STATE OF HAWAII LAND USE COMMISSION MEETING IN-PERSON MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 2025

DATE: September 24, 2025

TIME: 9:30 a.m.

LOCATION: Airport Conference Center (In Hawaiian Airlines

Terminal Building), 400 Rodgers Blvd., 7th

Floor, IIT Suite 700, Room #1, Honolulu, HI 96819

FINAL

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. A03-738 Gentry Investment Properties (O'ahu)
 To consider the Petitioner's Motion for an Order
 Releasing Conditions 1 Through 22 in the Findings
 of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order
 dated January 2, 2004. Files pertaining to this
 docket are available at:

https://luc.hawaii.gov/a03-738

Parcel information: Tax Map Key: (1) 9-1-10:7 and (1) 9-1-69:5. Approximately 282.614 acres located in 'Ewa, O'ahu, State of Hawai'i

- III. Approval of Meeting Minutes for June 4, 2025
 Meeting Minutes will be available at:
 https://luc.hawaii.gov/meetings/2020s
- IV. Discussion and Action (if necessary) on
 Modification to Executive Officer's Employment
 Agreement
- V. Tentative Meeting Schedule
- VI. Adjournment

BEFORE:

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	
3	LUC Commissioners
4	1. Brian Lee
5	2. Dan Giovanni
6	3. Mel Kahele
7	4. Michael Yamane
8	5. Ku'ike Kamakea-Ohelo
9	6. Myles Miyasato
10	7. Nancy Carr Smith
11	8. Bruce U'u
12	
13	LUC Staff
14	1. Daniel Orodenker, Executive Officer
15	2. Martina Segura, Planner
16	3. Ariana Kwan, Chief Clerk
17	4. John Dubiel, Esq., Deputy Attorney General
18	
19	Office of Planning and Sustainable Development
20	("OPSD")
21	1. Alison Kato, Esq., Deputy Attorney General
22	2. Aaron Setogawa, Planner
23	3. Mary Alice Evans, Director
24	4. Rachel Beasley, Planner
25	

1	PARTICIPANTS (CONTINUED)
2	
3	City & County of Honolulu, Department of Planning
4	and Permitting ("County")
5	1. Pono Arias, Esq., Corporation Counsel
6	2. Dina Wong
7	3. Franz Kraintz, Chief, Community Planning Branch
8	
9	Gentry Investment Properties
LO	1. Curtis Tabata, Esq., Counsel
11	2. Quentin Machida, Chief Executive Officer and
L2	President of Gentry Homes, Ltd.
L3	3. Brian Maja, Vice President of Engineering
L4	4. Jon Kam, Vice President of Construction
L5	5. Debra Luning, Director of Governmental Affairs
L6	and Community Relations
L7	6. Sunny Rosario, Manager of Governmental Affairs
18	and Community Relations
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Τ	HAWAII STATE
2	LAND USE COMMISSION MEETING
3	HELD IN PERSON ON
4	WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2025
5	9:30 A.M.
6	
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: Aloha everyone, and
8	good morning. This is the September 24th, 2025,
9	Land Use Commission meeting.
LO	This is an in-person meeting, which is
11	being held at the Airport Conference Center in the
L2	Hawaiian Airlines Terminal Building at 400 Rodgers
L3	Boulevard, 7th Floor, IIT Suite 700, Room number 1,
L4	Honolulu, Hawaii.
L5	This meeting is open to the public. For
L6	all meeting participants, I would like to stress the
L7	importance of speaking slowly, clearly, and directly
L8	into your microphone, unlike what I did.
L9	Before speaking, please state your name
20	and identify yourself for the record. Even though
21	this is an in-person meeting, please be aware that
22	all meeting participants are being recorded on the
23	digital record of this Zoom meeting, which will be
24	posted to YouTube and used for court reporting
25	purposes.

Your continued participation is your
implied consent to be part of the public record of
this event. If you do not wish to be part of the
public record, you should exit this meeting now.
I will also share with all participants
that we will take breaks from time to time, probably
every hour.
My name is Brian Lee from Oahu, and I will
be serving as Chair today. We currently have nine
seated commissioners, and along with me today are
two members from Oahu, Commissioner Mel Kahele and
Commissioner Ku'ikeokalani Kamakea-Ohelo. We have
one commissioner from Maui, Commissioner Bruce U'u,
and two members from Kauai, Commissioner Dan
Giovanni and Commissioner Michael Yamane.
We also have two commissioners from Hawaii
Island, Commissioner Nancy Carr Smith and
Commissioner Myles Miyasato.
Also in attendance is Land Use Commission
Executive Officer Daniel Orodenker, LUC Staff
Planner Martina Segura, LUC Chief Clerk Ariana Kwan,
and the LUC Deputy Attorney General John Dubiel.
Again, court reporting transcriptions are

All right. This is to consider the

being done from this Zoom recording.

1	Petitioner's motion for an order releasing	
2	conditions 1 through 22 in the Findings of Fact,	
3	Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order dated	
4	January 2nd, 2004.	
5	Will the parties please identify	
6	themselves for the record?	
7	Petitioner, will you please identify	
8	yourself?	
9	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair. Good	
10	morning, Chair, Members of the Commission. Curtis	
11	Tabata appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, Gentry	
12	Investment Properties.	
13	Also present with me today are Quentin	
14	Machida, Chief Executive Officer and President of	
15	Gentry Homes, Limited; Brian Maja, Vice President of	
16	Engineering; Jon Kam, Vice President of	
17	Construction; Debra Luning, Director of Governmental	
18	Affairs and Community Relations; and Sunny Rosario,	
19	Manager of Governmental Affairs and Community	
20	Relations.	
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.	
22	City and County of Honolulu, please	
23	identify yourself for the record.	
24	MR. ARIAS: Aloha, Chair and	
25	Commissioners. Pono Arias, Deputy Corporation	

1	Counsel on behalf of the City and County of	
2	Honolulu.	
3	With me I have Franz Kraintz and Dina	
4	Wong, representatives from DPP.	
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.	
6	Office of Planning and Sustainable	
7	Development, please identify yourself for the	
8	record.	
9	MS. KATO: Good morning, Chair,	
10	Commissioners. Alison Kato, Deputy Attorney General	
11	for the Office of Planning and Sustainable	
12	Development. Also here with me is Mary Alice Evans,	
13	Director of OPSD, and Aaron Setogawa and Rachel	
14	Beasley, OPSD Planners. Thank you.	
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.	
16	Good morning again, Mr. Tabata. Have you	
17	reviewed Hawaii Administrative Rules section 15-15-	
18	45.1 regarding the reimbursement of hearing	
19	expenses?	
20	MR. TABATA: Yes, and we will comply.	
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.	
22	So in accordance with Hawaii Revised	
23	Statute section 84-14, commissioners are not allowed	
24	to take any official action affecting a business in	
25	which they or a member of their family has a	

