12
    going to acknowledge all the written testimony for
13
    all of the bills. Right. But if there's oral
    testimony, it will be on a bill-by-bill basis.
14
15
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                   Mr. Chair, I just
16
    had a point clarification.
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Sure. Commissioner
18
    Yamane.
19
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: I just want to
20
    clarify between written testimony for an agenda item
    and written testimony for bills, what are we talking
21
2.2
    about? We're not talking about --
23
             MR. ORODENKER: Well, we received -- okay.
24
    To be precise, received a -- we received a -- should
25
    I use the word plethora of written testimony with
```

1	regard to our proposed agenda or our agenda items
2	that reference specific bills. Most of that
3	testimony was either well, there was some of it
4	that was a couple in support or recommending that
5	we support certain bills. And there was a lot of
6	testimony recommending that we do not support
7	certain bills.
8	Most of that testimony was all jumbled up.
9	It didn't talk just about one bill that they wanted
10	us to support or to not support. They they
11	weren't submitting testimony on the bill
12	specifically as they would to the legislature.
13	They're submitting testimony urging us not to
14	support or to support certain measures.
15	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Thank you. That
16	just wanted to clear that up. Thanks.
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: I'm sure it's very clear
18	everybody's mind now.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Chair.
20	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Where is this coming
21	from? Commissioner Carr Smith.
22	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you. You
23	mentioned a letter from the director, DBEDT
24	director. And I thought you said you were going to
25	read that.

1	CHAIR GIOVANNI: We are.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: When does that
3	happen?
4	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Next.
5	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay.
6	CHAIR GIOVANNI: So yeah, it's come to my
7	attention but first of all, I want to get the
8	public testimony out of the way. So is there
9	anybody that has indicated they want to present oral
LO	testimony on Senate Bill 28?
11	MS. KWAN: Well, first I'm going to
L2	acknowledge the written testimony for the agenda
L3	items. We received one on February 3rd, one on
L4	February 4th, and 44 this morning as of 9:00 a.m.
L5	After 9:00 a.m., I was unavailable to check if we
L6	received additional written testimony.
L7	CHAIR GIOVANNI: So these 46 testimonies
L8	have been posted?
L9	MS. KWAN: All 46 before 9:00 a.m. have
20	been posted to the website site on the agenda and
21	minutes page.
22	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you so much. Okay.
23	Now, is there any oral anybody wishing to do oral
24	testimony on Senate Bill 28?
25	MS. KWAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. I have three

```
written up through the Q&A feature.
 1
                                         The first one
 2.
    who would like to speak on agenda item 6 is Steven
    Lee Montgomery. I will promote him now.
             Mr. Montgomery, can you do a mic check and
 4
 5
    a video check? Mr. Montgomery, can you hear me?
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Kwan, let's go to
 6
 7
    number 2.
             MS. KWAN: Okay. I'm going to move on to
 8
 9
    number 2, which is Wayne Tanaka, and we'll come back
10
    to Steven Montgomery after.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Who's that? Let's go
11
12
    with Mr. Montgomery.
13
             Mr. Montgomery, can you please state your
14
    name and your address?
15
             MS. KWAN: Sir, you're muted.
16
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I think we
17
    did it.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Been a long day. What
18
19
    are you going to do?
20
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're trying.
21
    We're trying.
2.2
             MS. KWAN: Okay. We can see and hear you.
23
             MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes. I -- I hear you.
24
    Are you able to -- to hear me now?
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yes, we hear you now, Mr.
```

Montgomery. Please state your full name and your 1 2. address. 3 MR. MONTGOMERY: Thank you. I'm Steven Lee Montgomery, and I live at 94 (audio disruption). 4 5 I've had the privilege to serve five busy years as a member of the Land Use Commission after 6 7 an appointment by Governor Cayetano, well before Zoom tech allowed these hybrid meetings. 8 9 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Hold up. Hold up. 10 -- all I want's your name right now. So do you swear that the testimony you're about to give will 11 be the truth? 12 13 MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes, I do. 14 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So please proceed. You 15 have two minutes. MR. MONTGOMERY: Thank you. 16 I served 17 years as a member of the Commission, well before Zoom, and having lived since 1988 on a former Oahu 18 19 ag land in an affordable home built by the Horita 20 Company in upper Waipahu. 21 I have an urgent response to the veiled 2.2 proposal in SB28, because it could permit as few as 23 three of the Commission's nine members to approve a 24 redistricting. I cannot recall any housing docket a 25 component of affordable housing that failed to earn

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

six votes and approval. In fact, when Alexander and Baldwin asked to urbanize ag land for shops near the Maui's airport, the chairman, Clarence Ng, convinced the applicant to add an affordable housing section.

In Kohala, we on the Commission voted to extend by many years the time and amount of -- for the Aina Lea LLC to finish building 385 affordable housing units agreed upon. This bill implies that the LUC has disapproved affordable housing dockets, but gives no evidence in its preamble.

Please defend the Landing Commission's record of diligent and strong work to support Please continue to ask questions for the record to ensure land use changes protect Hawaiian cultural practitioners and citizens nearby.

The county general plan process is no substitute for LUC and finding the best balance between varied public interests. I can recall sad cases of neighbors with a provincial so-called NIMBY view having intimidated elected county council members who blocked vital affordable housing plans for Kailua.

As you consider the positions on this and the other 11 bills, please do protect food security, public trust resources, climate resilience, and

1	affordable housing, and the LUC fact finding that
2	entails rigorous, detailed, and objective balance
3	between public interest. In conclusion, please
4	oppose this bill in particular. Thank you.
5	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr.
6	Montgomery. Please stand by for questions from the
7	commissioners.
8	Commissioners, any questions for Mr.
9	Montgomery? Seeing none, Mr. Montgomery, thank you
10	for your time today. We appreciate your testimony.
11	MR. MONTGOMERY: You're welcome.
12	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Kwan, who is next.
13	MS. KWAN: I'm going to promote Wayne
14	Tanaka.
15	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Mr. Tanaka,
16	can you give me a mic check?
17	MR. TANAKA: Testing.
18	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Tanaka.
19	Please state your name and address, and then I'll
20	swear you in.
21	MR. TANAKA: Wayne Tanaka, 46271 Kahuhipa
22	Street, Kaneohe 96744.
23	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Do you swear
24	the testimony you're about to give will be the
25	truth?

1 MR. TANAKA: Yes, I do. 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Please proceed. You have 3 two minutes. 4 Okay. Thank you. MR. TANAKA: Thank you, 5 And you know, thank you everyone on the Commission for having this conversation. 6 I also want to give staff a ton of credit, sounds like they 7 had a lot of last minute scrambling to do with all 8 9 the testimonies they've received. 10 So I'm speaking to agenda item 6, but also generally about your positions on some of the 11 12 measures before you today. I just want to, you 13 know, note that, you know, you've all agreed to 14 administer land use laws to uphold our constitution. 15 I believe you've all taken training courses by commission staff, by the law school regarding some 16 of your obligations and the ways in which you 17 18 fulfill them as the Land Use Commission. 19 But I -- I do want to express a bit of 20 concern about comments in previous meetings suggesting that the Land Use Commission try to, you 21 2.2 know, say, modify basic Supreme Court precedent with 23 respect to Native Hawaiian constitutional rights. 24 You know, there have been comments made

that's in your favor reducing your very critical

25

2.

2.2

responsibility to make sure that we make the best use of our limited lands, right, and not just for, you know, folks here today, but for our kids and grandkids. And just like Land Use decisions from 30/40 years ago continues to impact us for better or worse, the decisions you make will impact our landscape and our community and ability really to survive here in islands for decades into the future.

And so housing is important, but so is food security, you know, so are native climate rights, so is climate resilience, affordable housing for all income levels, for job -- you know, job creation. And that's what you're here to do, and that's what we really depend on you to do.

And so to be honest, you know, just to summarize, I think it'd be inappropriate to walk back on some of the commitments you've made by supporting measures, you know, like this measure, like other bills on the agenda that would -- you know, like the previous testifier mentioned, like, try to substitute your rigorous fact finding process and balancing of interest with that of the colonies, which, you know, as I understand in practice is much less rigorous, is much less transparent and clear about how the decisions are being made.

```
1
             And so happy to answer any -- any
 2.
    questions. Otherwise, you know, I just urge a lot
 3
    of caution and reflection before you endorse, you
    know, the measure under this agenda item and in
 4
 5
    agenda items 7 through 11 and 13. And thank you so
 6
    much.
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you for your
    testimony. I have to ask you to remain for any
 8
 9
    questions from commissioners. Thank you, Mr.
10
             Appreciate you taking the time today.
    Tanaka.
11
             MR. TANAKA: Thank you.
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Who's the next one?
                                                   Ms.
13
    Kwan?
14
             MS. KWAN: The next one signed up is
15
    Healani. I will promote her now. Healani, can I
    get a mic check and video?
16
17
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Sure. Aloha.
                                              My name
    is Healani Sonoda-Pale.
18
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Sonoda-Kale (sic),
20
    could you -- just to remind you, you're still under
    oath from your previous testimony earlier today.
21
                                                       So
2.2
    we're -- agenda item now is Senate Bill 28.
23
    please proceed with any comments you have. You have
24
    two minutes.
25
             MS. SONODA-PALE: Sure. So aloha.
                                                 Ka
```

1	Lahui is deeply concerned with the future of our
2	aina and the well-being of our people in generations
3	to come. We urge you to uphold your statutory
4	responsibilities and maintain your critical role in
5	safeguarding the public interests in major land use
6	decisions. Now more than ever, the Land Use
7	Commission must stand firm in its kuleana and ensure
8	that Hawaii's lands are stewarded with care,
9	foresight, and respect for the needs of its own
10	people.
11	The Land Use Commission's founding purpose
12	so the Land Use Commission was established in
13	1961 in response to growing concerns over unchecked
14	development, loss of agricultural lands, and
15	haphazard urban sprawl. Before its creation, land
16	use decisions were left solely to individual
17	counties, which often prioritize short-term economic
18	gains over long-term environmental and cultural
19	sustainability.
20	Your purpose, you were created to prevent
21	uncontrolled development that threatens Hawaii's
22	natural cultural resources, ensure responsible land
23	management by classifying lands in the four
24	districts, upholding public trust responsibilities,

including water resources, preserving Kanaka Maoli

25

2.

traditional and customary rights, and safeguarding food security and hold large scale development accountable by requiring rigorous review processes before district boundary changes.

For over six decades, the LUC has served as a critical safeguard against reckless land use decision, standing as a check against speculative development and ensuring that Hawaii's precious land is being used wisely. The LC -- LUC support of the -- the bills that are listed, including the bill that we're currently on, I think it's HB 2.8 (sic), directly it contradicts its founding -- your founding purpose and mission.

Instead of fulfilling your kuleana to protect land and people, the Commission is now entertaining legislation that prioritizes developers, weakens your own public -- your own powers, and weakens public oversight and threatens the long-term sustainability of our islands. And I just want to remind you we are in a water crisis, that we are in a climate crisis, and we're in unprecedented times. Look at what happened with the Lahaina fires.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. I have to ask you -- I have to ask you to conclude your remarks.