25

1	financial interest. They must therefore recuse	
2	themselves from this matter if there is any benefit,	
3	monetary or otherwise, to themselves or members of	
4	their family.	
5	Also in accordance with Legal Ethical	
6	Standards, Commissioners should disclose any	
7	relationship, business, social, or otherwise that	
8	they may have with the Petitioner or its	
9	representatives or any of the other parties to this	
10	proceeding that may give rise to an allegation of	
11	bias or impropriety.	
12	Do any of the Commissioners have any	
13	conflicts of interest or disclosures to make? Okay.	
14	Seeing and hearing none, we will now move on.	
15	Please let me briefly explain our	
16	procedures for today. First, we'll recognize the	
17	written public testimony that has been submitted on	
18	this matter. Next, we will call for members of the	
19	public who wish to provide oral testimony on this	
20	matter. Next, the Staff Planner will give a	
21	briefing on the Staff report that has been posted on	
22	this docket. Next, the Petitioner will then be	
23	called upon to make their presentation.	
	1	

Planning Department and then OPSD for their

Then the Chair will call on the County

1	presentation and/or comments. The Commissioners	
2	will have opportunities to ask questions of the	
3	parties at the conclusion of each of their	
4	presentations. Then the parties will be given an	
5	opportunity for closing arguments.	
6	I will then re-open the floor to the	
7	public for any new and additional oral testimony on	
8	this matter. And finally, the Commission will	
9	deliberate on the matter based on the testimony and	
10	evidence presented.	
11	Now, we'd like to once again remind all	
12	participants to speak slowly, clearly, and directly	
13	into their microphones. And before speaking, please	
14	state your name and identify yourself for the	
15	record. This will ensure our transcriber can	
16	produce an accurate transcript of these proceedings.	
17	Are there any questions now about our	
18	procedure for today?	
19	MR. TABATA: No questions.	
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you.	
21	MR. ARIAS: No questions.	
22	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.	
23	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.	
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.	
25	And as stated on today's agenda, please be	

1	re-informed that the relevant documents pertaining	
2	to this matter can be accessed for review on the LUC	
3	website at LUC.Hawaii.gov/A03-738.	
4	All right. We'll now recognize the	
5	written public testimony submitted on this matter.	
6	Ms. Kwan, has there been any written	
7	testimony submitted on this matter?	
8	MS. KWAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. We received	
9	one written testimony on September 17th from Roseann	
10	Freitas which has been posted to the website.	
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Ms. Kwan.	
12	Are there any members of the public that	
13	wish to testify in this matter?	
14	MS. KWAN: Seeing none at this time,	
15	Chair.	
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Ms. Kwan.	
17	We will now call on Ms. Segura to please	
18	summarize the Staff report for us, and any Staff	
19	concerns.	
20	MS. SEGURA: This is Martina Segura, for	
21	the record. The Staff report was posted on the LUC	
22	website on September 19, last week, Friday.	
23	Specific Staff concerns and	
24	recommendations. It's of Staff's belief that the	
25	Petitioner has not provided adequate and substantial	

1	or supportive evidence for conditions 4, 5, 8, and			
2	10. The specific reasoning can be found on page 18			
3	of the Staff report. This goes to specificalities			
4	pointed out in the conditions.			
5	So if you have any questions, I can take			
6	them now.			
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Any questions,			
8	Commissioners? Okay. Hearing and seeing none,			
9	Petitioner, will you now please provide your			
10	presentation.			
11	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair.			
12	Just a brief background of Gentry. Gentry			
13	Homes has been building homes in Hawaii since 1968.			
14	In 1979, Gentry started building Waipio Gentry on			
15	510 acres in Central Oahu. And over the years,			
16	Gentry has built over 14,000 homes in Hawaii, along			
17	with commercial and industrial developments.			
18	The project we're here for today is called			
19	Ewa Makai. And on January 2, 2004, the LUC			
20	reclassified approximately 282.614 acres in Ewa,			
21	Oahu, from the agricultural district to the urban			
22	district for residential, commercial, and community			
23	facilities.			
24	If I may share a screen, Chair, I will			

just have some orientation maps for the Commission

l	l + 0	review.
_		

2.

The location map of Oahu is our exhibit 37, and it shows the project location in Ewa.

Nearby communities are Barbers Point, Ewa Beach, and

5 Waipahu.

Exhibit 38 is a satellite map of our project, Ewa Makai. Now, Ewa Makai, I should explain. Ewa Makai is, like I say, 282 acres and 1,634 homes, all of which have been built and sold.

Full build out of Ewa Makai occurred in 2020.

Now, Ewa Makai is a part of a larger project called Ewa by Gentry, and Ewa by Gentry is 1,283 acres consisting of approximately 8,500 homes which should be built and sold out by the end of this year. That's the expectation.

Now, going back to exhibit number 38, which is a satellite photo of the project area and the surrounding communities, we have -- to the south of Ewa by Gentry we have Haseko's Ocean Pointe project. To the north, which is up, you have the other parts of Ewa by Gentry of which Ewa Makai is a part of.

To the west, which is to the left, you have Coral Creek Golf Course, and to the right, which is the east, you have the Hawaii Prince Golf

1	Course. And on the far right you have Iroquois		
2	Point, the entrance to Pearl Harbor. And the to the		
3	far left you have, I believe that's the beginning of		
4	Kapolei.		
5	And that's that's the area we're		
6	talking about today.		
7	Now, we have 22 conditions for the		
8	Commission to consider. So what my plan is, is to		
9	just go through them one by one, in order. And so I		
10	don't need to read every condition in its entirety		
11	to you, I'm going to put it on screen, if that's		
12	okay, Chair, and everybody can read it for		
13	themselves.		
14	So condition 1, condition 1 is the		
15	affordable housing condition. And what it basically		
16	requires us is to comply with all of the city		
17	requirements for affordable housing. And what we've		
18	done is we've attached an exhibit 2 to our exhibit		
19	1. Exhibit 1 is our annual report, so our most		
20	recent annual report is found at exhibit 1.		
21	And at appendix 2 of exhibit 1 is the		
22	affordable housing agreement between DPP and Gentry.		
23	That's dated November 19th, 2004. The agreement was		
24	amended by DPP's letter dated August 1st, 2007.		