```
1
             MS. SONODA-PALE:
                               Yes. So we strongly
 2.
    oppose Senate Bill 28 and all the -- the other bills
 3
    following this bill. But we will testify on the
    other bills, as well. Thank you.
 4
 5
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you very much.
             Any questions for this witness?
 6
             Thank you very much for coming forward.
 7
             Ms. Kwan, do we have anything else on the
 8
 9
    Zoom?
10
             MS. KWAN: Seeing none in the Q&A feature,
11
    Chair.
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Do we have anybody in the
13
    room that wants to testify?
14
                        No, Mr. Chair.
             MS. KWAN:
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So I'm now going
    to call on staff who has reviewed this bill and ask
16
17
    for the staff to summarize it and offer any
    recommendations. Before I do that, I'd like you to
18
19
    read, for our fellow commissioners, the memo you
20
    received from the deputy -- from the director of
21
    DBEDT.
2.2
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             Thank you, Mr. Chair. We
23
    received -- we received a reminder on the guidance
24
    and parameters for testifying on non-administrative
25
    measures from the director's office. It is -- it
```

1	states that it is important that our testimony
2	aligns with DBEDT's framework, avoids conflicting or
3	duplicating positions, and reflects fiscal
4	restraints.
5	Key areas of focus. Informational
6	briefings provide updates as needed, ensuring
7	consistency with DBEDT's strategic priorities.
8	Administrative bills. (Inaudible) support
9	for key DBEDT initiatives as outlined in the
10	Economic Landscape Presentation, which this
11	Commission was not privy to.
12	Non-administrative bills. Generally,
13	testimony should be comments only, unless
14	specifically requested by the committee chair or
15	introducer.
16	Preparing testimony. Align with DBEDT's
17	framework, testimony should not duplicate or
18	conflict with DBEDT's strategic priorities.
19	Focus on program priorities. Testimony
20	should emphasize the impact on existing DBEDT
21	programs and resources.
22	Reorganization bills. These measures may
23	delay progress due to administrative restructuring.
24	Testimony should reflect the potential impacts.

New programs. Consider whether the

25

2.

02243
proposed changes improve service delivery and
effectiveness.
Not administrative appropriations. Use
boilerplate language stating that funding requests
do not impact administrative budget priorities.
Bills assigning DBEDT implementation.
Some measures may not be well defined and could
assign responsibility for DBEDT without clear
alignment. The agency or program best suited to
lead will be determined based on the bill's purpose.
Please ensure that testimony is reviewed
for alignment and consistency before submission. If
there are any questions regarding DBEDT's position,
reach out before finalizing testimony.
CHAIR GIOVANNI: What does that mean?
MR. ORODENKER: In a nutshell, we don't
know. What what it means is that with regard for
informational briefings, which the Commission is not

informational briefings, which the Commission is not
concerned with, we're just supposed to provide the
information as needed.

With regard to administrative bills that
support DBEDT's initiatives, we're supposed to

23 provide support. For non-administrative bills, we

24 can't oppose a bill, but we can provide comments.

So if there is something in the bill that is fixable

1	or inherently wrong, we can provide comments on
2	that. But we're not supposed to submit testimony
3	that says we oppose HB number or SB number whatever.
4	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners, any
5	questions or comments on this directive?
6	Commissioner Carr Smith.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: May we have a
8	copy of that please?
9	MR. ORODENKER: Yes. We we can post
LO	that to the to the website.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay.
L2	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.
L3	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. Thank you for
L4	expounding on that. So this is is this different
L5	from previous guidance?
L6	MR. ORODENKER: No, this is consistent
L7	with this administration's guidance with regard to
18	testimony.
L9	COMMISSIONER LEE: Sounds like
20	CHAIR GIOVANNI: What do you mean by
21	previous, Commissioner Lee? You mean previous this
22	
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, because I I
24	recall that last year and previous years, there was
25	testimony in opposition to bill, so I'm just asking

for clarification. And it sounds like madam 1 director has some comment. 2. 3 CHAIR GIOVANNI: One second. I got swear you in, Mary Alice. Mary Alice, please state your 4 5 name and affiliation. MS. EVANS: Mary Alice Evans, Director of 6 7 the Office of Planning and Sustainable Development. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Do you swear your 8 9 testimony will be the truth? 10 MS. EVANS: I do. 11 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Can you add clarification 12 in response to Commissioner Lee's question? 13 Yes. Those directives apply MS. EVANS: 14 to DBEDT staff and to DBEDT attached agencies where 15 staff is delegated to provide testimony. Chapter 2635 gives boards and commissions the ability to 16 17 have policy autonomy outside of the directives -policy directives of a head of a department to which 18 19 the board or commission is administratively 20 attached. 21 So I'm not an attorney, and you should 2.2 confer with your own DAG. But it is my 23 understanding over many decades that boards and 24 commissions can take positions if it's a policy 25 matter that is germane to your enabling statute.

Τ	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Any question
2	or comment on that, Mr. Orodenker?
3	MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. To answer
4	Commissioner Lee's question directly, yes, there
5	have been times when agencies have submitted
6	testimony in opposition, but that has to be cleared
7	through the director first before we can do that.
8	CHAIR GIOVANNI: What do you mean by
9	cleared?
LO	MR. ORODENKER: In other words, we have to
11	make our case to the director as to why we need to
L2	to oppose a measure rather than just submit
L3	comments.
L4	CHAIR GIOVANNI: And you you need a
L5	formal approval from the
L6	MR. ORODENKER: Yes.
L7	COMMISSIONER LEE: I'd like to make a
18	motion to understand our powers, duties, privileges,
L9	immunities, and liabilities. I believe that's
20	section HRS 92-5(a)(4).
21	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Second.
22	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Certainly. So moved by
23	Commissioner Lee to go in executive session,
24	seconded by Commissioner Carr Smith to confer with
25	our Deputy Attorney General. In favor, signify by

saying aye.

1

2. THE COMMISSION: Aye. 3 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Any opposed? No. Ms. Kwan, can you arrange for executive 4 5 session? We'll take a recess while you do that, and you let me know when you're ready. Thank you. 6 7 (Recess taken.) CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. It's 12:20. 8 9 back on the record in regular session. So we had 10 adjourned for executive session for questions of the legal nature with our Deputy Attorney General. 11 12 they pertain to guidance we received in terms of the 13 positions that the Commission independently can 14 make, both whether they be in favor or in opposition 15 or questions we are independent of -- and basically, 16 we're an independent commission, and we can take 17 such. Ms. Steed, did I represent that correctly? 18 19 Thank you. So now we'll proceed -- I will get to 20 that. Mr. Miyasato, do you have any question? 21 COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Yeah, I do, Chair. 2.2 Thank you. Yeah. I received a phone call during 23 the break. Yeah. The reason I'm not there is I'm 24 covering for some friends that went to a convention, 25 and I got a phone call that there's a broken water

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm trying to get someone else to address it, line. but if they don't get back to me, I may have to leave in an hour. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much. Appreciate the -- just give us a heads up, and we'll proceed accordingly. COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Okay. Thank you. CHAIR GIOVANNI: We've also learned that Commissioner U'u will be able to stay with us till 4:00, so I think we'll have a full quorum in any event, even if you have to leave. And Commissioner Yemane decides to leave and Commissioner Kamakea-'Ohelo is not present, so we have seven or six under all circumstances. So thank you. Okay. At this time, I'd like to call on the commission staff. Mr. Orodenker, would you please provide us a brief summary of SB28, your -any analysis that you want to share, and also any recommendations you have regarding testimony. MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. SB28 amends chapter 205 to provide that a simple majority of affirmative votes of the members present and qualified to vote on the Land Use Commission shall be necessary for any boundary amendment up until -- or presently, it takes six affirmative

votes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Staff has concerns consistent with some of the public testimony that we heard that it would result in some situations where only three commissioners could approve a district boundary amendment. District boundary amendments and chapter 205 was originally promulgated or considered to be significant. And that is why a super majority was authorized or was required for a district boundary amendment to occur.

I would note that it's never been in a situation where there's been a 3-2 or 3-3 vote on the district boundary amendment. But the other concern that staff has is that important agricultural lands applied to this change in the Important agricultural lands would still provision. require six affirmative votes. And it's not uncommon for us to get district boundary amendments where we have a mix of regular agricultural land and important agricultural lands.

Our recommendation is that we could provide testimony is simply stating that we don't feel that this is necessary but is the Commission's prerogative.

> CHAIR GIOVANNI: So you're recommending

1	testimony that's that is not necessary why? I
2	mean, does it go back to these concerns about a
3	super (audio disruption) that only three votes might
4	result in a DBA?
5	MR. ORODENKER: Well, yeah. And you know,
6	it was pointed out by one of the public testifiers
7	that there it doesn't seem to be any basis for
8	this. I mean, there's nothing no evidence or any
9	experience that would suggest that this would result
10	in more DBAs being granted.
11	CHAIR GIOVANNI: So basically, you're
12	recommending comment, not support or opposition; is
13	that correct?
14	MR. ORODENKER: I think our comment would
15	be that it's not necessary.
16	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Comment is it's not
17	necessary. Okay. Commissioners. Commissioner Lee.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Sure. Thank you. I
19	want to note that this bill has been introduced
20	before, and it's introduced now by the chair and the
21	vice chair of the House Senate Housing Committee,
22	and the the vice chair used to be the chair of
23	the House side as well.
24	And I'm not sure I agree that it's not
25	necessary. I mean, I don't agree that it should be

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

three people making this decision when deck rulings and other decisions by this body are done by a simple majority of five. So I guess I want to hear why the DBA should be six when other decisions are five from the executive officer.

MR. ORODENKER: Well, as -- as I previously mentioned, it's always been the position of the legislature prior to this, because things can change, that district boundary amendments are significant and they require a large amount of discussion.

And there are many issues that are of concern whenever there's a district boundary amendment presented and that they should be contemplated fully, and there should be a majority -- a super majority of the Commission rendering that decision when it's going to have such a large impact on cultural gathering rights, the environment, state expenditures, and all the other factors that are in our rules that we were -- expressed as were -- as issues that were of concern to us.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thanks. you stated earlier that there hasn't been a case where there's been five, so -- and that it didn't make a difference. So why not five then?

```
MR. ORODENKER: I don't have an answer to
 1
          As -- like I said, I don't think this is
 2
    that.
 3
    necessary. We've never had a problem, and it's
    never slowed down anything.
 4
 5
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So just to -- point of
    clarification, please, on the bill as -- as drafted.
 6
 7
    Does it state a simple majority of the quorum, or
    does it state five?
 8
 9
             MR. ORODENKER: It says a simple majority
10
    of the members present. So quorum would be five, so
    three could theoretically grant the DBA.
11
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Right. So now the
13
    question moving six to five is not articulated in
14
    the draft?
15
             MR. ORODENKER: No, it is not. So if we
16
    were going to submit testimony, we would -- our
17
    testimony would say: Well, our comments are that a
    simple majority of the members present is excessive.
18
19
    We would be okay if it was five.
20
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I'd like to
21
    follow up.
22
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.
23
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah, I think as
24
    written, I would be opposed to three people voting,
    because that's even less than, you know, any -- any
25
```

```
other decision.
 1
                     But --
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: You agree that's the way
 3
    it's drafted?
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes, that's the way
 4
 5
    it's drafted. That's how I read it. But I -- I
    want to see how everyone else feels about providing
 6
    input that five might be more acceptable. I mean, I
 7
    don't know how everyone else feels. I'm -- I would
 8
 9
    be okay with five, but I'd be interested to see if
10
    we could provide testimony that no, three is too
    little, but five might be more acceptable, versus
11
12
    just opposing this bill altogether.
13
             So I mean, I don't -- I'm not ready to
14
    make a motion yet, but, you know, I'd like to hear
15
    what everyone else's thoughts are on five.
16
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Anybody else have a
17
    thought?
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know, I want to
18
19
    make a comment.
20
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Kahele.
21
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE:
                                   Yeah.
                                          So right now,
2.2
    there's no scheduled hearing, right, for this bill.
23
                             No, there is not. No.
             MR. ORODENKER:
24
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Yeah, there's no
25
    scheduled hearing. So we're going to take the
```

1	position as you know, it's not necessary. Why
2	don't we take the position, as as commissioners
3	or as the head of land use, and either we're up or
4	down. I I don't believe we should be taking a
5	vote with just a majority of the people that's
6	present at the at the meeting. Because like
7	Brian just mentioned and Dan just mentioned, we had
8	three people here at the meeting that they going to
9	decide whether they're going to be approving a
10	district boundary amendment.
11	So anyway, Brian is recommending that we,
12	instead of six affirmative votes, make an amendment
13	to the current bill for five. Whatever the decision
14	is going to be made, I think we should make the
15	decision and just just stay somewhat neutral.
16	Anyway, that's that's my take.
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: So Commissioner Kahele,
18	if I understand you correctly, you're recommending
19	that we consider taking a position on the bill as
20	drafted as opposed to getting into word smithing the
21	bill itself?
22	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Yes.
23	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. I agree with that
24	personally, but commissioners. Commissioner U'u.
25	COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah. I'd like to

share my manao. I -- I heard that the position 1 could be worst -- in a worst case scenario that 2. 3 three people could vote to decide on approval of a DBA, but at the same time three people could deny 4 5 it. So, you know, this is how I look at it. I look at it as -- you know, as Hawaii. I don't -- I don't 6 7 need to go out there and state the obvious sometimes. Like food security, Hawaiian traditional 8 9 practices, I don't need to. It's ingrained in us at 10 birth. 11 But people try to say it all the time at times, like I don't know why. And I get it. But 12 13 the part is it's instilled at a young age. 14 instilled way before my time. So when people 15 misstate me and say: Are you not for the 16 environment? I'm like: Dude, I was ingrained. Ι 17 was embedded. I was embedded. We came here by 18 canoe. 19 And so the part is -- the issue is when 6 20 percent of land on Maui is designated urban, now we got a problem. That's the issue. 21 That's the 2.2 biggest issue right here. I mean, we protected it 23 so good, right? We -- I don't know what the 24 percentage is ag. I think 55 percent is ag and 40 25 percent is what -- what have you.

2.2

What I'm saying is it seems to me when it will keep these bills that we pay, there is a breakdown somewhere for housing. If you just take all this we're looking at, the breakdown is there's not enough. There's out migration of all people -- my people.

If this helps move the needle to keep all people home, I think -- more importantly bring them back, because people always coming, but not necessarily coming back. And my goal is to have them to come back. So -- and when I hear people say like, you know, it's -- it's not proving that it's needed. Well, it's not proving it's not needed also though.

So I'm okay with this as proposed. And I always look at it this way. If it move the needle, if we all look at (inaudible), I think some of the decisions made over the course of the years who have a lack of homes statewide, I think some -- everyone got to take some responsibility on it, right. And as we say laulima, many hands. And I know some people see it as it's not our kuleana, and maybe it's not. I think it's mine.

So I will support the bill as written. That's my two cents. But again, I will support

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

whatever the body decides to support. And that's why I always like to see the grin. Like, I like when they hear people say certain things, because it makes me think about what my thought process would But that's why we collaborate with the nine members. But just have -- have this one say at the end.

I suppose I leave her because of other obligations. Mr. Yamane has other obligations. has to go, and we just heard that Myles might have to leave. I have faith if I left that people could do a good job without me. I have absolute faith in that. It's not my -- you know I just say it's hard to find people to serve -- Maui County, we have 70something vacancies on boards and commissions, and it holds up a lot of things.

So the part is, like I'm not -- I wouldn't use the word arrogant, but I would say I'll be okay that I trust in people who make decisions if I'm not So that's my manao. I would support it as there. written, but then again this mine, one of nine. Thank you.

> Thank you, Commissioner. CHAIR GIOVANNI: COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know, if I may,

Chair. 25

2.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Kahele.

COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know, I agree with Mr. U'u, not in agreement of how the bill is written. We've got a problem with jobs. We've got a problem with the cost of living. We got a problem with housing, affordable housing. We average 15,000 of our Native Hawaiians or citizens that live in Hawaii that's moving up to the mainland. Why? We priced out of paradise.

If we agree to allow three people on this board to make a decision on who's going to be coming in and -- and requesting for a district boundary amendment that's not looking at building affordable homes, yeah, we might be in trouble because everybody's looking at money.

All these developers coming here, except for the people that's requesting for 201 -- 201H status in building affordable homes, we might be in big trouble if we get the wrong people sitting on this commission. And right now, we got good -- got good people here. We get the wrong people sitting here making decisions on who's going to be able to get this district boundary amendments and building pricey homes, it's going to push more of our families up to the mainland.