Appendix 3 of exhibit 1, our annual

1	report, is DPP's March 16th, 2020, letter
2	acknowledging fulfillment of the affordable housing
3	requirements and the agreement for Ewa by Gentry.
4	And Ewa by Gentry is the larger project which Ewa
5	Makai is a part of. So having fulfilled all of the
6	affordable housing requirements for Ewa by Gentry,
7	we also fulfilled all of the affordable housing
8	requirements for Ewa Makai.
9	And the breakdown of the affordable
10	housing units for Ewa by Gentry was 849 affordable
11	housing units for 80 percent area medium income
12	median income. 1,397 affordable housing units
13	required for 120 percent AMI. 428 affordable
14	housing units required for 140 percent AMI. For a
15	total of 2,674 affordable housing units provided by
16	Ewa by Gentry.
17	And it was provided in, I believe, a
18	combination of credits and actual housing units, and
19	that satisfied condition 1.
20	For condition 2, the public schools
21	facilities condition, an agreement was reached with
22	the Department of Education and Gentry. That
23	agreement is found at appendix 4 of exhibit 1, and
24	what it provided for was the contribution of land.

Gentry was to provide 18 acres of land to the DOE,

24

25

	89881
1	State of Hawaii, for the construction of a new
2	middle school.
3	At appendix 5 of exhibit 1 we have the
4	Right of Entry Agreement which was dated in 2009.
5	And what that did was it allowed the DOE to enter
6	the land prior to the actual conveyance so they
7	could start construction of the middle school.
8	And at appendix 6 of exhibit 1 we have the
9	warranty deed that was dated in 2010, which actually
10	conveyed the 18 acres so that the DOE could have
11	their new middle school, and it was called today
12	it's called Ewa Makai Middle School. And that took
13	care of condition 2.
14	Condition 3 is wastewater facilities.
15	Exhibit 3 is the wastewater facilities, and what we
16	have in exhibit 3 is the Department of Environmental
17	Services acceptance of the Ewa by Gentry to
18	wastewater pump station, which is dated March 23,
19	2011.
20	And we've provided exhibit 4 which is
21	Gentry's payment for upgrades to Ewa Gentry, one
22	pump station. And these documents, these exhibits,

pump station. And these documents, these exhibits, they show the satisfaction of condition 3.

Condition 4 is transportation. For the transportation condition, we were required to

1	participate and fulfill all impact fee requirements.
2	So what there was in place, or is in place is the
3	Ewa Highway impact fee requirement, or program. And
4	Gentry paid a total, as of December 31st, 2023,
5	Gentry paid a total of \$3,275,479.73 for Ewa Highway
6	impact fees. And Gentry also received Ewa Highway
7	impact fee credits in the amount of \$1,641,384.
8	Now, these impact fees were calculated for
9	each building permit. This is my understanding,
10	that I'm sure DPP can correct me if I'm wrong on
11	this, but they're calculated at the times you apply
12	for a building permit, and full payment is required
13	before you are issued the building permit.
14	So given that program and its requirements
15	in place, the Petitioner, Gentry, having fully built
16	out Ewa by Gentry, has paid all of its impact fees
17	that were required.
18	As backup for our asserted numbers, we
19	have exhibit 5 which is Ewa Regional Transportation
20	Projects Matrix, which shows that Gentry was a
21	builder of a portion of Kapolei Parkway. The
22	credits we received was from building Kapolei, a
23	portion of Kapolei Parkway. That's where the
24	credits came from.
25	Exhibit 34 is Gentry's list of Ewa Highway

1	impact fee credits. That was earned as of July
2	12th, 2012. That was provided as a snapshot in
3	time, and it basically to show that these credits
4	were accounted for. It was part of our program, and
5	they were accumulated.
6	Exhibit 36 shows the total Ewa Highway
7	impact fees paid for Ewa by Gentry.
8	To discuss traffic mitigation that was
9	required under condition 4, we would like to call as
10	a witness, Brian Maja, Chair, if that's okay.
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. May I swear you
12	in, Mr. Maja? Okay. Please state your name and zip
13	code for the record, and proceed with your testimony
14	after I swear you in.
15	Mr. Maja, do you swear or affirm that the
16	testimony you're about to give is the truth?
17	MR. MAJA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: You will have two
19	minutes, unless there are questions. Please
20	proceed.
21	MR. MAJA: Yes. Thank you.
22	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Please don't if you
24	have to speak longer than two minutes, please feel
25	free.

1	MR. MAJA: Thank you. It won't be.
2	MR. TABATA: Good morning, Brian.
3	MR. MAJA: Good morning.
4	MR. TABATA: Would you please state your
5	name well, please state your job title and the
6	name of your employer?
7	MR. MAJA: I am the Vice President of
8	Engineering for Gentry Homes, Limited.
9	MR. TABATA: And were there any traffic
LO	mitigation measures that were required for Ewa Makai
11	by the Department of Transportation or the city?
L2	MR. MAJA: Yes, there were. Most of them
L3	were described in the Traffic Impact Analysis Report
L4	that was done for the project.
L5	MR. TABATA: And could you describe for us
L6	what kind of mitigation measures were there?
L7	MR. MAJA: Major measures included
18	extension of existing roadways such as like some of
L9	the major ones were. There was Keaunui Drive which
20	is a north/south roadway on the east side of Fort
21	Weaver Road.
22	We extended Keaunui Drive to turn towards
23	the west, crossing Fort Weaver Road, and then
24	continued on to connect to Kapolei Parkway which is
25	a north/south corridor. So it provided better

2.

2.2

traffic circulation there.

Other improvements that we did was Geiger Road. We improved Geiger Road from Kapolei Parkway towards the west to the entry to Kalaeloa. We also constructed Kamakana Street from Geiger Road going towards the south, and we provided connectivity to Haseko's Ocean Pointe project.

We also installed traffic signals at certain intersections. We also constructed two roundabouts on Kamakana Street. These roundabouts were not part of the traffic study, but the city required us to put those in, which we did.

We also provided a pedestrian path from our homes on the west side of Coral Creek Golf Course that connected, provided a path from those homes to Kapolei Parkway near the Ewa Makai Middle School.

MR. TABATA: Thank you. And were those mitigation measures approved?

MR. MAJA: Yes.

MR. TABATA: Thank you, Brian.

Brian, if you could wait for a second, there may be questions from the other parties or the commissioners. Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.

1	City and County of Honolulu, are there any
2	questions for this witness?
3	MR. ARIAS: One question. If you recall,
4	were you working with the Traffic Regroup Branch of
5	the Department of Planning and Permitting?
6	MR. MAJA: Yes.
7	MR. ARIAS: Thank you. No further
8	questions.
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
LO	OPSD, are there any questions for this
11	witness?
L2	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.
L3	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
L4	Commissioners, are there any questions for
15	this witness? Okay. Seeing none, Mr. Maja, thank
L6	you for your testimony.
L7	MR. MAJA: Thank you.
L8	MR. TABATA: Chair, we'd also like to call
L9	Debra Luning as our next witness in order to talk
20	about the Community Engagement, or condition 4.
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.
22	Mrs. Luning, please state your name and
23	zip code for the record.
24	MS. LUNING: My name is Debra Luning, zip
25	code 96813.