```
And I got grandsons, I got granddaughters
 1
 2.
    that moved up to the mainland because they not going
 3
    to survive here. So anyway, you know, I just wanted
    to make that comment. I -- I am not for this bill,
 4
 5
    and I think we should just keep the status quo and
    only for the best interest of our Native Hawaiians
 6
 7
    and the people that's currently living here with
    Hawaiians, Orientals, Caucasians. This is our
 8
 9
    island. This is our state. We've been priced out
10
    of it.
            Thank you.
11
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you, Commissioner.
    I just got one clarifying question for -- for staff.
12
13
    Do you recall a DBA that failed because it didn't
    get six votes, it only got five, in history?
14
15
             MR. ORODENKER: We had one or two, but it
16
    was before my time. So I don't know. Maybe Scott
17
    can recall.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
18
                              Scott.
19
             MS. KWAN: Staff needs a recess.
                                               The
20
    audio is muffled. I need to unplug and replug.
21
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Let's take a very
2.2
    brief three minute recess.
                                It's 12:37.
23
             MS. KWAN:
                        Thank you.
24
             (Recess taken from 12:37 to 12:39 p.m.)
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So I had a question on
```

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

82243 the table. We're going to come back in session. 1 It's -- I've got 12:39, back in session. 2. 3 recording working now? Thank you. So the question on the table to staff was 4 5 any history you can share with us where 3, 4, 5, 6 votes were of significance in consideration of a DBA 6 7 in recent history? I mean, what's driving this thing? 8 9 MR. DERRICKSON: This is Scott Derrickson,

MR. DERRICKSON: This is Scott Derrickson, chief planner. I -- I can't tell you what's driving this bill, because I don't think that it's supported by any evidence. It's not solving a problem. But in -- to answer your question specifically, I believe in the last 15 years there have -- there has been at least one incidence where something hasn't been approved because of five votes.

But again, it's rare. And usually what happens is a petitioner reads the room and recognizes that a project doesn't appear to have garnered enough votes to -- to approve. And in some cases they've withdrawn the petition. In some cases they've asked to -- more time to go back, make some changes, and come back in.

The approval rate of the Commission historically is 95-plus percent. There's been

2.

2.2

hardly any times when the Commission has denied a petition.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you.

Commissioners, any other questions? Commissioner Carr Smith.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you. I think we should keep that in perspective. Because I know for Hawaii Island, it's been a good 10 years since there was a DBA at all. So I mean, I think we're also looking at this bill and with the worst case scenario, and I'm not sure that -- who knows what the intention was, but I'm not sure that we should necessarily look at it that way.

Maybe members present should not be in there. Maybe it should be quorum, but then that's still a low amount. And I understand the concern about the low amount, but yet we need to be able to get things done. And if we look at all these bills on our list, several of them speak to DBAs. And I think there's a reason why counties are wanting to take more control over DBAs, and that may be because it's challenging for people to get through us.

So I think that the six votes goes back a long ways. I think that times have changed, and I think it's reasonable for us to consider taking the

opportunity to say maybe it should be five now, 1 2. along with everything else. 3 COMMISSIONER U'U: Chair. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'U. 4 5 COMMISSIONER U'U: And, you know, my belief is that, you know, if there's ever a point in 6 time when we get too much affordable housing to stop 7 it, but it's not going to be during my lifetime. 8 9 But I would agree with -- with Commissioner Carr 10 Smith that, you know, I think most people here served on boards prior. So even though we can go 11 back in your history books and look at any time 12 13 where -- where the votes was that close. 14 I sat on commission -- planning 15 commission, I think that's kind of important within the SMA area. We -- we 5-to-4 a few times that I 16 17 can think off the top of my head. And so I'll be 18 okay with that. It's the majority, not the super 19 majority. I'll be fine with that in implementing 20 something like that. So that would work for me. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. 23 just like to say that if you look at some of the 24 other bills that we're going to consider today, they 25 want to take the State LUC completely out of any

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vote whatsoever. So it'd be like zero votes to approve a project. It would just be decided on the county level. I'm -- I'm okay with doing something different and bold, you know. I don't think three is right, but I think five votes consistent with dec rulings and other votes that we might have, I'm good with that. So I'll -- I'll make a motion that --CHAIR GIOVANNI: Not yet. I'm still in discussion phase before -- I'll give you the opportunity to make a motion. COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. See now this is an example of why we're not following Sunshine Law. Because if we were -- I mean, Robert's Rules of Because if we were, I could make a motion Orders. anytime. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Make a motion. COMMISSIONER LEE: So I motion that we -we -- that the executive officer testifies that this Commission would support DBA approval with five Because I think the legislature wants to votes. hear from us. We're the people who have been given the responsibility to look at these things. -- I don't think five goes that far, because some of

the other proposals are that we have zero votes. 1 And if people are self-censoring 2. 3 themselves like Mr. Derrickson said then I think that's a problem, if people are saying that I'm not 4 5 even going to go, because I -- I don't think I can get six votes. Five might be an easier hurdle, so 6 7 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Can I -- can I ask if you 8 9 would accept a -- a friendly amendment that you 10 would -- this Commission support a DBA approval of five votes, provided it doesn't include IAL? 11 12 COMMISSIONER LEE: Oh, absolutely. 13 Absolutely. And for those who might even have 14 heartburn with that, we could even put a sunset date 15 on it, say five years. You know, this is -- it's up for consideration. I'll add that in just to give 16 17 everyone extra caution that this is not something that we -- you know, we feel so strongly about, that 18 19 we're just going to throw everything to the wind, 20 but that there should be a window where we try this 21 out and see what happens, so --2.2 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Well, isn't it up to the 23 legislature to bring a bill back to us -- in front 24 of us.

COMMISSIONER LEE: No, you can -- you can

```
amend the bill so that there's a sunset date.
 1
                                                    In
 2.
    fact, some of the other bills --
 3
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah, but we're not the
    legislature.
 4
 5
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                No.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: We're just offering
 6
 7
    comment.
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                Right. But that would
 8
 9
    be my recommendation is that this is what we would
10
    support, five votes with a five year sunset date.
11
    So I made that motion, and I'm open to hearing if
12
    anyone will second or have any other comment or
13
    maybe even amending my motion. Thank you.
14
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: And you know, Brian,
15
    we can live with that. I believe we can live with
    the majority of five. I -- I just wasn't okay with
16
17
    the language that's currently written in the bill.
    And Ms. Carr brought up a good point. We got
18
19
    planning projects out there that's kind of like
20
    stalled. We need to move them. But you know, we --
    we're just hoping -- keep our fingers crossed that
21
2.2
    Waterland (phonetic) will schedule a hearing for --
23
    for the director to be able to make an amendment to
24
    the current bill.
25
             And with that -- no, Brian, I support your
```

```
-- your motion. I'll second it.
 1
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So let me offer us
 3
    another friendly amendment. Would you accept a
 4
    friendly amendment that says that we are not in
 5
    support as written. We're under circumstances that
    three could carry?
 6
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes, I would support
 7
    that.
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Do I have a second
10
    to that --
11
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Second.
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: -- friendly, okay. So
13
    the motion as I --
14
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Chair.
15
    ahead.
16
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Do you have a amendment
17
    to?
18
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                             I just -- I
                                       No.
19
    don't know why we're adding in the important ag
20
    lands because it's not in here.
21
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Because the -- the
22
    executive director stated that some DBAs come forth
23
    where a portion of the parcel that's under
24
    consideration includes IAL and IAL require six
25
    explicitly.
```

```
1
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Okay. Okay.
                                                      Ι
 2.
              Thank you.
    got you.
 3
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Correct.
                                        Okay.
                                                So the
    motion on the floor, if I understand correctly, is
 4
 5
    the following: This Commission's position is one
    that is in opposition to the bill as drafted, where
 6
 7
    under some circumstances, three votes of this
    commission could carry an approval of a DBA.
 8
    However, we would support amendment that requires
 9
10
    five votes at a minimum of the -- for an approval of
    a DBA, provided the petition did not include any
11
12
    portion of which IAL land.
13
             COMMISSIONER LEE: And -- and the five
14
    year sunset.
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: And if it does include
16
    IAL land, it would still require six votes, and
17
    furthermore it would sunset in five years.
                                Well stated.
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
18
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Okay.
20
    Commissioners, comments, concerns on this motion.
21
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                   Mr. Chair.
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Yamane.
23
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                   Thank you, Mr.
24
    Chair.
            For the record, I'll be abstaining from any
25
    voting on any legislative matters. And I just want
```

2.

to -- and this is my perspective, understand the Commission can choose to do something, cannot choose to do something. And I think I stated this in our previous meeting that this is something that I -- I personally don't want to be doing is reviewing every bills and voting on what we should be putting on the bills.

And like -- with all due respect to my commissioners, this is just my perspective, being in the legislature for 20 years and knowing how it operates, I -- I came into this position as a volunteer knowing that this entity is a regulatory body that abides by whatever laws and statutes are created.

And for example, this specific bill, let's say I am a commissioner -- let's say, hypothetically, this bill passes as is this session, and I came in as a commissioner next year. I just have to abide by that law. So I'm not here as a commissioner to make policy changes on whether LUC should exist or not. That's for the policymakers.

What I volunteered for was to listen to petitioners come in with their proposals on boundary amendments and make decisions based on the laws and rules that govern that process. That was why I came

```
into this position. And -- and I think I said this
 1
 2.
    the last time, it's just -- I -- I think it's
 3
    outside of this Commission to be doing that.
    course, that's just my perspective, with all due
 4
 5
    respect to the rest of commissioners.
             And like -- like a law, if this law passes
 6
 7
    against us, we just need to abide by it. If this
    Commission chooses to go on this path where you're
 8
 9
    reviewing 14 bills on every meeting, then that --
10
    that's the way it is. I'll live by it. But I won't
11
    be voting for that. I'll be abstaining from any
12
    votes regarding any legislative matters going
13
    forward.
             So thank you, Mr. Chair. And with that,
14
15
    I'd like to be excused. I need to run.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Well, we're -- when we
16
17
    have a roll call vote, you want to stick around to
18
    abstain or -- okay. It's coming up pretty shortly.
                              Commissioner Miyasato.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
20
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Thank you, Chair.
    Yeah.
           I somewhat agree with Commissioner Yamane in
21
2.2
    a sense, where I was sort of prepared to hear and
23
    make, I quess, a -- hear what the staff had to say
24
    about the written bills.
25
             You know, for my work, I've actually
```

```
authored legislation, and I'm retired now.
 1
    feel the same way about kind of not getting -- not
 2.
 3
    really wanting to have to think about amending and
    writing and lobbying. And I have no problem
 4
 5
    reviewing what's -- you know, things that impact
    land use, if it's detrimental, if it's helpful, and
 6
 7
    if staff feels, you know, we -- we really should
    give our opinion on the effects of it, whether
 8
 9
    positive or negative.
10
             I don't mind that. But I wasn't prepared
    to consider amending and authoring amendments, and
11
    so I'm kind of having a hard time supporting that.
12
13
    I -- I would just rather have an opinion that this
    is going to impact -- could impact us negatively,
14
15
    and just not really support or oppose, but just the
    negative effects of this bill. So that's just my
16
17
    opinion.
              Thank you, Chair.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Commissioner.
18
19
    Commissioners, any further? Commissioner Kahele.
20
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Can I ask a
    question?
21
22
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Of course.
23
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: How would one vote
    -- now it's six. And -- and we're going to -- we're
24
25
    going to ask the director to go up there and make an
```

```
amendment to the bill, if it gets heard, if it -- if
 1
    it gets heard by Waterland and -- and I believe the
 2.
 3
    Judiciary Committee. How would one vote affect any
    of the decisions that we've made in the past by
 4
 5
    changing it from five -- from six to five votes?
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              I think that's the
 6
 7
    question I asked, and Mr. Derrickson said it might
    have affected one decision in the last 15 years.
 8
 9
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Very good.
10
    you.
11
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Is that correct, Mr.
    Derrickson?
12
13
             MR. DERRICKSON:
                             Yes, that's correct.
14
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you. Commissioner
15
    U'u.
             COMMISSIONER U'U: Just a comment.
16
                                                  You
17
    know, prior to not being on the LUC, I heard people
18
    saying that they don't want to even come before the
19
    LUC. And so -- so with the potential of, I quess,
20
    giving a manao on changing the six to five, maybe
    it'll be more inviting to people to put in the
21
2.2
    application words that LUC again for potential homes
23
    for people. So that's -- that's how I see it.
24
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you.
25
             MR. ORODENKER: Chair, if I could comment.
```