1	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Let me swear you
2	in. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
3	you're about to give is the truth?
4	MS. LUNING: Yes, I do.
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Please proceed.
6	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair.
7	Good morning, Debbie.
8	MS. LUNING: Morning.
9	MR. TABATA: Please state your job title
10	and name of your employer.
11	MS. LUNING: My title is the Director of
12	Governmental Affairs and Community Relations. My
13	employer is Gentry Homes.
14	MR. TABATA: Did Gentry provide
15	presentations to the Ewa community to provide
16	transportation related updates?
17	MS. LUNING: Yes, we did.
18	MR. TABATA: And could you describe those
19	presentations?
20	MS. LUNING: Yes. They were periodic
21	presentations to the Ewa Neighborhood Board as well
22	as community meetings that were called by elected
23	officials. We made presentations to certain
24	organizations, like the West Oahu Economic
25	Development Association, Kapolei Rotary, et cetera.

1	MR. TABATA: And about how many of those
2	presentations do you think you gave over the years?
3	MS. LUNING: I would say, rough estimate,
4	maybe eight to ten of them. And in addition to
5	that, we did regularly attend the Ewa Neighborhood
6	Board meetings, and when questions would arise we'd
7	be there to answer any questions, or I'd be there.
8	MR. TABATA: And how often did you attend
9	the Ewa Neighborhood Board meetings?
10	MS. LUNING: I would say over the years,
11	maybe roughly half of the meetings that were
12	annually held.
13	MR. TABATA: Thank you. I have no further
14	questions at this time.
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.
16	City and County of Honolulu, any questions
17	for this witness?
18	MR. ARIAS: No questions.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: OPSD, any questions for
20	this witness?
21	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
23	Commissioners, are there any questions for
24	this witness? Yes, Commissioner Yamane.
25	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Thank you, Mr.

1	Chair. Just questions on the eight to ten
2	presentations. Do you have dates of those meetings,
3	and any minutes or documentation to provide the Land
4	Use?
5	MS. LUNING: I do have copies of the
6	minutes of the Ewa Neighborhood Board. I could
7	provide you copies of my presentation itself. I
8	don't have the agendas anymore from the community
9	meetings because, you know, they happened a long
10	time ago. I did rummage through my files.
11	I can provide you with copies of all of
12	the Ewa Neighborhood Board meetings from a certain
13	period of time, as I went through their online
14	records of minutes that they kept.
15	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: What about the
16	presentations, the eight to ten presentations?
17	That's different from the Neighborhood meetings,
18	correct?
19	MS. LUNING: No, we did make presentations
20	to the Ewa Neighborhood Board.
21	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay. So the eight
22	to ten presentations was part of being at the
23	Neighborhood meetings?
24	MS. LUNING: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Got it.

MS. LUNING: No, well, being present at
the Neighborhood Board meetings, that, you know,
those were over 50 percent of the meetings per year
probably, my guestimate. But during the span of
years, we did make several presentations to the Ewa
Neighborhood Board, specifically on Ewa by Gentry,
Ewa Makai, traffic improvements, just to give an
update of our project specifically.
COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay, thank you.
MS. LUNING: Though we were present to
answer any questions or to give updates if anybody
had any questions.
COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Commissioner
Yamane.
Any further questions from Commissioners?
Okay. Mr. Tabata.
MR. TABATA: Thank you. Moving on to
condition 5, that's the landscape building setback.
And what this condition required was that for Fort
Weaver Road, which runs down the middle of the
project, maybe I should go back and show that
satellite map again.
So right down the middle of the project
you can see where my cursor is, there is this is

1	called Fort Weaver Road, and it's the major highway
2	in the area, okay. And what condition 5 does is it
3	requires a 12-foot buffer area on both sides of Fort
4	Weaver Road, where the project straddles Fort Weaver
5	Road. And that area needs to be landscaped.
6	Our exhibit 7 is the plat 144 map, which
7	is the west side, and it shows and it has the
8	feet, the width of this setback area is 15.25 feet,
9	and exhibit 8 is the other side of it, other side of
10	the street on the east side, plat 141, and it shows
11	the width to be 54.50 feet.
12	As far as the landscaping goes, we would
13	like to re-recall Brian Maja to testify about the
14	landscaping. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: Just to remind you,
16	you're still under oath, Mr. Maja.
17	MR. TABATA: Brian, are you familiar with
18	the landscape building setback for the Fort Weaver
19	Road frontage areas?
20	MR. MAJA: Yes, I am.
21	MR. TABATA: Are they landscaped?
22	MR. MAJA: Yes.
23	MR. TABATA: Could you please describe the
24	landscaping for the Commission?
25	MR. MAJA: The landscaping primarily

	consists of grass and other types of ground cover.
2	There are some shrubs of various types, and trees,
3	numerous palm trees. I think there was a Hong Kong
4	orchid tree, maybe a plumeria tree or so.
5	MR. TABATA: Is the entire setback area
6	landscaped, or just a portion?
7	MR. MAJA: The entire area is landscaped.
8	I have photos that might help, if anybody wants
9	them.
LO	MR. TABATA: Maybe during a break we can
11	have them circulated, if the Commission wants. We
L2	did not have time to submit it as an exhibit, but
L3	Mr. Maja does have photos of the landscape area.
L4	I have no further questions for this
L5	witness, Chair.
L6	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you. Thank
L7	you, Mr. Maja.
L8	Honolulu City and County, any questions
L9	for this witness?
20	MR. ARIAS: No questions.
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: OPSD, any questions for
22	this witness?
23	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you.
25	Mr. Tabata.

2.

MR. TABATA: Thank you, Chair.

Condition 6 is the Archeological Inventory Survey Condition, and it required compliance with 6E during the development of the project. Petitioner did not encounter any archeological resources in the project area during development of the project, so nothing was found.

Condition 7, Historic Preservation

Mitigation Plan. We provided exhibits 10 and 11,
and these show that there were communications or
attempted communications with OHA to discuss the
naming of the middle school and a park so that we
could find the appropriate Hawaiian name for these
facilities.

And it was, after consultation and discussion with various people, the new middle school was named Ewa Makai Middle School, and the private community park in Ewa by Gentry was named Hoalauna Park.

Condition 8, moving on to condition 8, condition 8 is the Solid Waste Management Plan. And what condition 8 required of the Petitioner was to develop a solid waste management plan in conformance with HRS Chapter 342G, and have it approved by the city.

Unfortunately, it was not possible for the Petitioner to comply with this or to do exactly what this condition was saying. First of all, Chapter 342G only applies to the counties. It does not apply to the developers, so it was legally impossible for us to do or to follow, comply with, or to fulfill the actual language of HRS Chapter 342G.

And secondly, even if Gentry had wrote up documents and called it the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan pursuant to 342G, my guess is the County DPP would not have reviewed it or approved it because there is no statutory authority granted to DPP to do that.

However, Gentry implemented responsible solid waste management practices anyway. That just comes -- that's just natural, and what they did was they implemented recycling and reusing of solid waste materials, and utilized PVT Landfill.

Now, I think I'm getting a little ahead of myself, but what DPP did when they filed their position statement, they included exhibit B, and what it is is the 2019 city Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, and it's a comprehensive document. You can see it in your filings. It's a couple

1	inches thick.
2	And it provides the standards by which
3	solid waste needs to be managed on this island. And
4	a part of it addresses construction and demolition
5	debris, and that's the kind of solid waste a new
6	development will generate.
7	And to talk about that, we would like to
8	call as our next witness, Jon Kam, to testify about
9	Gentry's management of solid waste.
10	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
11	Tabata.
12	Mr. Kam, can I please swear you in?
13	MR. KAM: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: All right. Do you
15	swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to
16	give is the truth?
17	MR. KAM: Yes, I do.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Please state
19	your name and zip code for the record, and proceed
20	with your testimony.
21	MR. KAM: My name is Jon Kam. My zip code
22	number is 96707.
23	MR. TABATA: Jon, could you please state
24	your job title and name of your employer?

MR. KAM: My job title is Vice President

	of constituetion for dentity homes.
2	MR. TABATA: Did Ewa Makai generate any
3	solid waste during development?
4	MR. KAM: Yes. We do generate solid waste
5	in the form of leftover materials during
6	construction.
7	MR. TABATA: And what about demolition
8	debris?
9	MR. KAM: No, because most of our sites,
LO	all of our sites actually are green sites, so
11	there's no existing structures, so no demolition is
L2	required.
L3	MR. TABATA: Did Gentry recycle or reuse
L4	any of its construction debris?
L5	MR. KAM: The only thing that we do
L6	recycle right now is our concrete, which is excess
L7	material from our pours. That is then taken to a
L8	recycling plant for reuse as aggregate products.
L9	MR. TABATA: Thank you. And if there was
20	any construction debris that needed to be disposed
21	of, where was it disposed?
22	MR. KAM: It was transported to the PVT
23	Landfill by our vendors.
24	MR. TABATA: Thank you. I should point
25	out that the city's ISWMP requires that all disposal

1	of C&D, construction and demolition debris, be done
2	at the PVT Landfill, not the city's landfill.
3	Thank you, Jon. I have no further
4	questions at this time.
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Tabata.
6	City and County of Honolulu, any questions
7	for this witness?
8	MR. ARIAS: No questions.
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
10	OPSD, any questions for the witness?
11	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioners, any
13	questions for this witness? Okay, thank you.
14	MR. KAM: Thank you, Chair.
15	MR. TABATA: Condition 9 is the air
16	quality monitoring condition, and luckily,
17	thankfully as a part of OPSD's position statement,
18	they provided their exhibit A. And their exhibit A
19	is an email dated April 4th, 2025, from the Clean
20	Air Branch of the State Department of Health.
21	And it states that, quote, after reviewing
22	the motion and our records for complaints or
23	enforcement actions on the listed TMK numbers, we
24	confirmed that condition 9, air quality monitoring,
25	has been satisfied. And we believe that shows

Τ	satisfaction of condition 9.
2	Condition 10, drainage improvements.
3	Exhibit 2 are the letters from DPP accepting the
4	drainage master plans for Ewa Makai East and Ewa
5	Makai West.
6	Ewa Makai East and Ewa Makai West, there's
7	a separation between the two, right, and what
8	separates the two is, I believe it's Fort Weaver
9	Road. And Fort Weaver Road separates east from
10	west, and for each side a drainage master plan was
11	done.
12	We would like to re-call Brian Maja to
13	testify about the drainage improvements, Chair.
14	Thank you.
15	Brian, were drainage improvements required
16	for Ewa Makai?
17	MR. MAJA: Yes, they were. The drainage
18	master plans that you spoke of earlier for Ewa Makai
19	East and Ewa Makai West outline the required
20	drainage improvements for the project.
21	MR. TABATA: And what kind of drainage
22	improvements were required in the drainage master
23	plans?
24	MR. MAJA: Besides the typical underground
25	drainage collection system, the major feature of the

1	drainage reports, or drainage master plans, were
2	what we call drainage detention basins.
3	They're basically large holes in the
4	ground that we dig to collect and hold stormwater
5	run-off.
6	MR. TABATA: And were these basins
7	constructed and approved?
8	MR. MAJA: Yes, they were.
9	MR. TABATA: Were there any applicable
10	federal or state requirements regarding drainage?
11	MR. MAJA: No federal requirements. We
12	did have to get what we call an NPDES permit during
13	construction of the basins, which we got from the
14	State Department of Health.
15	MR. TABATA: Thank you, Brian. I have no
16	more questions at this time.
17	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
18	City and County of Honolulu, any questions
19	for the witness?
20	MR. ARIAS: No questions.
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: OPSD, any questions for
22	the witness?
23	MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
25	Tabata. Thank you, Mr. Maja.

2.

MR. TABATA: Condition 11 is the regional drainage solutions. Now, the drainage master plans for Ewa Makai contain all the required drainage solutions that are legally required to be constructed and approved.

And as stated in Gentry's annual report, which is exhibit 1, Gentry participated in Kaloi Gulch regional drainage meetings held by DPP, and it was determined that drainage designs of all developments in the Kaloi drainage basin conformed with applicable federal, state, and city standards, or city requirements.

In addition, Petitioner entered into an agreement with Hawaii Prince Hotel in 2003 to address the drainage issues between them, and that agreement is exhibit 14.

For condition 12, condition 12 is water resources allocation and permits. And attached to DPP's position statement as exhibit A is a letter from the Board of Water Supply for the City and County of Honolulu. And the letter states that, quote, the Board of Water Supply confirms that Gentry Investment Properties, Ewa Makai, has fulfilled condition 12 of the State Land Use Commission docket number A03738, end quote. And we

2.

believe that evidence is satisfaction of condition 12.

Condition 13 is the avigation and noise easement. And the avigation and noise easements for Ewa Makai and related documents are exhibits 15, 16, and 17, and exhibits 23 through 32. And these exhibits confirm the Petitioner's satisfaction of condition 13.

For condition 14, sound attenuation, this condition prohibits the construction of residential units in areas exposed to Honolulu International Airport and Naval Station Barbers Point noise levels of 65 LDN or greater. Petitioner confirmed satisfaction of this condition by showing that Ewa Makai is located outside of prohibited areas as shown on exhibit 18.

Condition 15 is Civil Defense. And our Exhibit 19 is the Civil Defense letter dated August 10, 2005, stating that the siren for the project passed the final inspection showing that Gentry satisfied condition 15.