```
CHAIR GIOVANNI: Please. Mr. Orodenker.
 1
             MR. ORODENKER: I mean, staff will
 2.
 3
    obviously do whatever this Commission decides.
    I need to make the point that we could submit
 4
 5
    testimony, and the chairs of the various committees
    could just ignore it, and we wouldn't get anywhere.
 6
 7
    It could also amount to them just not wanting to
    deal with it and not moving forward. So I -- I
 8
 9
    think we have to be aware of that.
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you. Commissioner
11
    Lee.
12
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                Thank you, Chair.
13
    respect what Commissioner Yamane said. For me, I
14
    don't -- I don't want to keep blinders on.
15
    I'm want to stay my lane, but if I see cars coming,
    I'm going to change my lane, you know. And so
16
17
    that's my personal opinion, and --
18
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: I don't know what you
    mean about changing lanes. Could you be more
19
20
    explicit?
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I think
21
2.2
    Commission in the past has said that: What is our
23
           Should we stay in our lane and not get
24
    involved in other things like policy? And we've
25
    been clearly told by multiple people that that's
```

2.2

within our purview. I don't think when I serve here, for me personally, that now I can't opine on other things, especially when it's already been clearly stated to us that it's in our purview to do so, to express our view.

And I think the legislators would be happy to hear what we think, since we do this, you know, on a regular basis, what we think are some of the impediments or some of the good things about the Land Use Commission and its -- and its rules. So definitely the legislators can do whatever they want, but I think they would be interested to hear what the majority of this board thinks or advises.

So I agree with Commissioner U'u that, you know, we should -- we should express it, and if the legislators throw it away, that's fine. We've expressed our view, if we do have a united view on anything.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr Smith.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I agree. It's an opportunity, in my opinion, and I personally feel that it is our responsibility to weigh in on these things. I came here to hopefully make a difference with some of the changing landscape, and I think it's totally our responsibility to weigh in. And

```
since the director is asked to weigh in on these
 1
 2.
    things, I think even more so that we need to do
 3
    this, so I support the idea.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So anybody else?
 4
 5
    Commissioner U'u, you got your light on. Did you
    have anything further?
 6
 7
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know, I just --
    I just want something clarified here. So whatever
 8
 9
    motion that was made, we're not going to go up there
10
    until Waterland schedules a hearing. We're not
11
    going to go up there prior to that and say: Hey,
12
    you know what? This is what we want you to change
13
    in the current bill, right? I mean, is that what
14
    we're doing?
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: That's my understanding.
16
    This testimony --
17
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE:
                                   Okay. So if there's
18
    no hearing, there's no issue?
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Well, I think that's the
20
    way it works.
21
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Okay. All right.
2.2
    Thank you.
23
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So I come to this
24
    position, you know, serving on this board very much
    in the same vein as Commissioner Yamane. I want to
25
```

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

make meaningful decisions about land use consistent with the statute and laws of Hawaii. I'm not. interested in making laws. I'm interested in implementing them fairly and legally. I'm very concerned that going down this

road, just like we've got, I'm -- I'm not even -- I have no confidence that two days is going to enable us to cover 14 bills sitting here in this room. I have no interest personally in becoming a party to a -- what looks to me to be a lobbying exercise.

So I -- I will agendize these bills, because it has been requested, and -- and that's why you -- you see all 14 of these bills of interest and relevance agendized. But I don't think it's the best and highest use of -- of the Land Use Commission to do what we're doing presently.

So I -- I could consider and would -- and would support a motion that's consistent with the recommendations of staff or a recommendation to go an up or down vote on a bill as written. don't think it's within our -- I cannot support time and energy in our province to rewrite legislation as -- as a lobbyist might do. So that's where I'm coming from.

So I haven't decided if I will abstain or

if I will vote in opposition. But I don't want to 1 get into this -- this kind of business. So anything 2. 3 further, anybody? Mr. Orodenker, please take a roll call 4 5 vote on the -- on the motion. The motion is as stated by 6 MR. ORODENKER: 7 the chair, and if I can paraphrase it, testify that this -- on Senate Bill 28 that this Commission would 8 9 support a situation where five votes could approve a 10 DBA, provided it doesn't include IAL lands for five 11 But we're not in support -- the Commission years. 12 is not in support of the bill as written. 13 Commissioner Lee? COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. I think that if 14 15 we don't do anything, testimony will be given 16 anyway, and questions will be asked. So I'm going 17 to say aye on this, that they should hear it from 18 us. 19 MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Kahele. 20 COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know -- you know, Chair, it's -- you somewhat to a point, right, 21 2.2 about, you know, we shouldn't be getting involved 23 But, you know, this is pertaining to the land 24 use, and -- and I seconded the motion. And you

know, hopefully we review the rest of the list of

```
bills --
 1
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah, we're going to --
 3
    we will.
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: -- that's going to
 4
 5
    be heard. And if -- you know, hopefully we can
    leave it up to the director and to the staff in
 6
 7
    deciding which way we should go on any other bills.
    But since I seconded the motion, aye.
 8
 9
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             Commissioner Carr Smith.
10
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Aye.
11
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Hiyashida.
             MR. HIYASHIDA: I'm going to abstain.
12
13
             MR. ORODENKER: Abstain. Commissioner
14
    Kamakea-'Ohelo is excused. Commissioner U'u.
15
             COMMISSIONER U'U:
                                Aye.
16
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Miyasato.
17
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Abstain.
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Yamane.
18
19
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Abstain.
20
             MR. ORODENKER: Chair Giovanni.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Abstain.
21
2.2
             MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, we have a 4-4
23
    vote.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: We have four affirmative
24
25
```

```
1
             MR. ORODENKER: Four abstain.
 2.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Four abstain. What does
 3
    that mean, 4-0?
             MR. DERRICKSON: By operation of law, it
 4
    fails.
 5
 6
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Say again.
             MR. ORODENKER: You need a majority.
 7
             MR. DERRICKSON: Scott Derrickson, Chief
 8
 9
    Planner. By operation of law, you don't receive
10
    five -- at least five affirmative votes, the -- the
    motion fails.
11
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Is that correct? Okay.
13
    Motion failed.
14
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: So what are the
15
    director's comments going to be on this bill?
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: It's still alive. So --
16
17
             MR. ORODENKER: If the bill is heard, we
    will submit testimony that the Commission has not
18
19
    taken a position on this matter. But we are always
20
    present for technical -- if they have technical
    questions with regard to the impacts of a bill.
21
2.2
             MR. HIYASHIDA: Commissioner Hiyashida.
23
    mean, you've heard -- you've heard testimony from
24
    our members. And I think -- I think like how Myles
25
    said, our responsibility is to tell the impacts to
```

the Commission of what this legislation may do. 1 2. I think you should be able to say what those impacts And you can see from the Commission that there is movement to lessen the degree, but not to the 4 5 extent that they want to do. And I think that's reasonable to respond, 6 7 right. It's not -- we're not telling them what to We're just telling them what our opinion is. 8 9 And I think you've heard it from our discussions. 10 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. I -- I agree with what I think you intend to say there. You know, we 11 had a recommendation from Mr. Orodenker and staff 12 13 that there are issues with this bill as written, and I'm comfortable giving him the latitude to express 14 15 those if -- you know, during legislative hearing on -- from his perspective. I don't think that's in 16 17 opposition to any position this -- this board has 18 taken, this Commission has taken. 19 But before I close this agenda item, I 20 would -- I would still, if -- if the commissioner wants to make a different or a new motion, I would 21 entertain that. Commissioner Lee. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I agree with 24 everything that's been said. I'm okay. And I don't

know if this -- I would -- maybe I'll make a motion

25

```
that we oppose the three, but we support -- we
 1
 2.
    oppose the three as written, but we support efforts
 3
    to make it less difficult to approve. I don't know
    if that's how -- I don't know if anyone else would
 4
 5
    support that.
             But that might be something that maybe
 6
    just the executive officer can just testify as being
 7
    factual that, you know, we -- I don't think there
 8
 9
    was that much support.
10
             MR. ORODENKER: Whenever we submit
11
    testimony, we always say we appreciate -- or we
12
    recognize the intent of this bill as streamlining
13
    the process. However, we take no position, but
14
    we're here for comments. That was -- that would be
15
    the way I would write the testimony.
16
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: I thought we opposed the
17
    three.
             MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, yeah.
18
19
             COMMISSIONER KAHELE: No, I think that's
20
    fair.
21
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             No.
                                  I mean -- I mean, it
2.2
    wouldn't be quite that short. But you know, the --
23
    the idea is we -- we have never testified without
24
    saying we recognize the efforts of the legislature
    to streamline the process, or whatever the proposal
25
```

is there.

2.

2.2

COMMISSIONER LEE: Let me correct myself.

I think Commissioner U'u did support the three, so I don't think you can say we all oppose the three.

But maybe if you -- if you did say that there was some robust discussion about it and, you know, we -- we hear the concerns and that there's -- there's thoughts about streamlining that some might support. Yeah, I'm sure you -- you'll be able to word it correctly.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: So that -- so let me state this for commissioners' benefit and see if you're comfortable with this guidance to executive director.

I would like to give the executive director the authority, freedom, whatever, to testify on this bill representing the LUC based on his understanding of the discussions that occurred here today and the concerns that were raised about this bill, recognizing that the intent is for improved efficiency and effectiveness of the -- of the Land Use decision making process. But as written, we have issues with the bill, something to that nature.

Are you consistent with giving that

2.

2.2

guidance to the executive director, as I just stated it, and leaving it at that? Commissioner Kahele.

COMMISSIONER KAHELE: You know -- you know, Chair, I'm assuming, and -- and the short time that I've been here as a commissioner, the director basically went up there and did what he had to do at the ledge, whether it was supporting bills, not taking a position on any other bills, opposing bills.

So I think with -- with his now response to this bill that he stated earlier, you know -- and -- and we do a lot of work at the state capitol. I spend 70 percent of my time up here with -- with some of you, maybe with Brian. And, you know, I'm not sure how many of you up there, but I spent a lot of time up there. We got to be careful in what we say up there, because there may be feelings that may be hurt. And -- and that's basically, you know, people just being human.

We go up there and we make a statement that people may not -- well, these powerful legislators up there may not want to hear, you know, we might kind of like add more wood to the fire. So with -- with Dan's statement and -- and what he's stated earlier, you know, I -- I think we can live

I think we can live with that statement 1 with that. 2 and instead of complicating things more. 3 And you know, my opinion with Senate Bill 28, with the -- with the -- the current referral, I 4 5 don't think this bill's going to go anywhere. anyway, you know, that's -- that's me just believing 6 7 what I think -- I think Dan should be doing. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Anybody else? 8 9 COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah. Ouick one. 10 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'u. 11 COMMISSIONER U'U: And I'm okay. I don't 12 want to over-analyze or micromanage people. That's 13 not in my wheelhouse. And -- and I threw out that I will be supportive of three bills, mainly three 14 15 people voting. And I wanted to see what the 16 reaction was at times, right. I mean, if you would 17 throw out the higher, you got to throw in the higher and the low for see what you come up with. 18 that's how we vet stuff, I feel. Like, you got to 19 20 throw it out there. So this was me throwing it out 21 there, yeah. 2.2 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER KAHELE: And you know, Mr. 24 U'u, you know me and you come from the same yoke, 25 construction workers. We worry about the people out

```
We worry about our community. We worry
 1
    there.
    about the working class out there struggling. We
 2.
 3
    worry about the Hawaiians out there, no matter where
    it is, Oahu, Maui, Kauai.
 4
 5
             And -- and that's the reason why you and I
    and most of us can relate, is because we tired of
 6
 7
    seeing our families, our friends, our co-workers
    moving up to the mainland because they cannot live
 8
 9
           So anyway, I just wanted to share that,
10
              Everything's cool.
    Brother.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay.
11
                                     If there's no
12
    further specific motion on this, I will bring this
13
    agenda item to a close. Okay. Oh, yeah, second
14
    public testimony. Is there anybody online still
15
    wishing to testify on this motion?
16
             MS. KWAN: Seeing none on the O&A, Chair.
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. We will close this
18
    agenda item and move to the next one.
19
             Okay. Senate Bill 36. Any public
20
    testimony written, received on this one that we
21
    haven't already heard about?
             MS. KWAN: I have Chuck Flaherty on the
2.2
23
    Zoom who would like to testify on this agenda item.
24
    I will promote him now.
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Yeah, this is
```

1 public testimony. 2. MS. KWAN: Can I get a mic check and a 3 video? Can you hear us? MR. FLAHERTY: Yes, I can. I'm sorry. 4 5 just got signed on here. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Sir, would you please 6 7 state your name and address, and then I'll swear you 8 in. 9 MR. FLAHERTY: Chuck Flaherty, 81-950 10 Makahiki Lane, Captain Cook 96704. 11 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Flaherty. 12 Do you swear your testimony you're about to give 13 will be the truth? MR. FLAHERTY: I do. Thank you very much, 14 15 Mr. Chair. CHAIR GIOVANNI: So we're specific to 16 17 Senate Bill 36 and our position on that senate bill. You have two minutes. Please go ahead. 18 19 MR. FLAHERTY: Yes, sir. I'm testifying 20 on behalf of the Hawaii Island Group of the Sierra Club of Hawaii on this particular agenda item. 21 2.2 we apologize for submitting late testimony to the 23 Land Use Commission. You will have received it 24 during this meeting. 25 This proposed legislation is a red

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Affordable housing developments can herring. already receive expedited approval under HRS 201H. 2. 3 Not all land in the urban expansion area is 4 appropriate for urban development. A perfect 5 example is the recent county rezoning applicant seeking to extend the time for a development 6 7 originally granted LUC and county approvals in the It may be the property that was referred to 8 9 earlier, which had three changes of ownership. 10 Because of the profound changes that occurred over the past 40 years, the original 11 12 conditions for redistricting by the LUC were no 13 longer appropriate. The two FEMA floodways that run 14 through the property had several flooding events 15 over the past two decades, which was one of the primary reasons that the extension was denied. 16 In 17 addition, the development had been allowed to 18 proceed with the first phase without fulfilling the

The Hawaii Island Group urges the LUC not to relinquish its authority to approve applications proposing to reclassify lands designated as urban growth in county general plans and other community development plans. In particular -- and I'll

affordable housing requirement. As a result, the

county council denied the rezoning extension.