Condition 16 is the Energy Conservation

Measures condition. And we provided exhibit 20, and that's a list of features that our homes have. And what's included in that list of features is a list

of energy saving features that were incorporated in the homes that Gentry built for Ewa Makai.

Condition 17 is compliance with representations to the Commission. For that condition, our exhibit 21 is the Ewa Makai Land Use Plan in 2003. And exhibit 22 is the current Land Use Plan showing, we believe, shows compliance with, and satisfaction of, condition 17.

Condition 18 is the Notice of Change in Ownership Interest. And there was no change in ownership interest prior to development, so this condition is satisfied or was never made applicable.

Condition 19 is annual reports, and Gentry filed their annual reports and satisfied this condition.

Condition 20 is the release of conditions imposed by the Commission. And this motion is requesting that release.

Condition 21 is the Notice of Imposition of Condition. I believe it's the wrong title on the screen there, but what it is is the Notice of Imposition of Conditions. So within seven days of getting your reclassification done and getting your order, you have a short seven-day window to record a quick notice saying, we have conditions.

2.

And they're going to run with the land, s
you're putting the world on notice as soon as
possible about these new conditions, and we did
that. And that Notice of Imposition of Conditions
is attached as appendix 9 to exhibit 1.

Condition 22 is the recording of conditions. So it takes a little bit of time to write up this declaration of conditions and have it recorded. So what it is is it's a declaration, and it lists all the conditions, and it puts the world on notice that the land is encumbered by these conditions, and they run with the land.

So the Declaration of Land Use Conditions is included in appendix 10 to exhibit 1, and was recorded with the Bureau of Conveyances on February 11th, 2004. Since then their conditions have been an encumbrance on the land affecting every land owner in the petition area, including the homeowners of the 1,634 units.

If the Commission releases all 22 conditions, then Gentry will record the release, and those conditions will no longer be an encumbrance on the land.

And that's all I have for my presentation, Chair.

Thank you, Mr. Tabata. 1 COMMISSIONER LEE: 2. Commissioners, do you have any questions for the Petitioner? Seeing none, City and County of Honolulu, any questions for the Petitioner? 4 MR. ARIAS: 5 No questions. COMMISSIONER LEE: OPSD, any questions for 6 the Petitioner? 7 MS. KATO: No questions. Thank you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. City and County 10 of Honolulu, you can now present any comments. 11 MR. ARIAS: Thank you, Chair. 12 As the Commissioners are aware, DPP 13 supports the release of the conditions. I think we 14 just wanted to address -- I think it would be most 15 efficient if we just address conditions 4, 5, 8, and 16 10. 17 As far as condition 4, Petitioner is 18 right, the impact fees are calculated by the building permits, and DPP did a review of those and 19 20 confirmed that the numbers that Petitioner provided 21 were accurate. 22 As far as the landscape setback, I believe 23 the additional testimony provided today does provide 24 some evidence for this Commission to determine that 25 there is a landscape setback in that area, in

2.

addition to the maps that were provided.

As far as the Solid Waste Management Plan, this County did prepare a solid waste management plan as was provided to the Commissioners. We'll add that that plan is, I think, intended to be a little more comprehensive than just construction, but on top of their -- but that plan is now in place.

And I believe the intent of that, according to the Staff report, the intent of the condition was to incorporate efficient waste management and waste reduction strategies. And if you look at the Solid Waste Management Plan you'll see that there are strategies that the County is implementing to ensure that that intent is met.

And then finally for the condition 10, I believe that the additional testimony provided on those issues did address some of the -- at least these concerns. And then I'll also add that DPP did do its due diligence in terms of working with Petitioner, in terms of working with other city agencies such as BWS to make sure that these conditions were satisfied and met.

With that, nothing further.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, City and

County.

2.

Commissioners, do you have any questions for the City and County? Okay, seeing and hearing none, thank you.

OPSD, please present your comments.

MS. KATO: Alison Kato, Deputy Attorney General for OPSD. Consistent with our written response, OPSD supports approval of Petitioner's motion to release all conditions in this docket.

The development was completed several years ago. It provided 1,634 residential units as well as other necessary community facilities. And based on Petitioner's presentation, the evidence they've submitted, the testimony from the witnesses, comments from state agencies and the city, and for the reasons stated in written response, OPSD believes that Petitioner has complied with all of the requirements imposed on this project, and that all conditions should be released.

As to those conditions that impact state agencies, OPSD solicited comments from relevant state agencies including the Department of Education, Department of Health, Department of Transportation, and HIEMA.

DOT did request further documentation with

1	respect to conditions 4 and 5, which prompted
2	Petitioner's third supplemental memo and the
3	additional testimony. And after further discussion
4	with DOT, DOT reviewed the additional submission and
5	they agree that conditions 4 and 5 should be
6	released.
7	They did note that for condition 5,
8	provided Petitioner confirms that the setback is
9	landscaped, which they did in their presentation.
10	OPSD just received email confirmation of
11	this from DOT thirty minutes ago, which we will
12	provide to the LUC.
13	Additionally, DPP is the appropriate
14	agency to determine whether those requirements have
15	been completed, and they've confirmed that those
16	conditions have been satisfied.
17	As such, OPSD does not believe any further
18	evidence is needed to release those conditions. I
19	won't be commenting on the other conditions as those
20	have been gone through already in detail, unless you
21	have any questions.
22	Mary Alice Evans from OPSD also has a few
23	comments. Please swear her in.
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
25	Director, I have to swear you in.

1 MS. EVANS: Yes. 2. COMMISSIONER LEE: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the 4 truth? 5 MS. EVANS: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER LEE: And I think I have to 6 7 ask her for the zip code and your -- just your name and zip code. 8 9 MS. EVANS: Oh, excuse me, I am Mary Alice 10 Excuse me, I'm getting over a cold, 11 Commissioners. I'm the Director of the Office of 12 Planning and Sustainable Development for the State 13 of Hawaii, and my zip code is 96795, as one of your 14 Commissioners has. I would like to -- this is to address a 15 policy issue rather than a legal issue, if you will 16 17 indulge me. Housing is Governor Green's top 18 priority for workforce families in the State of Hawaii. 19 20 Gentry has provided quality homes for our workforce families, and we believe that developers 21 22 that are willing to step forward to comply with 23 these conditions and to build homes, particularly in 24 this environment, which is tremendously challenging 25 because of mortgage interest rates and financing

Τ	interest rates, and of course additional costs for
2	materials that have incurred tariffs, we have to do
3	everything we can to support those developers in
4	following our state policies on increasing the
5	number of workforce homes as long as they are
6	consistent with project labor agreements and other
7	conditions that support the quality of life of our
8	workers.
9	So that's all I wanted to say. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you for your
11	comments.
12	Commissioners, are there any questions for
13	Director Evans? Okay. Seeing none, thank you.
14	All right. Ms. Kato, are you finished or
15	do you have any more comments?
16	MS. KATO: No further comments. Thank
17	you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you, Mrs.
19	Kato. And I'll ask again, are there any questions
20	for Mrs. Kato, Commissioners? Okay. Seeing none,
21	we're going to go with closing arguments.
22	So the Petitioner, do you have any final
23	comments you would like to make at this time?
24	MR. TABATA: Yes. Thank you, Chair.
25	In closing, we believe that the evidence

1	provided by Gentry, DPP, and OPSD constitutes
2	adequate, substantial, and sufficient evidence for
3	the release of all conditions including conditions 1
4	through 22, and that there is good cause for the
5	Commission to grant Petitioner's motion for an order
6	releasing conditions 1 through 22.
7	Thank you, Chair.
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
9	City and County of Honolulu, do you have
10	any closing arguments that you would like to make?
11	MR. ARIAS: As we previously stated, we
12	support release of the conditions. That's all.
13	Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
15	OPSD, do you have any closing arguments
16	that you would like to make?
17	MS. KATO: No further comments. Thank
18	you.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
20	Commissioners, do you have any final
21	questions or comments for the parties? Commissioner
22	U'u.
23	COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah. I just wanted to
24	make a comment that as I read here, Findings of
25	Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order,

1	dated and filed January 2nd, 2004, along with the 22
2	conditions that you guys adhered to, and as I sit
3	here as a new commissioner, second year, and I don't
4	think no one has come up before us and look at DBAs
5	to build housing.
6	And as we know, Maui's in dire need of
7	homes. We lost 2100 units at minimum in Lahaina. I
8	say that at minimum because most of them were multi-
9	families but I guess hitting as single family homes.
10	And we a fire last night in Paia and I
11	think we lost some homes might be, I know one was
12	lost, and we were evacuated from our house last
13	night, so I got home at about 10:00.
14	So as I see you guys navigating this
15	daunting process with 22 conditions and doing what
16	is needed for our people to remain and call this
17	place home, I commend you guys. No one has come in
18	up the last year and a half for DBA to build homes
19	in Maui.
20	It's been years, and part of it is us, the
21	Commission. I think, and I think we've got to make
22	one loud voice to say, hey, we welcome you guys in
23	to create homes for our people. That's how I see

That's how I view it.

24

25

it.

1	your work. Thank you for providing homes. I
2	appreciate you guys, and I commend you guys. Good
3	job.
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Commissioner
5	U'u.
6	Any other comments from Commissioners?
7	Commissioner Nancy Carr Smith.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you,
9	Chair.
10	I echo that. Thank you for the good work
11	in building all those homes. There were just two
12	things. I would love to see those photos of the
13	landscape, if we're going to take a break maybe,
14	before we vote. That would be great.
15	I appreciate all the testimony from your
16	witnesses, that was helpful.
17	The only other thing that I wasn't totally
18	clear about was on condition 10. In the Staff
19	report it says that there's nothing on the record
20	from government agencies that drainage improvements
21	identified in the master plan have in fact been
22	constructed.
23	And I think the testimony was that it's
24	been constructed, but is there any other evidence
25	that might have been overlooked that would have

2.

caused the Staff to say that?

MR. TABATA: I believe that there was a -there were regional meetings. I know this relates
to condition 11, but there were meetings held for
the entire basin, the Kaloi drainage basin. And
during this process everything had to be considered,
including federal and state requirements.

And the city has exclusive jurisdiction over approval of these construction -- construction of these drainage improvements, of these basins, okay. So they require everything that needs to be required. We rely on them for that, basically.

If there are any requirements, like Brian testified to, there was an NPDES permit that was required by the state in connection with the drainage issues. So, and they got it. So the overall process, we believe, takes into account any applicable federal and state requirements.

And that word applicable is utilized in both conditions 10 and 11. So it is not necessarily that there are federal requirements or state requirements that need to be checked off on, because drainage is a city jurisdiction, and they take care of those drainage issues.

So I think overall those factors took care

25

	0501
1	of what you're asking about.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you, Mr.
3	Tabata.
4	May I ask City and County of Honolulu, can
5	you please confirm that the drainage improvements
6	identified in the master plan have in fact been
7	constructed?
8	MR. ARIAS: Thank you, Vice Chair. Yes,
9	they have been constructed. After approval of the
10	drainage master plan, DPP will, I don't know what
11	the right word is, but I guess inspect and review
12	development to make sure that it's built according
13	to the plans.
14	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you both.
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Commissioner
16	Carr Smith.
17	Any other questions from Commissioners?
18	Okay, seeing and hearing none, really appreciate the
19	thorough and diligent report from Ms. Segura and
20	Staff, and for doing their diligence. We really
21	appreciate them.
22	And I did look at Google Earth at that
23	intersection, and it did look like the landscaping

was there, but I think we are wise to follow up on

things like that and confirm.

We should now take a 10-minute break, and 1 then we'll come back for public testimony. 2. 3 You. 4 (WHEREUPON, a recess was taken.) 5 COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you everybody. We will now take our second round of 6 7 public testimony. Once again, are there any members of the public who wish to provide new or additional 8 testimony on this matter? If so, please let the 9 10 chief clerk know. 11 So Ms. Kwan, are there any members of the public who wish to provide new testimony on this 12 13 matter? 14 MS. KWAN: Seeing none, Chair. COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you. 15 16 The Commission will now conduct formal 17 deliberations whether to accept or reject the 18 Petitioner's motion to release conditions presented 19 today. 20 I would note for the parties and the 21 public that during the Commission's deliberations, 22 we will not entertain additional input from the 23 parties or the public unless those individuals or 24 entities are specifically requested to do so by the 25 Chair. If called upon, we will ask that any

comments be limited to the question at hand. 1 So Commissioners, let me confirm that each 2. of you have reviewed the record and are prepared to deliberate on this matter. After I call your name, 4 5 will you please signify with either age or nay that you are prepared to deliberate on this matter. 6 Vice Chair Carr Smith. 7 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: 8 Aye. 9 COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Giovanni. 10 COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Aye. COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Kahele. 11 12 COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Aye. 13 COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner Miyasato. 14 COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: 15 COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner U'u. 16 COMMISSIONER U'U: Aye. Commissioner Yamane. 17 COMMISSIONER LEE: 18 COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Aye. 19 COMMISSIONER LEE: And Commissioner 20 Kamakea-Ohelo. 21 COMMISSIONER KAMAKEA-OHELO: Aye. 22 COMMISSIONER LEE: I am also prepared to 23 deliberate on this matter. 24 Okay. Now, Commissioners, the Chair will entertain any motion that the LUC accepts or rejects 25