```
provide testimony to this more extensively later
 1
    with the other legislation -- but in particular, the
 2.
    county general plan currently under review, there's
    a lot of controversy and is not necessarily
 4
 5
    reflecting the public's desires. So thank you very
 6
    much.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Flaherty.
 7
    Commissioners, any questions for this witness?
 8
 9
    Thank you for your time and effort to come forward.
10
    Appreciate it.
11
             Mr. Orodenker, would you please give us a
12
13
             MS. KWAN: We have another testifier.
14
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Oh, I'm sorry.
15
             MS. KWAN: Yes.
                              Sorry, one more. Wayne
16
    Tanaka. I'll promote him now.
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Mr. Tanaka,
    you're still under oath. You've got two minutes to
18
    testify on SB36. Please proceed.
19
20
             MR. TANAKA:
                          Thank you, Chair. Sorry, I
    didn't -- I didn't intend to testify again.
21
2.2
    just wanted to maybe share a reality check about our
23
    housing challenges. Because, you know, the reality
24
    is that just building, it's not going to necessarily
25
    keep people here and could even drive people away,
```

Τ	you know, not when we have a global demand for land
2	in Hawaii, when our largest landowners are, you
3	know, legally obligated to maximize their
4	shareholders' revenues, when land and material costs
5	just keep going up and up and up, and yet more
6	outside buyers are coming in and using up, you know,
7	what little water and sewer infrastructure we have.
8	And so really the reality is which we
9	have ample evidence of, is that if we don't have
10	questions, if we don't have institutions like the
11	Land Use Commission to set safeguards, then just
12	streamlining construction to build housing could
13	make the situation for us local folks worse, right.
14	And there's questions that you can ask,
15	right? Who benefits from development proposals?
16	Will it be local residents? Will it be people in
17	need of affordable housing? What happens, you know,
18	as I mentioned, if our water and sewer
19	infrastructure gets used up by expedited high-end
20	developments, like where does that leave, you know
21	by outside investors, like, where does that leave
22	us in the future, right, our kids?
23	You know, are developers, you know, real
24	estate investment trusts, are they private equity
25	firms? Do they know what Native Hawaiian

2.

2.2

traditional custom practices are? Like, are they
even legally allowed to care about our food security
or -- or our public trust? And if not, then, you
know, who can make them care, right?

And if our children do stay here, will
they be dependent on imported processed foods? You
know, will they be able to maintain their
connections to their island, to their ocean icebox,
you know, when streams are dried up, our estuaries,
you know, continually polluted by local land uses?
Will they even have access to fresh water?

And so some of these questions, you know, I know you can't help with, but many of these you can. You can make sure that development is responsible and is sustainable and serves the public's interest and does not drive people away from these islands. And I know the pressure on you to abdicate this responsibility is huge. You know, that's why we're seeing all these bills.

And it's a heavy kuleana to say, you know, to some of the most powerful entities in the islands. You know, try wait, let's -- let's think about this, and let's -- let's make sure this helps everybody. But really that's what you agreed to do, and that's, again, what we need you to do and what

```
1
    our kids need you to do.
 2.
             So you know, just generally speaking, you
 3
    know, if we're going to push --
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: I need you to conclude,
 4
 5
    please.
             MR. TANAKA: Yeah, concluding. We just
 6
    need to be very mindful about what we're actually
 7
    promoting in our, you know, desire to -- to move the
 8
 9
    needle in terms of our housing challenges. Happy to
10
    answer any questions. Thank you so much for your
11
    time.
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Tanaka.
13
    Commissioners, any questions, comments?
                                             Thank you.
14
    Thanks for making the time again.
15
             Ms. Kwan, anybody else?
                        That is all, Chair.
16
             MS. KWAN:
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Mr.
    Orodenker, please summarize this bill and your
18
19
    concerns or recommendations for testimony.
20
             MR. ORODENKER: I'm going to have Mr.
    Derrickson start out on this measure.
21
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Derrickson, please
23
    proceed.
24
             MR. DERRICKSON: Okay. Aloha.
                                              Scott
25
    Derrickson, State Land Use Commission Chief Planner.
```

1	Senate Bill 36 relating to the Land Use Commission
2	would create a new section under chapter 205 to
3	allow the reclassification of lands that are not
4	currently in the urban district but are in
5	designated designated for urban growth in a
6	county general plan or development plan or community
7	plan, provided that the requisite analysis are
8	completed before request is submitted to the LUC.
9	It would require metes and bounds
10	descriptions and a map. And the LUC would have 90
11	days then to update the boundary on an official LUC
12	maps and notify the county. And it requires the
13	Land Use Commission to adopt new rules to effectuate
14	this new process.
15	Should I go ahead and talk about some of
16	the issues?
17	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah.
18	MR. DERRICKSON: Okay. The process that's
19	laid out here appears to mandate that the LUC would
20	reclassify strictly based on a county's request and
21	only needing a provision of metes and bounds
22	description and a map of whatever the county has
23	provided.
24	The term requisite analysis are completed,
25	and these would be requisite analysis completed by

the county, it's unclear what that means. 1 There's no definition of what -- what that would entail and 2. 3 who determines that it if it's occurred, if that requisite analysis has been actually conducted. 4 5 Since it says that it's to happen before the request is submitted to the LUC, it would follow that the 6 7 county would be the body to make that call. it's not clear. 8 9 There are no requirements to address 10 public trust issues. State issues, such as concerns with -- the DOT might have, the Department of 11 12 Transportation, the Department of Education, DLNR, 13 Department of Land and Natural Resources, it does 14 not anywhere say that they are to address 15 infrastructure adequacy. Presumably, some of these things might be 16 17 and probably are discussed during the years long 18 process of county general plan amendments or 19 development plan and community plan updates. 20 experience in participating in those processes is 21 that while infrastructure is discussed, sometimes 2.2 Native Hawaiian rights are discussed, water is 23 discussed, the details of how to address those 24 issues is not laid out in the general plan, 25 development plan, community plan updates. It's left

2.

2.2

for another time at another stage of the process.

This would also require significant increase in Office of Planning and Sustainable Development representation by the state during each of the county's general plan and community plan and development plan processes in order to make sure that state issues, public trust issues are discussed and addressed.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Scott. I -- I would add some concerns there. I -- it's my belief, as I stated previously when these -- bills like this were discussed at our last hearing, considering that there are serious due process issues with -- with this type of a proposal.

I also believe that there's the very real possibility that small farmers will not be able to continue their activity. I mean, it -- it must be made clear that once land is urbanized, then a lot of the farming activities that are currently performed on small farmlands, like raising pigs or things like that, will become a violation of the zoning laws.

I also believe that if the -- going back to the Ka Pa'akai analysis that every decision made by any agency is now required to adhere to Ka

```
Pa'akai analysis to be done because of the word
 1
            I believe that the Land Use Commission
 2.
    shall.
 3
    cannot do a Ka Pa'akai analysis if it was presented
    with this matter, because you cannot delegate the Ka
 4
 5
    Pa'akai analysis to another organization.
             All that being said, it is my belief that
 6
    SB36 and a couple of the other bills that we're
 7
    going to talk about are essentially elimination of
 8
 9
    the Land Use Commission, except for special permits.
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Illumination?
             MR. ORODENKER: Elimination.
11
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Oh, elimination.
13
             MR. ORODENKER: Elimination. I -- I think
14
    that it -- from staff standpoint, it would be
15
    disingenuous for us to prepare -- appear before the
    legislature and advocate for our own demise.
16
                                                   I -- I
17
    have a real problem with that.
             My ultimate suggestion on the -- on this
18
19
    bill and the other bills that do something similar
20
    is that we just take no position.
                                       Chair, can I ask
21
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
2.2
    a clarifying question?
23
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah, that's where we're
24
    at, so please do.
25
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                        Yeah.
                                               I'm a
```

```
little confused, because you were talking about
 1
    farms and the demise of farms and pig ranches and
 2.
 3
           But this says for areas that are already in
    the urban areas of the county, the specific county.
 4
                             That's not quite accurate.
 5
             MR. ORODENKER:
    The -- the bill proposes that the counties be given
 6
 7
    authority to redistrict lands inside the urban
    growth boundaries that may currently be ag to urban.
 8
 9
    So that -- that's the concern.
10
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: So if the county
    determines that this area is part of the urban
11
12
    growth, then you don't think they should have the
13
    right to -- to do that?
14
             MR. ORODENKER: No, I don't.
                                            I think that
15
    the -- the due process, constitutional due process,
    requires that each and every landowner within that
16
17
    county be given a hearing.
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Do you not agree
18
    that the county provides that hearing?
19
20
             MR. ORODENKER: No, I do not.
21
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Well, I know for
2.2
    a fact that the counties --
23
             MR. ORODENKER: Not after the general plan
24
   process, no.
25
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Well, I know
```

```
that the counties do the Ka Pa'akai analysis.
 1
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              I think -- I think the
 2.
 3
    point is they do the Ka Pa'akai analysis for
    specific request or petition, but not as part of the
 4
 5
    general plan. Whereas this bill, if I understand it
    correctly, says you would redistrict at the county
 6
 7
    level based on the general plan, which is not the --
    which does not include some of the -- those
 8
    considerations.
 9
10
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                       Thank you.
11
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Is that correct?
12
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             That's correct.
13
             COMMISSIONER U'U: Chair.
14
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner U'u.
15
             COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah, just my manao.
16
    -- I've been to -- I've been through the community
17
    plan process. Within that process of the community
    plan, it -- it goes from the general plan -- well, I
18
19
    think community plan, it goes back to the planning
20
    commission, and it goes back to the county council.
    And trust me when I tell you this, you have way
21
2.2
    more, a lot more testimony at the community plans
23
    than we're having currently. It's not close.
24
             So what I'm saying is a vetting process --
25
    and remind you now that within the community plan,
```

asking for it.

most of the people within the specific community 1 2. come down. So it's more hyper-focused and hypersensitive within the community. So I disagree. A lot was being said here. It's been vetted through 4 5 a process that's -- at times, there's 100 people, times there's 20 people. 6 7 But there's more than two, I can assure you of that. And that two, if you're going to ask 8 9 them what the zip code is, it's within the 10 community. So it's just my manao. I've been a part of that process, and I think we should have that 11 12 right, the counties, not the state oversight. 13 I -- I think there's a lot of duplicated 14 processes within the community. I think the reason 15 why it's here -- and definitely I could be wrong. But Lahaina got burned, and some of the community 16 17 plans within that area was urbanized, but it's 18 different from the state. 19 And when we -- when we have magnitudes 20 that affect residents to that degree, we must take stances that hopefully can create the housing before 21 2.2 we get lost in the shuffle. It just is my manao. 23 And I think part of that power goes back to the 24 county. I could be naive, but it seems like it is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
In fact, there's a lot of duplicating
bills like this. And again, I could be wrong -- but
again, some of those community meetings, a lot more
testimony than we have here today, for sure.
you.
                          Thank you, Commissioner
         CHAIR GIOVANNI:
      Can I ask you, you know, to -- to expand about
-- since you said you've participated directly in
the -- in the county level processes? The issue has
been raised about the -- the small landowner that is
on agricultural land and whether or not he gets "due
process" when the county is doing its general
planning to redistrict or put his land that's in
agriculture into urban.
         What -- what say does he have in that
process?
          I'm not a lawyer.
         COMMISSIONER U'U: I think that's a very
good question. And -- and again, for some reason --
I quess, what I would say that the first time I
participated in the community community plan change
or the processes when I was on the planning
commission, I say 20 plus years ago, yeah.
that time, that was prior to social media, prior to
the news coming on your phone, I mean.
```

So I think at that time, I -- I think was

2.2

23

24

25

the opposite. I think we -- we -- it was lands was 1 2 zoned different, but wasn't by way of ag, but it was 3 by ways where people maybe wasn't notified. think the last one we had where we were Lahaina, it 4 5 was a lot of people that showed up. I say, I think that the -- the process now 6 7 with the social media really gains that traction. And I'll be hard pressed to -- and I could be wrong. 8 9 It could definitely happen. I'm not saying it's not 10 what -- it's never going to happen. It could But the participation is pretty much --11 happen. 12 impressed. I'm impressed with the participation. 13 So I'm not saying it can't happen. just saying the participation is great. And -- and 14 15 for that reason, there's a possibility that, may or may not, but err on the side of that person who --16 17 just to let you know, you guys know, my family was in pig farming for 100 years. So you know, when you 18 guys talk about pig farming, I understand pig 19 20 farming, and I'm not saying it as a speech. 21

I'm just saying, my house, Paia, 100
years, we had a big farm. That's what I saying.
The slop came from Paia schools. When you have
people talk about it, it's pretty cool. But we did
it for a living, some of my family, so that's my

1 manao. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners. 2. 3 Commissioner Lee. COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. 4 5 Yeah, I think this bill is really flawed. I'm good with the executive officer just making comments on 6 7 it when asked. But I agree with Commissioner U'u that there's five of us here who've been county 8 9 planning commissioners, and that process is very 10 extensive. It's much more extensive than the 45 days or whatever that we have to make decisions. 11 12 They not only have appointed county planning 13 commissioners, they also have elected council 14 members. And yeah, it's in the hundreds, you know, 15 and -- and the county councils have two to three hearings minimum on stuff. 16 17 So I think this bill is flawed. I'm --I'm okay with Dan just giving expert commentary, but 18 19 I -- I don't know that I have any position or want 20 to take any position on this. Thank you. 21 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Others. 22 Commissioner Carr Smith. 23 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah. 24 wanted to note that Hawaii County Planning 25 Department weighed in on this, and they agree with

25

this bill. I'm quessing most counties do. 1 I think 2. it's good to also keep in perspective the fact that 3 Hawaii Island, for example, has something like 2 4 percent of the land is urban. 5 I mean, if you -- if you think about that, do we really want to push back so hard on some of 6 these opportunities for a little bit more land to 7 become urban so that there can be more housing 8 9 Each island is really low, and I'm not sure built? 10 whether everybody is aware of that or not. think it's a good thing to keep in mind. And yeah. 11 12 So I support my county. 13 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee. 14 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair. 15 Yeah. I want to acknowledge that it is different on the Big Island, and the testimony that the executive 16 17 officer may provide, I mean, you know, the 18 legislators can ignore it or not. They're going to 19 do what they want to do, you know. Sometimes, 20 they'll use our reasoning as the excuse, or they could just ignore it altogether. 21 It's up to them. 2.2 But, you know, I -- I think that if the 23 executive officer testifies factually, then at least

the legislators can take that information that, yes,

if there are errors and things they haven't

```
considered and they still want to do this, because
 1
    they want to listen to what the Big Island wants or
 2.
    what other people want, then at least it gives them
 3
    the basis to fix some of the things that might be
 4
 5
    wrong.
            Thank you.
 6
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Fair enough.
    Commissioner U'u.
 7
             COMMISSIONER U'U:
                               Yeah.
                                       Again, thank
 8
 9
         Maui's at 6 percent. You at 2 percent. I
    you.
10
    just saying it's -- and I get it, you know -- or I
    don't. At times, I do, but that's why we have the
11
    discussions. And -- and I wouldn't say this.
12
13
    respect everyone's opinion and viewpoint, and I love
14
    that discussion. Seems like at home talking to my
15
    wife, so brings back -- brings back memories, guys.
    So just FYI, mahalo.
16
17
             MR. ORODENKER: You have -- you have
18
    talks.
            I get orders.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: So my takeaway from the
20
    discussion so far, and in the absence of any
    specific motion otherwise, is to provide a green
21
2.2
    light, so to speak, to the executive director to
23
    provide clarifying testimony to the -- if asked or
24
    if -- if at hearings as -- as appropriate with the
25
    issues that you see.
```

```
But I don't want to go away from the other
 1
 2.
    recommendation that was made by the executive
 3
    officer, because I think it's appropriate. And that
    is that for -- for bills which in effect -- what was
 4
 5
    your word -- eliminate the LUC, we should not be up
 6
    there testifying one way or another. Correct?
 7
    Correct?
             MR. ORODENKER: Correct.
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. Okay. So if
10
    there's nothing further, I'm going to move on to the
    next testimony, public testimony on SB 36, if any.
11
12
    And if not, we'll move on.
13
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Chair, what's
14
    that?
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Oh, Commissioner
16
    Miyasato, my apologies. I didn't see your hand up.
17
    Please proceed.
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO:
                                     Thank you, Chair.
18
19
           I need to address the issue that I spoke of
20
    earlier, so I'll be excusing myself.
21
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. We're going to
2.2
    take a -- it's 1:37. I'm going to recess to 1:45.
23
    And maybe you'll be back, maybe not. Thank you for
24
    the heads up.
25
             COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Okay. Thank you.
```

Take a recess to 1:45. 1 CHAIR GIOVANNI: 2. (Recess taken from 1:37 to 1:45 p.m.) 3 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So Ms. Kwan, do we have 4 somebody who wants to testify on SB36? 5 MS. KWAN: Yes, I have two on the Zoom. 6 will promote Wayne Tanaka now. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. We're back in 7 session. Wayne Tanaka testified before the 8 9 discussion. Now he's going to testify after. 10 will admonish him to keep his testifier -- his testimony fresh. 11 12 Mr. Tanaka, welcome back. You will be 13 given an additional two minutes to testify on 14 anything new that you want to say, not repetitive. 15 Please proceed. 16 MR. TANAKA: Thank you so much. And yeah, last time, I promise. I just wanted to make a quick 17 18 comment, though, since we mentioned Lahaina and Maui 19 Just want to, you know, think about and kumuhana. 20 reflect on who was using up all the water in West Maui, right? Who turned Lahaina into a tinderbox? 21 2.2 And if you look at the PUC dockets for 23 (inaudible) irrigation, look at the water commission 24 reports of who's been using water for what, it's 25 these multi-million dollar gentlemen farms and ag

2.

2.2

lands that have been using 1 percent of the water they're taking for actual agriculture. And otherwise, millions of gallons of water every single day were being used to water green lawns, put into swimming pools, or leave an unlined reservoirs that just seep that water into the ground, you know, creating those conditions for the wildfire and the destruction of Lahaina.

And who's responsible for this, right?

Who's been letting -- who never used their authority to enforce the ag zoning laws in West Maui? And -- and which not only led to the destruction of Lahaina, but also drives up costs for bona fide farmers, for land and housing costs across the board for everyone. It was the county, right, the county planning department.

So, you know, our land laws -- use laws aren't duplicative. The counties have their own authorities -- it's their limited authorities of limited capacity, limited line of sight to the bigger picture. And they don't produce the kinds of findings of fact and, you know, the record that you folks do that can show how their land use decisions are -- are made, whose testimony got more weight, and so forth.

1	And on the other hand, the Land Use			
2	Commission can make these you do make these			
3	findings of fact. You can place enforceable			
4	conditions on district boundary amendments and those			
5	kinds of changes. And so and you can protect the			
6	public right to address climate destabilization, to			
7	protect bona fide farms, to protect the public from,			
8	you know, avoidable impacts.			
9	And so just wanted to add that as			
10	something to reflect on.			
11	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much.			
12	MR. TANAKA: Thank you.			
13	CHAIR GIOVANNI: That was new.			
14	Commissioners, any comment or question? Thank you,			
15	Mr. Tanako.			
16	Next testifier.			
17	MS. KWAN: Next, I have Tara Rojas. I			
18	will promote her now.			
19	CHAIR GIOVANNI: She's not testified			
20	already today, right? She's new?			
21	MS. KWAN: Yeah.			
22	MS. ROJAS: Aloha. Can you hear me?			
23	MS. KWAN: Are you able to turn on your			
24	camera?			
25	MS. ROJAS: Yeah. One second.			

```
1
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Rojas, you're coming
 2.
    on -- there you are. I see you.
 3
             MS. ROJAS: Aloha.
                                 Tara Rojas.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Could you
 4
 5
    please state your name and address?
 6
             MS. ROJAS:
                         Tara Rojas. So I wanted to
 7
    say that -- SB36, yes?
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Wait, wait, wait, wait.
 8
 9
    I need your name and address, then I'll swear you
10
         Then you can say what you want.
11
             MS. ROJAS: Tara Rojas and Ewa Beach.
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: And do you swear your
13
    testimony will be the truth?
14
             MS. ROJAS: Yes.
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Then you have two
16
    minutes. Please proceed.
17
             MS. ROJAS: Okay. So Tara Rojas and SB36,
    so I just want to say, you know, again that I -- I
18
19
    strongly oppose SB36, because I just came, you know,
20
    for example, from the HCDA meetings and, you know,
    planning commission, county council meetings. And
21
2.2
    basically, you know, it's just stripping the Land
23
    Use Commission of your critical oversight role.
24
             And it forces, you know, the automatic
25
    reclassification of lands to urban at county's
```

2.

2.2

request and prioritizing, as we notice in the whole state of Hawaii, developers over community voices and environmental responsibilities and concerns.

So, you know, counties have a history of approving urban growth without fully considering the infrastructure capacity, we're in a water crisis, the environmental impact, and community needs. And so this bill is an open invitation to unchecked -- in addition to what already has been happening throughout the 132 years of this illegal occupation -- of unchecked urban sprawl and land speculation.

So we need more safeguards, not less. And so we need to ensure that the land decisions, you know, serve the people and not just private interest. And with that, I also want to just really quickly state that the previous decision that happened about Haseko having a history of controversial land uses and, you know, the bait and switch on the plan, marina changed to lagoon, crack in the water table.

So I just want to say disappointment because when a developer shows it to the whole team, does that automatically guarantee a unanimous yes?

Where's that same level of urgency when it comes to protecting our land and resources?

```
CHAIR GIOVANNI: Ms. Rojas, I need you to
 1
 2
    confine your remarks to SB36 at this time, if you
 3
    don't mind.
             MS. ROJAS: Yeah. So just avoid rubber
 4
 5
    stamping developers and realize that your -- your
    role is really just to protect the aina and the
 6
 7
    people, not developers, not profit people. Mahalo.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Mahalo. Commissioners,
 8
 9
    questions, comments from Ms. Rojas?
                                         Thank you, Ms.
10
    Rojas, for coming forward and making the time today.
11
    Thank you.
             MS. ROJAS:
12
                         Mahalo.
13
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So that brings
14
    SB36 to --
15
             MS. KWAN: I have one more testifier.
    Chuck Flaherty would like to add additional
16
17
    comments. I will promote him again.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you.
18
19
             MR. FLAHERTY: Mahalo, Mr. Chair.
20
    wanted to respond to some comments that I made
21
    during the discussion. I -- I'm speaking now
2.2
    personally. I participated in the comprehensive
23
    review process for the general plan back in the
24
    early 2000s for the County of Hawaii, the
25
    development of the Kona Community Development Plan,
```

2.

which is the first community development plan on the Island of Hawaii -- there have been five subsequent to that -- as well as participating in the current county general plan comprehensive review process.

I want to be clear that the Land Use Commission operates under the state constitution and Hawaii revised statutes. The county general plans operate under the county charters and county code. So we're comparing apples and oranges. The urban expansion areas to which this bill refers are very broad strokes that were put in place primarily around Kailua-Kona, quite frankly, that were put into place as a concept, not with any specific input as to the -- the -- any of the constitutionally protected resources or state laws that may be impacted by that designation.

So it really is a very dangerous move to pass this bill because urban expansion area was a broad -- broad brush concept done on a county level without regard to necessarily state laws. Thank you very much.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Flaherty.

Commissioners, any further questions for Mr.

Flaherty? Thank you, Mr. Flaherty, for coming forward.

```
So that brings SB36 to a close. We have
 1
 2.
    empowered our -- our executive officer to provide
 3
    testimony along with lines that he recommended,
    pointing out the concerns about this bill, also to
 4
 5
    take no position on a bill that would virtually
    eliminate the LUC. Got that, Mr. Executive
 6
    Director?
 7
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             I got it.
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So we'll now move
10
    on to the eighth agenda item, decision on
    commissioner's position regarding SB1079 and HB 502,
11
12
    which are basically the same, one a senate bill, one
13
    a house bill. Ms. Kwan, any written testimony was
14
    considered earlier, so is there any oral testimony
15
    that people have signed up for on these bills?
                        Yes, Mr. Chair. I have Chuck
16
             MS. KWAN:
17
    Flaherty, who would like to testify on this item.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Oh, Mr. Flaherty.
18
19
    still on?
20
             MS. KWAN:
                        Yes.
21
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Very good. Mr. Flaherty,
2.2
    you have two minutes. Please proceed.
23
             MR. FLAHERTY: I won't take that long.
24
    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
             With regard to this proposed legislation,
```

2.

the state legislature passed legislation last year that allowed for a minimum of two accessory dwelling units and properties within the state land use urban district. Y'all may have been familiar with that. It was very controversial.

Shortly thereafter, though, the County of Hawaii approved a revision of its code that allows up to three accessory dwelling units on land, not just in the state urban district, but also for properties in the state rural district.

If the county were to be allowed to submit petitions for declaratory rulings to redesignate agricultural subdivisions into the rural district, then property owners would be able to build up to three additional accessory dwelling units.