Τ	the Petitioner's motion to release conditions l
2	through 22.
3	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Chair, I'm going to
4	make a motion that we release those conditions, and
5	make a couple of comments here. I live on the west
6	side of the island of Oahu. I know how things are
7	getting rough out there with finding housing,
8	workforce housing, affordable housing.
9	I would hope that instead of the 800-plus
10	homes that they're looking at being affordable, that
11	it would have been best in the 40 percent. 30
12	percent would have been better AMI, but, you know,
13	Petitioner filed in 2004, I believe. Been over 20
14	years, and I think they met most of the conditions,
15	if not all. OPSD don't have a problem, the city
16	don't have a problem.
17	And anyway, I make a motion that we
18	release those conditions. Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Commissioner
20	Kahele. Commissioner Kahele has moved to make the
21	motion to release conditions 1 through 22. Is there
22	a second?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I'll second the
24	motion.
25	COMMISSIONER LEE: The motion is seconded

1	by Commissioner and Vice Chair Nancy Carr Smith.
2	Commissioners, is there any discussion?
3	Okay, seeing and hearing none, Mr. Orodenker, will
4	you please conduct the roll call vote?
5	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
6	motion is to grant the motion to release conditions
7	1 through 22.
8	Commissioner Kahele.
9	COMMISSIONER KAHELE: Aye.
10	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Carr Smith.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Aye.
12	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Hayashida is
13	absent.
14	Commissioner Kamakea-Ohelo.
15	COMMISSIONER KAMAKEA-OHELO: Aye.
16	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner U'u.
17	COMMISSIONER U'U: Aye.
18	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Miyasato.
19	COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Aye.
20	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Yamane.
21	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Aye.
22	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Giovanni.
23	COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Aye.
24	MR. ORODENKER: Chair Lee.
25	COMMISSIONER LEE: Aye.

1	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
2	motion passes unanimously with eight votes.
3	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you, Mr.
4	Orodenker. Thank you to the parties for all your
5	presentations, and please have a good rest of your
6	day. Thank you.
7	MR. TABATA: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: We're going to take a
9	couple minutes to let the parties excuse themselves,
10	and then we will reconvene.
11	(WHEREUPON, a recess was taken.)
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: We will reconvene our
13	meeting. The next agenda is item number 3, the
14	adoption of the June 4th, 2025, minutes.
15	Ms. Kwan, has there been any written
16	testimony submitted on the minutes?
17	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
19	Are there any members of the public who
20	signed up to testify on the adoption of the June 4th
21	minutes?
22	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioners, are
24	there any corrections or comments on the minutes?
25	Commissioner Yamane

1	COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Mr. Chair, just for
2	the record, I wasn't present at that meeting so I
3	will not be voting on this.
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you,
5	Commissioner Yamane. Are there any other comments?
6	If not, is there a motion now to adopt the minutes
7	of the June 4th meeting?
8	COMMISSIONER U'U: Motion to adopt.
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner U'u has
10	moved to adopt the minutes. Is there a second?
11	COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: Second, was that
13	Commissioner Miyasato?
14	COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Are there any
16	objections? All right. Then if not, the minutes
17	are adopted by unanimous consent. Thank you.
18	The next agenda item is item number 4, the
19	discussion and action if necessary on modification
20	to the Executive Officer's employment agreement. We
21	will now recognize any written public testimony
22	submitted in this matter.
23	Ms. Kwan, has there been any written
24	testimony submitted on this?
25	MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.

1 COMMISSIONER LEE: Ms. Kwan, are there any 2. members of the public that wish to testify to this 3 agenda? 4 MS. KWAN: Not at this time, Chair. 5 COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Commissioners, are there any proposed suggestions or modifications 6 to the Executive Officer's contract at this time? 7 Yes, Commissioner Miyasato. 8 9 COMMISSIONER MIYASATO: Chair, I'd like to 10 move that we go into Executive Session to consult with our legal counsel on questions, issues 11 12 pertaining to the Board's powers to these 13 privileges, immunities, and liabilities. 14 COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you. 15 there a second? 16 COMMISSIONER U'U: Second. 17 COMMISSIONER LEE: The motion was made by 18 Commissioner Miyasato and seconded by Commissioner U'u. Executive Officer, will you conduct the vote? 19 20 Okay. Is there any objection? If not, all in favor say aye. Okay, we will enter into executive 21 22 session. 23 Also want to invite Ms. Kwan and Mr. 24 Orodenker, and we will excuse Ms. Segura, or you want to be in here? Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. 25 Ms.

Τ	Segura, do you want to be in this meeting? Okay.
2	We'll include Ms. Segura as well.
3	(WHEREUPON, Commission is in Executive
4	Session.)
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Ms. Segura.
6	Okay, so we are exiting Executive Session at 11:23
7	a.m., and we discussed elements of the employment
8	contract for the Executive Director with our Deputy
9	Attorney General.
LO	All right. So at this time,
11	Commissioners, is there pleasure of anyone to make a
L2	motion, or shall we continue? Okay, I don't hear
L3	anything so we are now going to move to the next
L4	agenda item.
L5	Mr. Orodenker, will you review the
L6	tentative meeting schedule?
L7	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
L8	Tomorrow we will be having a virtual Zoom
L9	meeting at the State Office Tower for training on
20	environmental impact statements.
21	On October 22nd we have tentatively
22	scheduled a presentation on the speed task force by
23	Representative Ilagan.
24	On November 19th we will be on Maui for
25	the Ho'onani Development, LLC, matter.

```
And on December 3rd we will be on Kona for
 1
    the University Of The Nations Kona motion. And that
 2.
 3
    takes us through the end of the year.
 4
              COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
 5
    Orodenker. Commissioners, do you have any
    questions?
 6
 7
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
              MR. ORODENKER: Ho'onani Development,
 8
    LLC, A25-811.
 9
10
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
11
              MR. ORODENKER: We're not -- that actually
12
    is -- I think they're making a motion, but we
13
    haven't seen it yet. That's why it's tentative.
14
              COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Any other
15
    questions? Okay, if not, thank you, Mr. Orodenker,
16
    and thank you Ms. Kwan, Ms. Segura.
17
              This concludes our meeting. Is there any
    further business to discuss? Hearing none, this
18
19
    meeting is now adjourned.
20
              (WHEREUPON, the LAND USE COMMISSION
    MEETING adjourned.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

C_{1}	되고	ΤТ	דק	\mathcal{C}	Ζח	ч

I, Michelle Breezee, do hereby certify that the proceeding named herein was professionally transcribed on the date set forth in the certificate herein; that I transcribed all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a full, true, and correct record of such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole thereof.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of October, 2025.

Ofickelle of Breezee

Michelle Breezee