Given the public infrastructure on the Island of Hawaii is already beyond capacity and the current county general plan comprehensive review process has not in any way taken into consideration the impact of the increased densities that would result from these accessory dwelling units, these -- this legislation could have disastrous unintended consequences.

And so Hawaii Island Group urges the Land Use Commission to give this an unfavorable

recommendation. Thank you very much. 1 2. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you, Mr. Flaherty. 3 Any questions, comments for Mr. Flaherty? Hearing none, anybody else, Ms. Kwan, on this bill? 4 5 MS. KWAN: Seeing none in the Q&A feature, chair. 6 Thank you very much. 7 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Orodenker, will you and staff please provide a 8 9 brief summary of this proposed legislation and your 10 concerns and recommendations? 11 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is -- what -- in what it attempts to accomplish 12 a fairly simple bill, unintended consequences aside. 13 14 It gives the counties three years by 15 resolution of its county council to submit a petition to the LUC for the redistricting of land 16 17 from an agricultural district to a rural district if 18 the land has been developed for single family 19 residences that are currently in the agricultural 20 district and subdivided into lots that are no larger 21 than one acre in size, the land is a part of an existing agricultural subdivision, a single family 2.2 23 residence is constructed on each lot, and any 24 requirements for chapter 343 are met, and it won't 25 affect neighboring agriculture.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's supported by the county plan, and the county council provides notice, and OPSD has made a recommendation. It gives us the ability to -- gives the Land Use Commission the ability to process those petitions as a declaratory order.

This is a cleanup bill. This has been talked about with me at the legislature for some time, going on maybe seven or eight years. County in particular has a problem with a bunch of agricultural residential developments that are in the agricultural district and not in the urban district. I understand -- or the rural district, and they have a desire to clean up the problem.

I believe that the intent of this bill is good, but I -- I do have concerns, as was mentioned by the testifiers, of unintended consequences. Mvrecommendation is that we submit testimony with concerns, not that we oppose it or support it, but that we just express concerns.

The urban sprawl concern? CHAIR GIOVANNI: I think our concern MR. ORODENKER: No. is it mirrors what was -- was just -- just mentioned, and that is that we don't overburden the infrastructure by all of a sudden allowing three homes per one-acre lot.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
I think that -- you know, that if the
legislature so finds, it could amend the bill to
ensure that there's not an overburdening of
infrastructure. But I mean, from the standpoint of
cleaning up the districts, I don't think it's a bad
idea.
         CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners.
Commissioner Carr Smith.
         COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah. Hawaii
County has a process for that. I mean, you cannot
just add three ADUs without having the
infrastructure to support it. So I don't think that
that should be an issue from us, because that's
already part of their process.
        MR. ORODENKER: I -- I think that the way
I would testify on that would be that -- provided
that the infrastructure is in place for whatever,
for additional homes. But that's the only comment
that I would make on this bill.
         COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                   Okay. Well, you
had said just a minute ago the you would address
your concerns. I -- I like it more when you say the
things that you like about it.
         MR. ORODENKER: Oh, okay. Okay.
                                           Yeah.
         COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:
                                  Personally.
```

1	MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. I mean, I I			
2	think there are a lot of things to like about this			
3	bill. It cleans up the the districts. I mean,			
4	right now there's a lot of sham districts, you know,			
5	agriculture, but, oh, well this is really single			
6	family residents. And a lot of the problems that			
7	the county is having are related to infrastructure.			
8	Because Paradise Park, for instance, in			
9	places like that, there's no fire hydrants because			
10	it's ag, and they don't want to put it fire hydrants			
11	in ag land. There's they don't take over the			
12	roads, all the rest of those things. So I I			
13	don't think that the counties are going to submit			
14	requests haphazardly and just do it blanket. I			
15	think they're going to make decisions on what they			
16	can handle. But the intent is good.			
17	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Good. Thank			
18	you.			
19	CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.			
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair.			
21	Yeah, I note that the senate bill is from Senator			
22	Inouye who's from the Big Island. And in Honolulu			
23	also, you have to have the infrastructure before you			
24	can build the ADUs. But I think that one of the			
25	problems has always been promoting local farming and			

1 local produce. And -- and one of the big problems is 2. 3 people don't have a place to live if they want to Farming is very difficult, and this might be 4 5 something that helps that effort. So yeah, anything positive and -- with the cautions, of course, I 6 7 think it would be a good idea. CHAIR GIOVANNI: So it sounds like we 8 9 concur with the recommendation to express support 10 for the intent of the bill, provided the 11 infrastructure type issues are dealt with on a case-12 by-case basis. Is that right? 13 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I think so. 14 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. Okay. I think 15 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah. 16 this bill originated from Heather Kimball, who was 17 the chair of the county council, an d--CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Okay. Any -- who 18 19 is it? 20 MS. KWAN: Tara Rojas again. 21 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Let's hear from 2.2 Ms. Rojas. 23 Ms. Rojas, I'm going to promote MS. KWAN: 24 you to panelists so you can give your testimony. 25 MS. ROJAS: Okay. Aloha. Rojas. And so,

2.

2.2

you know, I'm listening to this, and this is my first time in the Land Use Commission. Been to the other meetings and I hear what your discussion about, you know, farmers, mahiai. You want to make it easier for them to farm and then to live.

However, it's super easy -- we see it happening always -- you know, when you reclassify agricultural land into rural land, and it's a well-documented, you know, gateway to urbanization. And so this is not a -- like a harmless administrative change. You know, it sets a -- a precedent, possibly dangerous precedent, that weakens long standing land protections.

And so agricultural lands, you know, once they're lost, they're lost. They're nearly impossible to restore. And you know, once somebody's on it, are they going to want to, you know, give it up? So just glancing again at -- like, just looking at all the bills, was looking at many other bills trying to keep up with too.

But basically, like I said, I'm going to bring it in here because they were there, that the privatization, just kind of rubber stamping, you know, always given to developers. Legislation, as we've seen throughout these 132 years, ultimately

2.

2.2

benefits private developers and not local communities, puts our island's food security at risk, sustainable agriculture, and future self-sufficiency.

So instead of fast tracking rezoning, you should be strengthening your protection for agricultural lands to ensure they remain a viable resource for future generations. And so not a speculative development opportunity again, because we know how things work in Hawaii with this whole legislative process.

There's just very few bills that really protect the environment and have people's best interests, because people that know this system know how to find the loopholes around it. And I -- I really -- I don't know. I don't have -- my first time again with you all, and just by hearing you say that you -- you back up the concerns that we're mentioning is a good sign.

But like I said again, when these developers know the loopholes and like if Haseko can go to the whole team and get it passed, knowing that their history is not for the environment nor the people, rather their profits, that's a huge concern.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. I need you to

1 conclude. 2. MS. ROJAS: Mahalo. 3 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mahalo. Commissioners, any question or comments for Ms. Rojas? Thank you, 4 5 Ms. Rojas, for coming forward again. Appreciate it. Very good. Who is it? 6 MS. KWAN: 7 I have Chuck Flaherty who would like to provide additional testimony. 8 9 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Flaherty, nice to 10 meet you again. Please proceed. Oh, you're not on 11 yet. Yes, keep Mr. Flaherty on. 12 Mr. Flaherty, please proceed. 13 MR. FLAHERTY: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. computer has a delay when I'm joined as a panelist. 14 15 So yes, just to follow up, I -- I hear the comments. But just to be clear, when we're -- when we're 16 17 talking about moving an agricultural subdivision into rural on the Big Island, we're -- we're talking 18 19 about the potential for up to three accessory 20 dwelling units to be added to each lot. 21 There's no way -- and obviously there's 2.2 going to be an impact on infrastructure. I really 23 don't understand how the impact on infrastructure 24 can be addressed when there's no way to know what each individual property owner is going to do, how 25

many they're going to add. 1 2. So if that were to happen, you would have 3 to assume the maximum number of ADUs on the -- on each of the properties in order to assure that the 4 5 infrastructure would be sufficient. And given that we're already facing the challenge with cesspools, 6 7 with power brownouts, wastewater issues on the Big Island, I just don't see how -- how this legislation 8 is pragmatically -- works out in the real world. 9 10 Thank you very much. 11 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you very much. 12 Ouestions, comments, commissioners? Thank you, Mr. 13 Flaherty. 14 Anybody else, Ms. Kwan? 15 MS. KWAN: Seeing none in the Q&A feature, 16 Chair. 17 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So Executive Director, are you clear on how to proceed on this 18 19 one? 20 MR. ORODENKER: Yes, I am, Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. 2.2 MR. ORODENKER: I'm reviewing the next 23 bill. Sorry. 24 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So we're down to five commissioners, and we're going to lose Commissioner 25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Hiyashida at 2:30. So if Commissioner Miyasato does not return by 2:30, we will lose a quorum, and we will adjourn the meeting. Heads up. So let's try to do at least one more before Commissioner Hiyashida has to leave at 2:30. Is that correct, 2:30? That's SB114. Has there been anybody wishing to do public testimony on 114, senate bill? MS. KWAN: Nobody used the Q&A feature to testify on agenda item 9. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Mr. Orodenker, please give us a summary of this bill and your concerns and recommended testimony. MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This bill creates a process whereby the landowner of a 30 acre parcel could construct senior housing facilities or elderly housing facilities on portions of their land no more than 15 acres. Personally, I -- I'm very conflicted by this measure. I'm not sure what it's attempting to accomplish because it -- it lays out a process that's pretty similar to a 201H process. So I'm not sure why it's necessary, but the intent is a good I -- I am concerned that they have -- there one. are some real long-term problems if the land is not

Carr Smith.

25

eventually urbanized. But I think this bill lays 1 out a -- somewhat of a process to urbanize land. 2. 3 I honestly don't know what I would say on this measure if it was heard. I think that I would 4 5 just be there to answer questions, because I'm not -- I mean I have -- I'm not sure what it's -- what 6 7 the difference is between a 201H process or a -- an elderly housing DBA and what this is proposing. 8 9 that's my comment. 10 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So if I understand 11 you correctly, the intent seems to be favorable, but 12 it's uncertain what it's really intended to -- to 13 do. 14 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. 15 CHAIR GIOVANNI: And so you're not 16 prepared to offer specific testimony. So you're 17 saying you would not testify on this bill, but be 18 available for Q&A? 19 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. That -- that's the 20 way I would handle the first hearing of this bill. 21 And if it moved, we would have to see how it was 22 amended before I would make a decision. 23 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners, any 24 comments or questions on this bill? Commissioner

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: 1 Thank you. So Dan, is elderly housing facilities or long-term care 2 3 a part of the 201H system? It's not, right? Not necessarily, but if --4 MR. ORODENKER: 5 if a developer of -- I'm speaking from personal experience because one of my previous lives I was a 6 7 consultant for -- for an association that was doing elderly housing. If you phrase it properly, you 8 9 know, you can get 201H funding for a project like 10 this and go through the 201H process. If it's artfully done, you fall within 201H. 11 12 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: But if that was 13 as easy as that, don't you think they would have not put this forward? 14 15 MR. ORODENKER: I'm not sure why this bill was put forward. I -- like I said when I started 16 17 out, I -- I'm conflicted by this. I'm not -- I'm not sure what they were trying to accomplish. 18 19 think that if the aim was really to develop a 201H 20 process for this type of housing, they should have 21 amended 201H. 2.2 So I mean, that's why I'm saying I support 23 the intent of this bill vehemently because I used to 24 do elderly housing, but I'm not really sure from a 25 procedural standpoint what they're trying to

1 accomplish here. COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: So I would -- I 2. 3 would appreciate you stating that you support the 4 intention. 5 MR. ORODENKER: I can do that. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. I'm a little confused too. I didn't realize that with 201H you 8 9 can do district boundary amendments. 10 201H provides a process MR. ORODENKER: for a truncated district boundary amendment 11 12 proceeding. Once the county council has made a 13 determination on what it is willing to waive, we 14 have 45 days to do a DBA, which really means --15 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Do it. 16 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, what we're just 17 doing. COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioner U'u, 20 did you --COMMISSIONER U'U: I'm good what director 21 said earlier. 2.2 23 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you. 24 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah, me too. It's like 25 you appreciate the intent, not sure why it's

```
1
    necessary, here to answer questions. Okay.
                                                 Pardon
 2.
   me?
 3
                        There's testimony.
             MS. KWAN:
 4
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So let's return to
 5
    the second round of public testimony. Is anybody
    there?
 6
 7
             MS. KWAN: Yes. I'm going to call for
    Tara Rojas.
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. We'll leave her on
10
    too.
             MS. ROJAS: Aloha. So, you know, just
11
    again, looking at it. Majority of them, they're --
12
13
    they're so - it seems so, like, repetitive and just
    opposing a lot of them. There's just a few I
14
15
    support, like couple support with reservations. And
    like from 14 on, it's, like, support because it's
16
17
    for mahiai.
             Again, as you all were discussing right
18
19
    now, love our kupuna, and yes, you know, we need
20
    elderly housing. However, permitting development on
    agricultural land, again, sets a concerning, you
21
2.2
    know, precedent and so can lead to further
23
    encroachment and on lands, you know, vital for
24
    agriculture and so potentially, you know,
25
    compromising again food security, that's ag land,
```

```
right, and sustainable farming practices.
 1
 2.
             So it's essential, you know, to explore
    alternative solutions that do not involve converting
 3
    agricultural spaces. So just -- just for a heads
 4
 5
    up, the next one, like, you know, the SB1157, like a
 6
 7
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Don't go there.
                                               Just
 8
    stay --
 9
             MS. ROJAS: Yeah, okay. Because it just
10
    seems so repetitive and it's like, oh, my gosh --
11
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: I'm sorry. But that's
12
    the process we have.
13
             MS. ROJAS: I know.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: One by one, we're taking
14
15
          We're not doing --
    them.
             MS. ROJAS: Yeah. So that's my comment
16
17
    that these bills try in so many different ways to do
    the same thing. And it just takes a lot of time
18
    when -- yeah. You just have to have the right
19
20
    mindset, decolonized mindset, to be able to make the
    right decisions for Hawaii --
21
2.2
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Fair enough.
23
             MS. ROJAS: -- not in favor of developers,
24
    yeah, of for-profit. It's for --
25
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you.
                                                  Thank
```

```
1
   you.
 2.
             MS. ROJAS: Mahalo.
 3
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: We understand where
4
   you're coming from.
5
             MS. ROJAS: Mahalo.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners, anything
6
7
    for Ms. Rojas? No. Okay. Is Mr. Flaherty signed
8
   up?
9
             MS. KWAN: For the next agenda.
10
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So you're good to
11
   go on this one?
12
             MR. ORODENKER: Yes, I am, Mr. Chair.
13
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. So we're going to
    -- we got 10/12 minutes left. We're making headway.
14
15
    Senate Bill 1117.
16
             MR. ORODENKER: 1157.
17
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Oh, my eyes. Put my
    glasses on. 1157. My apologies. So Mr. Flaherty
18
19
    is available to testify on this bill?
20
             MS. KWAN: Yes. Mr. Flaherty has signed
   up for oral testimony. Go ahead and turn your mic
21
2.2
   and camera on.
23
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Flaherty, please
24
   proceed.
25
             MR. FLAHERTY: Hello, Mr. Chair. Yes.
                                                     On
```

```
bill -- and actually, it sounded -- it's related to
 1
    the next agenda item, too, but I'll testify on this
 2.
 3
          The County of Hawaii is currently conducting a
    one.
    comprehensive review of its general plan. Since the
 4
 5
    county -- Hawaii Planning Director issued the
    current draft general plan, referred to as General
 6
 7
    Plan 2045, there have been common themes in public
    testimony.
 8
             One theme is related to the fact that the
 9
10
    planning department engaged a private contractor to
11
    place the general plan to --
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Flaherty -- Mr.
13
    Flaherty, could you clarify?
             MR. FLAHERTY: Yes.
14
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Did you say Kauai or
    Island of Hawaii?
16
             MR. FLAHERTY: The Island of Hawaii. I'm
17
18
    sorry, yes.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                              Thank you. Okay.
20
             MR. FLAHERTY: I'm speaking -- I'm
    basically speaking about issues with -- these state
21
2.2
    issues from the -- from the perspective of the
23
    County of Hawaii. I apologize, yes.
24
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. Please
25
    proceed.
```

2.

MR. FLAHERTY: So one theme is related to the fact that the planning department engaged a private contractor to place the General Plan 2045 on an online platform that required a software -- the public to learn a software to provide comments on the written text and to learn another software program in order to review and comment on the land use maps.

This immediately disenfranchised a large portion of the county's legal residents. The County Windward Planning Commission is conducting a special meeting to review the draft general plan 2045 at this very moment. If the commissioners were to watch public testimony being submitted at this meeting, as well as the prior meetings of the Leeward and Windward Planning Commissions of the Island of Hawaii on YouTube, you would see that the draft general plan is not only opposed, but that the public has only been recently learning of the comprehensive review process because of increased attention by social media influencers.

Therefore, the Hawaii Island Group urges the Land Use Commission to not relinquish -- relinquish its authority to county general plans and/or community development plans and to give this

an unfavorable recommendation. 1 And I apologize, I have to leave. 2. 3 this testimony also relates to the -- the next agenda item as well. Thank you very much. 4 5 CHAIR GIOVANNI: We're going to adjourn after this, Mr. Flaherty, after consideration of 6 7 this agenda item. So if you want to participate in the next agenda item, we'll see you at 10:00 in the 8 9 morning. 10 MR. FLAHERTY: Thank you very much. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Mr. Orodenker --11 12 oh, you got one more. I'm sorry. 13 Tara Rojas said she MS. KWAN: Yeah. 14 would like to testify on this item, as well. 15 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Very well. 16 Rojas, please proceed when you're ready. 17 MS. ROJAS: Oh, didn't realize I was still Okay. Aloha. So this bill, you know, really 18 reduces the state level oversight, like the previous 19 20 testifier just said, you know, to permit counties to reclassify without, you know, the Land Use 21 2.2 Commission involvement and so potentially leading to 23 inconsistent land use decisions and, again, 24 increased urbanization of rural areas. 25 And so maintaining your LUC oversight

22

23

24

25

1	ensures a balanced approach to development and again			
2	just preserving the integrity of rural communities.			
3	We got to keep Hawaii Hawaii. There's no reason to			
4	see an undeveloped plot of land and like: Oh, my			
5	gosh, I got to develop it. You know, that's what it			
6	is.			
7	You got to leave the land as is. You got			
8	to restore ahupua'a mindset and practice. You got			
9	to restore and you know, for food systems, not			
10	for development. But how the system is is rigged			
11	or worked or made or started, it's all a foreign,			
12	not-of-here mindset. And it's in bylaws. And the			
13	bylaws state that we have to develop, and the bylaws			
14	say that we have to do this.			
15	And it's like when is common sense going			
16	to come back to, one, realize don't build on the			
17	coastline, first of all. You see that Maui			
18	apartment building, the base foundation			
19	destabilized, you know, because it was built on			
20	marshland. And you see all the mud cleanup. It's			

You -- you just ask the kanaka that know and have kiloed (phonetic) that area, listen to them when it says no, not to build. But because you got

like when is this illegally occupying state going to

learn to decolonize their mindset?

county planning commissions' bylaws and HCDA 1 agencies built, and they're all just going to build 2. 3 and build and build till there's like no land left. I saw it in Kalaeloa here in Oahu where 4 5 they said: Oh, you know what, there was supposed to be different zoning levels. Really guick, there's 6 7 different zoning levels. One is like --CHAIR GIOVANNI: Again, you need -- we 8 9 need for you to conclude. 10 MS. ROJAS: Okay. I'll conclude. That they had zero zoning level, just like the mauna. 11 12 Oh, but because it got disturbed, now there is no 13 pure nature, just only area. So that's this mindset. That's what you got to protect, the pure -14 15 - just leave the land as is, you know, rural and/or for agriculture, for traditional ahupua'a mindset 16 17 and agriculture practices. CHAIR GIOVANNI: Thank you. 18 19 MS. ROJAS: Mahalo. 20 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Commissioners, any comments or questions for Ms. Rojas? Hearing none, 21 2.2 Dan Orodenker, please give us a summary of this bill 23 and your recommendations on and concerns. 24 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 This bill is similar to SB36, which we already

discussed as agenda item 7. It authorizes the 1 counties to undertake district boundary amendments 2. 3 consistent with the general plan. 4 However, I think this bill is even more 5 flawed, because it allows the counties to consolidate proceedings to amend state and land use 6 7 boundaries with the county proceedings to amend the general plans and development plans. Once again, I 8 9 would take the same position that I did with SB36 10 and that is that we should only provide comments. 11 CHAIR GIOVANNI: What comments? 12 MR. ORODENKER: My comments would be that 13 the -- the bill, I have concerns about the 14 appropriateness of consolidating proceedings and the 15 due process involved with this type of proposal. 16 CHAIR GIOVANNI: So just to remind, on 17 SB36, our position was to take no position 18 explicitly, but to enable you to address questions 19 and share concerns. 20 That is correct. MR. ORODENKER: 21 CHAIR GIOVANNI: And you want the same --2.2 you're recommending same for SB1157; is that 23 correct? 24 MR. ORODENKER: That's correct. 25 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners, you

2.

2.2

good with that?

COMMISSIONER U'U: I'd just like to make a note. I -- I know a lot have been talk is about -- it's about giving the powers to the county and maybe the state should have the oversight. I just wanted to point out that the -- the recommendations and the bills that's being proposed or vetted today is made by the state representatives who wants to give some power back to the county.

So we hear we don't want to give county and well -- and I hear people testify and say the state should have the oversight. But I just wanted to make mention that again the bills we're being heard today by state representatives that wants to give it back to the county, it seems like. And again, it's something they can bet on their own. We can share our manao with that also.

CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. I think that's a great observation, Commissioner U'u. But at the same time, I think that we're getting a small dose today of what the legislature is going to be experiencing in terms of public comments and concerns.

And I -- like I said earlier, I think that's in -- more in their wheelhouse of what they

do day to day, as opposed to what we do as 1 regulators that are here to enforce existing laws 2. 3 rather than worry too much about what might happen. That's my position. But thank you for that comment. 4 5 That was interesting and observant. Other comments? Commissioner Carr Smith, 6 are you winding up for a comment? 7 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: 8 I quess. Yeah, 9 I hear what you're saying, but I -- I also feel like 10 the counties are asking for this over and over on different levels for a reason. And I don't think we 11 12 should just be disregarding them. It's obvious that 13 -- that they wish that the process was different so that they could weigh in more. And I think they 14 15 know their -- their county, their island better than we do. 16 17 So I tend to give more weight to what the 18 counties feel about these bills. I don't think we 19 need to do this push and pull and ego thing or power 20 that we need to maintain the power over these If it's best for the county to perhaps have 21 things. 2.2 more voice, then I think that's appropriate. 23 CHAIR GIOVANNI: Well, that's what the 24 legislature and the governor will decide at the end 25 of the day. And the counties will -- my expectation

```
is they'll represent their point of view.
 1
                                               And I
 2.
    expect there are -- there are entities within the
 3
    state, as Commissioner U'u said, that are
    sympathetic to those views and agree technically
 4
 5
    with those views.
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Well, that's the
 6
 7
    reason we're having this conversation so that we can
    all weigh in, right?
 8
 9
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah.
10
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah. So that's
11
12
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Hopefully, we hear you.
13
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah.
14
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Yeah. And I want -- I
15
    want our executive director to hear you, as well, as
16
    he goes forward to represent. So anything else on
17
    this bill?
18
             MS. KWAN: We have one testifiers.
19
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Okay. Let's hear that
20
    testifier.
21
                        Tara Rojas.
             MS. KWAN:
22
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Mr. Miyashida (sic),
23
    please hang on -- Hiyashida, hang on for one minute.
24
    Can you hang on for one? Huh? We're not voting,
25
    but we -- I'm going to lose a quorum, and I can't
```

```
1
    even hear this. I need a quorum to hear this
 2.
    testimony.
 3
                         It's a really quick one.
             MS. ROJAS:
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Huh? Oh, okay. Go.
 4
                                                     Go.
 5
    Thank you very much.
 6
             MS. ROJAS:
                         Okay.
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Who -- who wants to speak
 7
 8
    out?
 9
             MS. ROJAS: Yeah. So I just want to just
10
    say one really quick thing. I hear what you said
    and I -- yeah, I'm usually just also for the -- the
11
12
    county too. And that's just the whole thing about
13
           It just depends. Like, do the people sitting
    in the county seats making the decision have the
14
15
    right mindset?
             And it's basically -- even at this, it's
16
17
    like that the people -- the people actually have the
              Even technically I'm going to say this not
18
    answers.
19
    even like the Land Use Commission or even like the
20
    county, it's like the people who just allow your
    pono and you have the right mindset, then that's the
21
2.2
    right decision.
23
             So whether you're the state, which
24
    actually I'm not for -- but you know, the county,
25
    but you have actually people that are not fully pono-
```

```
in the county because they're of the profit mindset,
 1
 2.
    greed mindset, so again, whatever your position, you
 3
    just have to be pono, decolonize your mind, and with
 4
    that make your decision.
 5
             CHAIR GIOVANNI:
                             Thank you very much.
             MS. ROJAS: Mahalo.
 6
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: Any questions for Ms.
 7
            Hearing none, thank you.
 8
    Rojas?
             So again, in summary, you -- you are to
 9
10
    express a comment similar to --
11
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             SB36
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: -- 36 and take no formal
12
13
    position one way or the other.
14
             MR. ORODENKER: Understood, Chair.
15
             CHAIR GIOVANNI: With that, I'm going to
    recess today's meeting and ask you to come back at
16
17
    10:00 tomorrow morning and we'll begin with matter
18
    number 11. Is that correct, Ms. Kwan, number 11 is
19
    next?
          We will see you tomorrow. Thank you very
20
          We are adjourned for today -- recessed for
    much.
21
    today.
22
             (Meeting recessed at 2:32 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

	יתדים	$T \cap X^{\Gamma}$	יתים
(F.R	1 I F	I C.A	ı r.

I, Abigail Bockholt, do hereby certify that
the proceeding named herein was professionally
transcribed on the date set forth in the certificate
herein; that I transcribed all testimony adduced and
other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter;
and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a
full, true, and correct record of such testimony
adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole
thereof.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of February, 2025.

of hy Mor

Abigail Bockholt

Established 1980